

1980 MAY 26

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C., on Monday, 1980 May 26 at 19:00 h.

PRESENT: Mayor D.M. Mercier, In the Chair
Alderman G.D. Ast
Alderman D.N. Brown
Alderman A.H. Emmott
Alderman D.A. Lawson
Alderman W.A. Lewarne
Alderman F.G. Randall
Alderman V.V. Stusiak

ABSENT: Alderman D.P. Drummond

STAFF: Mr. M.J. Shelley, Municipal Manager
Mr. E.E. Olson, Municipal Engineer
Mr. D.G. Stenson, Assistant Director-Current Planning
Mr. J.G. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager
Mr. B.D. Leche, Deputy Municipal Clerk
Mr. C.A. Turpin, Municipal Clerk's Assistant

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Alderman D.P. Drummond be granted a leave of absence from the Council Meeting this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

M I N U T E S

The minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 May 20 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 May 20 be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

P R O C L A M A T I O N

His Worship, Mayor Mercier, issued the following proclamation concerning "Red Cross Water Safety Week".

"WHEREAS activities in and around the water constitute a major part of the recreational enjoyment of Burnaby residents; and

WHEREAS the RED CROSS WATER SAFETY SERVICE is dedicated to the prevention of drownings and the promotion of health and physical fitness; and

WHEREAS we are in strong support of these objectives;

NOW THEREFORE I, Mayor of the Municipality of Burnaby, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM the week of

June 1st to 7th, 1980

as

"RED CROSS WATER SAFETY WEEK"

AND URGE ALL THE CITIZENS OF BURNABY to be aware of the need to be more safety conscious in and around the water, keeping in mind the Canadian Red Cross Water Safety theme that "Water Safety Is A Family Affair".

D E L E G A T I O N S

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (a) Johnston Terminals Limited,
Divisional Controller, 1980 May 14
Re: Proposal to Culvert Part of Still
Creek West of Gilmore Avenue
Spokesman - Mr. Irvin Froese
- (b) Pauline Mudrakoff, 1980 May 20,
Re: Review of Community Plan
Spokesperson - Pauline Mudrakoff
- (c) Greater Vancouver Renter's Association,
President, 1980 May 21,
Re: The Current Operation of Commercial
Rental Agencies
Spokesman - Tom La Londe

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the delegations be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Mr. Irvin Froese did not appear before Council as a delegation this evening.
- (b) Mr. R.A. Sward, 3766 Oxford Street, speaking on behalf of Miss Pauline Mudrakoff, then addressed Council on the Community Plan Review currently underway in Burnaby Heights. The following is the substance of Mr. Sward's submission.

"Last week when I was before Council the Municipal Engineer, Mr. Olson, advised that his department had three or four plans ready for the meeting with residents on 1980 May 20 concerning traffic in our area. Unfortunately Mr. Harry Bacon and Walter Scott of Traffic and Transportation were either not presented with these plans or the plans were not made available to them for that meeting, or there were not any plans at all. One gets the feeling that residents are getting the run-around on traffic plans for the neighbourhood. Is Council aware of the Burnaby Heights questionnaire? I have it here in case anyone would like to read it. Do they know how area residents feel about present traffic conditions in their neighbourhood? Do they know residents feelings on the Hastings corridor, which will dump even more traffic in the neighbourhood? If they aren't, I will review some of the questionnaire results and the residents own poll results. When asked in the questionnaire if traffic interferes with the enjoyment of their home, 44.8% said yes. Would they support traffic diverters? 75% of those who answered the previous question said yes. In the residents own poll, 97% of the residents directly affected by traffic wished traffic to be removed by diverters or other means. We know that the majority of people on Council support us because at All Candidates Meetings prior to the last election they said so. What are we waiting for? What is taking so long? If Council can't make up their mind on how to reduce traffic levels, here are some suggestions:

