DECEMBER 28, 1959.

A Public Hearing was held in the Committee Room, Municipal Hall, 4545 E. Grandview Douglas Highway on Monday, December 28th, 1959, at 7.00~p.m.

Present: Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Brown, Mather, Jamieson, McLean, Edwards, MacSorley and Prittie.

The following applications for rezoning were brought forward at the Hearing:

FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY TYPE I

- (a) Lot "H" Block 12, D.L.151/3, Plan 10218 (located on the west side of Willingdon Avenue immediately north of the B.C.Electric Company right-of-way).
- (b) Lots 1 and 2, Block 39, D.L.151/3, Plan 2884, (located at the north-east corner of Maywood Street and McKay Avenue).
- (c) Lots 6 to 12 inclusive, Block 8, D.L.151/3 Plan 2155. (located on the east side of Olive Avenue, commencing approximately 170 feet south of Kingsway and extending southerly approximately 417 feet).
- (d) Lots 2 to 9 inclusive, Block 9, D.L.151/3, Plan 2702. (located on the west side of Wilson Avenue, commencing approximately 290 feet south of Kingsway and extending approximately southerly 528 feet).
- (a) No one appeared in opposition to this application.
- (b) No one appeared in opposition to this application.
- (c) Mrs. D. Shortt appeared on behalf of the owners of Lots 6 to 8 inclusive Block 8, D.L.151/3, and objected to the proposed rezoning of Lots 6 to 12 inclusive of the same Block, on the grounds that they felt Lot 5 of the same Block should likewise be rezoned or, at least, not permitted to continue to continue as Light Industrial property. She added that Lot 8 was subdivided into two parts and that neither were large enough to permit the development of an apartment thereon. Mrs. Shortt requested that Lot 5 be rezoned to Multiple Family Use, or alternatively, that the aforementioned Lots 6 to 8 be rezoned to Light Industrial use.

The owner of Lot $9 \mathbb{W}_2^1$ in Block 8 appeared and requested that the property remain zoned as Residential Two Family.

(d) The owner of Lot 3 appeared and advised she felt her Lot was of insufficient size to accommodate apartment development but that otherwise she favoured the rezoning proposed at this time.

Mr. Coblenz appeared on behalf of himself and Wilson Holdings Limited and expressed concurrence with the rezoning proposals.

The Hearing then adjourned.