

ITEM 4
MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 50
COUNCIL MEETING 1978 07 04

Re: LETTER FROM MR. FRED CLARKE OF THE SULLIVAN HEIGHTS
RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION
2545 LARKIN CRESCENT, BURNABY, B.C.
SUB-AREA BETWEEN CAMERON AND SULLIVAN - COMMUNITY PLAN AREA "G"
(Item 27, Manager's Report No. 44, 1978 June 12)
(Item 17, Manager's Report No. 36, 1978 May 08)
(Item 8, Manager's Report No. 4, 1978 January 16)

Appearing on the Council agenda for the meeting of July 04 is a letter from Mr. Fred Clarke of the Sullivan Heights Ratepayers Association, expressing objection to the recommendations made in the report submitted to Council on 1978 June 12 by the Planning Department.

Following is a further report from the Director of Planning dated 1978 June 28 in response to the letter from Mr. Fred Clarke of the Sullivan Heights Ratepayers Association.

This is for the information of Council.

* * * * *

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1978 JUNE 28

TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER
FROM: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING
SUBJECT: SUB-AREA BETWEEN CAMERON AND SULLIVAN
COMMUNITY PLAN AREA "G"
EXPRESSED COMMENT BY THE SULLIVAN HEIGHTS RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION
ON SCHEDULE I OF RECENT REPORT CONSIDERED BY COUNCIL

The Planning Department has been requested to reply to a letter dated 1978 June 21 from the Sullivan Heights Ratepayers Association.

Council on 1978 06 12 adopted Alternative 3A (Sketch 8A) for use as a guideline for the completion of Community Plan Area "G" for the sub-area between Sullivan and Cameron Streets.

We wish to comment on what appears to be a misunderstanding with respect to interpretation of Schedule I of the report considered by Council. Schedule I was not in the body of the report, but included as a study appendix, with the explanation that the value study was an evaluative tool and highly judgemental in nature.

For clarification, the values assigned in the Schedule represent the effect, in the opinion of the Planning Department, that each of the alternatives would have on the specific interest represented by each of the groups listed, and is based on our understanding of the general concerns and objectives of each group, based on overall discussions and analysis to date rather than on any single particular submission.

The Schedule outlines the anticipated effect of the alternatives in simplified, comparative terms only. Where attempts have been made to meet the expressed objections of various groups (such as by amendment to the plans or by the inclusion of options), an equivocal notation has been used.

The Sullivan Heights Ratepayers Association have appeared before Council on a number of occasions over the years and a major submission by the Ratepayers Association dated 1977 March 29 was given careful consideration in the Planning Department report dated 1977 December 30. The motions of the Ratepayers Association dated 1978 February 22 were submitted to the Advisory Planning Commission and were discussed in that forum.

ITEM	4
MANAGER'S REPORT NO.	50
COUNCIL MEETING	1978 07 04

It has not been the intention of this general analysis to speak for any specific community group. The intent of the Schedule was to provide Council with an overview, by way of an appendix to the report, of the relevant affected community groups and to indicate the estimated effect, in the opinion of the Planning Department, of the various alternatives on the general area of interest of each.

The recommendations of the report were based on a broad view of the situation, including previous reports to Council, the submissions of the various Boards and Commissions, and the effects on the community as a whole.

This is for the information of Council.

AP
DGS:KI:cm

A. L. Parr
A. L. Parr,
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.