- (1) Barricade streets from Albert to Eton and thus force traffic onto Hastings Street. Of course, that would plug Hastings Street as it now stands, therefore, remove parking on Hastings Street as the City of Vancouver has done already during rush hours. According to the Provincial Department of Highways studies, if only one lane per side on Hastings is open, that only allows 1200 vehicles per hour provided the traffic signals are properly actuated. By removing parking and installing traffic actuated signals the traffic volumes could increase to approximately 3600 vehicles per hour each way. If this was done at the same time the streets were blocked off there is no doubt traffic would move more smoothly between Boundary and Willingdon. At present, between these streets, Hastings is nearly blocked during rush hours because of vehicles wishing to find parking space, and parked vehicles endeavouring to get into the traffic stream. Merchants, of course,

1980 May 26

wish for parking spaces, perhaps that large empty lot in the 3800 block Hastings Street could be available if it were paved. There is available parking at the Admiral Hotel, and other smaller lots up and down Hastings Street on either side. We, who are directly affected by the traffic, want Hastings Street the top priority. All traffic signals should be of the traffic actuated type. We want Oxford and Albert Streets returned to residential standards immediately. We in the area do not support the argument that the cut and cover freeway proposal will answer the problem because ours is an immediate problem. This highway proposal may never get off the ground.

At this point I would like to remind this B.V.A. dominated Council of some of their election promises published in their flyer in November 1979. It states that the safety, security, and consideration for our citizens is in the forefront of the B.V.A.'s priorities. We believe a better life-style means less noise, improved community police programs, less pollution and the upgrading of neighbourhoods. Another one says "That we shall heed your advice on such matters as large expenditures, late shopping hours, and community planning". It makes me wonder if this B.V.A. Council has read this publication. Another campaign promise under the heading of "Dynamic Planning for the '80's by Council", calls for better planning and less red tape, especially in the re-designing of area community plans and for orderly future growth. I suggest that this last campaign promise is exactly what we, in our area, desire. We wish to co-operate with Council and with the Community Plan Review currently underway, but I ask this Council to cut red tape involved in this review and initiate some of our requests that we have been presenting to Council for the last year and a half. There is a beginning of a feeling of frustration with many residents and it could turn to anger. It may take an uprising in the neighbourhood before Council reacts. Judging from the way Council has been moving lately on our requests, perhaps this will be the only action left to residents."

- (c) Mr. Tom La Londe, 6615 Dufferin Avenue, speaking on behalf of the Greater Vancouver Renter's Association, then addressed Council on the current operation of Commercial Rental Agencies. The following is the text of Mr. La Londe's submission:

"The Greater Vancouver Renter's Association is an umbrella group representing tenants and tenants' groups throughout the Greater Vancouver area. Originally, the organization was formed to provide a strong and united tenants voice that could effectively represent the interests of an otherwise scattered and often defenseless disadvantaged group.

As Members of Council are undoubtedly aware, tenants groups and organizations at the provincial, municipal, and community levels have operated at various points throughout the past decade to ensure governments are well informed in their decisions affecting tenant needs. The G.V.R.A., since its formation four months ago, has focused on a number of immediate and long-term problems confronting Lower Mainland renters. At this particular time, all G.V.R.A. work is taking place in an unprecedented rental housing shortage. Indeed, British Columbians are currently caught in a low vacancy situation that has escalated to crisis proportions.

Given this context, the G.V.R.A. appreciates the opportunity to address Council tonight on perhaps the most obstructive and unfair practice hindering the rental process - commercial rental agencies. It is our contention, and certainly one that shares a wide base of support, that these agencies are almost parasitical in the way that they prey off the needs of those hardest hit by the housing crisis - single parents, senior citizens, and those with low or fixed incomes. It is these groups that are often desperate enough, faced with the real possibility of not securing adequate accommodation, to readily throw what may possibly be their last forty dollars, into a service that all too often cannot be delivered.

The concern surrounding rental agencies and their operations is not new, either to Greater Vancouver residents or municipalities throughout Canada and the United States. As the dubious practices of these agencies come to light, steps have been taken to protect consumers against procedures that take undue and unnecessary advantage of a tight housing market. It is interesting to review the history of the rental agency conflict and how it has developed in Vancouver.

1980 May 26

In 1974, Lower Mainland tenant groups and various community organizations joined forces to bring the issue before several levels of government. Their main objective was to have legislation enacted that would effectively protect consumers by limiting the style of operation used by commercial rental agencies. The City of Vancouver responded by enacting By-law 4790, which limited these agencies to a \$5.00 registration fee until they had successfully placed a client in suitable accommodation, after which further charges could be levied.

At the time, City of Vancouver Alderman Harry Rankin, then Chairman of the Standing Committee on Social Services, made the following statement in a report to Council:

"It is our conclusion, however, that under the Licensing By-law of the City of Vancouver, we can set regulations pertaining to commercial rental agencies and it is our conclusion that the regulations that would be set are fee for service completed. In other words, what makes the commercial rental agencies a complete rip-off is that they obtain their money in advance and then do not basically fulfill their services. Either because they cannot, due to the shortage of housing available and because their lists are incomplete and outdated daily, because of inadequate or insufficient staff, or whatever else the case may be.

We think that inherent in this crisis situation of shortages in housing that the role that they fill is a detrimental role for the tenants of Vancouver, especially those on fixed or low incomes."

The legality of the resultant By-law was challenged in the Supreme Court of Canada by the commercial agencies. It was, however, upheld. Unwilling to operate under this by-law, these agencies moved to Burnaby - but just a few feet from the Vancouver border. Homelocaters, however, reopened an office in Vancouver, claiming to be complying with the Vancouver restrictions. In fact, they were charging a \$5.00 registration fee and selling a compulsory tenant's package for \$35.00. This package included a tee-shirt, common note pads and assorted useless materials. Vancouver City Council reacted and Homelocaters moved out.

The history of rental agencies is not impressive. All over North America, investigations have been launched into the business practices of these companies. In New York, for example, rental agencies are restricted to a by-law similar to Vancouver's. Any violation of the by-law in New York is punishable by imprisonment of up to four years and a \$5,000.00 fine.

Since availability of housing is now at an all-time low, tenants are scrambling to find accommodation. The question we pose is this: should the public be faced with the situation where possible rental units are effectively held for ransom by commercial rental agencies? The Greater Vancouver Renter's Association believes there must be strict licensing controls placed on these agencies, such as the by-law currently in effect in Vancouver. This by-law limits the commercial rental agencies to a small, uniform registration fee and only after successfully placing a client in suitable accommodation, may they collect an additional service charge. Ultimately, this by-law serves as a protection for the consumer by forcing rental agencies to guarantee their services.

We believe Burnaby Council has a moral obligation to ensure that these rental agencies produce exactly what they claim, rather than allowing them to continue in the current, unrestricted fashion. The G.V.R.A. will formally request other Lower Mainland Councils to enact this same by-law as a measure designed to protect B.C. citizens.

In addition to the letters of support circulated earlier, these recommendations are widely supported by many segments of society. Politicians, municipal employees, citizen groups and even landlords have expressed their distrust of commercial rental agencies. The Vancouver Sun has announced that it will no longer carry individual advertisements by these agencies.

We hope that through your actions, people who do decide to use these agencies can do so with the confidence that there are laws to ensure they are receiving what they are paying for."

1980 May 26

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT the submission of the Greater Vancouver Renter's Association and the questions raised in Council this evening concerning the operation of commercial rental agencies in the municipality be referred to the Chief License Inspector and the Municipal Solicitor to determine the magnitude of the problem and the nature of possible solutions."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT this Council write to the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs to determine what action is contemplated by his Ministry in dealing with rental agencies, pointing out that uniform legislation would be of great assistance to the municipalities in controlling this question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

B Y - L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT Item 4, Municipal Manager's Report No. 39, 1980, pertaining to 'Burnaby Temporary Borrowing By-law No. 1, 1980, Amendment By-law 1980', By-law No. 7522, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following is the recommendation contained in that report:

- (1) THAT Burnaby Temporary Borrowing By-law No. 7460 be amended to increase the amount of borrowing from \$12,000,000 to \$20,000,000.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT

'Burnaby Special Development Reserve Fund Expenditure Authorization By-law 1980'	#7517
'Burnaby Temporary Borrowing By-law No. 1, 1980, Amendment By-law 1980'	#7522

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the by-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the by-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT

'Burnaby Special Development Reserve Fund
Expenditure Authorization By-law 1980' #7517

'Burnaby Temporary Borrowing By-law No. 1, 1980,
Amendment By-law 1980' #7522

be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment
By-law No. 3, 1979' #7315

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment
By-law No. 6, 1980' #7475

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the
Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those
items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 39, 1980 which pertain thereto be
brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Province of British Columbia, Ministry of Provincial
Secretary and Government Services, Minister
Re: Recreation Staff Hiring Incentive Grant

A letter dated 1980 May 14 was received enclosing a Province of British
Columbia cheque in the amount of \$750.00, which represents the Ministry's
current contribution towards the following staff position:

<u>Name and Title of Position</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Payment</u>
E. Erickson, Sport Co-ordinator	\$750.00	2nd of year 2

The Minister advised that this program has helped establish two hundred
and twenty-four recreation staff positions in the province since 1971
April. It has thus played a significant part in helping provide
communities with the expertise and continuity required to operate
quality recreation programs. The Ministry is now looking at ways in
which it can help municipalities to upgrade and develop the staff they
already have.

1980 May 26

- (b) Province of British Columbia, Ministry
of Municipal Affairs, Minister
Re: First Quarter Housing Growth Grant

A letter dated 1980 May 12 was received enclosing a Province of British Columbia cheque in the amount of \$60,000.00 which represents the First Quarter Housing Growth Grant for 1980 pursuant to Part III of the Revenue Sharing Act Regulations.

- (c) Petition from Residents of the 6900 Blocks
Marlborough Avenue and Dunblane Avenue
Re: Addition of one street light (6910 Dunblane
Avenue) and selling of one Burnaby municipal lot

A letter dated 1980 May 15 was received requesting that the municipality consider selling the municipally owned lot at 6910 Dunblane Avenue and the installation of an additional street light at the end of Dunblane Avenue or at the lane at the rear of this property.

Council was advised that a staff report on this subject would be available on 1980 June 02 and further consideration of the matter was deferred until that time.

- (d) Fred W. Thomas, Mrs. Betty Schmitz,
Re: Protest proposal to put curbwalk on east side
Springer Avenue from Hastings Street to Frances Street

A letter dated 1980 May 15 was received strongly protesting the Municipality of Burnaby's proposal to put a curbwalk on the east side of Springer Avenue from Hastings Street to Frances Street.

Council was advised that a staff report on this subject would be available on 1980 June 02 and further consideration of the matter was deferred until that time.

- (e) City of Toronto, Department of the City Clerk
Re: Availability of 1981 User Summary Tapes
from Statistics Canada

A letter dated 1980 May 15 was received advising that it had recently come to the attention of the Toronto City Council that user tapes would not be available from Statistics Canada in 1981.

The Toronto City Council had advised the Honourable Don Johnston, President of the Treasury Board, that Council believes Statistics Canada's decision not to release user summary tapes from the 1981 census would be a serious disadvantage for municipalities, and should be reversed; and further that this decision be communicated to all regional municipalities in Ontario, and to the twenty-four largest municipalities in Canada, urging them to ask that the Statistics Canada decision be reversed.

Council was advised that a staff report on this subject would be available on 1980 June 02 and further consideration of the matter was deferred until that time.

- (f) Union of British Columbia Municipalities,
Executive Director,
Re: 1980 U.B.C.M. Annual Convention

A letter dated 1980 May 13 was received advising that the U.B.C.M. Executive has now finalized the schedule of fees for registration for the 1980 U.B.C.M. Annual Convention in Prince George from 1980 September 17 to 19.

The U.B.C.M. Executive was pleased to advise that there will be no increase in registration fees for delegates and spouses again this year.

1980 May 26

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT any Member of the Municipal Council wishing to attend the 1980 Annual Convention of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities in Prince George, British Columbia from 1980 September 17 to 19 be authorized to do so and that all necessary expenses in connection therewith be paid by the Municipality of Burnaby."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

R E P O R T S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) Burnaby Justice Building Committee
Re: Proposed expansion of Royal Canadian Mounted
Police Detachment Area - Burnaby Justice Building

The Burnaby Justice Building Committee submitted a report concerning the proposed expansion of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Detachment area in the Burnaby Justice Building.

The Burnaby Justice Building Committee recommended:

- (1) THAT Council direct the consultant to advance the initial expansion concept as shown on the sketches attached to the Committee's report to the full preliminary drawing stage with cost estimates.
- (2) THAT Council adopt the tentative project budget with funding as outlined in the Committee's report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Burnaby Justice Building Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) Grants and Publicity Committee
Re: Request for Financial Assistance

The Grants and Publicity Committee submitted a report on the following requests received for financial assistance:

- (1) Kiwanis Music Festival - \$500.00

The Grants and Publicity Committee recommended a grant in the amount of \$500.00 to the Kiwanis Music Festival which is held annually and is sponsored by the Kiwanis Club of Vancouver.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Grants and Publicity Committee be adopted."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN LEWARNE
AND STUSIAK

(2) Vancouver Symphony Society - \$6,000.00

The Grants and Publicity Committee recommended a grant in the amount of \$6,000.00 to the Vancouver Symphony Society.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the recommendation of the Grants and Publicity Committee be adopted."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMAN LEWARNE

(3) New Westminster and District Chamber of Commerce - \$500.00

The Grants and Publicity Committee recommended a grant in the amount \$500.00 to the New Westminster and District Chamber of Commerce to assist in operating the Tourist Information Centre at 333 Brunette Street, New Westminster, B.C.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Grants and Publicity Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) T.A.R.A. (Total Accommodation and Recreation Association) - Nil

The Grants and Publicity Committee recommended that no grant be given to T.A.R.A. (Total Accommodation and Recreation Association).

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Grants and Publicity Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (c) The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 39, 1980 on the matters listed following as Items 1 to 11 either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

1. Youth Services Division
Report for the period 1980 January 01 to April 30

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Officer-in-Charge, Burnaby Detachment, R.C.M.P. covering the activities of the Youth Services Division for the period 1980 January 01 to April 30.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT this report covering a four month period be accepted for information purposes.
- (2) THAT similar reports be prepared three times per year; that is to say, at the end of April, August and December. The next report would therefore be due 1980 September 01.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Canadian Parks and Recreation Association
Conference, Halifax, Nova Scotia

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator requesting authority for the attendance of the Superintendent-Income Facilities at the Canadian Parks and Recreation Association Annual Conference in Halifax, Nova Scotia.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council approve the attendance of the Superintendent-Income Facilities at the Canadian Parks and Recreation Association Annual Conference in Halifax, Nova Scotia at an estimated cost of \$1,005.00, the funds being available in the Parks and Recreation budget.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Growth Management Strategy Review Progress Report

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning in the form of a progress report on the Growth Management Strategy Review presently being conducted by the Planning Department.

The Director of Planning reported that it is intended that following completion of the comprehensive draft report, a four-page summary tabloid will be prepared for general distribution throughout the community. The background draft report would, of course, also be available for review by interested persons or groups prior to a series of proposed general public workshops intended to discuss the draft report and its proposals in some detail. Appropriate revisions would then be made to the draft for subsequent submission to Council. Both the draft report and the tabloid would be submitted to Council prior to its availability to the public in order to obtain Council's authorization to distribute these documents.

Staff have estimated that the draft will be complete in approximately four to five weeks time with the tabloid taking approximately another two to three weeks.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the report of the Director of Planning be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Temporary Financing By-laws

This items was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 4.(aa) "Burnaby Temporary Borrowing By-law No. 1, 1980, Amendment By-law 1980", By-law No. 7522.

5. Development Plan - Metrotown Area 11

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the proposed redevelopment plan for Metrotown Area 11.

1980 May 26

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council concur with the inclusion of the proposed neighbourhood park as detailed in Section 6.1 of the Development Plan for Metrotown Area 11 in the Parks Acquisition Program.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT further consideration of this matter be referred to the Municipal Manager and brought forward for consideration at the time the complete report on the Development Plan for Metrotown Area 11 is considered by Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Pedestrian Crosswalk at the intersection
of Imperial Street and Sussex Avenue

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Engineer on the subject of a pedestrian crosswalk at the intersection of Imperial Street and Sussex Avenue.

The Municipal Engineer reported that a pedestrian actuated traffic signal for the subject intersection would cost an estimated \$14,000.00 to purchase and install. However, if a signal is to be installed he would suggest that, as Sussex Avenue is a collector street and a bus route, it should be a vehicular/pedestrian signal. The estimated cost of this signal is \$21,000.00. We would advise that at the present time the intersection has not met the warrants for the installation of a traffic signal, rating only 75 of the required minimum 100 points under the Canadian manual warrant.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT a copy of this report be sent to the Traffic Safety Committee.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Local Improvement Street Improvement Program 1980

This item was withdrawn by the Municipal Manager.

8. Proposed Treatment of a Watercourse
Subdivision Reference #85/79

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding the proposed relocation and treatment of a watercourse in Subdivision Reference #85/79.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the relocation of the watercourse in the partial piped/partial open, improved condition subject to the registration of a right-of-way plan and agreement as outlined in the Director of Planning's report.

1980 May 26

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9. Excavation Permit for Rezoning Reference #11/80
9620 and 9584 Manchester Drive
197 Unit Rental Apartment Development

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning concerning the issuance of an excavation permit for Rezoning Reference #11/80.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the issuance of an excavation permit for the subject development at this time subject to the conditions as outlined in this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted subject to receipt of a written commitment from the developer to agree to indemnify and save harmless the municipality from any action, proceedings, or damages arising out of issuing the permit."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMAN LEWARNE

10. Engineer's Special Estimates

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Engineer regarding special estimates of work for his department in the total amount of \$176,500.00.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the estimates as submitted by the Municipal Engineer be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Letter from Johnston Terminals Limited
P.O. Box 5300, Vancouver, B.C., V6B 4B6
Proposed Enclosure of Still Creek at
3887 Still Creek Street

The Municipal Manager reported that a previous identical proposal to enclose that section of the watercourse that traverses the property at 3887 Still Creek Street was the subject of a report that Council considered on 1976 February 02.

The Municipal Manager, therefore, contacted Mr. Smythe to obtain additional information which is necessary for the preparation of a staff report, and it is expected that this report will be submitted on June 02.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

1980 May 26

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

E N Q U I R I E S

ALDERMAN LAWSON:

Alderman Lawson enquired as to when Council would receive a further report on the matter of energy conservation both within the Municipal Hall and within the community.

The Municipal Engineer advised that he anticipated that such a report would be available in approximately three weeks.

ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

Alderman Lewarne suggested that this Council should send a letter to B.C. Auto Towing Ltd. complimenting that company on the manner in which they had treated a complaint received from "Burnaby Meals on Wheels" regarding the impounding of one of their vehicles at Carrigan Court.

It was agreed that the action suggestion by Alderman Lewarne would be carried out.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole 'In Camera'."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY