

ITEM	2
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	32
COUNCIL MEETING	1980 04 28

Re: LETTER FROM MR. T. B. BARNES, 3717 BANTING PLACE, BURNABY,  
V5J 3A2 WHICH APPEARED ON THE AGENDA FOR THE 1979 APRIL 21  
MEETING OF COUNCIL (ITEM 4f) REGARDING BOUNDARY ROAD WIDENING  
(Item 18, Manager's Report 83, 1979 December 10)

---

Appearing on last week's agenda was a letter from Mr. T.B. Barnes, 3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, B. C., V5J 3A2, regarding Boundary Road Widening requesting that the new road be moved slightly west allowing for a northward access lane from Banting Place to Portland Street. Following is a report from the Municipal Engineer on this matter.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT the report of the Municipal Engineer be received for information purposes; and
2. THAT a copy of this report be sent to Mr. T. B. Barnes, 3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, B. C., V5J 3A2.

\* \* \* \* \*


TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER 80 04 18  
FROM: MUNICIPAL ENGINEER  
SUBJECT: BOUNDARY ROAD WIDENING - BANTING PLACE

RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT the above report with attachments be sent to Mr. T.B. Barnes of 3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, V5J 3A2.

REPORT

In replying to this latest undated submission from T.B. Barnes of 3717 Banting Place, Burnaby, received 80 04 16, we would advise that the reduction in the number of lanes on Boundary Road was in that section north of Rumble Street. The section of Boundary Road south of Rumble Street to Marine Way is still of the same standard as it was when we covered a series of concerns of Mr. Barnes in our Report Item 18, Manager's Report 83, Council meeting 79 12 10 (copies attached). As the 1979 report is still valid we feel no further input is required at this time.

  
MUNICIPAL ENGINEER

HB/ch  
Att.  
c.c. ( ) Planning Department

ITEM	2
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	32
COUNCIL MEETING	1980 04 28

103

ITEM 18  
MANAGER'S REPORT 83  
COUNCIL MEETING 1979-12-10

TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER 79 12 05  
FROM: MUNICIPAL ENGINEER  
SUBJECT: BOUNDARY ROAD WIDENING - BANTING PLACE  
DELEGATIONS - COUNCIL MEETING 79 11 26

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. THAT Mr. T.B. Barnes and Mr. Peter Schwizgebel be sent a copy of this report.

REPORT

Reference the Clerk's memo of 79 11 27.

The information requested of Council will be answered in the order noted in the Clerk's memo.

1. The proposed fence to be placed immediately behind the concrete barricade along the west side of the Boundary Road frontage road between Marine Drive and Banting Place is shown on Sketch #1 of this report. The fence is to be six feet in height and is to be of wood construction. The actual design of the fence is shown on Sketch #1A.
2. To meet the minimum signal warrant as suggested by the Institute of Transportation Engineers' handbook, Banting Place should produce a vehicle volume approach to Marine Drive of at least 75 vehicles per hour (V.P.H.) for each of any eight hours of an average day. During the same eight hours Marine Drive should produce a two way flow of at least 750 V.P.H. Should the vehicle volumes on Marine Drive be less than 750 V.P.H. but more than 500 V.P.H. during these eight hours then Banting Place should produce a 150 V.P.H. approach to Marine Drive for each of the eight hours.

(cont'd)

As noted in Item #5 the forecast for the future traffic volume on Marine Drive is to be approximately 20% of today's volume. If this is correct then the highest hourly volume on Marine Drive will be less than 400 V.P.H., a volume that indicates no warrant. However for argument sake we will assume that Marine Drive is able to meet the required 500 V.P.H. for eight hours. This means that Banting Place will be required to produce 150 V.P.H. approach to Marine Drive for each of the eight hours, i.e. 1,200 vehicles. As the highest eight hours is approximately 50% of the 24 hour flow we should expect a 2,400 vehicle approach to Marine Drive every 24 hours, or approximately 270 vehicles per home. As we have found the average Burnaby single family home generates about six outbound trips per day, Banting Place can only generate about 54 trips for 2% of the required warrant and that is only if the volumes on Marine Drive maintain the required 500 V.P.H. for eight hours.

From the above it is obvious that the future intersection of Banting Place with Marine Drive will not come even remotely close to a signal warrant.

3. In response to this question we have attached Sketch #2 which shows the top of a maximum height truck (13' 6") located on the far side of Boundary Road and the sight line from it over the proposed six foot fence to the house at 3704 Banting Place. As exhaust stacks are all lower than 13' 6" maximum height for the truck unit, the attached Sketch #2 gives a benefit of doubt to the stack height. We should also add that for the purpose of estimating traffic noise impact such a straight line analysis has no applicability and in any case, the exhaust stack height of a vehicle is not the most relevant factor in estimating the overall effect of traffic noise. It should be noted that trucks in the most easterly lane are completely out of sight of 3704 Banting Place.

Further to the above we feel that it must be stressed that the Boundary Road improvement and the effect it will have on Banting Place fully accord with the transportation policies adopted by Council. For the residential enclave of Banting Place the proposed improvement to Boundary Road should result in a net environmental gain, because Boundary Road will not only be further away, but also in a cut and thus the new road will be much less environmentally intrusive than the present facility. For example, our calculations suggest a sound reduction due to the displacement of Boundary Road to be about 7 dBA and in addition one may expect a further sound attenuation of up to 12 dBA due to the road being in a cut. Future increases in the traffic level along Boundary Road will not offset the reduction made by the proposed improvement (a doubling of present traffic levels would increase the level of traffic noise by approximately 3 dBA). Although the visual/aesthetic impact is somewhat more difficult to quantify it is not unreasonable to assume that with regard to this parameter, residents of Banting Place will also gain from the reconstruction of Boundary Road.

The relocation of Banting Place access from a primary arterial (Boundary Road) to a major collector (Marine Drive) fully accords with the principles underlying the road hierarchy adopted by Council. While this relocation may result in a marginal increase in journey time for some Banting Place residents it will decrease the accident risk that they currently face in transitioning between the quiet cul-de-sac and the arterial road network.


4. We believe that construction of such a road (at an estimated cost of \$50,000) is not a cost effective measure. With the proposed plan (i.e. with access to Marine Drive only) residents of Banting Place who wish to travel northward will have to go out of their way by approximately 150 metres (2 times the distance, from Banting Place to Marine Drive, than they do at present). If we assume that they travel this distance at 30 km per hour, then an increase in journey time of 20 seconds is suggested. However, it should be noted that part of this delay will be offset by the fact that it will be easier for residents to access Marine Drive in the future than it is to access Boundary Road at present. If we were to make a global assessment of the delay then, of course, we would find that the residents of Banting Place presently impose a delay on the Boundary Road traffic stream that is far greater than any delay that will be imposed on them by being required to travel an extra 150 metres on journeys to and from the north. At first glance it would appear that this delay to Banting Place residents might be offset by providing a northward access road. Given that such a road would not be much more substantial than a lane, then Banting Place residents would find that they would have to travel 300 metres at say, 20 km per hour in making their northward journey. If they choose travel down to Marine Drive and then proceeded northward on one of the collector or arterial streets leading from Marine Drive they would find that their journey would be up to 1/2 minute shorter than if they had used the northward access lane. Thus, it would appear that the northward access road would offer no benefit to the rational driver who wishes to minimize his journey time.
5. It is requested that an estimate be made of traffic that might be encountered on Marine Dr. at the Banting Place access road. We have made such an estimate based on the 1978 origin-destination survey, which showed that during the 7 to 9 a.m. peak there was some 1,400 drivers proceeding through Burnaby westbound along Marine Drive. East of Boundary Road, automatic traffic counts indicate that the 7 to 9 a.m. total westbound flow is approximately 2,100 vehicles. If you assume that all of the through Burnaby traffic diverts onto the new Marine Way and that 2/3 of the 700 vehicles that join Marine Drive in Burnaby remain on that facility, even after the new Marine Way is constructed, then the westbound traffic flow along Marine Drive will be reduced from 2,100 vehicles to approximately 500 just over 20% of its present value. If you assume that this sort of diversion applies for the whole day the total average week-day traffic will be reduced from 19,000 to approximately 4,000 on Marine Drive. While we expect traffic to grow along the new Marine Way, it is unlikely that there would be any substantial growth rate along the down-graded Marine Drive because it would function as a major collector serving an area that is largely fully developed.

In conclusion we believe that the proposed Boundary Road design accords with the policies adopted by Council. However the provision of a northward access road, or an unwarranted signal at Marine Drive and the southward extension of Banting Place are items that are contrary to the stated policy on cost-effectiveness. This we feel is doubly important in a time when the Engineering Department is continually striving to practise budgetary restraint.

  
MUNICIPAL ENGINEER

HB/ch  
Atts.  
c.c. ( ) Traffic Supervisor

ITEM	2
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	32
COUNCIL MEETING	1980 04 28





SKETCH #1

SKETCH 1

ITEM	2
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	32
COUNCIL MEETING	1980 04 28

N.B. USE PRESERVATIVE TREATED WOOD  
THROUGHOUT - GALVANIZED NAILS  
& METAL HARDWARE



107


PROPOSED LOUVERED FENCING  
FOR BOUNDARY FRONTAGE ROAD  
AT BANTING PLACE

TOP VIEW OF FENCE SECTION

A.T.S.

ITEM	2
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	32
COUNCIL MEETING	1980 04 28

NEW PROPERTY LINE  
VANCOUVER SIDE



CROSS-SECTION LOOKING SOUTH  
ALONG BOUNDARY ROAD  
SCALE 1:250 1979.09.26

\* NOTE: MAX. TRUCK HEIGHT  
PERMITTED BY BYLAW  
4.1M (13 FT. 6 INCHES)

SKETCH #2

FIGURE 1  
108

