JUNE 6, 1960

A Public Hearing was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway on Monday, June 6, 1960 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

. .

Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Edwards, Harper, MacSorley, Mather, Drummond and Prittie

ABSENT - Councillors Jamieson and Brown.

The Hearing was held for the purpose of receiving representation for or against the proposed rezoning of:

"All that area bounded on the North by the B.C. Electric Railway Line; on the East by the lane West of Antrim Avenue but including all of Lot "J" Block 13/15 and 18/20, D.L. 98, Plan 12208; on the South by Watling Street; and on the West by Royal Oak Avenue."

from Light Industrial to Residential Two-Family.

- Mr. J. Fabbro appeared in opposition to the proposed rezoning and advised that he felt the appropriate zoning for his property was Industrial because of the presence of a railway line adjacent to it.
- Mrs. L. A. McClelland appeared in opposition to the proposed rezoning and advised that she concurred with the reasons advanced by Mr. Fabbro.
- Mr. J. Hayne appeared in support of the rezoning proposal.
- Mr. W. Purcello appeared in opposition to the proposed rezoning.
- Mr. A. C. Durkin, Secretary-Treasurer, Burnaby School Board, appeared and advised that the Board was in support of the rezoning proposal because it felt residential use of land adjoining school property was more compatible than industrial use.

In addition to their appearances, the following submitted letters expressing their views with respect to the proposed rezoning:

- (1) Mr. Purcello In his letter, he claimed that the existing character of the subject area did not lend itself to further residential development and, in his particular case, the property was too large for even a two-family residence.
- (2) Mrs. McClelland In her letter, she expressed opposition to the proposed rezoning.
- (3) Mr. C.A. Randell In his letter, he indicated support of the rezoning proposal.

ŧ

(4) Mr. Durkin - In his letter, he advised that the School Board supported the proposed rezoning for the reasons stated earlier in the Hearing.

The Hearing then adjourned.