## RE: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT STREET IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 1980

The following is a report from the Municipal Treasurer regarding the 1980 Local Improvement Program.

## RECOMMENDATION

1. THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Treasurer be adopted.
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T0:
MUNICIPAL MANAGER
File: I52-8
FROM: MUNICIPAL TREASURER
RE: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT STREET IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 1980

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. THAT the appended local improvement cost report be adopted; and
2. THAT Council approve the recommendation that frontage taxes for pavement, curbs and separate sidewalks be the same as the rate for pavement and curbwalks and that the costing be so structured that $60 \%$ of the extra costs of separated sidewalks be absorbed in the rates for the owners' share of the costs; and
3. THAT Council affirm the frontage taxes shown herein, and instruct that a by-1aw be brought down to amend Local Improvement Charges By-law 1976, By-law No. 6828 as amended by By-1aws Nos. 7311 and 7389 accordingly; and
4. THAT the Municipal Clerk be instructed to initiate the program as approved.

## REPORT

Attached is a cost report re the above, prepared in accordance with Section 601 of the Municipal Act.

In accordance with Council policy, the owners' share of the cost of the program has been calculated at $60 \%$ of the cost of an 8.5 m pavement plus sidewalks or curbs, as the case may be, irrespective of street width. The balance of the cost is borne by the Corporation. Also included in the Corporation's share is the cost of planting trees where required; the installation of storm sewers where required, and on 14 m streets, ornamental street lighting where required. The latter is an item of cost introduced in the 1978 program. For many years, it has been the policy of Council to provide ornamental street lighting on streets of widths of 14 m or greater as a charge to the general purposes budget without charge to abutting owners. To facilitate financing, this cost was brought into the local improvement process in 1978.

A further change in cost sharing is recommended by the Capital Improvement Committee. In prior years, on streets on which the Corporation prescribes separated sidewalks, it has been our custom to initiate the sidewalks separate from the paving and curbing project. In 1978, for example, the annual rate over fifteen years for this work totalled $\$ 5.08$ as compared with $\$ 3.54$ for paving and a curbwalk. It struck your Committee that this was unfair. The owners are not given a choice between curbwalks and separate walks. Their choice is between whether they want a paved street with curbing andor a separate walk, or a separate walk by itself. It occurred to the Committee that in these circumstances, owners on streets on which the Corporation prescribes separate walks should have only one choice - paving, curbing and separate walk, as has the owner on a street on which the Corporation prescribes paving and curbwalks, and that the cost to owners on such streets should be the same as to owners on streets on which curbwalks are prescribed. The Committee recommends that the extra costs of the separate walks be absorbed by the owners and the Corporation so that the owners' share remains at $60 \%$ of the cost of $8.5 m$ paving, curbing, curbwalks and separated walks, as the case may be.

Frontage rules, for assessing costs to benefiting owners, as approved by Council, are:
(i) where a parcel of land is situated at the junction or intersection of highways and the work or service is provided on or along more than one side of the parcel, the taxable foot-frontage shall be the actual footfrontage of the shortest of the two frontages plus $25 \%$ of the actual frontage of the longer of the two frontages;
(ii) where a parcel of land is situated at the junction or intersection of highways and the work is provided on or along the longer of the two frontages of the parcel, the taxable foot-frontage shall be the actual foot-frontage of the shortest of the two frontages;
(iii) where a similar work or service payable by special charges has previously been provided on or along one side of a parcel, the taxable foot-frontage shall be $25 \%$ of the actual frontage of the longer of the two frontages;
(iv) where the frontage of a parcel of land abutting the works is less than five feet, the taxable frontage shall be established at five feet.

The costs of the projects may be analysed as follows:


To permit the program to go forward, it will be necessary to repeal Schedule 'A' of Burnaby Local Improvement Charges By-law 1976, By-law No. 6828 as amended by By-laws Nos. 7311 and 7389 and enact an amending by-law to establish frontage rates as follows:

1. 8.5 m pavement and curbs and gutters on
\$3. 27
both sides of the street and the planting
of trees as required
2. 8.5m pavement with curbs and gutters on 3.27 both sides of the street, storm sewers and the $p l a$ ting of trees as required
3. 8.5 m pavement with curbwalks on both sides of the street and the planting of trees as required
4. 8.5 m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, a 1.5 m separated sidewalk on one side of the street only and the planting of trees as required
5. 8.5m pavement with curb and gutter on one side of the street and curbwalk on the other side of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
6. 8.5 m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, curbwalks on both sides of the street, a 1.5 m separated sidewalk on one side of the street only, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
7. 8.5 m pavement with curbwalks on both sides of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
8. 8.5 m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, a 1.5 m separated sidewalk on one side of the street only, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
9. 8.5 m pavement flaring to 11 m , curbwalks on both sides of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
10. 8.5 m pavement with curbwalks on both sides of the street, $11 m$ pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, 1.5 m separated sidewalks on both sides of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
11. Curb and gutter on one side of the street only and the planting of trees as required
12. 11 m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, 1.5 m separated sidewalk on one side of the street only, and the planting of trees as required
13. 1.5 m curbwalks on one side of the street only and the planting of trees as required.
14. 11m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
15. 11m pavement with curbwalks on both sides of the street and the planting of trees as required
16. 11m pavement with curbwalks on both sides of the street, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
17. 11m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, 1.5 m separated sidewalk on one side of the street only, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
$\$ 3.73$
3.27 curb side
3.73 walk side
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3.73
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1.45
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3.27
3.73
3.73
3.27 curb side
3.73 walk side
18. 14m pavement with curbs and gutters on both $\$ 3.27$ - 109 sides of the street, ornamental street lighting, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
19. 14m pavement with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street, 1.5 m abutting walks on both sides of the street, ornamental street lighting and the planting of trees as required
20. 14 m pavement with curbs and gutters on both 3.73 sides of the street, 1.5 m abutting walks on both sides of the street, ornamental street lighting, storm sewers and the planting of trees as required
21. $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 m \text { separated sidewalks on one side of the } \\ & \text { street only and the planting of trees as } \\ & \text { required. }\end{aligned} \quad 1.28$
3.73

Repayment period - 15 years
For the time being, the following rates for lanes and ornamental street lighting should be placed in the amended by-law, subject to change in the event costs rise during the year:

| Pavement of <br> repayment y years | $\$ 0.63$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ornamental street lighting |  |
| repayment 10 years |  |

It will be noted that while the work is described in metrics, the frontages are still in imperial measurements. This is for the reason that our conversion to metric mapping is not yet complete.

For projects requiring long term financing, Council has directed that a statement showing the current debt picture of the Municipality, together with a projection to include the requirements of the new project, be brought down. The difficulty in providing this information is that it is a year or two before the impact of new borrowing affects the tax levy. The reason for this is that the money is borrowed six months to a year following the date of passage of the authority to borrow, and the first year's payment may only be a six months interest charge, rather than a full year's principal and interest charge. Nevertheless, statements can be drawn to indicate what the effect of new borrowing would be if the current year's operations had to bear the full cost of the carrying charges of the debt to be created.

The following is such a statement as it affects the local improvement debt shown above:

Outstanding Debt
Total general purposes debt 1979 December 31
Add:
Pending debt:
1979 local improvement program - $80 \%$ of estimated construction costs
1977 \& 1978 local improvement program 20\% unfinanced portion \$1,970,300

143,700
2,114,000
Proposed debt: 1980 local improvement program (note 1)

3,912,000

## Carrying Charges

1. Principal and interest per 1979 recast budget
2. Add: anticipated carrying charges on unfunded debt

$$
\$ 5,722,176
$$

221,970
$\$ 5,944,146$
3. Deduct: estimated portion borne by local taxpayers as a frontage tax

937,297
5,006,849
4. Add: anticipated carrying charges on proposed borrowing

328,608
5. Deduct: estimated portion borne by local taxpayers as a frontage tax

192,434
136,174
Estimated total carrying charges
applicable to general tax levy
NOTE 1 The construction cost of the program concerned is estimated at $\$ 3,873,279$. To this has been added $\$ 38,721$ provision for discount and expenses on the sale of bonds, bringing the total amount to be borrowed to $\$ 3,912,000$.

NOTE 2 Debt payable in U.S. dollars has been reflected on the basis that $\$ 1$ U.S. equals $\$ 1$ Canadian.
Debt payable in U.S. dollars is $\$ 10,238,383$

The rate of exchange at 1980 January 02 \$1.1565
The Canadian dollar equivalent of U.S.
dollar debt is
This shows an annual estimated increase in carrying charges of $\$ 136,174$. In 1979 , only $51 \%$ of the dollar value of local improvement street works that were initiated were accepted by the benefiting owners. If this rate of passage occurs with the program on hand, the annual carrying charges will approximate $\$ 69,449$. This represents. 10 mills in 1980 taxation. A mill in 1980, subject to confirmation by the Court of Revision, is worth \$662,529.
$B M: g w$
$A t t a c h$
cc: Municipal Clerk
Municipal Engineer
Municipal Solicitor
Director of Planning

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

## LOCAL IMPROVEMENT COST REPORT PER SECTION 601, MUNICIPAL ACT



## Location of Work

8.5 m pavement, curb one side, curbwalks other side, storm sewers and trees as required

| 79-030 | Aubrey Street from Phillips Avenue east to |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | W.P.L. of Lot 161 - curbwalk - south side |
| $79-071$ | Phillips Avenue from N.P.L. of Lot 124 to |

S.PI of Iot la6-


Length in metres

## Taxable foot

 frontageActual foot frontage


| NS ) |  | $1,645.45$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SS ) | 535 | $1,384.67$ |
| WS ) |  | 325.00 |
| ES ) | 98 | 198.13 |
|  |  | $3,553.25$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

8.5 m pavement with curbs both sides, curbwalks both sides, l.5m separated sidewalk one side only, storm sewers and trees as required

Malvern Avenue from Imperial Street to
Burris Street - curbwalks - both sides -
Morley to Burris, separated sidewalk east side - Imperial to Morley

| WS ) | 400.11 |
| :--- | ---: |
| ES $)$ |  |

$4,867.74$

| 502.02 |
| ---: |
| $4,633.32$ |
| $5,135.34$ |

8.5 m pavement, curbwalks both sides, storm sewers and trees as required

79-031 Leibly Avenue from Stanley Street to Burris Street
79-032 McKay Avenue from Rumble Street to Greta Street
79-033 McKay Avenue from Greta Street to
Imperial Street Imperial Street ighlawn Drive fr Avenue
Venables Street from Delta Avenue to Springer Avenue Pine Street from Smith Avenue to MacDonald Avenue Holly Street from Mary Avenue to Humphries Avenue Wilberforce Street from Cariboo Road to Elford Avenue
William Street from Douglas Road to Gilmore Avenue

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,398.47 \\
258.00
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
258.00 \\
1,911.25
\end{array}
$$

$$
1,296.41
$$

$$
1,811.34
$$

$$
1,782.63
$$

1,906.72 905.16
908.78
$\frac{1,495.95}{14,674.71}$
$1,685.38$
$1,378.61$
325.00
254.04

$$
3,643.03
$$

191,26
39,865
231,128

| 39,787 |
| ---: |
| 38,189 |
| 7,858 |
| 5,464 |
| 91,298 |

3.27
3.73
3.27
3.73
3.27
3.73

91,298

|  | 9,675 <br> 260,128 <br> 260,128 | 123,217 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 132,892  <br>   |  |  |


| $2,488.59$ | 130,335 | 66,150 | 3.73 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 258.00 |  | 6,238 | 3.27 |
| $2,597.45$ | 230,383 | 52,712 | 3.73 |
|  |  | 35,755 | 3.73 |
| $1,593.90$ | 131,920 |  |  |
| $1,954.74$ | 35,360 | 49,957 | 3.73 |
| $1,875.76$ | 79,620 | 49,165 | 3.73 |
| $2,047.39$ | 103,040 | 52,587 | 3.73 |
| $1,056.00$ | 53,935 | 24,964 | 3.73 |
| 908.44 | 49,245 | 25,064 | 3.73 |
| $1,685.40$ | 76,810 |  | 41,258 |
| $16,465.67$ | 890,648 |  | 403,850 |

* no walk on east side from Rumble to S.P.L. Lot B

\section*{|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| MANAGER'S REPORT NO. | 17 |  |
| COUNCIL MEETING | 1930 | 03 | <br> コ $\omega$}

8.5 m pavement, curbs both sides, 1.5 m separated sidewalk one side only, storm sewers and trees as required

Street - separated sidewalk - east side
8.5 m pavement flaring to 1 lm , curbwalks both sides, storm sewers and trees as required

Fell Avenue from Hastings Street to Dundas Street 8.5 m Dundas to lane north of Hastings and flaring to llm from lane north of Hastings to Hastings
8.5 m pavement, curbwalks both sides, 11 m pavement, curbs both sides, l. 5 m separated walks both sides, storm sewers and trees as required

Dundas Street from Delta Avenue to Fell Avenue 8.5 m , curbwalks both sides Delta to Hythe; 11 m , curbs both sides Hythe to Fell. Separated walk on north side from lane east of Hythe to Holdom and lane east of Holdom to Fell. Separated walk on south side from Hythe to lane east of Grosvenor, from Howard to lane east of Howard, from Ellesmere to lane east of Ellesmere and from Holdom to Fell

Curb one side and trees as required

Actual foot frontage

## Estimated



| WS ) | 80 | $\begin{array}{r} 263.80 \\ 132.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 263.80 \\ 244.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 46,130 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,379 \\ & 3,641 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.27 \\ & 3.73 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 80 | 395.80 | 507.80 | 46,130 | 10,020 |  |


| C) W) | 1,185 | $\begin{array}{r} 196.70 \\ 1,907.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 602.40 \\ 5,189.70 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 427,491 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,756 \\ 52,609 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.27 \\ & 3.73 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,185 | 2,104.20 | 5,792.10 | 427,491 | 57,365 |  |
|  | 46 | 144.35 | 149.81 | 1,600 | 1,544 | 1.45 |

Marlborough Avenue from Newton Street to N.P.L. of Lot 142 - curb - east side
llm pavement, curbs both sides, 1.5 m separated walk one side only and trees as required
79-043 Clinton Street from Royal Oak Avenue to Roslyn Avenue - widen to llm from Royal Oak to E.P.I. of Lot $A$ and tapering to 8.5 m from E.P.L. of Lot A to Roslyn, curbs both sides except north side from Royal Oak to E.P.L. of Lot A. Walk on north side from E.P.L. of Lot $A$ to Roslyn
79-044 Marlborough Avenue from Sanders Street to N.P.L.s of Lots $161 \& 142$ - separated walk - west side

SS )
NS $)$
ES
WS $)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SS ) } \\
& \text { NS ) } \\
& \text { ES ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \} } \\
& \text { 5) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$ 180
$\qquad$

| 545.94 |
| ---: |
| 416.00 |
| 95.00 |
| 70.63 |

1.5 m curbwalk one side and trees as required
79-072 Springer Avenue from Hastings Street to
Frances Street - curbwalk - east side
llm pavement, curbs both sides, storm sewers and trees as required

| 79-045 | Boundary Road from Nithsdale Street to <br> Avondale Street |
| :---: | :---: |
| $79-046$ | Second Avenue from Gilmore Avenue to |

79-048 Grimmer Street from Marlborough Avenue to Royal Dak Avenue
llm pavement, curbwalks both sides and trees as required

79-049 Delta Avenue from Georgia Street to Frances Street
79-050 Broadway from Holdom Avenue to Springer Avenue

| 80 |
| ---: |
| 366 |

80
llm pavement, curbwalks both sides, storm sewers and trees as required

79-051 Bond Street from Willingdon Avenue to Nelson
llm pavement, curbs both sides, 1.5 m separated sidewalk one side only, storm sewers and trees as required

79-047 Nelson Avenue from Dover Street to Bond Street -
llm from Bond to lane south of Maitland flaring to 14 m from lane south of Maitland to Dover. Separated walk - east side
$14 m$ pavement, curbs both sides, ornamental street lighting, storm sewers and trees as required
79-052 Arbroath Street from Curragh Avenue west to
W.P.L.s south of Lots $5 \& 9$

14 m pavement, curbs both sides, 1.5 m abutting walks both sides, ornamental street lighting and trees as required

14 m pavement, curbs both sides, 1.5 m abutting walks both sides, ornamental street lighting, storm sewers and trees as required

79-054 Sellers Avenue from Dorset Street to Imperial Street
79-055 Merritt Avenue from Imperial Street to Dorset Street
79-056 Shirley Avenue from Beresford Street to Dorset Street
79-057 Arbroath Street from Gilley Avenue to Conway Avenue
79-058 Conway Avenue from Kingsway to Arbroath Street

WS )
WS $\qquad$
1,405.35 1,263.29

2,668.64

## $1,756.75$ $1,586.26$

3,343.01
33,981
205,110
205,110
$\qquad$

### 522.07

754.77

93,905
12,624
$\qquad$
311
1,808.2
$\underline{1,872.36 \quad 118,18}$
49,870

### 3.27

 3.73都
## Taxable foot

 frontage
## Actual foot

 frontage

Owners $\frac{\text { estimated cost }}{\$}$

| 102 | 667.03 | 667.00 | 55,590 | 18,397 | 3.73 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 102 | 597.13 | 642.50 | 47,175 | 16,469 | 3.73 |
| 211 | $1,340.89$ | $1,366.45$ | 153,305 | 36,982 | 3.73 |
| 256 | $1,551.06$ | $1,668.03$ | 139,520 | 42,778 | 3.73 |
| 74 | 408.50 | $\frac{456.70}{745}$ | $\frac{4,564.61}{}$ | $\underline{4,800.68}$ | $\frac{29,120}{424,710}$ |

## Project

No.

## Location of Work

1.5 m sidewalk, one side only and trees as required

79-066 Phillips Avenue from Halifax Street to Montecito Drive - abutting walk - west side - Montecito to Coronado; separate walk - west side - Coronado to Halifax of Lot 24 -.abutting walk - west side Montecito Drive from Duthie Avenue east to E.P.L. of Lot 138, Plan 35298 abutting walk - north side

## TOTALS

Estimated lifetime of works Number of years of levy

20 years
15 years

Frontage
$\frac{\text { tax rate }}{\$}$

Owners estimated cost
,
1.28
1.28
1.28
2,003
36,235 1.28

1,456,200


$$
2
$$

