

1982 JUNE 21

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. on Monday, 1982 June 21 at 19:00 h.

PRESENT: Mayor W.A. Lewarne, In the Chair
Alderman D.N. Brown
Alderman T.W. Constable
Alderman D.P. Drummond
Alderman A.H. Emmott
Alderman D.A. Lawson
Alderman G.H.F. McLean
Alderman E. Nikolai
Alderman V.V. Stusiak

STAFF: Mr. D. Gaunt, Acting Municipal Manager and
Director Recreation & Cultural Services
Mr. E.E. Olson, Director Engineering
Mr. J.E. Fleming, Director Administrative & Community Services
Mr. D.G. Stenson, Assistant Director - Current Planning
Mr. J.G. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager
Mr. James Hudson, Municipal Clerk
Mr. B.D. Leche, Deputy Municipal Clerk

M I N U T E S

The minutes of the regular Council Meeting and Caucus Meeting "In Camera" held on 1982 June 14 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the minutes of the regular Council Meeting and Caucus Meeting 'In Camera' held on 1982 June 14 be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D E L E G A T I O N S

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (a) MacKay & Dwor, Mark S. Dwor, 1982 June 14,
Re: Adult Entertainment Licencing
Spokesman - Mark S. Dwor
- (b) Janet Maniago, Dino Maniago, 1982 June 14,
Re: Objections to proposed change in
zoning for 3562 and 3588 Smith Avenue
Spokesperson - Janet Maniago
- (c) Larry Van Hatten, 1982 June 16,
Re: Joffre Avenue Commuter Traffic Problem
Spokesman - Larry Van Hatten
- (d) Rosemary Rawnsley, 1982 June 16,
Re: Role of Municipal Committees -
specifically Traffic Safety Committee
Spokesperson - Rosemary Rawnsley
- (e) T.B. Barnes, 1982 June 16,
Re: Possible closure of Joffre Avenue to local traffic
Spokesman - T.B. Barnes
- (f) A.J. Vidler, 1982 June 07,
Re: Basement suites - 4442/44 Imperial Street
Spokesman - A.J. Vidler

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the delegations be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Mr. Mark S. Dwor then addressed Council on the subject of adult entertainment licencing. The following is the substance of Mr. Dwor's submission:

"I wish to thank you for allowing me to speak, it is not often that I am asked to speak before Council asking that there be restrictions placed on the nature of business that my clients are carrying on. We wrote to Mr. Kenzie, the Licence Inspector, some time ago and asked that he review the policies regarding what the City of Vancouver has enacted, called an 'Adult Entertainment Licence Bylaw'. In effect, that bylaw states that if you have a store that sells certain goods, certain types of merchandise, that they have defined quite broadly as adult entertainment, then you would be under certain restrictions, the most important one being that you do not advertise the wares to the public in the sense that people who walk by can't see what you are selling and also no-one under the age of nineteen is allowed in the premises. This happens to be the way, as I understand it, that Red Hot Video is conducting its business in Burnaby and I have been asked by Red Hot Video to come to Council and ask that you impose these restrictions on all types of businesses in Burnaby that carry on business this way. Our concern is, basically, that there are a large number of video stores in this city and similar stores that sell sexual paraphernalia, such as the Love Shop, that are selling what I have to call dirty video tapes for want of a better word, to anyone who walks in the door, there is no control over it. What we decided to do was to say if we are going to be in the marketplace, then the market place ought to be controlled and we feel these restrictions are adequate to the buying public and they certainly are adequate for the commercial purposes that my clients are carrying on. We think that the City of Vancouver looked at this matter in great detail and came up with a good way to control it. These restrictions are adequate and they reflect the community standards, that is, we do not want people under a certain age having access to these goods. Certainly if one were to walk into Red Hot Video one would know exactly what one was getting and you wouldn't find a Walt Disney movie in there and we are concerned that when people walk into a regular video store, I won't name any, and ask for a video tape that they would be able to buy it under the counter. We have also found, because we are possibly the most policed stores in the province right now, we are always being looked at by the local constabulary, that the nature of the goods that are being sold in Red Hot Video is much more highly controlled because of these restrictions than in a regular video store because the police don't always walk in the regular stores to check what is under the counter. So from our point of view, and we believe from the point of view of your community, the best way to control this is to specify that if you are going to sell this type of merchandise you must ask for it when you apply for your licence, have a special kind of licence, and that makes control and the nature of control much easier to live with in the marketplace. I think that is the basis of my presentation, Mr. Mayor."

- (b) Mrs. Janet Maniago then addressed Council regarding her objections to the proposed change in zoning for 3562 and 3588 Smith Avenue. The following is the substance of Mrs. Maniago's submission:

"I would like to reply to the Manager's Report No. 37 for the Council Meeting held May 31st last, item by item and since this proposal not only affects 3562/3588 but also 3526, we have combined our report and I would like to speak on behalf of all three owners affected and have been authorized to do so by them.

Under the item 'Summary' at the top of page 2, it is stated and I quote, 'From the planning point of view, the inclusion of the two parcels in the park would be an effective means to increase the size, shape and usefulness of the existing park in order to serve the demand created by the apartment redevelopment'.

1982 June 21

We would like to know why our property should be used and I quote again, 'to serve the demand created by the apartment redevelopment'. If the area designated for apartment redevelopment needs parkland, we think it only fair that they provide the needed land, not us. If the Planning Department feels they need more park in the area, then the land should come from the redevelopment area to the east of the park, namely the former drive-in theatre land because it is obviously to serve their needs, not ours. When the apartment block at 3526 was constructed, numerous facilities for the tenants were included, such as tennis court, swimming pool, rec-room, games room, etc. and we did not need to rely on taking someone else's property in order to and I quote again, 'serve the demand created by the apartment redevelopment'. 3526 is an adult block with no children. There are a number of elderly people and shift workers from Burnaby General Hospital, and they do not need a playing field outside their bedroom windows. Besides, playing fields should be located near schools, not adult apartments, in order to be put to their best use.

Under the item 'Background: History of the Subject Site', the report states 'in 1966 and in 1969 the Apartment Area I study map illustrated these two lots being included in the expanded Avondale Park' (refer to attached sketch 2). I might add, that the first time I ever saw sketch 2 was when you sent it with your letter of 1982 June 01.

I would point out that this sketch was done in 1969 April, yet it was 1969 January that we first approached the Planning Department with our plan to develop these properties and have the lane between 3526 and 3562 cancelled out. I have a letter on file from the Planning Department stating, and I quote, 'Council, on 1969 July 07 approved in principle the abandonment of the lane, etc. The Land Agent has looked at this property and has placed a value on it of \$6,000 if the Hydro line is removed, or \$3,000 if the line is retained on a 20-foot wide easement'. I attach copies of these letters to this report, since the Manager's Report goes on to say that it is only, and I quote, 'plausible that redevelopment under the existing RM2 zoning was discussed with the Planning Department in 1969'.

After this approval in principle was granted, we subsequently retained Mr. Bruno Freschi, Architect, to do a feasibility study on the amalgamation of 3526, 3562 and 3588 and he completed his report in March of 1976. During that time Mr. Freschi also had correspondence with your Planning Department. I am leaving a copy of Mr. Freschi's feasibility study, together with some of the pertinent correspondence here for your information and would appreciate it if you would peruse same.

Concerning the reduction in value of our property, I would like to point out that I don't know of anyone who would buy property that has been zoned 'Parkland', other than the municipality and I have been given to understand that there are no funds set aside for such purchases at this time, so what am I supposed to do, subsidize 3562 for another ten or fifteen years until the municipality gets around to buying it and what guarantee do I have of getting its value. These three properties are worth far more under an amalgamation scheme than they are separately. It will not only affect the value of 3562 and 3588, but also 3526 since, as I have mentioned before, this is an adult block catering to elderly tenants and shift workers, some of whom have been tenants in the building since its construction. It would not be fair to ask them to put up with a noisy playing field outside their windows and I am sure they would be forced to find accommodation elsewhere. Since the block was built to cater to this market, where would the new tenants come from. They too would soon tire of the noise and move, resulting in a large turnover in contrast to the long term tenants we now enjoy.

The report further states that the owner of 3588 has not publicly voiced an opinion. They have now done so by way of a letter to the Mayor and Council, dated 1982 June 15, and are also against this scheme to rezone their property to parkland.

Again, the report says and I quote, 'In light of the large population increase projected for this area, the provision of additional useable parkland should be considered important in the long term. In spite of the proposed population increase in the Community Plan 8 area, the

1982 June 21

addition of these two lots to Avondale Park is the only proposed expansion to the parkland in the plan area'. So, in other words, we are being asked to provide parkland for all new developments in this planning area and we feel that this is grossly unfair.

In summary, we would like to go on record as being in favour of the proposed changes in zoning, with the exception of 3562 and 3588, which we feel would better serve the community by also being changed to RM3 to conform with the proposed change of zoning for 3526 from RM2 to RM3."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Item 8, Municipal Manager's Report No. 41, 1982, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following is the recommendation contained in that report:

- (1) THAT Council approve the inclusion of 3562 Smith Avenue, N½ Lot C, Block 6, D.L. 68, Plan 11375 and 3588 Smith Avenue, Lot 113, Block 6, D.L. 68, Plan 24910 in the Park Acquisition Program.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT further consideration of this matter be tabled."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (c) Mr. Larry Van Hatten, 8110 Joffre Avenue, then addressed Council on the subject of commuter traffic on Joffre Avenue.

Mr. Van Hatten noted that he had appeared as a delegation before Council on June 14 on this same subject. He had also written a letter to each member of Council reviewing the facts that he has presented to Council as a delegation.

Mr. Van Hatten offered to answer any further questions members of Council might have on this subject and trusted that the information he has supplied will aid Council in making its decision on this matter. He hoped to see recommendation number 3, page 2, Burnaby Engineering Report of 1982 May 10, which was passed by the Burnaby Traffic Safety Committee on 1982 May 18 adopted by the Municipal Council this evening.

- (d) Rosemary Rawnsley then addressed Council on the role of municipal committees, specifically the Traffic Safety Committee. The following is the substance of Mrs. Rawnsley's submission:

"My name is Rosemary Rawnsley and I live at 6288 Service Street. I am currently serving a fifth year on the Traffic Safety Committee and have come tonight to ask you for clarification on your attitude towards the role of that Committee. Concerns I bring with me are not my own alone, but are shared by several other Committee members.

Perhaps I could briefly outline my understanding of the need for and functioning of municipal committees. These committees are in place, I believe, to act in a supportive and advisory capacity to the Municipal Council. The large number of concerns of a municipality this size could not be handled on an individual basis if only the members of Council were dealing with them. The time required would be horrendous. Appointing committees with specific areas of concern streamlines the process. The committees make recommendations to Council. I would like to emphasize that we are fully aware that Council has the right to approve or reject those recommendations. However, it would seem to me, then, that Council also has an obligation to examine the recommendations of a committee and to understand fully the process by which the committee reached its decision,

1982 June 21

and the rationale behind the recommendation. Here the aldermen who sit on the committee play an important part, for they can interpret to the other Council members how the recommendation was formed. The Chairman, in particular, must be prepared, whatever his feelings on the issue, to present to Council members a clear picture of the committee's stand. For Council members to bypass this process is to make the work done by the committee seem spurious. To forego the evaluation of a proposal or to reject or change a recommendation without understanding the reasoning behind it is tantamount to a vote of non-confidence in the work that the committee has done.

I am concerned that that kind of judgement is being placed on some of the recommendations made in this Council. The issue you have heard tonight, Joffre Avenue, is an example. On 1982 May 18 a majority of the Traffic Safety Committee, including two aldermen, voted to recommend to Council the alteration of the intersection and the imposition of turning restrictions on a trial basis. Council on May 25 unanimously voted to accept an amendment that would see the alteration of the intersection only. It is my understanding that this change was agreed to by some members of Council prior to your meeting, precluding your choice having been made on an honest examination of the committee's reasoning. What has happened tonight should have happened on May 25.

Just in terms of time commitment alone, I think the committee's recommendations deserved more attention than that. The Joffre Avenue issue first came before the committee in March. Members listened to delegations twice, had staff report on the alternative, visited the location to see firsthand what the situation was, fielded numerous phone calls from concerned residents and discussed the issue at the meeting table before the decision to recommend a trial of the residents' proposal. This represents a voluntary time commitment of many hours just on this one issue. To have Council reject the recommendations without consideration is to make a mockery of that commitment. In the years that I have served on the committee I have seen the number of traffic related concerns in Burnaby grow rapidly. Traffic Safety Meetings have longer agendas, more delegations, and not many cut and dried issues with which to deal. There is no indication this situation will lessen, more likely it will increase. This will require a greater commitment in time and effort by committee members. It is essential, then, that these people who are volunteering their services to the community feel that their work is seen to be important and worthwhile and that their proposals will be seriously considered. I find it a grave situation as well to hear from people who have been in the Council Chambers or watched the proceedings on television that there have been remarks made here in public that reflect on the Traffic Safety Committee and its decisions. The most blatant example of this would be a statement by the Chairman of the Traffic Safety Committee which was anything but a positive picture of the make-up and decision making abilities of the members of the committee. If you as Council members have doubts about the representation on the committee, I would assume you would bring those concerns to the Mayor so that any changes necessary to making this a strong, viable group, able to deal with the many issues brought before it could be made.

It is my belief that municipal committees and Council should be working together to facilitate the community's business. It should not be a we/they situation. I think the kind of concerns that I have brought to you tonight create that kind of position. I have no control over what you will do with the information I have brought you. However, I would like to think that you would use it as an evaluation focus for you as individuals and for Council as a whole in relationship to our committee. Perhaps, this is a concern that extends to other municipal committees as well. I can only speak from my experience with one.

Each year you host an appreciation dinner for people who have served the municipality. It is a very nice affair and enjoyed by everyone. However, I can't help but feel, certainly to me, that it would be much more meaningful to have the recognition shown through an ongoing responsible and respected appreciation of the time and effort people put into their decisions and proposals to you."

- (e) Mr. T.B. Barnes, 3717 Banting Place, then addressed Council on the possible closure of Joffre Avenue to local traffic. The following is the substance of Mr. Barnes' submission:

"I am appearing before Council on behalf of the Banting Place Concerned Citizens regarding the proposed closure of Joffre Avenue. We all, Council, Joffre residents and Banting Place residents, realize the problem of traffic on Joffre. However, this is not just Joffre's problem. It is a problem of all residents of Burnaby. Just ask the residents in northwest Burnaby about commuter traffic in their neighbourhoods. Closure of Joffre is only a 'band-aid' measure - it is not a solution. Council, I am sure, must realize that shifting the traffic from Joffre to some other street will not cure the problem.

Banting Place is a small cul-de-sac that the municipality approved when it was developed. Since this approval, access to Banting has been altered drastically by the municipality - not at Banting Place's request. Because of these changes our access has been severely restricted already. Council members may remember that at that time we were told we would have Joffre as a northward route rather than build us a northward route as we requested. If Joffre is closed to us then emergency vehicles must also travel even greater distances to reach us or transport us to hospital.

A neighbourhood is defined by the Transportation Policies for Burnaby book as a 'residential area which generally includes elementary schools, local parks and convenience shopping ...'. We, then, must be considered a part of the same neighbourhood as the residents of Joffre, not another entity. As a part of the Joffre neighbourhood we must be considered equal despite our size.

The traffic problems on Joffre are not of our making. They are because of a number of factors. Among others, these include the intersection at Rumble and Boundary inhibiting vision, speeding by motorists using Boundary, Vancouver's closure of Matheson and finally, the supposed orchestration of all changes including the opening of Marine Way at the same time as opening the Marine Drive overpass. This orchestration has not occurred. Because of piecemeal construction, lack of financing to finish Marine Way and changes such as blocking of Matheson by Vancouver, Burnaby citizens are suffering. We were told that Vancouver wanted the Marine Drive overpass opened for transit vehicles. The overpass was opened over six months ago. We are still waiting for the first bus to go over this overpass.

The roads in Burnaby do not belong only to the people whose property abuts them. They are public property, paid for by Burnaby taxpayers who have the right to reasonable access to their homes as well. The Joffre residents do not own Joffre road.

It is unfair if Council or any individual members compare the number of residents on Banting to Joffre. We are part of the same residential neighbourhood. If we are to be compared it must be on a one to one basis as one area conflicting with one other area. Members of this Council cannot take number of residents as a criterion. We must be considered to have an equal voice in Council.

The Engineering Department has recommended against turn restrictions to and from Joffre. We support this view.

At this time I would like to give the Clerk copies of a letter also supporting our point of view. This letter is from the Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee and represents support from its members, who are Burnaby voters.

I would like to add that although many Banting Place residents use the Marine Drive overpass daily, we would rather see it closed to us than Joffre closed to us. Having to cross the rush hour traffic at Greenall to get to Patterson is almost impossible due to the backup because of the right turn to Marine Way.

Banting Place residents support Council in its efforts to have Vancouver install a traffic light at Rumble and Boundary and open Matheson on the Vancouver side. Perhaps closure of the Marine Drive overpass would show Vancouver that Council is serious in its attempts to rectify our problems.

Another possible solution may be to at least slow Joffre traffic by installing barriers down the midline of Joffre to force northward turning cars to slow down. Many of our residents have also almost lost the front ends of their cars while turning out of Joffre.

In closing, we remind Council that we also are Burnaby residents and taxpayers and are merely requesting equal rights and considerations. We feel that Council is, of nothing else, morally obligated to support us by leaving Joffre open to us."

Mr. Barnes also produced a letter from the Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee in support of the people of Banting Place.

The Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee reminded Council that these families were assured easy access to the local area, parks, to shopping at Joffre Avenue and Rumble Street and to Suncrest School. This access was assured them when the road they asked for - parallel to Boundary Road from Banting Place to the north, past the ravine - was denied them.

The Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee policy of not pushing problems on other areas and supporting small groups is still one of the Committee's priorities.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT Item 9, Municipal Manager's Report No. 41, 1982, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following is the recommendation contained in that report:

- (1) THAT a copy of this report be sent to Mr. T.B. Barnes, Banting Place Concerned Citizens, 3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 3A2 and Mr. Larry Van Hatten, 8110 Joffre Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 3L3.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT Item 5.(a) Tabled Matter, Item 2 of Item 8.(a) 'Report of the Traffic Safety Committee', Council Meeting 1982 May 25 be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following motion was then before Council for consideration:

- (1) THAT proposal number 2 of the Director Engineering in his report to the Traffic Safety Committee: "Alter the intersection of Joffre Avenue and Marine Drive as shown on attached sketch number 1, together with recommendations 2 and 3, aforementioned, of the Traffic Safety Committee, be adopted".

A vote was then taken on the aforementioned motion and same was DEFEATED with Aldermen Brown, Constable, Emmott, McLean and Nikolai opposed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the intersection of Joffre Avenue and Marine Drive be altered and turning restrictions imposed as noted on the attached sketch 2 on a trial basis using removable barricades."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the implementation of the aforementioned motion not take place until the Mayor has had an opportunity to discuss with the Minister of Transportation and Highways the closing of the bridge across Boundary Road and if nothing is forthcoming then implementation of the changes to Joffre Avenue and Marine Drive be carried out without coming back to Council, with the delay not being longer than two weeks."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the original motion as moved by Alderman Constable and seconded by Alderman Nikolai, "That the intersection of Joffre Avenue and Marine Drive be altered and turning restrictions imposed as noted on the attached sketch 2 on a trial basis using removable barricades", as amended, and same was CARRIED with Aldermen Drummond, Lawson and Stusiak opposed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the Director Engineering be instructed to look into the feasibility of constructing an access road north of Marine Drive from Joffre Avenue to Banting Place, plus an estimate for the installation of a chip sidewalk from Banting Place to Carson Street."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (f) Mr. A.J. Vidler then addressed Council on the subject of illegal suites at 4442/44 Imperial Street. The following is the substance of Mr. Vidler's submission:

"My name is Alan Vidler and I reside at, and am paying off, 4444 Imperial Street in Burnaby. As you know, I was recently convicted of illegal suites and I stand before you tonight very confused. No wiser, due to the fact that what the Judge stated during the court case and I'll quote, 'I have had cases involving the zoning bylaw before and the submissions by the defense are virtually the same. However, a bylaw is a bylaw, either the law is there to be enforced for everyone or it is not'. I would at this time ask what Council's policy is regarding illegal suites. The Burnaby City Council, to my knowledge, condones the knowledge of four to five thousand illegal suites in Burnaby. They have the knowledge of these suites, but do not pursue them. I believe they do not pursue those people simply because it would waste the taxpayers' money and the court's time. Nobody realizes more than me that I have these suites, that I too have a right to live. I have the same rights as those 4500 people. If I do not have that right, then as a taxpayer I have the right to know why the Burnaby City Council does not pursue those 4500. There was some talk in court by the Building Inspector about not being allowed into the suites. At this time I would say that my wife runs the Burnaby Daycare and abides by all the rules that you cannot leave those children unattended. Now the Building Inspector does not make appointments and turns up in the middle of the day. I will admit that he was refused on a couple of occasions, but again he made no appointment. I have applied for permits on a couple of occasions, but both times I have been refused because I would not sign, in my view, a discriminating document. I was recently asked for a wiring diagram on the duplex. I gave it. I received it back a couple of days ago with the words 'under such and such a bylaw they cannot accept it'. I would ask Council whether or not I could obtain a spot zoning and those are some of the Judge's words too. There are large apartments across the street. All my neighbours have signed a piece of paper not objecting to these suites. You have stated that the person that instigated this is a close neighbour. I would like to know how many signatures that I would need to have Municipal Council take a look at this and I mean a serious look. I also have with me tonight a list of 56 addresses of illegal suites. If the Council would so like I would give it to the Building Inspector. I would like to say too that these suites are regularly advertised in the real estate magazine. I think that one of the complaints that I heard from

1982 June 21

the Municipal Council was that parking was a problem. The only problem is that I cannot even park in front of my own duplex because of the suites across the road."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Item 13, Municipal Manager's Report No. 41, 1982, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Chief Building Inspector containing a chronological history of the illegal suites at 4442/44 Imperial Street.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for the information of Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council Meeting recessed at 21:20 h.

The Council Meeting reconvened at 21:35 h with all Council members in attendance.

B Y L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT

'Burnaby Local Improvement Temporary Financing
Bylaw No. 1, 1982'

#7935

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the bylaw."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the bylaw complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT

'Burnaby Local Improvement Temporary Financing
Bylaw No. 1, 1982'

#7935

be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1982 June 21

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on

'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 25, 1982'

#7910"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the bylaw complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT

Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 25, 1982'

#7910

be now read a third time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT

'Burnaby Local Improvement Construction Bylaw No. 1, 1982'

#7936

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 41, 1982 which pertain thereto be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Province of British Columbia, Ministry
of Transportation and Highways, Minister,
Re: Traffic Flow - Burnaby North

A copy of a letter dated 1982 June 01 addressed to Mrs. Eileen Dailly, M.L.A. - Burnaby North, was received advising that there are a number of programs under study, or design, which should alleviate some of the traffic problems in Burnaby.

- (b) James Wilson, Re: Displeased that "Red Hot Video" will be located on Kingsway near McKay Avenue

A letter dated 1982 June 13 was received advising that the writer was displeased that a "Red Hot Video" store will be located on Kingsway near McKay Avenue.

- (c) W.O. (Oak) Toombs,
Re: Canada Week and Day - flying our flag

A letter dated 1982 June 12 was received urging that all citizens of Burnaby should show their patriotism by flying our flag on all public buildings and especially schools.

- (d) Lakeside Advisory Board, Chairman of the Board
Re: Decision to transfer federal women to Kingston

A copy of a telegram dated 1982 May 26 addressed to the Honourable Allan Williams, Attorney General of the Province of British Columbia, protesting the decision to transfer federal women prisoners to Kingston. It was considered that such women could best serve their time at Lakeside.

- (e) Greenacre Apartments, Dino Maniago
and Alexander Tombosso, Re: Object to proposed change in zoning of 3562 and 3588 Smith Avenue

A letter dated 1982 June 15 was received objecting to the proposed change in zoning of the above noted properties from RM2 to parkland.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT this item of correspondence be tabled."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (f) Peter Myklebust, Lillian Myklebust,
Re: Object to proposed change in zoning of 3588 Smith Avenue

A letter dated 1982 June 15 was received advising of the writers' objection to the proposal to change the zoning of the subject property from RM2 to parkland.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT this item of correspondence be tabled."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (g) Arnold F.C. Hean,
Re: "Red Hot Video" - objection to granting licence

A letter dated 1982 June 15 was received advising that the writer was opposed to the granting of a business licence to "Red Hot Video" in Burnaby.

- (h) Svend J. Robinson,
Re: Joffre Avenue traffic increase

A letter dated 1982 June 16 was received concerning the problem of commuter traffic on Joffre Avenue.

Mr. Robinson urged Council to find a solution to the local traffic problems that will ensure the use of Joffre Avenue as a commuter route will not continue.

T A B L E D M A T T E R

- (a) Traffic Safety Committee
Re: Joffre Avenue - Marine Drive to Rumble Street
Item 2 of Item 8.(a) - Council Meeting 1982 May 25

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Items 2.(c) and (e) under Delegations.

R E P O R T S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Mayor W.A. Lewarne
Re: 90th Birthday Celebrations

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, submitted a report in which he advised that he had been informed by the Burnaby 90th Birthday Committee that arrangements are well in hand for a week of celebrations from Wednesday, 1982 September 15 to Wednesday, 1982 September 22, and that a proclamation is being prepared in order to officially proclaim the week of festivities at the regular meeting of Council on Monday, 1982 September 13.

The view has been expressed that the proclamation should be read in an appropriate setting to mark this historical event.

His Worship recommended:

- (1) THAT the regular meeting of 1982 September 13 be held at 19:00 h in the Ice Cream Parlour at Heritage Village.
- (2) THAT the members of Council and staff in attendance be encouraged to be attired in appropriate costume.
- (3) THAT the Council meet in the lobby of the main floor in the Municipal Hall and travel to Heritage Village by the special transportation provided by the 90th Birthday Committee.
- (4) THAT arrangements be made for a special Council Agenda to be prepared for that event.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) Transportation Committee
Re: Burnaby Comprehensive Transportation Plan
- Implementation Strategy Review

The Transportation Committee submitted a report on the Burnaby Comprehensive Transportation Plan - Implementation Strategy Review.

The Transportation Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT Council charge the Transportation Committee with the responsibility of addressing expressed concerns of Burnaby residents with regard to the Comprehensive Transportation Plan and the need to protect residential areas from through traffic.

- (2) Arising from recommendation (1), the Transportation Committee be authorized to recommend to Council a Neighbourhood Protection Program that has as its ultimate goal the enhancement of the livability of Burnaby neighbourhoods with respect to through traffic in accordance with adopted policies.
- (3) Further, arising from recommendation (1), the Transportation Committee have referred to it by Council, concerns relative to transportation that go beyond the terms of reference of the Traffic Safety Committee and that amongst other things, the Transportation Committee be authorized to host public meetings and discussions with external jurisdictions and agencies relative to the Comprehensive Transportation Plan and implementation of that plan.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Transportation Committee be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

- "(1) THAT recommendation (2), aforementioned, be amended by adding after 'adopted policies' the words 'as per terms of reference as set out in Appendix B of the report of the Transportation Committee.'
- (2) THAT recommendation (3), aforementioned, be amended by deleting 'external jurisdictions and agencies' and substituting 'affected jurisdictions in the Greater Vancouver Regional District'."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the original motion as moved by Alderman Constable and seconded by Alderman McLean. "That the recommendations of the Transportation Committee be adopted", as amended, and same was CARRIED with Alderman Brown opposed.

(c) Mayor W.A. Lewarne

Re: Direction from Council on North Burnaby traffic matters and meeting with Minister of Transportation and Highways

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, submitted a report on the above subject.

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, recommended:

- (1) THAT the meeting with the Minister of Transportation and Highways be held in Burnaby in the latter part of August.
- (2) THAT the Traffic Safety Committee, Transportation Committee, Council and staff, be invited to this meeting.
- (3) THAT a questionnaire, rather than a public meeting, be authorized for the areas mentioned, i.e. Edinburgh Street to the north, Hastings Street to the south, Boundary Road to the west and Willingdon Avenue to the east.
- (4) THAT the Planning & Building Inspection Department and the Engineering Department, in consultation with the Traffic Safety Committee, prepare a draft of the aforementioned questionnaire for the consideration of Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendations of His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(d) Traffic Safety Committee
Re: Traffic Matters

1. Request to remove or relocate the "No Parking" signs in the 5500 block Mavis Street

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on a request received for the removal or relocation of the "No Parking" signs in the 5500 block Mavis Street.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT the requested relocation or removal of the existing parking prohibition on the south side of the 5500 block Mavis Street be denied.
- (2) THAT Mr. W. Martin, Vancouver Elevator Maintenance Limited, 5542 Mavis Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 1K5, K. Rahemtulla, Noors Auto Service Limited, 5558 Mavis Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 1K5, B. Bamford, Gordons Heating and Sheet Metal Limited, 5566 Mavis Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 1K5, and G. Bromley, Westgate Mechanical Contractors, 6860 Antrim Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 4M4, be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Parking problems on the south side of the 3700 block Imperial Street

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on suggestions received regarding parking problems on the south side of the 3700 block Imperial Street.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT the suggestions of Mr. R.C. Robinson not be implemented.
- (2) THAT Mr. R.C. Robinson, 3786 Imperial Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 1A1 be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Request for stop sign control at the intersection of Fell Avenue and Winch Street

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on a request received for stop sign control at the intersection of Fell Avenue and Winch Street.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT an advanced intersection warning sign be placed on Fell Avenue south of Winch Street.
- (2) THAT the Director Engineering pursue the removal of the view obstruction at the intersection of Fell Avenue and Winch Street.
- (3) THAT Mrs. P. Olson, 1591 Holdom Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V5G 3V7 be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Intersection of Cumberland Street and Tenth Avenue

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on the intersection of Cumberland Street and Tenth Avenue.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT the Burnaby Detachment, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, and the New Westminster City Police, be requested to monitor the speeds of traffic on Tenth Avenue, the compliance with the stop sign on Cumberland Street, and the parking at the intersection.
- (2) THAT G.R. Gravlin, 8467 - 15th Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V3N 1Y1 be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Barricades - Parklawn Drive, Dellawn Drive and Halifax Street

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on a request received for the removal of the Brentwood area barricades.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT Mrs. E. Pettenon's request for the removal of one of the Brentwood area barricades be denied.
- (2) THAT Mrs. E. Pettenon, 1522 Taralawn Court, Burnaby, B.C. V5B 3H3 be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Request for four way stop sign control at the intersection of Pender Street and Gilmore Avenue

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report on a request received for four way stop sign control at the intersection of Pender Street and Gilmore Avenue.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT a four way stop sign control be installed at the intersection of Gilmore Avenue and Pender Street.
- (2) THAT the shrub on the boulevard on the south side of Pender Street west of Gilmore Avenue be removed.
- (3) THAT Mr. R.J. Davenport, 4094 Pender Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5C 2L8 be sent a copy of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the recommendations of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Truck Traffic - Joffre Avenue

The Traffic Safety Committee submitted a report concerning truck traffic on Joffre Avenue.

The Traffic Safety Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT the Burnaby Detachment, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, be directed to investigate the allegations of Mrs. L.I. Morden with respect to the illegal use of Joffre Avenue by trucks in excess of thirty thousand pounds G.V.W.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN NIKOLAI:

"THAT the recommendation of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Alderman Brown then presented a short slide presentation on methods of traffic control presently in use in the city of Ottawa, Ontario, which Alderman Brown felt could be adapted to the Municipality of Burnaby.

- (e) The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 41, 1982 on the matters listed following as Items 1 to 13, either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

1. Retirement - Mr. Joe Klaus

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Personnel Director concerning the retirement of Mr. Joe Klaus from employment with the municipality after 25 years service.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Mayor, on behalf of Council, send to Mr. Klaus a letter of appreciation for his many years of loyal and dedicated service to the municipality.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Retirement - Mr. Basil J. Pontifex

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Personnel Director concerning the retirement of Mr. Basil J. Pontifex from employment with the municipality after 36 years service.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Mayor, on behalf of Council, sent to Mr. Pontifex a letter of appreciation for his many years of loyal and dedicated service to the municipality.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Proposal to have Burnaby become "The Home of Canada's Olympic Soccer Team"

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director Recreation & Cultural Services concerning the adoption of Canada's Olympic Soccer Team.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Municipality of Burnaby adopt Canada's Olympic Soccer Team under the terms and conditions outlined in the report of the Director Recreation & Cultural Services.
- (2) THAT the Municipal Solicitor prepare for the Mayor's signature a Letter of Understanding with the Canadian Soccer Association.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Provision of In-Law Suites in R9, R4 and R5 Residential Districts

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director Planning & Building Inspection concerning the provision of in-law suites in R9, R4 and R5 Residential Districts.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT a bylaw be prepared and advanced to first reading on 1982 July 12 to permit the introduction of the proposed amendments into the Burnaby Zoning Bylaw and that these amendments be advanced to a Public Hearing on 1982 August 24.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the existing in-law suite regulations, as set forth in Section 3 (Definitions) of the 'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965', Bylaw No. 4742, under the 'Accessory Use' designation, be amended to read as follows:

'Section 3(a) Such an accessory use shall be permitted only within a single family dwelling which is occupied by the owner or registered tenant.

Section 3(e) The owner of an in-law suite, whether already constructed or not, shall obtain an in-law suite licence from the Building Department and submit a sworn statutory declaration that the said in-law suite is or shall be occupied only by the parents, grandparents, sons and daughters (including their spouses and dependant children) of the owner or registered tenant and shall pay a fee of \$30.00 for said licence.

Section 3(g) The owner of an in-law suite shall renew annually the said in-law suite licence, paying a renewal fee of \$30.00."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Burnaby Fire Department
1981 Annual Report

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director-Fire Services containing the annual report of the Burnaby Fire Department for 1981.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Burnaby Fire Department 1981 Annual Report be received by Council for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT this meeting do extend past 22:30 h."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Building Permit Tabulation Report
with Revenue/Expenditure Statement
Report No. 6 - 1982 May 10 - June 06

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Chief Building Inspector containing the Building Permit Tabulation Report with Revenue/Expenditure Statement, Report No. 6, for the period 1982 May 10 to June 06.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Proposed Stepped Increase
Neighbourhood Parkland Acquisition Charges
Burnaby Development Cost Charges Bylaw 1979
Bylaw No. 7328 (Amendment Bylaw No. 7707)

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director Planning & Building Inspection regarding proposed stepped increases in the Neighbourhood Parkland Acquisition Charges.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT staff be directed not to proceed with the implementation of the proposed stepped increase in the Neighbourhood Parkland Acquisition Charges at this time and the Planning and Building Inspection Department be authorized to bring forward in 1983 June a further review report regarding the Neighbourhood Parkland Acquisition Charges.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Proposed Revisions to Community Plan 8
- Apartment Area "I"
Canada Way/Smith Avenue Area

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 2.(b) under Delegations.

9. Letter from T.B. Barnes, Banting Place Concerned Citizens
3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 3A2 and
Larry Van Hatten, 8110 Joffre Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 3L3
Joffre Avenue - Marine Drive to Rumble Street

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Items 2.(c) and (e) under Delegations.

10. Municipal Participation in URISA Conference in
Minneapolis, Minnesota - 1982 August 22 - 25

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director Engineering concerning municipal participation in the Urban Regional Information Systems Association Conference.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Municipal Land Surveyor, Mr. B.S. Berting, be authorized to attend the Urban Regional Information Systems Association Conference in Minneapolis, Minnesota from 1982 August 22 - 25.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Letter from Mr. George B. Game
#1202 - 6689 Willingdon Avenue, Burnaby,
B.C. V5H 3Y8 - Property Taxes

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director Engineering on the matters of concern to Mr. Game regarding tax increases, charging extra for garbage pick-up, lack of street lighting on Willingdon Avenue and pavement conditions on Kingsway and on Boundary Road, as outlined in his letter which was received by Council on 1982 June 14.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Mr. George B. Game, #1202-6689 Willingdon Avenue, Burnaby, B.C. V5H 3Y8 be provided with a copy of the report of the Director Engineering.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12. Provisional Budget Challenge Statement

The Municipal Manager provided a report on the 1983 Provisional Budget Challenge Statement.

The Municipal Manager noted that one of the integral parts of the Performance Planning and Measurement Process currently being developed within the Corporation is the utilization of "Challenge Statements". A Challenge Statement, as a key part of the Planning Process, sets out a number of areas to be carefully considered by the Management Committee and staff as they go through the objective setting process.

The purpose of the Challenge Statement is to do what the words imply; to challenge the staff to come up with ways and means of doing a better job with limited resources. The challenge allows for the process of dialogue amongst the Council, the Management Committee, and the staff of the organization, to discover the most effective and efficient means of meeting our objectives.

This Challenge Statement is one which sets out the rather difficult circumstances which we face in 1983, as we enter our first performance planning and measurement cycle. It will be necessary for Council to give careful consideration to it, since it does indeed guide the planning process which will follow in the weeks and months to come. In that vein, any additions or changes which members of Council wish to put forward would be most welcome.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Municipal Manager's Challenge Statement be approved by Council for use in the 1983 Performance Planning Process.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Letter from Allan J. Vidler,
4442/44 Imperial Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 1B3
Basement Suites

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 2.(f) under Delegations.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

E N Q U I R I E S

ALDERMAN BROWN:

Alderman Brown noted that during his recent visit to Ottawa he had called on the Transportation Engineer of Ottawa/Carlton and obtained his comments to one way streets, etc. and the Traffic Engineer in Ottawa and the Ottawa Fire Department. Alderman Brown reported that he was in the process of making up sketches covering his findings, which would be on the agenda for the next Council Meeting.

ALDERMAN McLEAN:

Alderman McLean noted that there were two large trailers parked on the grass adjacent to Swangard Stadium in Central Park and enquired as to the reason for these trailers being there.

The Acting Municipal Manager advised that the trailers were used in conjunction with the 24 hour marathon which had been held in Central Park over the past week-end. Any damage caused to the grass by the placement of these trailers would be the responsibility of those concerned.

1982 June 21

NEW BUSINESS

His Worship, Mayor Lewarne, reported that he had been advised late this afternoon that "Burnaby Loan Authorization Bylaw 1982", Bylaw No. 7927, covering the construction of the Burlington Northern Grade Separation Project had been approved by the Inspector of Municipalities and it was now in order to proceed with the required advertising of this bylaw.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the following motion adopted by Council on 1982 June 14 (page 4, minutes of Council Meeting 1982 June 14) relative to 'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 37, 1982', Bylaw No. 7932, be now reconsidered:

"MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

'THAT 'Burnaby Zoning Bylaw 1965, Amendment Bylaw No. 37, 1982', Bylaw No. 7932, be abandoned.'

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY"

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT consideration of the aforementioned motion be tabled."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:

"THAT this regular Council Meeting do now adjourn."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The regular Council Meeting adjourned at 22:46 h.

Confirmed:

Certified Correct:


MAYOR


DEPUTY MUNICIPAL CLERK