

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| ITEM | 17 |
| MANAGER'S REPORT NO. | 77 |
| COUNCIL MEETING | 1979 11 13 |

RE: L.I.P. ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHTING
AUGUSTA AVENUE FROM CURTIS STREET TO EDNOR CRESCENT

Following is a report from the Municipal Engineer regarding an ornamental street lighting project.

The Municipal Clerk's Certificate of Sufficiency which Council received on 1979 October 09 pointed out that the petition for project 79-011 was insufficient. The Municipal Engineer's report which Council received on 1979 October 09 (Item 11, Report No. 67) contained the following recommendation which was adopted:

"WHEREAS the petition against Project 79-011 Augusta was believed to be sufficient by the petitioners and actually was insufficient only on a technicality, it is recommended that Project 79-011 Augusta not proceed."

Council could have proceeded with the work at that time, and may do so now if it so wishes.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Engineer be adopted.

* * * * *

TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER 79 11 08
FROM: MUNICIPAL ENGINEER
SUBJECT: L.I.P. ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHTING
AUGUSTA AVENUE FROM CURTIS STREET TO EDNOR CRESCENT

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. THAT an L.I.P. ornamental street lighting project with limits of the south property line of Lot 381 to Ednor Crescent be included in the next L.I.P. ornamental street lighting initiation; and,
2. THAT a copy of this report be sent to Mr. Barrington-Foote.

REPORT

Mr. Barrington-Foote appeared as a delegation before Council at the Council meeting of 79 10 22 concerning this subject.

As suggested by the Council, Mr. Barrington-Foote discussed the matter with the Municipal Clerk and subsequently with the Municipal Engineer; the Municipal Engineer feels that a further report to Council is warranted.

The Engineer has not changed his mind regarding his recommendation to Council in his report to the Council meeting of 79 10 09, i.e. that the project should not proceed. As expressed in that report:


"The Clerk disqualified three signatures which therefore made the petition insufficient. One signature was disqualified because only one of the registered owners signed and the two other signatures were disqualified on the grounds that the present Assessment Roll (by which the Clerk is guided) did not show the signatories as

| | |
|----------------------|------------|
| ITEM | 17 |
| MANAGER'S REPORT NO. | 77 |
| COUNCIL MEETING | 1977 11 13 |

registered owners. Further checking at the Land Registry Office has confirmed that they are in fact the owners but the registration has not been processed as yet by the Land Registry Office. For these reasons it is the opinion of this Department that the project not proceed."

187

The Engineer has reviewed the project as originally initiated and has determined that a viable project could be initiated by revising the limits of the original project; the new project would be the newer section of Augusta Avenue that has the Hydro and telephone lines already installed underground. The limits of the new project would be from the south property line of Lot 381 (1091 Augusta Avenue) to Ednor Crescent; the project could be included in the next L.I.P. ornamental street lighting programme initiation early in 1980.


MUNICIPAL ENGINEER

VMT:sp
Attach.
cc: () Municipal Clerk

