# 1984 MAY 07

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. on Monday, 1984 May 07 at 19:00 h.

PRESENT: Mayor W.A. Lewarne (In the Chair) Alderman D.N. Brown Alderman D.P. Drummond Alderman A.H. Enmott Alderman D.A. Lawson Alderman G.H.F. McLean Alderman L.A. Rankin Alderman V.V. Stusiak

ABSENT: Alderman E. Nikolai

STAFF: Mr. D. Gaunt, Acting Municipal Manager Mr. J.E. Fleming, Director Administrative and Community Services Mr. E.E. Olson, Director Engineering Mr. A.L. Parr, Director Planning and Building Inspection Mr. J.G. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager Mr. C.A. Turpin, Deputy Municipal Clerk Mr. R.D. Seath, Municipal Clerk's Assistant

# PRESENTATION

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, presented a Certificate of Appreciation to Mrs. Rosemary J. Rawnsley in recognition of Mrs. Rawnsley's years of dedicated service while serving as a member of the Traffic Safety Committee.

# MINUTES

(a) The minutes of the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 30 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the minutes of the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 30 be now adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# PROCLAMATION

(a) His Worship, Mayor Lewarne issued the following proclamation regarding "Physical Activity Week":

"NOW THEREFORE I, William A. Lewarne, Mayor of Burnaby, do hereby proclaim the week of Sunday, 1984 May 13 to Monday, 1984 May 21 as:

# 'NATIONAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITY WEEK'

in the District of Burnaby and do urge all citizens to join in the many activities being planned by the Burnaby Recreation and Cultural Services Department in celebration of physical activity - and get in shape for the 1984 B.C. Summer Games."

# DELEGATIONS

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

 Johnston Terminals Limited, Project Manager, 1984 February 22, Re: Texaco Tank Farm Reactivation Spokesman - Mr. R.C.E. Kitching

(Mr. John Carbonneau, Manager Corporate Real Estate, Texaco Canada Incorporated, will be in the Public Gallery for the purpose of answering any questions that might arise).

 (b) Justice Council/Family Court Committee, Secretary, 1984 April 02, Re: Establishment of a Community Standards Committee.
 <u>Spokesman</u> - Mr. N.R.K. Stainton, Justice Council/Family Court Committee <u>Spokesperson</u> - Mrs. Susan Varvarikos, United Way Community Standards Committee.

- (c) Life Line Society, Secretary, 1984
   April 30, Re: Transition House.
   Spokesperson Wenda Erickson.
- (d) Burnaby Civic Employees' Local Union 23, President, 1984 April 30, Re: Concerns with respect to "Strategic Plan for Information Management" report. Spokesman - Kari E. Lasanen.
- (e) Gibson and Clark Properties Ltd., Gordon Gibson (Jr.), 1984 May 03, Re: Proposed C4 Commercial Development - 6255 Kingsway. Spokesman - Gordon Gibson (Jr.)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the delegations be heard."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(a) Mr. Robert C.E. Kitching, 10695 Salisbury Drive, Surrey, B.C. then appeared before Council representing Johnston Terminals Limited as the Project Manager for the Texaco Tank Farm reactivation. Mr. Kitching provided Council with details respecting the handling of the petroleum product known as methanol. Mr. Kitching went on to explain how the existing facility is proposed to be used by Johnston Terminals Limited. Contained hereunder is a partial text of Mr. Kitching's presentation to Council:

"In terms of developing the facilities we don't intend to make any major or significant changes to the facilities as they are at present. We will improve, however, on the aesthetic appearance quite considerably of the facilities as they are at present. The existing dock was built to handle T2 type tankers, these were the tankers which many of you may remember from the wartime period and were largely vessels with a draught of about 20 to 25 feet. As a result the dock facility is not geared to handling the modern size of vessel and we would expect to remove the existing wooden dolphins and replace them with either steel or concrete pile dolphins about 100 feet further to the seaward. However, the facility will not be a heavy facility and it will probably be no more obtrusive than the existing facilities that are presently in place. The approach causeway will be redecked and extended approximately 50 feet to seaward in order to reach the deeper draught for the vessels. We don't intend building any major structure and the skyline or the waterline will not be significantly changed at all. When we are looking at the upgrading of the present tanks the facilities that are in place at the moment accommodate approximately 90,000 barrels of liquids, and we anticipate we will have to expand it to 150,000 barrels. This should mean an addition of maybe one tank, it could be a combination of either a single tank or maybe a couple of tanks but at this time we anticipate it will be one tank.

699

Firefighting facilities will be added to the terminal and on the wall there is a plan of the area and the ground line that we expect to provide which will be the dyked area and on top of the dyke will be provided a roadway for the fire trucks to be able to completely circle the facility. We will also place fire monitors and fire nozzles at strategic positions so that any single tank will be able to be approached from any of two sides. We will make use of the latest techniques in firefighting; risers in the tanks, foam, and every other requirement which is currently part of National Fire Code of Canada and part of the Fire Marshall's requirements. The equipment will also meet the Underwriter's requirements, which are even more stringent, to ensure that the facility is as safe as the facility can be made. The facility will also be provided with an alternate entrance in the event of fire and we will have to work that out with municipal staff at that time.

One of the major concerns we heard coming from Council were the effects of the vapours on people in the park area and on those working in the tank farm area. Vapours of methanol certainly are somewhat toxic, no doubt about it, however, we have made a commitment in our application under the Waste Management Act (Emissions) that there will be no emissions from this facility. At this stage we are looking at two possible forms of recapturing any emissions, either we will revapourize the emissions and that means that all of the vapours from the tanks and from the rail cars and, in the event of ships, from the ships as well will be collected and run through a refrigeration system, which in fact recondenses the vapour and turns it back into a liquid and the liquid is then reintroduced to the tank. It is a completely closed system and there is no emission unless there is a major emergency of some type. The alternative to that is to incinerate the emissions and under those circumstances the emissions are burned in a very hot chamber and the only residue of that type of emission is a certain amount of water and carbon dioxide.

The tanks at the site now are fixed conewood tanks, we would anticipate continuing to use fixed conewood tanks as floating tanks are not envisaged for this area.

Another concern was the access or egress of trucks from the terminal. At this stage we have no plans whatsoever for any truck access or egress from the terminal and we have had no discussions with any people for the use of the terminal as a truck terminal. The drive alignment we believe is perfectly suitable for fire and emergency vehicles, certainly the vehicles for Texaco that are using the facility now are often B-train configuration and if they can negotiate the roads I feel sure that a highly maneuverable fire truck can do the same thing, however if it does require some modification to the alignment we are quite prepared to look at that in conjunction with the engineers and the fire authorities within the municipality to make such corrections as are necessary."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07 be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Proposed Acquisition of Texaco Property for Development of Barnet Marine Park

> The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection providing Council with information on the legal implications of acquiring the Texaco property, the circumstances relating it to development objectives for the Park and the methods of financing a proposed acquisition.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Council accept the offer of Texaco Canada Ltd. to extend the period of first refusal to a date after the final expiry date of the Johnston Terminals Limited lease and option to lease and;

THAT Council resolve at this date not to proceed with the acquisition of the Texaco property unless entered into as a transaction with a willing vendor."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMEN DRUMMOND, McLEAN AND RANKIN

(b) Ms. Shirley J. Peters, 8207 10th Avenue, then addressed the members of Council on behalf of the United Way Community Standards Committee. The text of Ms. Peters' presentation is contained hereunder:

"For the past year of so, there has been increasing awareness and concern about the proliferation of pornography in the community. For instance, the recent Fraser Commission Inquiry into Pornography & Prostitution and the experience of the Coquitlam Municipal Community Standards Committee both reflect a growing need to address the pornography issue both nationally and locally.

In this context, about six months ago the United Way Burnaby Governing Committee invited community groups and organizations to express their concerns, if any, about pornography in Burnaby. As an initial meeting in February, there was consensus that this issue required further attention and inquiry and consequently, Reverend Terry Hibbert of the Coquitlam Community Standards Committee was invited to make a presentation to a joint meeting of the Family Court Committee and United Way Steering Committee. As a result, it was recommended to approach Burnaby Municipal Council to establish a Community Standards Committee in Burnaby.

The Steering Committee members represent a broad cross-section of the Burnaby community and include:

Burnaby Family Life Institute Burnaby Family Court Committee Burnaby Life Line Society Second Street Community School Stoney Creek Community School Stride Community School United Way Burnaby Division According to the Criminal Code, provisions governing pornography refer to material which is "obscene", "indecent", "immoral" or "scurrilous". An obscence publication is defined in Subsection 159(8) as 'any publication a dominant characteristic of which is the undue exploitation of sex and any one or more of the following subjects, namely, crime, horror, cruelty and violence.'

As quoted in the Fraser Committee Issues Paper on Pornography & Prostitution, 'The words "immoral", "indecent", and "scurrilous" have been left to the Courts to define ... However, the key factor in determining whether a work is obscene is whether the work offends against community standards of decency... In determining community standards, Canadian courts have generally applied a national rather than a local standard.' (Fraser Committee Issues Paper on Pornography & Prostitution - November 1983).

On the other hand, regarding the distribution of pornographic magazines, books, videos, etc., Municipal legislation is designed to regulate the operations of local distributors in the form of licensing or zoning.

Thus stated, the Burnaby Steering Committee questioned whether in fact Burnaby maintains or defines local community standards. Does the community in fact tolerate any material which combines sex with crime, rape, horror, cruelty and violence? Do we know the extent to which such material is available or distributed through Burnaby stores, video outlets, etc.? Are community standards as defined by the criminal code, being enforced in Burnaby?

The Steering Committee clearly lacks the authority or mandate to pursue these issues. Therefore, it was recommended that the issue of community standards be referred to Burnaby Municipal Council.

The Burnaby Community Standards Steering Committee recommends to Burnaby Municipal Council that:

- Council establish a Burnaby Community Standards Committee that is representative of all sectors of the community, i.e. School Board; voluntary organizations; R.C.M.P.; justice organizations; parent groups; church groups; labour; Chamber of Commerce; health groups; and/or any groups interested and deemed appropriate.
- 2) Council establish a Community Standards Committee for the purpose of i) defining community standards in Burnaby, and ii) to facilitate public education and awareness about community standards.
- 3) This be an ongoing Committee of Burnaby Council.

Should Council accept the recommendations to establish a Community Standards Committee, the United Way Burnaby Division and Family Court Committee would be pleased to assist as resources in facilitating and initiating the process. Furthermore, material from the Coquitlam Community Standards Committee is available in the form of a kit which explicitly defines how to organize i) a public forum and ii) a referendum. This material and the Fraser Committee's Issues Paper on Pornography & Prostitution would be invaluable background information to Committee members."

(c) Mr. N.R.Keith Stainton, 5550 Keith Street, then addressed the members of Council on behalf of the Burnaby Justice Council/Family Court Committee. The text of Mr. Stainton's presentation is contained hereunder:

"The Burnaby Family Court Committee/Justice Council supports the proposal of the Burnaby United Way Division, that the Burnaby Municipal Council appoint a Community Standards Committee. The function of this committee would be to carry out appropriate activities which would lead to the identification of community standards (a 'general average' of thinking) related to the production, dissemination or sale of pornographic materials. The Burnaby Family Court Committee/Justice Council observes that there appears to be a widespread concern by the citizens of this municipality related to the availability of pornographic materials and the power of authorities to control the sale and distribution of items that are felt to be offensive. It seems reasonable, therefore, that a first step in determining what or if controls should be attempted would be the identification of what the community standards are.

