

1980 FEBRUARY 11

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. on Monday, 1980 January 11 at 19:00 h.

PRESENT: Acting Mayor F.G. Randall, In the Chair
 Alderman G.D. Ast
 Alderman D.N. Brown
 Alderman D.P. Drummond
 Alderman A.H. Emmott
 Alderman D.A. Lawson
 Alderman W.A. Lewarne
 Alderman V.V. Stusiak

ABSENT: Mayor D.M. Mercier

STAFF: Mr. M.J. Shelley, Municipal Manager
 Mr. E.E. Olson, Municipal Engineer
 Mr. A.L. Parr, Director of Planning
 Mr. J.G. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager
 Mr. James Hudson, Municipal Clerk
 Mr. B.D. Leche, Deputy Municipal Clerk

M I N U T E S

The minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 February 04 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 February 04 be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D E L E G A T I O N S

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (a) Mrs. Miriam Helter, 1980 February 06,
Re: Community Plan Review
Spokesperson - Mrs. Miriam Helter
- (b) Mrs. Margaret M. Taylor, 1980 February 05,
Re: Access to 5649 Canada Way
Spokesperson - Mrs. M.M. Taylor
- (c) Gayle Gavin, 1980 February 06,
Re: Public Hearing scheduled 1980 February 19
concerning the rezoning of North East Corner
of Hastings Street and Boundary Road
Spokesperson - Gayle Gavin
- (d) Parks and Recreation Commission, Secretary,
1980 February 07, Re: Establishment of Licensed
Lounge in Cameron Library/Recreation Centre
Spokesman - Commissioner J.P. Daem
- (e) Eleanor W. Kool, 1980 February 08,
Re: Bar Facility at Cameron Library
and Recreation Facility
Spokesperson - Eleanor W. Kool

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the delegations be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1980 February 11

- (a) Mrs. Miriam Helter, 3746 Triumph Street, then addressed Council on the subject of the ongoing Review of Community Plan #3. The following is the substance of Mrs. Helter's submission:

"Two weeks ago I stood before you with a request for postponement of the Public Rezoning Hearing of 1980 February 19. In the presentation I mentioned a petition, presented to Council 1979 October 15, bearing in excess of 1300 signatures. I now have more signatures for submission, which brings the total to 1470.

These concerned residents signed this petition because they are opposed to the present Community Plan #3 and any concept that is acceptable under this Plan's limits. Of the approximate 200 residents I spoke to, while working on this petition, I know there is a strong desire for development along Hastings Street 3700 - 4400 block. This corridor has been left to deteriorate to a point of embarrassment for the residents of Burnaby Heights. But, we want development on or near the same level that now exists: "A creation of a village atmosphere along Hastings Corridor that will encourage facilities that are service oriented to cater to pedestrian shopping".

We are not reinventing the wheel, which has proved its worth to all people -- we are considering the development of a neighbourhood. The worth (or merit) of that development should prove itself to the people concerned -- namely the Residents!

I do not consider the residents of this area a "pressure group". We are only showing concern for our property and environment. If you feel pressure from this then it is because you are pushing against our concerns and desires, not towards them. Also, this concern is not being shown by a "group". The word group has a connotation meaning -- a small part of a larger part. As you can see by this presentation we are not a small part of our area but a majority of that area.

This is an incomplete submission based on one means of gathering the residents together for a United Appeal. If this submission is acknowledged in conjunction with all other correspondence received by Council, pertaining to this subject, you will come to a greater realization of the negative impact this proposed complex has on our neighbourhood."

Mrs. Helter, with the aid of a colour coded strip map of the area bounded by Hastings Street, Burrard Inlet, Boundary Road and Willingdon Avenue, showed clearly and precisely the homes of the residents who have thus far been contacted regarding this petition and the Community Plan #3.

Mrs. Helter pointed out that she and her co-workers had to date contacted 70.5% of the residents of the area. Of those contacted 6.6% were opposed to the petition and 93% were in favour of the petition.

- (b) Mrs. Margaret M. Taylor, 5649 Canada Way, then addressed Council on the subject of access to 5649 Canada Way. The following is the text of Mrs. Taylor's submission:

"Ten months ago Mayor Mercier, then Alderman Mercier, and Alderman Lewarne showed concern when I presented a brief to the Traffic and Safety Committee outlining the hazardous conditions involving access to our property at 5649 Canada Way, and explaining how these problems had been magnified by erection of barricades in the Deer Lake Area.

At that time Alderman Mercier's concern appeared to be genuine and he said, in effect: "These people really have a problem and something has to be done to help them". Instead of helping, Alderman Mercier endorsed the barricade on Haszard Street where we had previously been able to turn, and which was our only relief from every traffic tie-up on Canada Way.

On Friday, February 8th, I received a report from the Municipal Engineering Department which concludes with the statement: "We are of the opinion that the whole matter should be dropped".

1980 February 11

How convenient! How comforting to think that all our problems could be dismissed as easily as that! If this kind of problem solving was presented to a private company there would be dire consequences. However, taxpayers are expected to smile, pay taxes, and say: "Thank you". It doesn't work that way. Taxpayers can smile and say, "Thank you" only when they feel they have been treated fairly. We have not been treated fairly. The residents in the 5000 block Canada Way are treated as the scapegoats in this whole area traffic mess.

Two weeks ago a complaint from a Canada Way resident regarding the continued and excessive use of the private driveway by trucks and cars trying to get off Canada Way was dismissed as of little consequence and as the normal use by non-resident drivers. This is Not the norm. Aldermen would be distressed if it happened to them continually. If Council Members can endorse the use of private driveways as public convenience, where is the justification for banning Buckingham and Sperling Avenues to local traffic?

There appears to be two standards: one for the self styled Deer Lake Traffic Committee, and one for the rest of the taxpayers.

Municipal staff have pursued the secondary access to the above address; which seems fruitless. Since the municipality has hemmed us in so securely - it is stressed that the problem was created by the municipality - it is the obligation of the municipality to restore our only means of emergency outlet off Canada Way. To avoid traffic tie-ups our easier route for a left-hand turn via Haszard and Burris onto Canada Way must be restored.

If Mayor Mercier is as concerned about helping us as he appeared to be ten months ago, it would seem to be the only course now available.

I am asking that Council please consider the severity of the situation and do the only thing left to ease our situation and remove the barricade from Canada Way and Haszard Street, as Haszard Street here is exclusively used as extended parking space for the tenants of that property."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the Municipal Engineer submit a report to the Traffic Safety Committee in reference to the feasibility of removing the barricade at Haszard Street and Canada Way, thus allowing traffic to turn into the area."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN LAWSON
AND LEWARNE

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the Municipal Engineer submit a report for the information of Council on a motor vehicle accident which apparently occurred on Canada Way between Sperling Avenue and Burris Street during the late afternoon of Friday, 1980 February 08, indicating the waiting time experienced by traffic on Canada Way following this accident."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (c) Mrs. Gayle Gavin, then addressed Council on the subject of the Public Hearing scheduled for 1980 February 19 concerning the rezoning of the north east corner of Hastings Street and Boundary Road. The following is the substance of Mrs. Gavin's submission:

I would like to speak tonight about the arrangements that have been made for the Public Hearing on February 19 of this year and, in particular, about the rezoning application by Bosa Brothers Construction for the north east corner of Hastings Street and Boundary Road. As a resident of the area I am concerned not only about the fact that the meeting is going ahead before the completion of the Review of Community Plan #3, but also about the arrangements that have been made for the Public Hearing. I got a copy of the agenda and

1980 February 11

was quite concerned to find that Council, or whoever draws up the agenda, has placed us No. 5, behind four other development proposals. I am afraid that this position on the agenda could well delay the beginning of the Public Hearing on the Bosa Brothers application so that residents wishing to speak will have to wait until after 10:30 or 11 o'clock or, even worse, choose to leave without the opportunity to speak. I would remind you that this is a week night and people have to go to work and generally like to be home in bed by that time. I would also like to remind you that in July 1979 there was a Public Meeting on the issue of this very same proposal in perhaps a slightly different form and that that Public Meeting ran until after 10:30 in the evening. That Public Meeting was adjourned and it was continued on September 11, 1979 and that Public Meeting ran to close to 11 o'clock. I think that there is a lot of concern that you are all aware of from the residents of this area about that particular rezoning application and I don't think that Council should be doing anything to discourage there being full opportunity for all the people to speak, and that includes having it at a convenient location, having it in a comfortable environment, and not forcing people to stay out late just to have the opportunity to speak. For that reason, and for a lot of other reasons, I think that the arrangements for this Public Hearing and the date of the Public Hearing are ill-advised."

- (d) Mr. J.P. Daem, then addressed Council on the subject of the establishment of a licenced lounge in the Cameron Library/Recreation Centre. The following is the text of Mr. Daem's address:

"I am appearing before you this evening on behalf of the Parks and Recreation Commission in view of the decision last week by this Council to reject the proposal for the establishment of a lounge in the Cameron Library and Recreation Centre.

Before addressing myself to this issue in particular I should like to express on behalf of the Parks and Recreation Commission the concern and expressed desire that the apparent policies and philosophies of the Municipal Council be clearly outlined so as to ensure that the Council and its Parks and Recreation Commission are not at odds in the aims and objectives they are attempting to achieve within the municipal facilities.

We see the issue as being divided into two main problems:

- (a) a management problem
- (b) a philosophical problem

1. Philosophy - Use of Public Buildings

Much can be said on the proper use of public buildings and whether the buildings run by the municipality should be pristine and pure of any social evils which may permeate our society. It is certainly not for the Parks and Recreation Commission to dictate what proper moral standards for the electorate should be and undoubtedly, elected representatives may feel more wisdom in this area when they must face the wrath of electors. From the Parks and Recreation Commission point of view however, its primary objective is to ensure maximal use of its public buildings in a way which is acceptable to the community and with finite aims and objects to provide for optimal recreational opportunities. It is the premise of the Parks and Recreation Commission that buildings are not just for children or prohibitionists but that some buildings can be designed for adult use in particular, in areas such as Lougheed Mall where there is a fairly large population of single individuals.

In keeping with this philosophy, the Parks and Recreation Commission is presently giving consideration to joint venture programs with the Burnaby Winter Club for a curling facility and with Simon Fraser University for facilities upon their land both of which are organizations which provide alcoholic beverages within their buildings. The Parks and Recreation Commission has over the past few years attempted to find innovative methods of maintaining its programs while reducing the actual cost to the taxpayer recognizing that there is a greater demand for accountability by the public sector for the manner in which funds are expended. If indeed it is the policy of the Municipal Council that such use of public buildings

is against the philosophy of the municipality, then the Parks and Recreation Commission should be advised immediately and some of its present programs curtailed as we will demonstrate later in this presentation.

2. Political Process

Facilities within the municipality go through a lengthy planning process which may take several years and we find it difficult to rationalize that such a process should be changed mid-stream in particular, when some of the individuals in question had previously supported the overall plan for the building. We of course, cannot make accommodations for those individuals who may change their minds, however, we question the propriety of a process which changes subsequent to 90% of the building planning having been completed so as to basically change the overall direction and objectives of the facility. The Parks and Recreation Commission has embarked during my Chairmanship on a process of public participation which involves the neighbourhoods of the areas and which provides for input from the community on those issues which we feel are paramount in the minds of that community. It also allows for public participation in the programming of the buildings thereby assuring the Parks and Recreation Commission immediate feedback on any problems which may arise from time to time. It appears that on moral issues the Municipal Council is now placing itself in a position where regardless of the public response or the general feeling of its Parks and Recreation Commission it will dictate what morality shall be and how it is to be implemented within public buildings. This, of course, is fully within the purview of the Municipal Council and is a prerogative which we on the Parks and Recreation Commission do not question. We do question, however, that this should surface at this late stage of the planning when the building is virtually complete and the hiring process for the staff has begun.

3. Alcoholic Consumption in the Public Buildings

This issue seems to be foremost in the minds of those concerned about the building. It also appears that considerable concern is being expressed with respect to the co-mingling of children and liquor in a Recreation Centre and library building.

Firstly, we should clearly outline that we did discuss this matter at great length with representatives of the library board and that they expressed no objections in the plan submitted by the Parks and Recreation Commission and to date such objection has not been voiced by the body in the municipality responsible for the operations of library services. We should also point out to the Municipal Council the fact that the consumption of alcoholic beverages in recreational facilities is an accepted fact both in public, quasi-public and the private sector. Golf clubs, racquet ball clubs, and Y.W.C.A. are typical examples of recreational facilities which provide liquor. Quasi-public bodies within Burnaby such as the Columbian Four Rinks and the Winter Clubs where the municipality rents ice time are also typical examples of facilities where liquor is served. Semi-quasi facilities such as Grouse Mountain and the Burnaby Tennis Club also provide liquor.

Pure public facilities such as Stanley Park and the West End Community Centre administered by the Vancouver Parks Board also offer liquor within their facilities. Oak Bay, of course, which has been used as an example by our staff in their earlier presentations to you is another.

Within Burnaby various precedents have already been set as previously stated, the Owl and the Oarsman at Burnaby Mountain Park, the concession in the golf course building and the Beer Gardens which are held in co-operation with major soccer events in our municipality.

All of the above are simply examples to point out that the co-mingling of recreational activities, sports, children and alcoholic beverages is not only common but does not appear to have adversely affected the success of the various organizations, recreational activities and groups which have been referred to.

1980 February 11

Ironically, much has been said with respect to the co-mingling of the children utilizing the library services and yet our information is that the greater proportion of library users in this municipality are adults who are of drinking age in any case. This may, of course, have led to the lack of opposition by the Library Board for the proposal which we have submitted.

Related to the above but also of significance is the fact that European recreational complexes always include a lounge area where users of the facility partake in the consumption of an alcoholic beverage subsequent to their athletic activities.

In summary, we would feel that a municipality which has consistently shown a progressive approach to the delivery of its recreational services would merely be taking a step backwards in prohibiting an experiment within one of its facilities which has apparently been successfully tried in public, quasi-public and private buildings elsewhere.

4. Management Policies

Concern has been expressed with respect to the projections by our staff regarding the potential incomes of this facility. Any such concern is, of course, conjecture and any reference to previous experiences by the municipality ignores the fact that such previous experience was not the undertaking of the present administration nor the present Parks and Recreation Commission. Indeed it would be my contention that the present administration have shown considerable restraint and expertise in budget formulation, budget control and staff management. Notwithstanding the above, however, we are prepared to concede to the Municipal Council that if the concern of Council is purely on a management basis, the projections and expectations may be reviewed and can undoubtedly be altered, however, this would not be sufficiently significant in our minds to warrant any changes in the management objectives which have been laid out by the inclusion of a lounge in the building. Indeed the options for the municipality would be to:

- (a) lease out the facility or,
- (b) administer it through the municipality.

The Parks and Recreation Commission chose to support the concept of administering the facility by the municipality because:

- (a) leasing out would reduce the flexibility and impose restrictions on the municipality which might preclude the rental of the facility;
- (b) if the municipality were allowed to operate the facility, Municipal Council must bear in mind that there would be no bar during the primary rental times which are usually Fridays and Saturdays based on our experiences in other complexes. Based on my own personal expertise as well as that of those to whom we inquired we determined that the natural pattern for facilities of this nature is to have little use on weekends which would then incite an outside operator to attempt to promote liquor sales while the municipally run building would seek to find outside rentals during this period.

Ironically, it should be noted by Council that regardless of whether we have a lounge or not liquor will undoubtedly be served by way of these private rentals.

If it is the concern of the Municipal Council that the municipality has had previously bad experiences in administering its own facilities we would certainly welcome an Operations Committee consisting of Parks and Recreation Commissioners, Library Board Members and Council Members to monitor the operations of the facility and to revoke its lounge facilities at any time if it becomes a problem.

If it is the Municipal Council's concern that the general neighbourhood is opposed to the facility or that staffs calculations are far too optimistic, then we would certainly welcome any suggestions by Municipal Council that a management consultant or marketing consultant be retained to verify the figures which we have provided to you at no charge.

1980 February 11

As a management objective we would submit to Council that the Cameron Library and Recreation Centre is a recreational building designed to allow for maximum adult use and that the lounge was included within the facility as a social amenity to such end. It is the expert opinion of our staff that the expectations of the adult recreator and the provision of athletic endeavours such as racquet ball and tennis entail not only the athletic endeavour itself but the social activities which are complementary and supplementary to it, namely the provision of a lounge area which is not contradictory in any way to the overall use of the building.

We would further submit to Council that the lounge area is an added feature to bring quality of service equal to those services provided by private facilities without imposing upon the user the financial burden which is normally associated with membership in such private facilities. In essence, we are suggesting to the Municipal Council that we, the Parks and Recreation Commission cannot see why the average Burnaby taxpayer who is supporting our municipal facilities should not be able to enjoy the same services as a private club member who can afford what government seems intent to deny the average citizen.

Finally, we wish to reiterate to Council that we have sufficient facilities within the immediate area in Stoney Creek School, Cameron School and Lyndhurst School to provide those recreational programs intended only for children if it is the absolute and necessary concern of this Council to ensure that there is no co-mingling of children with drinking adults. To that end we would also point out the hours of operation of the lounge which coincide with those hours when children are in school and those hours when one would assume small children would not be using the facility to as great an extent as adults.

I trust that I have been able to convey to the Municipal Council that the Parks and Recreation Commission did give consideration to all matters related to the consumption of alcoholic beverages in its buildings and that having given this consideration felt that the addition of this amenity would be a complement to the building rather than a detriment to its use. We, therefore, unanimously support the staff recommendations and sincerely regret the decision of the minority of the Council Members which would preclude us from providing this service. We would hope that Municipal Council would see fit to reconsider that decision and if chooses in its wisdom not to do so to give the Parks and Recreation Commission guidance and direction to ensure that we do not run into conflicting views again in future with respect to the programming and planning of such major facilities as the 2.4 million dollar building we have been discussing this evening."

- (e) Eleanor W. Kool then addressed Council on the bar facility at the Cameron Library and Recreation facility. The following is the substance of Mrs. Kool's submission:

Mrs. Kool was of the opinion that a liquor outlet in the Cameron Street Recreation/Library Complex would be a poor thing to have. She noted that no Public Meetings had been held in the area since 1976. The residents of the area had never had any input into the operation of the Complex. Mrs. Kool advised that she had checked into the operation of the Port Moody facility and had found that the sale of liquor in that facility was not a profitable operation. Mrs. Kool further stated that she was familiar with the operation of the facility at Oak Bay. While this facility may be profitable, she pointed out that the Oak Bay facility was an entirely different operation than that proposed for the Cameron Street Recreation/Library Complex. Mrs. Kool concluded her remarks by reiterating her belief that liquor did not have a place in the Parks and Recreation Commission facility. She was of the opinion that the direction of the facility as an adult oriented recreational complex should be reassessed to provide greater access to the centre by the children of the area.

1980 February 11

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the following recommendations relative to the establishment of a 'Food and Beverage Lounge' in the Cameron Library/Community Centre Complex contained in Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 5, 1980 January 21, be now reconsidered:

- (1) THAT Council continue to support the approval in principle of a 'Food and Beverage Lounge' in the Cameron Library Community Centre Complex.
- (2) THAT Council approve the operation of the lounge by the Parks and Recreation Commission.
- (3) THAT advance approval be given for the establishment and funding of the full-time lounge attendant position.
- (4) THAT Council permit the Corporation to apply for the 'Class A' liquor licence.

The following motion was then before Council for reconsideration:

"MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

'THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted.'

A vote was then taken on the aforementioned motion, 'THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted', with the following results:

FOR: ACTING MAYOR RANDALL,
ALDERMEN AST, DRUMMOND
AND EMMOTT

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN BROWN, LAWSON,
LEWARNE AND STUSIAK

The vote being equal for and against the question, the motion was negatived and LOST.

B Y - L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law
No. 34, 1979'

#7400

'Burnaby Procedure By-law 1971, Amendment By-law 1980'

#7466

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN DRUMMOND AND
RANDALL TO BY-LAW
NO. 7466

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 11, 1980 which pertain thereto be brought forward to consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1980 February 11

- (a) Burnaby Civic Employees' Local Union 23, Secretary
Re: Meeting to discuss reopening of wage settlement

A letter dated 1980 January 30 was received requesting a meeting be held between The Corporation of the District of Burnaby and the Canadian Union of Public Employees, Local 23.

The purpose of such a meeting is to discuss reopening the wage settlement negotiated by the Greater Vancouver Regional District and the C.U.P.E. Joint Negotiating Committee in 1979 July. It is fair to say that the wage settlement finally agreed upon at that time was presumed to more or less reflect anticipated increases in the cost of living during the years 1979-1980.

Last year's experience, as well as recent events, lead us to believe that the parties' negotiators were overly optimistic in their efforts to forecast the ability of the provincial and federal governments to control rising interest rates and energy prices, particularly.

We recognize that there is a signed agreement between the parties and there is no legal requirement on the part of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby to meet with the Union to discuss reopening the wage settlement for 1980. However, in our opinion, it is in the best interest of the parties to discuss this matter fully and fairly, and should it be shown that further consideration on this proposal is warranted, then we trust The Corporation of the District of Burnaby will proceed to do what is fair and reasonable under the circumstances.

- (b) Mrs. A.L. Smith
Re: Decision to oppose a highrise
in an overburdened neighbourhood

A letter dated 1980 January 21 was received in which it was noted that several months ago Burnaby Municipal Council defeated Burnaby Zoning By-law which in effect stopped the plan for the development of the construction of a highrise at the north east corner of Hastings Street and Boundary Road. At that time, already existing traffic was heavy in the neighbourhood, parking spaces were not available due to P.N.E. events, parks and schools could not handle more in attendance, a buffer zone was not present and the residents were strongly opposed to a residential area being destroyed by indiscriminate development. Today these conditions still exist. Will our newly elected Council remain wise in a decision to oppose a highrise in an overburdened neighbourhood?

Alderman Lawson retired from the Council Chamber at 20:35 h.

- (c) James G. Lorimer, M.L.A. Burnaby-Willingdon
Re: Bus Shelters

A letter dated 1980 January 31 was received suggesting that a bus shelter to serve the people living in Fairhaven and the surrounding area be installed at Rumble Street and Sussex Avenue.

Item 5, Municipal Manager's Report No. 11, 1980 February 11, pertaining to this subject, was brought forward for consideration at this time.

The Municipal Engineer reported that while we have a list of approved non-commercial bus shelter locations we have not, as yet, established a program for their installation. It is noted in Item 7, Municipal Manager's Report No. 7, 1980 January 28, we expect to present a program to Council within two months with installation of approved shelters to follow as soon as possible thereafter.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Mr. James G. Lorimer, M.L.A., Burnaby-Willingdon, 19-4429 Kingsway, Burnaby, B.C., V5H 2A1 be sent a copy of this report.

1980 February 11

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (d) Willingdon Park Soccer Association, Secretary
Re: Permission to hold Walk-a-thon -
Saturday and Sunday, 1980 March 15 and 16

A letter dated 1980 January 29 was received requesting permission for the Willingdon Park Soccer Association to hold a Walk-a-thon around Burnaby Lake on 1980 March 15 and 16. The purpose of the Walk-a-thon is to raise money for new uniforms and soccer balls, as well as other equipment for the soccer season.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT permission be granted to the Willingdon Park Soccer Association to hold its Walk-a-thon as requested."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (e) Canadian Diabetic Association, Vancouver
and District Branch, General Manager
Re: Permission to hold a Bike-a-thon -
Sunday 1980 May 04 - 08:00 h to 12:00 h

A letter dated 1980 January 28 was received requesting permission for the Canadian Diabetic Association to hold a Bike-a-thon on Sunday, 1980 May 04 from 08:00 h to 12:00 h.

Item 6, Municipal Manager's Report No. 11, 1980 February 11, pertaining to this subject was brought forward for consideration at this time.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council grant permission to the Canadian Diabetic Association to conduct a Bike-a-thon on 1980 May 04 along the route proposed in their application and subject to the conditions set forth in this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (f) Vancouver Heights Citizens Committee,
Richard Pedersen, Re: Current traffic situation
in Vancouver/Burnaby Heights Area

A copy of a letter dated 1980 January 23 addressed to the North Burnaby Residents Association was received regarding the current traffic situation in the Vancouver/Burnaby Heights area.

- (g) Lower Mainland Municipal Association,
Secretary-Treasurer, Re: Annual General Meeting
- Chilliwack - Thursday, 1980 February 28 - 18:30 h

A letter dated 1980 February 05 was received enclosing an agenda and related material for the Annual General Meeting of the Lower Mainland Municipal Association to be held at the Mr. Sacallis Inn, 45859 Hocking Avenue, Chilliwack, B.C. commencing at 18:30 h on Thursday, 1980 February 28.

1980 February 11

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT any Council Member wishing to attend the Annual General Meeting of the Lower Mainland Municipal Association in Chilliwack, B.C. on 1980 February 28 be authorized to do so with necessary expenses being borne by the municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (h) Riverview Hospital, Department of Volunteer Services, Co-ordinator of Volunteer Services, Re: Appreciate and support work done by Burnaby Volunteer Centre

A letter dated 1980 February 04 was received in which it was advised that it was the writer's understanding that the Burnaby Volunteer Centre had requested additional funding to enable their present part-time workers to be employed for three full days per week.

During the past year, the Burnaby Volunteer Bureau has referred to us several people who are interested in volunteering in the field of mental health. Due to the screening job done by the Bureau all the people referred were found acceptable and became registered volunteers at Riverview Hospital. Both the needs of Riverview patients and the needs of the volunteers are being met.

The writer certainly appreciates and supports the work done by the Burnaby Volunteer Centre and endorses the opinion of extending their hours of operation. It is most difficult to run a volunteer organization on a part-time basis and expect to cover the consumers' needs as well as the volunteers' needs adequately.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT this item of correspondence be referred to the Grants and Publicity Committee."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (i) Rosemary Brown, M.L.A., Burnaby-Edmonds Re: Congratulate Burnaby Council on re-activating Youth Services Division

A letter dated 1980 January 31 was received congratulating the Burnaby Council on re-activating the Youth Services Division and on the appointment of Stephen Doig as the supervisor of the program.

Mrs. Brown advised that she was pleased to see Council take the initiative and re-activate a much needed program for the youths in Burnaby and would like to offer any assistance that she can give in this venture.

E N Q U I R I E S

ALDERMAN LEWARNE

Alderman Lewarne noted that Council on 1980 January 28 had authorized the sale by public tender of certain municipal properties as outlined in Item 2, Municipal Manager's Report No. 7, 1980 January 28. The newspaper advertisement covering the sale of these properties had included a clause, "Bidders are required to provide a suitable plan of development with their bid". Alderman Lewarne noted that Council has never authorized any condition relative to the sale of these properties. Alderman Lewarne was of the opinion that the inclusion of such a condition in the advertisement covering the proposed sale of these properties could quite possibly discourage prospective buyers from submitting bids.

It was agreed that this matter would be left in the hands of the Municipal Manager to take the necessary corrective action insofar as the newspaper advertisements are concerned.

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND

Alderman Drummond noted that one of the days designated for "Outdoor Burning" under the provision of the "Burnaby Fire Prevention By-law" in 1980 fell on Easter Sunday.

Alderman Drummond suggested that Council consider deleting Easter Sunday from the days on which "Outdoor Burning" can be conducted in Burnaby.

ALDERMAN LEWARNE

Alderman Lewarne noted that at the Council Meeting on 1980 February 04, Council had been advised during discussion of Item 13, Municipal Manager's Report No. 9, 1980 February 04 concerning the acquisition of lands for the Keith Street cul-de-sac, that the road pattern for this area had been approved by Council on 1978 February 13. Alderman Lewarne had researched this question and had been unable to establish that the road pattern in the area had, in fact, been approved by Council. Alderman Lewarne requested information as to how and when the road pattern had actually been approved by Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the Municipal Manager bring in a report on this item as to how and when the road pattern in the area south of Marine Drive and east of Boundary Road had been approved by Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

R E P O R T S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council Meeting recessed at 20:55 h

The Council Meeting reconvened at 21:20 h with Mayor Mercier and Alderman Lawson absent.

- (a) Burnaby Public Library Board, Alderman G.D. Ast
Re: Proposed dinner for two retiring members

Alderman G.D. Ast, Council Representative to the Burnaby Public Library Board, submitted a report advising that the Burnaby Public Library Board has expressed a desire to hold a dinner in honour of retiring Chairman, Mr. Gordon E. Smith, who has served on the Board for three two-year terms as well as completing the term of another member who had resigned in 1973, i.e. a total of seven years, and for Mrs. R.M.E. Burnham who served for two years.

Alderman Ast recommended:

- (1) THAT Council approve the Burnaby Public Library Board's proposed dinner for the two retiring members at an estimated cost of \$500.00.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the recommendation of Alderman G.D. Ast be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 11, 1980 on the matters listed following as Items 1 to 14 either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

1980 February 11

1. Renewal of the Centennial Pavilion Lease
S.G.A. Restaurants Mountain Ltd.

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the renewal of the lease of the Centennial Pavilion with S.G.A. Restaurants Mountain Ltd.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the renewal of the lease of the Centennial Pavilion with S.G.A. Restaurants Mountain Ltd. for a further five (5) years at a lease rate of \$30,000.00 or \$2,500.00 per month including sewer repayment plus municipal taxes for the year 1980 only and that on 1980 October 01 negotiations will commence to determine the lease rate for the remaining term of the agreement.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Renewal of the Burnaby Mountain Golf
Course Coffee Shop Lease
S.G.A. Restaurants Course Ltd.

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator concerning the renewal of the Burnaby Mountain Golf Course Coffee Shop lease with S.G.A. Restaurants Course Ltd.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the renewal of the lease with the S.G.A. Restaurants Course Ltd. for the coffee shop at Burnaby Mountain Golf Course for a further five (5) years at the lease rate of \$5,000.00, plus municipal taxes for the year 1980 only, and that on 1980 October 01 negotiations commence to determine the lease rate for the remaining term of the agreement.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Tenders for Tires, Tubes, Retreads and Repairs
Including Service

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Purchasing Agent for tires, tubes, retreads and repairs and service.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT a contract be awarded to Vancouver General Tire for one (1) year commencing 1980 February 12 to supply New General Tires, Tubes, Retreads, Repairs and Tire Service based on the actual volume purchased and services provided at the prices, rates and conditions tendered.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Engineer's Special Estimates

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Engineer concerning special estimates of work for his department in the total amount of \$62,000.00.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the estimates as submitted by the Municipal Engineer be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Letter from Mr. James G. Lorimer
19-4429 Kingsway, Burnaby, B.C., V5H 2A1
Request for a Bus Shelter

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 4.(c) under Correspondence and Petitions.

6. Letter from the Canadian Diabetic Association
4480 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C., V5V 3R3
Request to hold a Bike-a-thon on 1980 May 04

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 4.(e) under Correspondence and Petitions.

7. Request for authority to approve
Walk-a-thons and Bike-a-thons

The Municipal Manager provided a report requesting authority for Municipal staff to approve Walk-a-thons and Bike-a-thons.

The Municipal Manager reported that Bike-a-thons and Walk-a-thons are events that can be administered routinely on the staff level. If such authority is delegated, however, care would be exercised to ensure that Council is made aware of any unusual circumstances that may arise in connection with an event, such as the closure of a major road or participation by an inordinately large number of people. Experience indicates, however, that such circumstances would rarely if ever occur as the result of this type of event.

Council is also on occasion asked to temporarily close a portion of a street for outdoor neighbourhood dances, or for activities involving roller-skates, sleds, etc. It is proposed that such requests continue to be approved by Council on a case-by-case basis because they are very infrequent and as a rule can be expected to be somewhat more involved than walking and bicycling events.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) (a) THAT Council pass the following resolution which would become effective immediately upon its passage:

"The Municipal Council does hereby authorize the Municipal Engineer in 1980 to approve all requests for Walk-a-thons and Bike-a-thons, subject to the understanding that the Municipal Engineer will co-ordinate and obtain approval from the Officer-in-Charge, R.C.M.P., and in cases where it may be necessary, the Parks and Recreation Department and the Provincial Ministry of Transportation and Highways."

- (b) THAT all letters to Council from persons who request permission to hold Walk-a-thons and Bike-a-thons be acknowledged directly by the Municipal Engineer without referral to Council, except that when there are unusual circumstances, both the letter and a report will be submitted to Council for consideration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Proposed acquisition of Parkland
Subdivision Reference #109/78
Lots 139 and 136, D.L. 15, Plan 50979
Proposed Subdivision West of Norcrest and South
of Broadway: Stoney Creek Trail System - Stage 1

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the proposed acquisition of parkland.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the acquisition of parkland of the area shown on map "A" of the Director of Planning's report dated 1979 November 14, on the basis proposed within that report, subject to the applicant proceeding with the subdivision.
- (2) THAT the purchase price of \$21,126.00 be charged to the Parkland Acquisition Levy Account for Area #17.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT further consideration of this matter be tabled until later this evening to allow the Director of Planning to provide additional information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT this matter be now lifted from the table."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the original motion as moved by Alderman Stusiak and seconded by Alderman Brown, "THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted", and same was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

9. Tenders for High Density Fertilizer

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding tenders for high density fertilizer.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the execution of a contract with Green Valley Fertilizer and Chemical Co. Ltd. for the supply and delivery of high density fertilizer (using sulphur-coated urea) as per that company's tender in the amount of \$26,236.20.
- (2) THAT Council authorize the execution of a contract with O.M. Scott & Sons for the supply and delivery of high density fertilizer as per Items 3 and 4 of that company's tender in the amount of \$4,810.50.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10. Rezoning Applications
Proposed Improvements to the Public
Information Process

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning containing suggestions for improvement to the manner in which the public is informed about rezoning applications.

The Director of Planning reported that in order to improve the level of general public information regarding rezoning applications two proposals are being made in addition to the existing Public Hearing notification process:

- (1) The placement of large, clearly visible sign(s) explaining the purpose of the rezoning on the site to be rezoned. The sign(s) would be placed following Council's receiving the application.
- (2) In cases where there is a high level of interest in the rezoning application, the holding of a Public Information Meeting to provide staff with the concerns of the affected residents early in the design process and in advance of the formal Public Hearing.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council adopt the policy of requiring applicants for rezoning to post a 1.2 m x 2.4 m (4 feet by 8 feet) sign(s) on each principal street frontage of the site outlining the purpose for the rezoning application in accordance with the guidelines set out in Section 3.1 of the Director of Planning's Report.
- (2) THAT the Planning Department be authorized to hold Public Information Meetings prior to the actual Public Hearings in cases where there is a high level of public interest in a rezoning proposal and on the understanding that the Director of Planning will report to Council and obtain Council authority before the Public Information Meetings are held.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

- (3) "THAT when the Director of Planning has had Council approval for a Public Meeting, the Members of the Advisory Planning Commission be advised of the meeting for their information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the original motion as moved by Alderman Ast and seconded by Alderman Drummond, "THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted", as amended, and same was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

11. Soils Study for the Strike Avenue Disposal Area

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Engineer concerning the Stride Avenue disposal area.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Corporation enter into an Engineering Agreement with Underwood McLellan Ltd. to provide the necessary engineering services as outlined in the Municipal Engineer's report and in accordance with the consultant's proposal for an estimated cost of \$29,500.00 plus disbursements.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

12. Soils Study for an industrial area and a
portion of the Stride Avenue Disposal Area

The Municipal Manager provided a joint report from the Director of Planning and the Municipal Engineer regarding a soils study for an industrial area and a portion of the Stride Avenue disposal area.

The Municipal Manager noted that this report differs from Item 11, Municipal Manager's Report No. 11, 1980, in that Item 11 is only concerned with the potential problems that could arise in connection with the types of refuse that were, in the past, deposited at the Stride Avenue landfill disposal site. This report requests authority for a study to determine if certain lands which are owned by the municipality and Hydro are suitable for construction of a commercial building. The recommendation in this report, if adopted, would also provide information that is needed to satisfy the concerns of prospective purchasers, and as noted on page 136 of the joint report, would also provide information that would facilitate a tendering process.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the Municipal Engineer to engage Underwood McLellan Ltd. to provide engineering services as more particularly described in the joint report of the Director of Planning and the Municipal Engineer with the estimated cost of \$15,000.00 to be shared on an equal basis with the B.C. Hydro and Power Authority Ltd.
- (2) THAT the appropriate Engineering Agreement be entered into between the Corporation and Underwood McLellan Ltd. for the provision of the required services.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Shell Canada Limited Refinery Expansion

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning on a proposal by Shell Canada to modernize and expand its facilities in Burnaby.

The Director of Planning reported that at the 1980 January 07 meeting of Council, a series of questions to municipal staff were presented, pertaining to the current Shellburn Refinery modernization and expansion proposal and certain related atmospheric emission control topics.

The purpose of this report is to provide the answers to these questions, based on staff research and incorporating information supplied by the Air Quality Control section of the Greater Vancouver Regional District and refinery officials, where specific technical information from these respective sources was required.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the report of the Director of Planning be received for information purposes.

1980 February 11

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT this report be referred to the Pollution Committee."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

14. Application for Rezoning of Property
7659 Fourteenth Avenue
Lifeline Society

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding the proposed rezoning of the subject property from Residential District (R5) to Community Institutional District (P5).

The Municipal Manager reported that on 1980 February 04, a representative for the Lifeline Society appeared as a delegation to request that an application for rezoning of a property on Fourteenth Avenue be expedited so that a facility can be quickly established for the temporary care of women and children who are the victims of family violence and other crises.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council request a rezoning by-law be prepared for Rezoning Reference #2/80 and that the rezoning be advanced to a Public Hearing on 1980 March 18 at 19:30 h and that the following be established as prerequisite conditions to the completion of the rezoning:
 - (a) The dedication of any rights-of-way deemed requisite to include the lane allowance as referred to in Section 4.4 of the Director of Planning's report.
 - (b) The granting of any necessary easements.
 - (c) The upgrading of the building and yard areas wherever necessary.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

N E W B U S I N E S S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT Site 8 (Rezoning Reference #27/79) Lake City East Community Plan Area be deleted from the Community Plan as a highrise site."

His Worship, Acting Mayor Randall, ruled that this subject would have to be introduced by Alderman Lewarne as a "Notice of Motion".

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:
SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1980 February 11

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole 'In Camera'."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY