

RE: LETTER FROM MR. R. DORMAN WHICH APPEARED ON THE AGENDA FOR THE
1986 NOVEMBER 24 MEETING OF COUNCIL (Item 4b)
LOCAL IMPROVEMENT STREET PROGRAM - KENSINGTON AVENUE

MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT the recommendation of the Director Engineering be adopted.

* * * * *

TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER 1986 DECEMBER 02
FROM: DIRECTOR ENGINEERING
SUBJECT: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT STREET PROGRAM
KENSINGTON AVENUE

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT a copy of this report be sent to Mr. R. Dorman, 6649
Aubrey Street, Burnaby, B. C., V5B 2E3

R E P O R T

A letter dated 1986 November 18 was received from Mr. R. Dorman of 6649 Aubrey Street, Burnaby, requesting the improvement of Kensington Avenue from Broadway to Union Street.

Kensington Avenue is currently improved to an interim strip pavement standard and gravel shoulders. The section of Kensington between Halifax and Curtis was recently repaved in September 1986 as part of the Street and Lane Rehabilitation Program.

Kensington Avenue is included in the Burnaby Conceptual Transportation Plan as a major collector (residential). Improvements to such streets are generally accomplished through the local improvement process.

In 1979 September 04, Council adopted a resolution which read:

"That Kensington Avenue be placed on the Local Improvement Program for 1980 in order that it may reflect a 36' pavement width."

As a result of the above resolution, a report contained in Item 18, Report No. 44, was presented to Council on 1980 June 23. This report recommended that Kensington be initiated under the Local Improvement Program for 11 m pavement on Kensington, Union to Broadway; curbs both sides with 1.5 m abutting sidewalk on east side, Union to Napier; 1.5 m curbwalks both sides, Napier to Broadway, storm sewers as required.

The results of the initiation were presented to Council in a Certificate of Sufficiency Report on 1981 March 30, which provided the following information on the Kensington project:

No. of property owners	79
Majority Required to Defeat Project	40
No. of objections	61

The project therefore did not proceed.

ITEM	6
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	72
COUNCIL MEETING	86/12/08

107

-2-

In view of the substantial rejection of this project, as evidenced by the above statistics, we could not support a re-initiation without a demonstrated reversal in opinion expressed by the abutting property owners. Staff has received no indication that the abutting property owners have altered their viewpoint.


DIRECTOR ENGINEERING

AAS:m1