The Burnaby Justice Council/Family Court Committee further wish to advise that they would be pleased to assist as resources in facilitating and initiating the process of establishing a Community Standards Committee in The District of Burnaby."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT Council adopt the recommendations contained within the delegation's presentation as follows:

- 1. THAT Council establish a Burnaby Community Standards Committee that is representative of all sectors of the community, i.e. School Board; voluntary organizations; R.C.M.P.; justice organizations; parent groups; church groups; labour; Chamber of Commerce; health groups; and/or any groups interested and deemed appropriate.
- 2. THAT Council establish a Community Standards Committee for the purpose of i) defining community standards in Burnaby, and ii) to facilitate public education and awareness about community standards.
- 3. THAT this be an ongoing Committee of Burnaby Council."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMAN STUSIAK

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, then announced the establishment of a Burnaby Community Standards Committee to be chaired by Alderman Rankin with Aldermen Lawson and Nikolai as Committee Members. The Burnaby Community Standards Committee will be comprised of seven members with the newly appointed three (3) members to select and recommend to Council the names of the proposed four (4) additional members.

The Council Meeting recessed at 21:07 h.

The Council Meeting reconvened at 21:17 h with Alderman Nikolai absent.

(c) His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, advised that during the recess he had spoken with Mrs. Wenda Erickson and had reached an agreement regarding the course of action that would be appropriate to be taken regarding this matter. His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, then advised Council of his discussion with Mrs. Erickson.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT this matter be **REFERRED** to the Municipal Manager to determine if there is an appropriate piece of municipal property or land that the municipality can sell or lease to the Life Line Society."

## CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Following the adoption of the aforementioned motion Mrs. Erickson advised that it was no longer necessary for her to appear before Council as a delegation this evening.

(d) Mr. Kari E. Lasanen, Unit 52, 3260 E. 58th Avenue, Vancouver, then addressed the members of Council on behalf of the members of the Burnaby Civic Employee's Union - CUPE Local 23. The text of Mr. Lasanen's presentation to Council this evening is contained hereunder:

"You have had before, for approximately the last two (2) weeks, a report titled 'Strategic Plan for Information Management'. This is a very lengthy report (190 pages) containing many recommendations and rationale for those recommendations. It is, in fact, due to the size and complexity of the report that the union requested additional time to study the report so as to better identify and isolate the concerns we may have.

Before getting into the specifics of the report, we would like to take this opportunity to make some general observations and comments.

First of all, we would like to point out that even though the report avoids use of the term 'Technological Change', this is what the report is dealing with. We will be using the term 'Technological Change' when referring to the subject matter of the report we are discussing in this brief.

Secondly, we would like to say that we are not opposed to technological change, provided it is implemented with sensitivity and concern and under controlled conditions. We understand that in order for progress to continue, technological change will have to be implemented, or we would still be commuting by horse and buggy. But, our concerns must be considered. If the employees are given an opportunity to express their concerns, and they see these concerns being dealt with, then the technological changes can be implemented with little resistance and anxiety. If, on the other hand, technological change is forced on the employees, without regard for their feelings or concerns, then their natural reaction is going to be one of resistance. They are going to fight it, and when you look at the number of problems the implementation of the report is going to raise, you sure don't need any more problems.

The last point we would like to make before dealing specifically with the report is a question that was brought before you in a letter from this union dated April 27, 1984. The letter references page 48 of the report where the implication is that privatization, or 'Contracting Out' as it is more commonly known, would achieve greater productivity and reduce costs. The question of contracting out is of great concern to the union, but we feel we do not want to cloud the issue of technological change with an issue that must be dealt with on its own merits. We therefore reserve the right to discuss 'Contracting Out' at a later date.

The only other comment we have on this subject at this time is in the way of suggestion. You might consider 'Contracting In' some work to more fully utilize the proposed new equipment.

Now for the report.

Initially, we would like to say that we have some concerns with areas that have been omitted or not discussed. There are also some issues that have only been touched on or left as 'grey' areas.

One of the more serious omissions we have found is in the area of ergonomics. The reason we see this as a serious omission is because of the expense involved in ergonomic considerations. It's going to cost money to have ergonomically sound terminals and the report makes no allowance for this financial obligation (it could be a considerable cost).

Then, if we look at page 16 and then again on page 22, and one more time on page 136, the report clearly states that no allowance has been made for the cost of renovations. The reason we point out these statements is not so much by way of concern, but rather by way of question. We would assume that it would be essential for all financial implications to be clearly stated, particularly in these times of financial restraint, before an intelligent decision on an expenditure of this magnitude can be contemplated. We would like to know why not one but two significant cost items have been omitted in this report. We had mentioned earlier that we had some concerns with 'grey areas'. These are areas where the meaning isn't clear or leaves a question. An example of this can be found on page 20. The report states that a number of sensitivities will need to be dealt with in terms of people, but it doesn't say what those sensitivities are. Then the report continues by stating that no cause for alarm on the part of individuals can be seen, but then it attempts to qualify the statement by placing a condition on the individuals. We are confused by these statements and would appreciate a more definitive statement with respect to these issues.

Other examples of 'grey' areas can be found on page 28 and on page 133. On page 28 the report states that minor disruption and dislocation will occur, but there is no indication of what 'minor' disruption means. We would also hope that dislocation refers to dislocation within the organization and not dislocation onto unemployment lines.

On page 133 the report states that a new Human Resources Management System would obviate the need for the existing payroll system. What is not stated is how this change is going to impact on the staff that is currently working in the payroll section.

The examples of areas of concern we have articulated in this brief in no way cover all the areas of concern the union has, but rather, we hope, gives an indication of the number of problems that are going to have to be identified and overcome for the implementation of this technological change to come about as smoothly as possible. As we stated earlier, we are not opposed to technological change. We would point out that technology in itself is an inanimate tool. It is neither good nor bad. The benefit or harm it brings is dependent on how people employ it. Technological advance does not have to result in stultifying the work environment. Depending on the values people bring to the workplace and the structures set up to deal with work issues, technology, including microelectronics, can be life enhancing or life diminishing for employees. The new technology promises to bring enormous gains in efficiency and productivity to the municipality. Decision makers must be receptive to social and human considerations. It is for these reasons that the union would suggest the formation of a Joint Labour-Management Committee charged with the task of developing plans to resolve questions of ergonomics, health and safety issues, 'people' considerations, job security, and any other areas that are going to have an affect on the employees. This request is not made in isolation. The Federation Government Task Force on Technological Change recommends the establishment of a joint committee. The Provincial Government, through Ministry of Labour Publications, recommends the establishment of a joint committee. We think it would be foolish to not consider this recommendation when the evidence is so overwhelmingly in favour of having this type of problem solving in place prior to the problems arising.

On behalf of the Burnaby Civic Employees Union, CUPE Local 23, I would like to thank you for giving us the opportunity to air our concerns on the subject of technological change and to ask for your serious consideration of the attached recommendations. We hope that together, we can make this thing work for the mutual benefit of all concerned.

Again, thank you."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the delegation's submission be **RECEIVED** and **REFERRED** to the Director Administrative and Community Services for consideration and report upon the signing of a collective agreement between the Union and the Corporation of Burnaby."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT Item 12, Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07 be brought forward for consideration at this time."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# 12. Discussions with CUPE Local 23 Respecting the Introduction of a Management Information System

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Administrative and Community Services providing details of a meeting held between the Director and the Burnaby Civic Employees Union whereat the issues were discussed respecting the introduction of a Management Information System.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following Manager's Report Item was tabled at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 30 in order to permit the Burnaby Civic Employees Union Local 23 an opportunity to prepare a brief for submission to Council respecting this matter.

Therefore now having received the submission from the Burnaby Civic Employees Union it was appropriate for Council to consider lifting this report item from the table.

At the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 24 Council REFERRED Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 31, 1984 April 24, to a meeting of Council sitting as a Committee of the Whole to be held on Monday, 1984 April 30 at 16:00 h.

The meeting of Council sitting as a Committee of the Whole was held and concluded prior to the commencement of this evening's regular Council Meeting.

Therefore, it was appropriate for Council to consider the recommendations contained in Item 16.

16. Management Information System

The Municipal Manager submitted a report the purpose of which is to present for general approval the "Strategic Plan for Information Management" as developed by Mr. Robert Liley, Robert Liley & Associates, and to obtain specific authority to implement the major recommendations contained in the report.

Additional material respecting this matter was distributed to Council under separate cover.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the Strategic Plan for Information Management as prepared by Mr. Robert Liley receive general approval; and

- (2) THAT an Information Services function with staffing as outlined in the Strategic Plan for Information Management and reporting to the Director Administrative and Community Services be established, with this function being responsible for all information management activities within the Corporation; and
- (3) THAT the Engineering and Finance computing equipment be consolidated under this Information Services function; and
- (4) THAT a Request for Proposal be issued in accordance with the Strategic Plan for Information Management to selected computer vendors for computer equipment to replace the existing Finance computer equipment; and
- (5) THAT the existing Engineering computer be upgraded from a DEC PDP 11/70 computer to a DEC VAX 11/750 computer along with an upgrade in the Synercom mapping software; and
- (6) THAT a Human Resources Management system for Payroll and Personnel and a General Accounting/Budgetting/Accounts Payable packaged system be selected and implemented; and
- (7) THAT Mr. Robert Liley, Robert Liley & Associates be engaged to assist as outlined in this report; and
- (8) THAT the Strategic Plan for Information Management be reviewed annually and its requirements included in the annual budgetting process.
- (9) THAT a Tax Sales Monies Bylaw be brought down to appropriate \$2,500,000.00 for the purchase of computer equipment.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 31, 1984 April 24, be now TABLED."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 31, 1984 April 34, be now LIFTED from the table."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The motion as moved by Alderman Stusiak and seconded by Alderman Brown at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 24 being; "THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted", was now before the meeting.

A vote was then taken on the motion as moved by Alderman Stusiak and seconded by Alderman Brown at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 24 being, "THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted," and SAME was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(e) Mr. Gordon Gibson (Jr.) then addressed the members of Council on behalf of the property owners of property located at 6255 Kingsway. The text of Mr. Gibson's presentation is contained hereunder:

"I thank you sir and members of Council for this opportunity to appear with respect to property located at 6255 Kingsway at the corner of Gilley Avenue, and perhaps better identified as currently occupied by Lindal Cedar Homes. I speak on behalf of and with the authority of the land owners who are the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation Pension Fund who have owned the property for many years. The interest of my company which is Gibson Clark Properties is to develop the site jointly with the land owners and at their request.

Through our architects, Urban Design Associates, we applied for a Preliminary Plan Approval in late March and the Planning Department reported to Council on April 16 recommending not an approval but, in fact, a rezoning of the property from C4 to RM3. Our architect was advised by an official in Planning the previous Friday, according to my understanding, that a report was going forward but no mention was made to us of the rezoning proposal, so this came as a complete surprise to us, therefore, we very much appreciated the decision of Council on April 16 to table the matter and thereby give consideration for a representative of the owners to put their case before putting the rezoning process in motion.

The purpose of my appearing tonight is to request that the matter be lifted from the table and to request further that Council give approval for the owners to proceed under existing zoning. We would respectfully disagree with the perspective of the Planning Department as set forward in this report in two or three respects. Firstly, the neighbourhood service concept we envisage can, we believe, be fairly characterized as an interim use. As a matter of fact I would expect the hard costs, the construction costs on the project, to be no more than in the neighbourhood of about \$175,000. The adjoining motel, which as the Planning report notes, could be developed by itself and is in reasonable repair as are the two houses to the north. The building we propose would be simple and not of a cost to inhibit redevelopment of the surrounding territory when and if the market dictates.

With respect to the market, in our view, the traffic location is very heavy for residential use while I appreciate a new wood frame development is underway down the block, and we wish to owners the well, there are vacancies in the area and many of the federal programs under which new construction might be commenced have now elapsed.

Thirdly, we should advise and have been asked to advise by the pension fund owners that they have no interest in consolidating the property with other properties in the area. I would also refer to the site currently in use as a 7-Eleven Store at Royal Oak and Kingsway which I am advised constitutes a very direct precedent to this particular parcel in terms of development as neighbourhood service within a residentially planned location.

In summary, I would submit that the owners of the land would wish development to go ahead under the existing zoning, that the resulting development will be an improvement in value and appearance from the present use pending economic changes in the area which may or may not appear within the foreseeable future. I respectfully request Council to take the necessary actions to result in an approval of the P.P.A. and lead to the issue of a building permit conforming to the existing zoning.

Thank you."

Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 29, 1984 April 16 was tabled to provide an opportunity for the applicant of Preliminary Plan Approval Reference No. 7234 to appear before Council. Therefore, now having heard the applicant as a delegation this evening it was appropriate for Council to consider lifting the following report item from the table. 11. Proposed C4 Commercial Development Lot 1 and 2, D.L. 97, Plan 7570 6255 Kingsway PPA Reference #7234

> The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection advising that the Planning and Building Inspection Department is in receipt of a Preliminary Plan Approval application for the development of a single storey service commercial facility at 6255 Kingsway. Council consideration of this application is warranted at this time since the development proposed does not conform to the designated land use as outlined in Area "N" of the Apartment Study.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council withhold the proposed C4 commercial development of the subject site.
- (2) THAT a rezoning bylaw amendment be prepared and advanced to first reading on 1984 April 30 and to a Public Hearing on 1984 May 15 at 19:30 h in order to rezone the following properties:

Legal: Lot 1 of Lot 6, Blk. 1, D.L. 97, Plan 7570 Address: 6255 Kingsway From: C4 Service Commercial District

To: RMB Multiple Family Residential District

Legal: Lot 6 N 69.15 ft., Blk. 1 & 2, D.L. 97, Plan 3425 except. pt. on Plan 30078

N 138.3 ft. of Lot 6, Blk. 1 & 2, D.L. 97, Plan 3425 except N 69.15 ft. Address: 6937 and 6953 Gilley Avenue

From:R5 Residential DistrictTo:RM3 Multiple Family Residential District

(3) THAT a copy of this report be sent to the owners of the foregoing properties.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 29, 1984 April 16, be now TABLED."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 29, 1984 April 16, be now LIFTED from the table."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The motion as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman McLean at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 16 being; "THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted," was now before the meeting.

A vote was the taken on the motion as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman McLean at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 16 being; "THAT the recommendatons of the Municipal Manager be adopted," and same was **DEFEATED** with Mayor Lewarne and Aldermen Brown, Drummond, Emmott, Lawson, McLean, Rankin and Stusiak OPPOSED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Council permit the application for construction, being Preliminary Plan Approval Reference No. 7234, to proceed and that approval of the Preliminary Plan be given once the Plan is adequate."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# BYLAWS

# CONSIDERATION AND THIRD READINGS:

#8158	7050, 7092 Broadway, 2627, 2647 Bainbridge Avenue	RZ #60/83
#8161	1080 Cliff Avenue	RZ #74/83

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 1984' #8158

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 5, 1984' #8161."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the bylaws complete."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMAN DRUMMOND TO BYLAW #8161

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMAN DRUMMOND TO BYLAW #8161

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 1984' #8158

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 5, 1984' #8161

be now read a third time."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMAN DRUMMOND TO BYLAW #8161

# RECONSIDERATION AND FINAL ADOPTION:

#7930	5244 Victory Street	RZ #20/82
#8162	7172 Barnet Road	RZ #75/83

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 35, 1982'	<b>#79</b> 30
'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 6, 1984'	#8162
'Burnaby Budget Authorization Bylaw 1984'	#8204
'Burnaby Rates Bylaw 1984'	#8205
'Burnaby Capital Expenditure Program Bylaw 1984'	#8206

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the Director Finance be requested to bring forward a report providing the residential component for taxation purposes split into two (2) classes being (i) single family dwellings and (ii) multiple family residences and; further, that the report provide the Actual and Taxable Assessment Comparisons for selected municipalities as has been done in previous years."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07 which pertain thereto be brought forward for consideration at this time."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

 (a) Eileen Dailly, M.L.A., Burnaby North, 1984 April 24, Re: Forest Grove Elementary School.

> A letter dated 1984 April 24 was received enclosing for the information of Council a copy of the 1984 March 13 Hansard which concerned education estimates with regard to the funding of Forest Grove School.

(b) British Columbia Coalition of the Disabled, President, British Columbians for Mentally Handicapped People, Chairperson, B.C. Coalition of the Disabled, 1984 April 21, Re: Human Rights Legislation.

> A letter dated 1984 April 21 was received expressing the grave concern of the British Columbia Coalition of the Disabled about the future of human rights in British Columbia and the consultative process between government and the people of B.C. The writer enclosed an analysis of Bill 11 and a copy of the British Columbia Coalition of the Disabled's brief on this subject. The writer asked that Council read the brief, carefully consider its recommendations, and take whatever measures Council think are appropriate to ensure that the people of British Columbia have good human rights protection.

## MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT debate and final approval of Bill 11 be suspended until the public community organizations and all interested parties have been given the opportunity to provide input and make recommendations to the Provincial Government on the proposed legislation; and further

THAT a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Premier and the Minister of Labour."

FOR: ALDERMEN DRUMMOND, LAWSON, MCLEAN, AND RANKIN OPPOSED: MAYOR LEWARNE, ALDERMEN BROWN, EMMOTT AND STUSIAK

The votes as recorded being equal both for and against the question, the question was declared negatived and LOST.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT this Council Meeting do now proceed past 22:30 h (10:30 p.m.)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(c) Century Park Museum Association, President, 1984 April 27, Re: Progress on planning to establish boundaries for the expansion of Heritage Village.

> A letter dated 1984 April 27 was received advising that at a meeting of the Board of Directors for Century Park Museum Association on 1984 April 24 a considerable discussion was held, and frustration expressed by the Directors owing to the lack of progress being made on expansion plans for Heritage Village. The writer advised that the Board of Directors of the Century Park Museum Association strongly urge Municipal Council to take all the necessary steps to expedite a successful conclusion to this problem.

Item 03, Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07 was brought forward for consideration at this time.

3. Letter from Stan Fisher, President, Century Park Museum Association, c/o 4900 Deer Lake Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. Progress on Planning to Establish Boundaries for the Expansion of Heritage Village.

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection written in response to the letter from the President of the Century Park Museum Association. The purpose of the report was to provide Council with a progress report in the context of the issues raised in the letter.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT a copy of this report be forwarded to Mr. S. Fisher, President, Century Park Museum Association.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

 (d) Royal Canadian Air Cadets, 759 Burnaby Squadron, Administration Officer, 1984 April 19, Re: Request permission to hold Tag Day - Thursday, Friday, 1984 May 24, 25.

A letter dated 1984 April 19 was received requesting Council's permission to allow the Royal Canadian Air Cadets, 759 Burnaby Squadron to conduct a fund raising event by way of holding tag days in the North Burnaby area on 1984 May 24 and 25.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

'THAT Council grant permission to the Royal Canadian Air Cadets, 759 Burnaby Squadron, to conduct a fund raising drive by way of holding Tag Days in North Burnaby on Thursday, 1984 May 24 and Friday, May 25."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(e) Gilmore Community School Council, Chairperson, 1984 April 26, Re: First Annual Zucchini Festival -Tuesday, 1984 September 18.

> A letter dated 1984 April 26 was received advising of Gilmore Community School Council's upcoming First Annual Zucchini Festival to be held on Tuesday, 1984 September 18. The writer requested that Council send one representative to act on Council's collective behalf, as a member of the "Official Judging Team".

(f) Province of British Columbia, Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Minister, 1984 April 25, Re: Two cheques -Revenue Sharing Act Regulations and 1984 Unconditional Grant.

A letter dated 1984 April 25 was received enclosing two Province of British Columbia cheques; the first, in the amount of \$30,000.00 representing the Basic Grant under Part I of the Revenue Sharing Act Regulations; the second, in the amount of \$567,012.00 representing the first of nine installments of the 1984 Unconditional Grant as calculated under Part VIII. The remaining eight Unconditional Grant cheques will be issued at regular intervals throughout the balance of the year.

TABLED MATTERS

 (a) Union of British Columbia Municipalities, 1984 March 26, Re: Criminal Document Service.

> The following matter was tabled by Council at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 09 pending the receipt of a report from the Director Administrative and Community Services:

(c) Union of British Columbia Municipalities, 1984 March 26, Re: Criminal Document Service.

> A letter dated 1984 March 26 was received whereby the writer advised that the position of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities is that the Government is expecting too much of the municipalities and property tax payers with respect to the transfer of the criminal document service to police effective 1984 April 01. The administration of justice cannot be jeopardized by the hasty transfer of the criminal document service and they are alarmed by the growing confusion surrounding the transfer of the responsibility, a confusion that is building into a full scale confrontation.

The Union of British Columbia Municipalities supports the speedy resolution of this matter, a resolution that involves provincial recognition of its responsibilities for the cost involved, a resolution of the confusion that the April 01 deadline has precipitated and negotiation of the most efficient and cost effective way to serve criminal documents.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P. record the amount of time spent by its police officers serving provincial criminal documents and that the Provincial Government be billed for this service on a monthly basis."

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the motion as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman Rankin; 'THAT the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P. record the amount of time spent by its police officers serving provincial criminal documents and that the Provincial Government be billed for this service on a monthly basis,' be now TABLED."

> CARRIED OPPOSED:

SED: ALDERMEN DRUMMOND, MCLEAN AND RANKIN

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Item 06, Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Criminal Document Service - Burnaby Detachment RCMP

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Administrative and Community Services including the Officer-In-Charge, Burnaby Detachment's report on Criminal Document Service for the Detachment for the period 1984 April 01 to April 27.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT a copy of this report be sent to the UBCM and Mayor J.E. Loucks, Chairman of the GVRD Executive Committee.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(b) Management Information System

This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 3.(d) under Delegations.

(c) Proposed C4 Commercial Development
 - 6255 Kingsway - P.P.A. #7234

This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 3.(e) under Delegations.

# REPORTS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(a) Mayor W.A. Lewarne, Re: 1984 Summer Council Meetings.

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, submitted a report recommending a course of action to be taken with respect to the 1984 Summer Council Meetings.

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne recommended:

(1) THAT Council Meetings of 1984 July 03, 16, 30 and August 13 and 27 be cancelled.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) Mayor W.A. Lewarne, Re:
  - (1) Solid Waste Incineration Project

    Visit to Europe;

    (2) "Twinning with Loughborough"

    Visit to England

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, submitted a report advising that the G.V.R.D. Chairman, Mayor Don Ross, and Mayor Lewarne as Chairman of the Greater Vancouver Regional District Water and Waste Management Committee have been invited to view operating incincerators in Switzerland and Denmark. These incinerators are of similar size and produce similar amounts of energy to those that are being proposed for the Lower Mainland.

Mayor Lewarne also advised that he intends to take a side trip to Loughborough to discuss further the possibilities of Burnaby "twinning" with Loughborough.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT this report be received for information purposes."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT Council authorize the reimbursement of expenses incurred by Mayor Lewarne in travelling to Loughborough, England to discuss further the possibility of Burnaby twinning with Loughborough."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(c) The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 33, 1984 May 07 on the matters listed following as Items 01 to 14 either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

 Ms. Barbara Gudmundson's Appearance as a Delegation before Council on 1984 January 23 (Item 3a) Learning Disabled

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Medical Health Officer outlining the Burnaby Health Department's programs which provide screening assistance and referral for children with learning disabilities. This report was written in response to an appearance before Council as a delegation of Ms. Barbara Gudmundson on 1984 January 23.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT a copy of this report be forwarded to Ms. B. Gudmundson, #171
 - 8400 Forest Grove Drive, Burnaby, B.C., V5A 4B7.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

 Ms. Barbara Gudmundson's Appearance as a Delegation 1984 January 23 (Item 3a) Learning Disabled

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection advising that the Planning and Building Inspection Department recognizes a growing concern for people with "special needs" such a children with learning disabilities and for social planning in general. The Department pursues social planning as part of the overall responsibility for planning in Burnaby. In addition, the Department has consolidated and focused some staff resources into a social planning decision unit. The decision unit has limited resources, and do to the priority being given to the Residential Neighbourhood Environment Study, is unable to respond to specific issues at this time. In cooperation with other departments and as time permits, the decision unit will be assessing overall municipal needs and priorities for social planning.

This report was written in response to an appearance before Council as a delegation of Ms. Barbara Gudmundson on 1984 January 23.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT a copy of this report be sent to Ms. Barbara Gudmundson.

#### MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Letter from Stan Fisher, President, Century Park Museum Association, c/o 4900 Deer Lake Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. Progress on Planning to Establish Boundaries for the Expansion of Heritage Village

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting conjunction with Item 5.(c) under Correspondence and Petitions.

4. Endorsement of Decisions Taken By Council "In Camera" 1984 April 16

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Municipal Clerk regarding decisions that had been taken at a Caucus Meeting "In Camera" held on 1984 April 16 respecting the granting of a statutory right-of-way to B.C. Transit respecting the rear portion of Remainder 6, Block 30, D.L. 97, Plan 3808, Group 1, NWD (7575 Gilley Avenue).

The Municipal Manager recommended:

 (1) THAT Council endorse at an "open" Council Meeting the decision that has been taken by Council at a Caucus Meeting "In Camera" 1984 April 16 as enumerated in the Municipal Clerk's report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Building Permit Tabulation Report No. 4 - 1984 March 26 to April 22

> The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection attaching report No. 04 - 1984 of Building Permit Tabulation with Revenue/Exenditure Statement for the period from 1984 March 26 to 1984 April 22.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

SEWINDED DI ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Criminal Document Service - Burnaby Detachment RCMP

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 6.(a) under Tabled Matters.

7. Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Programme (RRAP) Site Office Lease

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection providing information on the status of the RRAP Programme, and deals with the renewal of the site lease in Burnaby Heights School. The lease of the RRAP Planning Site Office expires 1984 July 31. The term of the municiality's lease stipulates that the municipality advise the School Board at this time of its intention to exercise the one year renewal option.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the Director Planning and Building Inspection to maintain the RRAP Planning Site Office for one year.
- (2) THAT Council authorize the Municipal Solicitor to exercise the one year option for continued occupancy of the RRAP Planning Site Office from 1984 August 01 to 1985 July 31, and further to negotiate a one year option for the period 1985 August 01 to 1986 July 31.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Closure of Ranelagh Avenue between Capitol Drive and Hastings Street Road Closure #4/76 X-Referenced Rezoning #51/80 and Subdivision #120/80

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection advising that authorization to introduce a Road Closing Bylaw and also to negotiate the sale of the redundant Ranelagh road allowance between Hastings Street and Capitol Drive was given by Council on 1981 January 12. This item was added as a prerequisite to Rezoning Reference #51/80 in order to consolidate for the purpose of developing a suitable site for development of a three storey apartment building.

The developers had subsequently advised that they were not in a position to proceed with the project at that time, thus negotiations did not proceed with respect to the sale price for the redundant road allowance. However, discussions are currently underway to advance this project to completion.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT completion of the Road Closing Bylaw be undertaken in accordance with the provision outlined in the report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Item 08, Municipal Manager's Report No. 33, 1984 May 07, be now TABLED."

CARRIED OPPOSED: ALDERMAN STUSIAK

9. Burnaby Tennis Club's Request to Leave up their Air Support Structure from 1984 May 01 to 1984 June 30

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from Director Recreation and Cultural Services advising that at its meeting of 1984 March 26 Council received a recommendation from the Parks and Recreation Commission that the Tennis Club bubble be left up for the entire summer of 1984. At that time Council referred the matter back to the Commission.

On the instruction of the Commision, staff have subsequently negotiated with the Burnaby Tennis Club and at its meeting of 1984 May 02 the Commission approved the following five recommendations:

THAT the Commission approve the request of the Burnaby Tennis Club to leave up their air support structure from May 01 to June 30 in 1984.

THAT staff be directed to execute the necessary agreements.

THAT the Commission so recommend to Council.

THAT the staff be directed to discuss further with the Tennis Club the most suitable time span for indoor bubble play in future years.

THAT a copy of this report be sent to the Burnaby Tennis Club.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- THAT Council approve the request of the Burnaby Tennis Club to leave up their air support structure from May 01 to June 30 in 1984.
- (2) THAT staff be directed to execute the necessary agreements.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# 10. Fire Department Replacement Program

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director-Fire Services advising that a recent discussion with the Finance Department has resulted in the adoption of a new service life formula for the replacement of Fire Department operational vehicles. As a result permission is therefore requested to purchase replacement vehicles for Units 7011 and 7012 in 1984.

The 1984 Capital Budget currently reflects the replacement of Unit 7011 but not 7012. The budget will be amended at Recast time to also refelct the replacement of Unit 7012. Adequate funds are available in the Reserve for both.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- THAT Council authorize the purchase of two (2) Aerial Ladder Trucks in 1984. Projected date of delivery 1986.
- (2) THAT the authority to purchase two (2) Aerial Ladder Trucks be subject to a combined estimated savings of approximately \$60,000.00 when compared to the single unit price obtained by tender.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Proposed Acquisition of Texaco Property for Development of Barnet Marine Park

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 3.(a) under Delegations.

12. Discussions with CUPE Local 23 Respecting the Introduction of a Management Information System

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 3.(d) under Delegations.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

NEW BUSINESS

### Mayor Lewarne:

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, advised that in accordance with the authority granted to the Mayor under Section 240(1) of the Municipal Act he was returning for reconsideration the resolution as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman McLean at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 09, "THAT Council receive Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 27, 1984 April 09, for information purposes."

The aforementioned motion was now before Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the motion as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman McLean at the regular Council Meeting held on 1984 April 09, being "THAT Council receive Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 27, 1984 April 09, for information purposes," be now TABLED."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# ENQUIRIES

Alderman Brown:

Alderman Brown advised that it was noted in the Burnaby Now newspaper that Chevron Canada Limited have applied for a licence to dump 600 metric tonnes of petroleum sludge 100 feet east of Confederation Park. Alderman Brown requested further information be supplied respecting this matter.

Alderman Drummond also expressed a number of concerns with respect to the disposal of this petroleum product.

# MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANKIN:

"THAT staff bring forward a report responding to the concerns expressed by Council this evening concerning the disposal of petroleum sludge."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Taxi Cab Service

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Chief Licence Inspector advising that the Provincial Motor Carrier Commission proposes to conduct a public forum to examine the manner in which taxi cabs are licenced to serve the Lower Mainland area. This forum will not specifically address Burnaby's position, rather it approaches the issue from a regional concept for cab service. We have interests and concerns which we believe could be better addressed on a regional basis. Therefore, we are recommending that our concerns and the feasibility of regional cab service be examined by the G.V.R.D., and that M.C.C. work with the G.V.R.D. to ensure that there is uniformity and harmony between Provincial and local government regulations that may evolve from that process.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT prior to the Provincial Motor Carrier Commission implementing changes to the existing Provincial cab licencing regulations that the Commission work with the Greater Vancouver Regional Ditrict to examine the issue of regional taxi service for the G.V.R.D. and to ensure that common goals are met, and that there is uniformity and harmony between M.C.C. regulations and local government bylaws.
- (2) THAT a copy of this report be forwarded to the Provincial Motor Carrier Commission for the 1984 May 14 forum.
- (3) THAT a copy of this report be forwarded to the G.V.R.D. to examine our concerns.

#### MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

14. Lease of Burnaby Lake Lands in Burnaby Lake Sports Complex Development Plan Area

The Municipal Manager submitted a report from the Director Planning and Building Inspection advising that a response to the Corporation's proposal to lease land in the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex has been received and assessed by staff. The report outlines the terms of developer's lease proposal for the land in conjunction with a proposed Family Fitness Park and recommends acceptance with the precise terms to be worked out by the Municipal Solicitor prior to final approval.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the municipality accept the offer submitted by Burnaby Lake Management Ltd. to enter into a lease agreement as represented in that Company's Land Lease Proposal dated 1984 March 19 as amended.
- (2) THAT the appropriate registrable lease agreement be drawn and submitted to Council for approval in final form.
- (3) THAT a licence be granted to enable the prospective Lessee to enter upon that portion of the lands lying north of the sanitary sewer line easement upon satisfaction of the terms set out in Section 4.5 of this report.
- (4) THAT authority be given for the issuance of Notice to Vacate under the Residential Tenancy Act to the tenant of the municipally owned houses within the proposed development site.
- (5) THAT a copy of this report be sent to Mr. D. Kirk, Burnaby Lake Management Limited, 5723 Mayview Circle, Burnaby, B.C., V5G 4B7.

# Alderman Rankin:

Alderman Rankin advised that he was informed that the Minister of Municipal Affairs intended to audit certain municipal accounts to see if the municipality is collecting more than it ought to. Alderman Rankin indicated that he had only received this information second hand and enquired as to whether the municipality has received any formal correspondence from the Minister of Municipal Affairs respecting this matter.

In response to Alderman Rankin's enquiry the Acting Municipal Manager, Mr. D. Gaunt, advised that the municipality has not received any communication from the Minister of Municipal Affairs respecting this matter.

### Alderman Stusiak:

Alderman Stusiak advised that he had received a phone call from a citizen advising that the transit pay zone boundary at the Lougheed Town Centre has been moved to Gaglardi Way. The result of this is to place the citizens of Burnaby residing east of Gaglardi Way into another transit pay zone.

In response to Alderman Stusiak's enquiry His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, advised that the matter will be reconsidered on 1984 May 11 at the Greater Vancouver Regional District Transit Commission meeting.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT this regular Council Meeting do now adjourn."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The regular Council Meeting adjourned at 23:20 h.

Confirmed:

ACTING MAYOR

DEPUTY /MUNICIPAL CLERK

Certified Correct: