1980 MARCH 10

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. on Monday, 1980 March 10 at 19:00 h.

PRESENT:

Mayor D.M. Mercier, In the Chair

Alderman D.N. Brown
Alderman D.P. Drummond
Alderman A.H. Emmott
Alderman D.A. Lawson
Alderman W.A. Lewarne
Alderman F.G. Randall
Alderman V.V. Stusiak

ABSENT:

Alderman G.D. Ast

STAFF:

Mr. M.J. Shelley, Municipal Manager Mr. E.E. Olson, Municipal Engineer Mr. A.L. Parr, Director of Planning

Mr. J.G. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager

Mr. James Hudson, Municipal Clerk Mr. B.D. Leche, Deputy Municipal Clerk

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT Alderman G.D. Ast be granted a leave of absence from the Council Meeting this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RECOGNITION

Alderman Emmott drew Council's attention to the recent passing of Mrs. Pat Wilks who served as a Councillor on the Burnaby Municipal Council from 1951 to 1954 and suggested that Mrs. Wilks' contribution to the municipality be suitably recognized.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT this Council formally recognize the contribution of Mrs. Pat Wilks to the municipality during her term of office as a Councillor on the Burnaby Municipal Council from 1951 to 1954."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PUBLIC HEARING

"Burnaby Highway Exchange By-law No. 1, 1980", By-law No. 7474. A By-law to dispose of a 16 foot lane allowance north of 7532 Conway Avenue and 6537 Prenter Street in exchange for the acquisition of the southerly 16 feet of properties at 7532 Conway Avenue and 6537 Prenter Street.

There were no submissions received in connection with "Burnaby Highway Exchange By-law No. 1, 1980", By-law No. 7474.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT this Public Hearing be now terminated."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MINUTES

The minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 March 03 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the minutes of the Council Meeting held on 1980 March 03 be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PROCLAMATION

His Worship, Mayor Mercier, issued the following proclamation regarding "Diabetes Month":

"WHEREAS with the approach of the Canadian Diabetic Association Annual Campaign, we are reminded about the growing incidence of diabetes in our relatively affluent country;

AND WHEREAS the lives of approximately 1.2 million Canadians are adversely affected by diabetes-related problems;

AND WHEREAS it is necessary to continue and expand the field of research to find a cure for this chronic disease;

NOW THEREFORE I, DAVID M. MERCIER, MAYOR OF THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM

THE MONTH OF MARCH 1980

AS "DIABETES MONTH"

and earnestly commend its observance to all citizens of Burnaby in order to help awaken nationwide support for diabetics, and in order to encourage researchers to continue the splendid work done by two great Canadians, Doctors Banting and Best, with their discovery of Insulin in 1921.

DELEGATIONS

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (a) Lower Mainland Wildlife Rescue Association, President, 1980 March 02,
 Re: Letter of Support from Council Spokesperson: Joley Aldam
- (b) MacKay Rafael LaVan and MacKinlay,
 John R. LaVan, 1980 February 27,
 Re: Rezoning Reference #27/79 Site 8 Centaurus Circle
 Spokesman: John R. LaVan
- (c) Simon Fraser Joint Council,
 Miss C. Elliott, 1980 March 03,
 Re: Zoning Reference #27/79 Site 8 Centaurus Circle
 Spokesperson: Miss C. Elliott
- (d) David B. Fairey, 1980 March 05, Re: Assessment Appeal - Shellburn Refinery Property Spokesman: David B. Fairey
- (e) Andrew J. Seary, 1980 March 05 Re: Impact of Planned Development on North Burnaby Spokesman: A.J. Seary
- (f) Century Park Museum Association, President, 1980 March 05, Re: History of the Village; Volunteers in the Village; Accounts; Proposed Criteria for 1980 Spokesperson: Mrs. M. Darbey

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the delegations be heard."

(a) Joley Aldam, speaking on behalf of the Lower Mainland Wildlife Rescue Association, then addressed Council on the subject of funding for the Burnaby Lake Nature House. The following is the text of Miss Aldam's submission:

"I am sure that all of you know of the Burnaby Lake Nature House and the programs which have been offered there since 1974 to the present time. You may not be aware, however, that the Nature House has become one of the few havens for orphaned and injured wildlife. In 1975 the first animal was brought to the Nature House for care and its release on Burnaby Lake. Since that time the number of wild animals has grown as has the number of telephone calls regarding wildlife in distress. In 1979 we received over 600 phone calls in a period of just under four months and treated over 200 animals which were brought to us by the S.P.C.A. and the general public who are often referred by the Canadian Wildlife Federation and the B.C. Fish and Wildlife Association. With government and community organizations support a small wildlife facility with two aviaries, a treatment area, and a tiny library has also grown, albeit much more slowly than the number of patients. In order to cope more effectively with with problem, in 1979 several volunteers involved with wildlife care formed the Lower Mainland Wildlife Rescue Association and we obtained a tax number from Revenue Canada. Association is requesting financial assistance from several agencies and companies so that one full-time person can be hired as a Wildlife Care Co-ordinator, information sheets about wildlife care can be produced for the public, a training program for wildlife volunteers can be run and the facility at Burnaby Lake can be improved. We are requesting that Burnaby Council provide the Lower Mainland Wildlife Rescue Association with a letter of support which can be used in the application for funds, the most immediate of which is the Federal Students Employment Grant, which must be completed by 1980 March 28.'

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT this Council provide the Lower Mainland Wildlife Rescue Association with a letter of support to aid in their approach to the various government and private agencies for funding."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(b) Mr. John R. LaVan then addressed Council on the subject of Rezoning Reference #27/79 (Site 8 - Centaurus Circle). The following is the substance of Mr. LaVan's remarks:

"The reason for my appearance before Council again this evening is to ask Council to revise its reference which was directed to the Planning Department on 1980 February 04, wherein Council directed the Planning Department, at that time, to work with the developer to develop a scheme of townhouses. Clearly a scheme of townhouses is improbable, impractical, and impossible, given the cost of this land. Now the developer is at a point where Planning will not entertain any proposals, he cannot, at this point in time, go to his architect to prepare a proposal that is financially viable without a specific direction from this Council to permit the Planning Department to work with him on these lines. It is our impression from the Planning Department that they will not entertain any proposal other than a townhouse development right now and that is the request that I put to you this evening."

(c) Miss Carol Elliott, speaking on behalf of the Simon Fraser Joint Council, then addressed Council on the subject of Rezoning Reference #27/79 - Site 8, Centaurus Circle. The following is the substance of Miss Elliott's remarks:

"At last week's Council Meeting a statement was made that the foundations are in place and buried on Site 6. According to the former Dunhill Planner, there are no buried free-standing foundations on the interior of Site 6. Of the related work that was done approximately 56% was required for NW 313 which is Simon Fraser Hills Phase 4. The part relating to the proposed structure amounts to approximately one to two percent of the total required for a high rise development. Twelve inch retaining walls were poured, centred on the property line between NW 313 and the proposed high rise.

It would appear to us that the property owners in NW 313 may have actually paid for these walls in the price of their units. We are asking Council to place the development of Site 6 in abeyance as suggested in the Planner's report at the Council Meeting on 1980 February 04, and to notify the property owner and his agent of this request should it happen.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Item 7.(b) - Tabled Matters, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT Item 8, Municipal Manager's Report No. 9, 1980 February 04, pertaining to Rezoning Reference #27/79, together with the following motion which was adopted by Council at that time: 'That the Planning Department be directed to work with the developer to come forward with a townhouse development incorporating a commercial component', be now lifted from the table."

CARRIED UNINIMOUSLY

The following motion was then before Council for consideration:

"MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

'THAT recommendations Nos. 1 and 2, Item 8, Municipal Manager's Report No. 9, 1980 February 04, as follows be adopted:

- (1) That Council authorize the Planning Department to work with the applicant of RZ #27/79 towards appropriate modifications to the development proposal, as noted in Section 5.2 of the Director of Planning's Report on the understanding that the rezoning will be advanced to first and second readings upon satisfactory completion of the changes.
- (2) That Council authorize the Planning Department to work with the owner of Site 6 towards appropriate modifications to the adopted Comprehensive Development Plan as noted in Section 5.2 of the Director of Planning's Report prior to the issuance of the Preliminary Plan Approval."

It was agreed that each of the aforementioned recommendations would be voted on separately.

Recommendation No. 1

MOTION DEFEATED

OPPOSED: MAYOR MERCIER, ALDERMEN

BROWN, DRUMMOND, LAWSON, LEWARNE AND RANDALL

Recommendation No. 2

MOTION DEFEATED

OPPOSED: MAYOR MERCIER, ALDERMEN

BROWN, DRUMMOND, EMMOTT,

LAWSON, LEWARNE AND

RANDALL

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the Director of Planning submit a report on alternate development comprising three storeys or less on Site 6."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT Item 7.(c) - Tabled Matters, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the following "Notice of Motion" concerning Lake City East Community Plan Area - Site 8, be now lifted from the table:

'WHEREAS on 1980 February 04 Burnaby Council unanimously directed the Planning Department to work with the Developer to develop Site 8 as a townhouse development with a commercial component in Lake City East Community Plan Area; and

WHEREAS the existing Community Plan allows a high rise use:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Community Plan be amended to show a townhouse development and commercial use on Site 8.'

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the aforementioned "Notice of Motion" be now adopted."

MOTION DEFEATED

OPPOSED: MAYOR MERCIER, ALDERMEN

BROWN, DRUMMOND, EMMOTT,

LAWSON, RANDALL AND

STUSIAK

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the Director of Planning report on alternative development comprising three storeys or less on Site 8."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the aforementioned motion be amended to provide for a commercial component to be included in Site 8."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMAN DRUMMOND

A vote was then taken on the original motion as moved by Alderman Drummond and seconded by Alderman Emmott, "That the Director of Planning report on alternative development comprising three storeys or less on Site 8", as amended, and same was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(d) David B. Fairey then addressed Council concerning an assessment appeal on the Shellburn Refinery property. The following is the substance of Mr. Fairey's submission:

"Just prior to 1980 January 20 I filed with the Assessment Authority a Notice of Appeal regarding the 1980 Assessment on the Shellburn Refinery property at Hastings Street and Kensington Avenue in Burnaby. I received a notice of the date and time of the Court of Revision sitting on this case and this was to be February 26 at 12 noon. On the appointed day, not knowing the exact location of where the Court of Revision was going to sit,

I phoned the Assessment Authority and was given directions on how to find the Court of Revision. I arrived in the vicinity of the address given to me, but due to inaccuracy of the directions given to me I did not arrive at the Court of Revision until five or ten minutes past twelve. The three members of the Court of Revision had left the premises and the only people left at the Court were the Area Assessor himself and the Secretary of the I was advised by the Secretary of the Court that I should contact the Chairman of the Court of Revision and that perhaps I would be able to I phoned the Chairman of arrange another date to present my submission. the Court of Revision, I was advised that the Court had dealt with my case and had rejected the appeal. I am now advised that I have the opportunity to take this matter to the Assessment Appeal Board and it is that which I am addressing now and I am seeking the support of Council in my appeal to the Assessment Appeal Board."

Mr. Fairey then reviewed in detail the submission which he had prepared for the Court of Revision together with a fact sheet regarding the assessments on the Shellburn Refinery.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the matter of the appeal submission to the Court of Revision by Mr. D.B. Fairey in reference to the Shellburn Refinery property be referred to staff to determine if the appeal has merit and whether the Council should support same."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(e) Mr. Andrew J. Seary then addressed Council on the impact of planned development on North Burnaby. The following is the substance of Mr. Seary's remarks:

Mr. Seary drew Council's attention to developments that are taking place in Vancouver that are well on their way to completion and speaking of plans to deal with P.N.E. parking problems. There is now an area planner working in the east Vancouver area. They are doing a Community Plan Review there and they have identified as their first priority that some method be worked out to lessen the impact of P.N.E. events on local residents. There have been a number of meetings with local residents and P.N.E. officials and a method seems to have been worked out which involves "Resident Parking Only" on all residential streets near the P.N.E. during P.N.E. events. Boundary Road is only four blocks away from Empire Stadium and the P.N.E. impact has more than doubled in the last three years. This impact already extends well into Burnaby. Problems are going to arise when "Resident Parking Only" is enforced in Vancouver.

This matter was being presented to Council for two important reasons:

- (1) There is apparently no liaison between Vancouver and Burnaby at the engineering level, the planning level, the policing level, or the political level. Mr. Seary understood that the Planning Department was now in possession of some information on the matter and that Council would receive some soon.
- (2) The key in a situation like this is enforcement which involves liaison between the Vancouver City Police and the Burnaby Detachment R.C.M.P. Matters of policy are involved. Should there be "Resident Parking Only" in Burnaby or what else could be done? Finally, matters of management and direction are involved.

Mr. Seary requested Council to get the ball rolling now. The Vancouver Planners will present their plans to the Vancouver Transportation Committee by late April and to Vancouver City Council by early May. Burnaby should get involved in this right now so that recommendations that complement Vancouver regulations are placed before Burnaby Council on a similar timetable. Mr. Seary advised that a Public Meeting will be held in the Hastings Community Centre on Thursday, 1980 March 13 in which the plans outlined here will be finalized. This is a good opportunity for Burnaby to have some official input on this issue on all of the levels mentioned.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT this Council write to the City of Vancouver and the Pacific National Exhibition to request that they apprise The Corporation of the District of Burnaby of any actions they may wish to take relative to the east Vancouver area and that Burnaby Council receive notification of such actions prior to their implementation."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(f) Mrs. Merilynn Darbey, President, Century Park Museum Association, then addressed Council on the subject of Heritage Village.

Council agreed to hear each of the four speakers on the four subjects listed. The following is the text of Mrs. Darbey's submission:

"On behalf of the Century Park Museum Association, we the present Board of Directors, the Executive of the Association, which are the representatives on the Management Committee, would like to set the public record straight.

We have been called incompetent. We will show that this is not a fact. A lot of our present problems arose from the fact no concise agreement has ever been formulated between The Corporation of the District of Burnaby and C.P.M.A. It was realized that there was a weakness in the area of management goals. We endeavoured to rectify this, but were mid-way in our task when Parks and Recreation Commission took over.

Our budget has been quoted in the news as \$300,000.00. This is our total annual budget. C.P.M.A. only asked Parks and Recreation for \$133,000.00, the balance was to be generated from grants, retail outlets as well as our gate. We feel that under Parks and Recreation it will well exceed the \$300,000.00.

An employee of Heritage Village, Parks and Recreation gave an interview, with the Administrator's permission, to the Sun. In this interview, the following quote was made, that "C.P.M.A. had an incestuous situation in relationship to management of Heritage Village ...". We are of the opinion that this statement was improper on the part of a Corporation and Parks and Recreation Department employee. There have been a great number of people who have given of their time and talents over the past eight years, to bring Heritage Village to the wonderful place it is at present. You must be aware that the newspaper statement did not help our present situation but inflamed it further.

During last year we suffered losses in our Gift Shop sales. This being the direct result of purchases made by a staff person who was not fully aware of the particular needs of special customers that Heritage Village caters to. Prior to two years ago the Gift Shop had shown growth in profit for each year of its operation. It helped provide a variety of necessities in the Capital expenditure area - e.g. the refurbishing of Irvine House, sponsoring "Bygones of Burnaby", furnishings for the Bank basement, to name only a few. From a report requested and endorsed by both the present and past Boards, it has upheld the past Volunteer Management Policies re the Gift Shop up to the time paid staff took over the management.

The History of Heritage Village is well known to most of the community and the people of Burnaby. A vast number have been a part of its growth, through which a very unique atmosphere has been given to Heritage Village.

The present group of Directors had some very far reaching plans for 1980. The start was to review the staffing needs of Heritage Village, reviewing and redefining Job Descriptions, adding to the Staff Policy Manual. Also there were extensive plans to improve our major retail outlet (Gift Shop). Plans were underway to make Heritage Village one of the number one tourist attractions in Burnaby through a good publicity campaign. It was hoped that Heritage Village would be a part of the P.N.E. Parade as well as possibly having a booth at the Exhibition as well. There were plans to start clearing land with a large number of volunteers so as to be ready for

our expansion. Volunteers were to be used as extensively as possible to help keep expenses at a minimum. We also were working on ways to revise the budget for more effective use of the dollars than in the past.

You are aware that there has been a great deal of volunteer effort over the past eight years. This could continue provided Parks and Recreation would sit and listen in good faith. Contrary to members of the Commission's preconceived idea that volunteers are persons with much time on their hands and not very knowledgeable. These people who make up a good part of every work force today, are well educated, willing workers who use their devotion to their interest to help provide enjoyment for others.

If Parks and Recreation really had to replace the present volunteers with paid staff, the cost would be between \$15,000.00 and \$20,000.00 to cover a six month period. The present impression held by Parks and Recreation is that the volunteers will return to Heritage Village no matter what. is not so, because they wish to be heard and have some input into their place These are keen, devoted museum conscious people. They have formed a group, and as such have withdrawn their services due to the reversal of the C.P.M.A. staff changes which occurred, at the time of the take-over. They feel that by being an organized group, perhaps then their voice of concern might be heard at Parks and Recreation. If you are not aware, many volunteers are being forced out in many areas of our community due to certain conflicts that arise when volunteers and unions confront each other. The costly turnover of volunteers is as important as staff turnover, this being due to the time and money spent to give interpretive and on-the-job training.

We were asked by Your Worship, The Mayor, to co-operate and negotiate with Parks and Recreation. We are of the opinion at this time that we have wasted a considerable amount of time and effort for nought, e.g. the Parks and Recreation decided to reduce the Volunteer Co-ordinator job from a five day a week job to a two day a week job. We requested that the Administrator consult a person who had done this job prior to a paid staff member. request was made three separate times. The request was ignored and our wishes ignored. An advertisement appeared for the above two days a week job. We made the request because that particular job took two years of research to outline and many hours of study to finalize a Job Description, as well as the This is only one example of deadnumber of hours required to do it well. It is apparent that Parks and Recreation have not done their research in museum operation re this and other pertinent issues. Therefore, how is it possible for them to operate effectively in this frame-work. There is an apparent misinterpretation of the words "negotiate" and "co-operate".

The Chairman of the Parks and Recreation Commission had admitted that the moves made were precipitous, also that there was no question of the competency on the Management Committee. The Chairman has also stated that our respective goals re Heritage Village were almost parallel. Also it was suggested that we should continue to work as in the past. If this be the truth, then let Parks and Recreation give some credence to our very real concerns.

The C.P.M.A. Management Committee members are highly annoyed that the Parks and Recreation Commission take-over was based on one report, that of the Chairman, and that report was full of errors and subsequently, the author apologized for many of the statements. It was this document that the Parks and Recreation Commission acted upon so precipitously. Our members are incensed by the smearing of their reputations, and Parks and Recreation's insinuations of incompetence and fiscal irresponsibility, which is completely at odds with the truth.

We therefore respectfully request that the Mayor and Council support the legality of C.P.M.A.'s right to manage Heritage Village as per resolution of 1972 February 21. Also the right to make staff changes prior to the Parks Commission takeover and that those staff changes be upheld. That Council should restore C.P.M.A. as the employer of Village staff. That C.P.M.A. recognizes the right of its staff to a government supervised vote on whether they want to unionize as set out under the Labour Relations Act. Whatever the outcome, C.P.M.A. would set up improved staff grievance policies.

C.P.M.A. supports a full review by Parks Commission of the management of Heritage Village and has and will continue to co-operate in anticipation of improved and clearly spelled out roles for the Corporation's and Association's responsibilities.

Over the past there has been some bickering but due to our present situation we find all that trivia to be put in the past. Support is polarized behind C.P.M.A. and very adamently opposed to Parks and Recreation.

This being evident in the support being given us by the Model Railroad, Steam Association, Historical Society, general membership and public.

It is the general opinion that if there is to be a profitable 1980 season, the control of Heritage Village must be returned to C.P.M.A. Also that without the volunteer support many programs will be terminated and become a heavy burden to the Corporation and a loss of reputation and revenue to Heritage Village. The considered opinion of all is that if the Parks and Recreation operation continues during the review process that no matter what recommendations this review would have, the existing management style would then be irrevocable.

We therefore see this elected Council as the only possible arbitrator, as their support is supposed to reflect the wishes of the public of Burnaby. This would enable Heritage Village to retain its unique and warm atmosphere it has become known for."

Mrs. B. Glover, Chairlady, Gift Shop Committee, Heritage Village, then addressed Council and outlined the role of the volunteer at Heritage Village with particular reference to the Gift Shop.

Mrs. Glover was of the opinion that the recent dismissal of the Volunteer Co-ordinator had been a particularly disruptive influence on volunteers at Heritage Village. Mrs. Glover urged Council to return the management of Heritage Village to the Century Park Museum Association.

His Worship, Mayor Mercier, requested Mrs. Glover to put her thoughts and recommendations on the volunteer situation at Heritage Village, together with any recommendations which she may have relative to this matter in writing and to submit them to the Parks and Recreation Administrator.

Mr. D. Copan, Treasurer, Century Park Museum Association, then addressed Council on the matter of C.P.M.A. accounts and budgets over the past few years. Mr. Copan requested that Council allow the Century Park Museum Association to manage Heritage Village as it has done since the Village's inception.

Mr. L. McDonnell, Century Park Museum Association Management Committee, then addressed Council and suggested that with the election of a new Management Committee the Century Park Museum Association was in an excellent position to manage Heritage Village. The bickering that had been evident in the past had now been eliminated and the Management Committee was now in a position to provide leadership, management and a good volunteer organization to provide for the efficient operation of Heritage Village.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT this Council recommend to the Parks and Recreation Commission that they take the Chairman's report back to the Management Committee and draw up the draft agreement allowing the existing structure to remain in place and allow the status quo to remain pending the drawing up of the agreement within four weeks and that there be no staff hired by either the Parks and Recreation Commission or Century Park Museum Association in the interim."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: MAYOR MERCIER, ALDERMEN

DRUMMOND AND RANDALL

BY-LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 5, 1980'

*#*7471

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report progress on By-law No. 7471."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN BROWN,

DRUMMOND AND RANDALL

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN BROWN,

DRUMMOND AND RANDALL

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 5, 1980'

#7471

be now read two times."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN BROWN,

DRUMMOND AND RANDALL

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

'Burnaby Local Improvement Charges By-law 1980'	<i>‡</i> 7479
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 2, 1980'	<i>‡</i> 7480
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 3, 1980'	<i>‡</i> 7481
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 4, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7482
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 5, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7483
'Burnaby Road Closing By-law No. 2, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7484

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT

'Burnaby Local Improvement Charges By-law 1980'	#7479 v
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 2, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7480
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 3, 1980'	#7481
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 4, 1980'	#7482
'Burnaby Lease Authorization By-law No. 5, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7483
'Burnaby Road Closing By-law No. 2, 1980'	<i>#</i> 7484

be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT

'Burnaby Highway Exchange By-law No. 1, 1980' #7474

'Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 8, 1980'

#7477

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMAN LEWARNE

TO BY-LAW NO. 7477 ALDERMAN STUSIAK TO BY-LAW NO. 7474

AND 7477

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 19, 1980 which pertain thereto be brought forward for consideration at this time."

(a) Community Promotions Group, Secretary Re: Miss Burnaby Pageant

A letter dated 1980 February 27 was received requesting a grant in the amount of \$3,255.00 covering all costs of Miss Burnaby during 1980.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT no consideration for a grant be given for the Miss Burnaby Pageant."

FOR: ALDERMEN BROWN, DRUMMOND,

LEWARNE AND STUSIAK

OPPOSED: MAYOR MERCIER, ALDERMEN

EMMOTT, LAWSON AND

RANDALL

The votes being equal for and against the motion the question was declared negatived and LOST.

(b) Union of British Columbia Municipalities,

Executive Director

Re: Directors on the Board of Directors of The British Columbia Assessment Authority

A letter dated 1980 February 26 was received in which it was advised that in each year at this time the U.B.C.M. Executive has solicited from each U.B.C.M. member municipality and regional district the names of suitable individuals who might be considered by the Executive, and subsequently by the Provincial Cabinet, for appointments as Directors on the Board of Directors of the B.C. Assessment Authority.

Because of the large number of names which were submitted to the U.B.C.M. in 1979, the U.B.C.M. Executive has decided not to solicit more names for the two Director's positions which will become vacant in June, 1980, but to ask each member municipality and regional district who submitted names last year to check whether they wish the same individuals to be considered for appointment in 1980.

In 1979, Burnaby Council recommended the names of: Mr. H.W. Balfour and Mr. Dennis Collingwood.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT Item 8.(a) Reports, pertaining to this subject, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Mayor Mercier submitted a report in which it was recommended that the following nominations be resubmitted for consideration by the U.B.C.M. Executive, and subsequently by the Provincial Cabinet, for appointments as Directors on the 1980 Board of Directors of the B.C. Assessment Authority:

Mr. Harold W. Balfour Apt. #217 - 7052 Linden Avenue Burnaby, B.C. V5E 3G6

Mr. Dennis Collingwood Apt. #1404 - 4288 Grant Street Burnaby, B.C. V5H 1P2

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the recommendation of His Worship, Mayor Mercier, be adopted."

 (c) Province of British Columbia, Ministry of Provincial Secretary and Government Services, Minister,
 Re: Payment from Recreation Facilities Assistance Program

A letter dated 1980 February 27 was received enclosing a Province of British Columbia cheque in the amount of \$114,282.73 representing the second payment from the Recreation Facilities Assistance Program towards the Eastburn Community Facility.

(d) George P. Littlejohn
 Re: Totally opposed to announcement of an
 Annacis Island Bridge Crossing of Fraser River

A copy of a letter dated 1980 February 26 addressed to the Honourable Bill Bennett, Premier of British Columbia, was received expressing adamant opposition to the announcement of an Annacis Island Bridge Crossing of the Fraser River.

(e) Mrs. B. Zinetti, Re: Meeting
 at Gilmore School - Wednesday, 1980 February 27

A letter dated 1980 February 28 was received concerning a Public Meeting held at Gilmore School on 1980 February 27 in connection with a current review of Community Plan Area 3. The writer was of the opinion that we do not need more traffic and pollution nor do we need more population in Burnaby. We cannot offer more jobs for there is no industry in Burnaby. More and more small and middle sized companies are moving out of Vancouver towards Richmond and Delta. Let those communities expand.

(f) Province of British Columbia, Ministry of Finance, Minister, Re: Additional earnings from British Columbia Municipalities Sinking Fund

A letter dated 1980 February 29 was received in which it was noted that greater than anticipated returns have resulted in the District of Burnaby receiving \$112,060.26 representing additional earnings of a Sinking Fund set up to retire a debt of \$721.00.00.

These monies can be used for general purposes by the District and will assist them in keeping tax increases to a minimum.

Item 15, Municipal Manager's Report No. 19, 1980 March 10, pertaining to this subject, was brought forward for consideration at this time.

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Treasurer concerning Sinking Fund Surplus from the Burnaby Waterworks Construction and Loan By-law 1959, By-law No. 4086.

The Municipal Treasurer reported that, traditionally, Council has used monies surplus to need from debenture debt issues and sinking funds on works of a nature similar to that for which the debt was created. Consequently, the Municipal Treasurer has placed the funds in a special reserve for the purpose of capital works in the water system and in due course will bring down a By-law to authorize its expenditure on waterworks projects recommended by the Municipal Engineer.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the report of the Municipal Treasurer be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

(g) Federation of Canadian Municipalities, Executive Director Re: FCM Membership Fees - 1980-1981

A letter dated 1980 March 03 was received enclosing an invoice covering membership fees in the Federation of Canadian Municipalities for the period 1980 April 01 to 1981 March 31 in the amount of \$4,605.97.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the invoice from the Federation of Canadian Municipalities covering membership fees for the period 1980 April 01 to 1981 March 31 be paid."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT this meeting extend beyond 22:30 h."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(h) D.C. Wicklow, Re: Application for Subdivision of 3872 Spruce Street into two lots

A letter dated 1980 March 03 was received concerning the subdivision of the property at 3872 Spruce Street into two lots.

Council was advised that a staff report on this subject would be available on $1980~\mathrm{March}~17$ and further consideration of the matter was deferred until that time.

(i) James Caswell, Re: Who built this road- off Gaglardi Way at the foot of Simon Fraser Way

A letter dated 1980 February 26 was received enquiring as to who had been responsible for the construction of Ash Grove Crescent in the Burnaby 200 area which had been completely washed out as a result of the floods experienced in December 1979.

Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 19, 1980 March 10, pertaining to this subject, was brought forward for consideration at this time.

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Engineer concerning flooding problems and damage resulting from rainstorms in December 1979.

The Municipal Engineer advised that this report is to provide Council with a description of the problems which were experienced as a result of the heavy rainfall which occurred during the period 1979 December 13 to December 20. The circumstances can be categorized into three major areas, namely sewers, roads, and watercourses. Obviously it is not possible to give a detailed account of every instance of flooding. At the height of the storms, we had several hundred calls reporting flooding problems, particularly flooded basements.

The Project Burnaby 200 area, although fully serviced, is predominantly undeveloped and was the location of our single largest instance of damage. The area is traversed by several creeks, one of which "jumped" its channel and resulted in the complete washout of approximately 1,300 feet of Ash Grove Crescent. Although the full extent of the damage is not known, and will not be known until excavation commences, it is suspected that many of the underground utilities will require replacement. Mr. James F. Caswell, 3425 Brighton Avenue, Burnaby, in a letter he wrote to Council, dated 1980 February 26, commented on the present condition of Ash Grove Crescent.

This report has dealt primarily with the nature of the problems resulting from the storms which occurred during 1979 December. Long-term programs to

reduce or eliminate the damage potential from storms can best be served by continuation of works programs such as drainage by-laws and local improvement paving which serves to eliminate ditches and gravel shoulders. Areas such as the Big Bend, which have their own inherent problems, require extensive study because of the high cost impact; the Engineering Department is seeking to determine the most cost-effective programs and their priorities to effect improvements in the Big Bend Area.

Property owners should be encouraged to direct more maintenance attention to the private drain tile systems forming a part of the internal drainage system.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the effect of the 1979 December floods be reflected in the 1980 Annual Budget.
- (2) THAT the Engineering Department advise Mr. James F. Caswell, 3425 Brighton Avenue, Burnaby, B.C., V5A 3H3, as to the cause of damage to Ash Grove Crescent.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(j) Margery Dingman

Re: Heritage Village - Unhappy with the present situation

A letter dated $1980~\mathrm{March}~03~\mathrm{was}$ received advising that the writer was most unhappy with the present situation at Heritage Village.

(k) M.J. Lasuta, Re: Protest sudden takeover from
Century Park Museum Association of management of Heritage Village

A letter dated $1980~{\rm March}~04$ was received protesting the sudden takeover from the Century Park Museum Association of the management of Heritage Village.

(1) Mr. and Mrs. Barry L. Brown, Re: Protest takeover by Parks Commission of management of Heritage Village

A letter dated $1980~\mathrm{March}~03~\mathrm{was}$ received advising that the writer and her husband wished to protest the takeover by the Parks and Recreation Commission of the management of Heritage Village.

(m) Mrs. Daphne Sanderson, Re: Recommendation of Parks Board to takeover Administration and Operation of Heritage Village

A letter dated 1980 March 04 was received protesting the decision of the Parks and Recreation Commission to takeover the administration and operation of Heritage Village. Mrs. Sanderson was of the opinion that Heritage Village is something special to the citizens of Burnaby. It would be just and honourable to give consideration to those volunteers who have served Heritage Village and tourism to Burnaby so well to date.

(n) Bill Sutherland

Re: Been a volunteer for two years

A letter dated 1980 March 03 was received advising that the writer had been a volunteer at Heritage Village for two years and outlining some of the problems he had encountered during that period.

(o) Jean Runcie, Re: Current move of Council to takeover complete operation of Heritage Village disturbs me

A letter dated 1980 March 04 was received advising that the writer was greatly disturbed over Council's current decision to takeover the operation of Heritage Village.

The writer requested that Council consider this arbitrary move and leave the management of Heritage Village where it belongs, with C.P.M.A.

(p) Oliver C. Doolan, Re: Opinion that Village should continue operating in successful way it has done in past

A letter dated $1980~{\rm March}~03$ was received in which the writer advised that he had just learned that there is a movement to turn Heritage Village over to professional management.

The writer expressed the opinion that Heritage Village should continue operating in the successful way it has done in the past.

(q) Marion and Louis Duckitt, Re: Reconsider motions concerning Heritage Village put forth at Council Meeting 1980 March 03

A letter dated 1980 March 04 was received requesting that Council reconsider the motions concerning Heritage Village which were put forth at the Council Meeting held on 1980 March 03.

(r) Mrs. Ethel A. Derrick, Re: Protest action of Burnaby Parks and Recreation Commission taking over management of Heritage Village

A letter dated 1980 March 04 was received advising that the writer, as a citizen of Burnaby for the past 62 years, a volunteer at Heritage Village for the past five years, and an elected C.P.M.A. Board Member for the past year, wished to protest the action of the Burnaby Parks and Recreation Commission in taking over the management of Heritage Village.

The writer felt that an investigation is necessary, not only into the C.P.M.A. Board of Management, but into the motives of the Parks and Recreation Commission.

(s) Tony Fabian, Re: Annoyance in regard to recent episode regarding Century Park Museum Association

A letter dated 1980 March 04 was received advising that the writer was very annoyed in regard to the recent episode regarding the Century Park Museum Association. The writer felt that the manner in which the Parks and Recreation Chairman had handled the matter is a slap in the face to all the volunteers and people who donated so much time, effort and personal items to make Heritage Village what it is today.

The writer hoped that Council would take the necessary action to resolve this indifference satisfactorily to both parties so that Heritage Village will continue to be a success story.

At 22:43 h the Council Meeting recessed.

At 22:58 h the Council Meeting reconvened with Alderman Ast absent.

TABLED MATTERS

(a) Municipal Manager, Re: Item 16, Municipal Manager's Report No. 15, 1980 February 25 - Greater Vancouver Regional District Rapid Transit Study - Light Rapid Transit Routes in Burnaby.

This subject was not lifted from the table at this time.

(b) John R. LaVan, Re: Rezoning Reference #27/79 - Site 8 - Centaurus Circle. Item 2.(c) Delegations - Council Meeting 1980 February 18.

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 4.(b) under Delegations.

(c) Alderman W.A. Lewarne, Re: Lake City East Community Plan Area - Site 8, Delegations - Council Meeting 1980 February 18.

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 4.(b) under Delegations.

(d) Municipal Manager, Re: Item 6, Municipal Manager's Report No. 17, 1980 March 03 - Access to Boundary Road - Marine Way Industrial Area

This subject was not lifted from the table at this time.

REPORTS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANTMOUSLY

(a) Mayor D.M. Mercier, Re: 1980 Board of Directors of the British Columbia Assessment Authority

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting as Item 6.(b) under Correspondence and Petitions.

(aa) Mayor D.M. Mercier, Re: Comprehensive Transportation Plan - Agreement with the Province

His Worship reported that on 1979 December 05 Members of the Transportation Committee and himself had met with the Honourable Alex Fraser, Minister of Transportation and Highways, Honourable William N. Vander Zalm, Minister of Municipal Affairs and senior provincial and Burnaby staff members, to discuss Burnaby's adopted Comprehensive Transportation Plan and the province's commitment to its implementation. At that time, there was concern expressed by the province with regard to some of the elements of the plan. Since then, senior staff of the municipality and the Ministry of Highways have met to deal with those items.

The Transportation Plan adopted by our Council involved an overall financial program for road improvements of \$134 million with \$39 million funded by Burnaby, \$87 million by the province (including (\$19 million from revenue sharing funds) and the remainder by other parties (developers, other municipalities, Canadian Transport Commission).

To advise regarding the current status, our Municipal Manager reports (Item 13 in his accompanying report) that substantial agreement has been reached with the province regarding details of the Plan. Agreed objectives, subject to project by project approval in detail, would encompass approximately \$120 million of improvements of which Burnaby would be expected to provide \$38 million and the province \$71 million (including \$18 million from revenue sharing). The value of the roadworks still under discussion amounts to about 10% of the total Plan.

It is appropriate that our Transportation Committee now consider the unresolved issues while bearing in mind the substantial progress and agreement that has been achieved.

Recognizing the keen competition that exists for provincial funding assistance, we must prepare a logical but forceful argument, then approach the province to seek specific financial support for the vitally needed transportation improvements in our municipality.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the report of the Mayor be received and referred to the Transportation Committee for consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT Item 13 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 19, 1980 March 10, pertaining to this subject be brought forward for consideration at this time."

The Municipal Manager reported that at the direction of the Mayor, he and senior municipal staff have met with senior staff of the Ministry of Transportation and Highways on a number of occasions in order to attempt to define and resolve the concerns held by the Ministry of Transportation and Highways with regard to the Comprehensive Transportation Plan for Burnaby. Those discussions are summarized in a letter dated 1980 February 27 from the Director of Planning to the Ministry of Highways. From this letter a statement has been jointly developed by the Municipal Manager and Assistant Deputy Minister as a basis for agreement between the municipality and the province.

It is essential that the Comprehensive Transportation Plan for the municipality be agreed to by the Ministry of Transportation and Highways so that a concerted effort may be made to implement the proposals contained therein. As a prerequisite for eligibility for funding under Part V of the Revenue Sharing Act, a major municipal road network plan has to be agreed upon by both the Ministry of Transportation and Highways and the respective municipality. The agreement by Highways that the Conceptual Transportation Plan should be the basis of the agreed road network would enable the municipality to seek revenue sharing funding for road projects in this Plan.

Council should request that the Burnaby Transportation Committee consider the results of the staff discussions summarized in this report. The Committee would have to resolve the issues upon which the acceptance of the Comprehensive Transportation Plan by the Ministry of Transportation and Highways is contingent and recommend a course of action to Council. Staff would be available to assist the Committee in its deliberations. After an agreement has been adopted by Council, the implementation strategy developed by the Transportation Committee will have to be reviewed for the purpose of establishing subsequent Municipal Capital Budgets.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT Council refer this report to the Transportation Committee for its consideration and subsequent recommendations to Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 19, 1980 on the matters listed following as Items 1 to 16 either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:
 - 1. M.F.O.A. Conference, Phoenix, Arizona, 1980 May 11-15

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Treasurer concerning the attendance of the Deputy Municipal Treasurer at the Municipal Finance Officer's Association Conference in Phoenix, Arizona, 1980 May 11-15.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the Deputy Municipal Treasurer be authorized to attend the Municipal Finance Officer's Association Conference in Phoenix, Arizona, 1980 May 11-15.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Proposed Changes to Permit Fee Schedules - Building Department

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Chief Building Inspector regarding proposed revisions to permit fee schedules which are administered by his department.

The Chief Building Inspector reported that a review of permit fee schedules has been undertaken in relation to the budgetary process for 1980. Revenue received for permit fees in 1979 amounted to \$652,867.00, or 81.9% of the Building Department's annual budget. On the value of construction predicted for 1980, the existing schedules of fees would recover 78% of the department's budget for 1980. Since this predicted revenue recovery is slightly below the target amount of 80%, it is proposed that the various by-law fee schedules all be adjusted upwards by 5% with the amounts rounded to the nearest half dollar. On the basis of all current indicators of construction activity, it is believed the 5% adjustment, if enacted by mid-April, will meet the target revenue of \$703,000.00 for 1980.

The following miscellaneous amendments to the Building By-law No. 6333 are also proposed at this time:

- (1) Climatic data for the design of buildings Section 13 Change from present Imperial only and Fahrenheit temperatures to Metric and Celsius temperatures with Imperial and Fahrenheit continuing to be shown in brackets. When the changeover of by-laws to metric was made May 1978, the data in Section 13 was overlooked.
- (2) Add a new sentence to Section 4.(2) the conditions governing issuance of building permit to introduce the requirement for the posting of a Performance Bond in the amount of \$800 to guarantee the installation of landscaping in accordance with the approved plans promptly during or following the construction or alteration of any building, other than a single-family or two-family dwelling, for which Preliminary Plan Approval is granted pursuant to the Zoning By-law.

The patrol of landscaping and site development is a heavy demand on the building inspection and office staff of this department, and is a cost which is now partly recovered from the general building permit fee. The above proposed bond is seen as a means of securing more prompt completion of this "after construction" phase of site development, thereby freeing staff to give increased inspection service during the building construction period.

The proposed by-law amendment would provide for the release of the bond immediately upon certification by the Building Inspector of completion of the required landscape and site development work.

(3) Alter Section 5.(4) - the provision of an hourly rate for miscellaneous inspection for which no fee is recovered by building permit - to increase the hourly rate from \$20.00 to \$25.00, and thereby bring the Building By-law into line with the rate set out in the existing Plumbing, Electrical, and Gas By-laws for similar inspections; and extend coverage of the section to also include the same hourly rate for special search of building records to determine by-law compliance.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT the Municipal Solicitor be requested to prepare the necessary amendments to the Building, Plumbing, Electrical and Gas Fitting By-laws to revise the respective permit fee schedules upward by 5%.
- (2) THAT the Municipal Solicitor be request to prepare amendments to Section 13, Section 4.(2) and 5.(4) of the Burnaby Building By-law No. 6333 to effect the changes covered in the body of this report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

It was agreed that each of the aforementioned recommendations would be voted on separately.

Recommendation No. 1

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMEN BROWN

AND LEWARNE

Recommendation No. 2

(1)

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT recommendation No. 2.(2) be referred back to staff for additional information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) MOVED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT recommendation No. 2.(3) be referred back to staff for additional information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Annacis Island Crossing System

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding an announced new major crossing of the Fraser River at Annacis Island.

The Director of Planning reported that on 1980 February 22 the Province of British Columbia announced the immediate start of construction of a major new crossing of the Fraser River at Annacis Island. Subsequently at its meeting of 1980 February 25 Council requested a report from staff on the ramifications of the Annacis crossing system. The purpose of this report is to provide Council with a preliminary assessment of the land use and transportation implications arising from this proposal. The following is the summary and conclusions of the Director of Planning's report:

- (1) The proposed Annacis system addresses a definite short term need for additional road capacity across the Fraser River.
- (2) Given that such a crossing is required, the alignment of the Annacis system including the use of the existing Queensborough Bridge provides the most effective solution.
- (3) The crossing system proposed does not conflict with the adopted Comprehensive Transportation Plan for Burnaby and the additional traffic that would be placed in Burnaby by the Annacis system would appear to be adequately provided for by the Conceptual Transportation Plan.
- (4) There should be no disruption to residential areas in Burnaby as a result of the construction of the Annacis system, however, further detailed analysis should be carried out in order to fully assess the ramifications of this proposal. The implementation schedule for the Comprehensive Transportation Plan may have to be refined as a result of this further study.
- (5) It is expected that there will be positive benefits in terms of the development of Burnaby's Big Bend and Metrotown as a result of the implementation of the Annacis system.
- (6) Notwithstanding the short term benefits that would accrue from the proposed Annacis system, there is a need for managing growth throughout the region in accordance with the Livable Region Plan in order to minimize future traffic congestion (and the need for major capital expenditure on road improvements).

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT Council forward a copy of this report to the Transportation Committee for its consideration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Proposed relocation of the Burnaby Winter Club and the McPherson Winter Club Burnaby Lake Sports Complex

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the proposed relocation of the Burnaby Winter Club and the McPherson Winter Club to the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council concur with the Commission's action with regard to:
 - (a) Approval in principle of the relocation of the Burnaby Winter Club facility on parkland within the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex adjacent to the existing Burnaby Lake Rink and C.G. Brown Memorial Pool.
 - (b) Direction to staff to enter into formal negotiations with the Burnaby Winter Club and the McPherson Winter Club to expedite the building of a new facility, or to reach a conclusion on the feasibility of this project.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Mayor Mercier, vacated the Chair at 23:40 h, and Acting Mayor Lewarne assumed the Chair.

5. Kingsway/Edmonds Community Plan

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the Kingsway/Edmonds Area Plan.

The Parks and Recreation Administrator recommended:

- (1) THAT the Council approve the trail link between Stride Avenue Ravine Park and Powerhouse Park as illustrated on Attachment No. 1 of this report.
- (2) THAT upon approval of the Kingsway/Edmonds area plan, staff be requested to negotiate an agreement with B.C. Hydro for the utilization of the Hydro right-of-way between Edmonds and Griffiths for trail purposes.
- (3) THAT the Municipal Solicitor be directed to consider purchase of the properties required for the expansion of Powerhouse Park as such properties become available, providing that funds for purchase are available in the Parkland Acquisition Levy for Area #34.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the recommendations as contained in the report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator be tabled until such time that Council is in receipt of a report from the Advisory Planning Commission and a further report from the Planning Department on the Kingsway/ Edmonds Community Plan.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mayor Mercier re-assumed the Chair at 20:47 h.

6. Expansion of the Fieldhouse in the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex Burnaby Lake Rugby Committee

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the expansion of the fieldhouse in the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

- (1) THAT Council authorize the Municipal Solicitor to incorporate the "Operating Agreement" in respect of The Burnaby Lake Sports Complex Fieldhouse between the Corporation of the District of Burnaby and the Burnaby Lake Rugby Committee into a twenty-year licence agreement at an annual fee of \$1.00.
- (2) THAT Council authorize the execution of such licence agreement.
- (3) THAT Council authorize the execution of a "Finance Agreement" on the lines of a draft finance agreement attached to the report of the Parks and Recreation Administrator.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Proposed Installation of Lighting Council Parking Area and the West Building

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Chief Building Inspector containing information on a proposal for the installation of lighting in the southwest corner of the Municipal Hall and the north end of the West Building. It is generally felt that the present level of lighting in these areas is inadequate.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT mercury vapour floodlights be installed on the Municipal Hall and West Building as identified in the body of this report, with costs therefor being charged to the Municipal Hall Renovations Fund.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED

OPPOSED: ALDERMAN STUSIAK

8. <u>Council Meeting Agendas</u>

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Clerk containing information on an enquiry that was made by Council at a special budget meeting on 1980 January 03 regarding the preparation and distribution of Council Agendas in other selected jurisdictions.

The Municipal Manager reported that this matter has been reviewed periodically over the years by staff, but not to the depth to which it has been pursued at this time. An analysis of the material that has been received from other municipalities has not provided any suggestions for change to the way in which we are now preparing agendas and unless directed to the contrary, staff will continue to prepare and distribute agendas in accordance with the procedure that is now being followed.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the report of the Municipal Clerk be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BROWN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 9. Withdrawn
- 10. Lake City East Area
 Council Inquiry Re: Development work on Site 6
 (Housing Corporation of British Columbia, Formerly Dunhill)

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding development work on Site 6 in the Lake City East area.

The Director of Planning reported that at the Council Meeting on 1980 March 03 an inquiry was raised regarding work which had occurred on Site 6. We are able to confirm that a substantial amount of concrete has been poured for the foundation and parking structure of the approved high rise on Site 6. The development was approved as Rezoning Reference #57/71 and Preliminary Plan Approval #2690 issued on 1974 April 09. The structure has been filled in and covered with soil. We will report on possible development options for Site 6 when it is determined how Site 8 will develop.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT the report of the Director of Planning be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Additional Racquetball Courts for the Cameron Library and Recreation Centre

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding financing for the construction of two additional racquetball courts in the Cameron Library and Recreation Centre.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT Council authorize the expenditure of \$15,516.00 from the Parks and Recreation Commission's 1980 Annual Budget (Contribution to Capital Account) to meet the increase in cost of the two additional racquetball courts in the Cameron Library and Recreation Centre.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

12. Loaned Executive Assistance Program (LEAP)

The Municipal Manager submitted a report on the development of the Loaned Executive Assistance Program (LEAP). Under this program executives from the local business community are loaned to the municipality for work on temporary assignments.

The program as organized consists of a committee on which there are representatives from five participating companies and the municipality. The committee will meet periodically to review documented project proposals that are submitted by staff. If the committee feels that a particular proposal has merit, one of more of the companies will temporarily loan managerial or technical talent to the municipality. If the companies on the committee are unable to provide the required talent, attempts will be made to recruit personnel from other business sources. A project team will then be struck and the project carried out. Loan periods are expected to vary in accordance with the scope and complexity of a project; a person on loan may be involved for two or three hours per week to say a day a month, for anywhere from three months to two years.

Department heads are now submitting project proposals. These will be reviewed by the Loaned Executive Committee at a meeting on March 14. The first project is expected to get under way shortly thereafter.

It is felt that this innovative program has tremendous potential, and it is with considerable enthusiasm that everyone connected with it is working to ensure its success.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Comprehensive Transportation Plan for Burnaby
Discussions with the Ministry of Transportation and Highways

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 8.(aa) under Reports.

14. Cameron Library and Recreation Centre Property belonging to Mrs. Lillian Mann 9637 Cameron Street

The Municipal Manager reported that in a Supreme Court action in respect of the Mann property at 9637 Cameron Street, Burnaby sought two declaratory orders. The action was heard by Mr. Justice Toy on 1980 February 04 and he has on March 06 handed down his decision. He has ruled that:

- (1) Title to the property is now and has been vested in The Corporation of the District of Burnaby since 1979 August 16.
- (2) The respondent (Mrs. Mann) is to deliver up possession of the property to the Corporation immediately.

There is an appeal process which, if initiated by Mrs. Mann, would require her to file notice of appeal within 45 days.

In conclusion, we now have a legal decision which vests title of the subject property in the municipality, and also an order that this property be delivered up to the possession of the municipality. The matter of compensation is presently being advanced to arbitration but

every reasonable effort will be made simultaneously by staff to negotiate an agreement with Mrs. Mann with respect to both price and the change in possession. We are still hopeful that a mutually satisfactory compensation figure can be negotiated.

The Municipal Manager recommended:

(1) THAT this report be received for information purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

15. Letter from the Honourable Hugh A. Curtis, Minister Ministry of Finance, Parliament Buildings, Victoria, B.C. V8V 1X4
Sinking Funds Surplus - Burnaby Waterworks Construction and Loan By-law 1959, By-law No. 4086

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 6.(f) under Correspondence and Petitions.

16. Flooding problems and damage resulting from rain storms in December 1979

This item was dealt with previously in the meeting in conjunction with Item 6.(i) under Correspondence and Petitions.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LEWARNE: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole 'In Camera'."