

Re: LETTER FROM ERSILIO COLASIMONE WHICH APPEARED ON THE AGENDA
FOR THE 1978 APRIL 17 MEETING OF COUNCIL (ITEM 4 k)
RESIDENTIAL SUITE

Appearing on last week's agenda was a letter from Ersilio Colasimone regarding a suite in a residence at 8048 - 14th Avenue. Following is a report from the Chief Building on this matter.

In summary, the matter at this time can be simply transacted in accordance with existing procedure, i.e. submission of necessary permit applications with inspections to follow and the signing of a declaration as to the use of the suite as has been stated.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT a copy of this report be sent to E. Colasimone.

* * * *

1978 04 14

Mr. M.J. Shelley,
MUNICIPAL MANAGER.

Subject: E. & E. Colasimone
8048 - 14th Avenue; Lot 9,
Blk. 12, D.L. 27, Plan 697

Council is in receipt of an undated letter of Ersilio Colasimone written in response to the Building Department's letter of 1978 03 17 to Mr. and Mrs. Colasimone.

The Colasimone residence was checked by Housing Inspection No. 235 carried out in the morning of 1978 03 15. Both Mr. and Mrs. Colasimone were present at the time of inspection and gave a clear statement that they wished to use both floors of their home only for their own purposes.

Mr. and Mrs. Colasimone had their home built in 1976. The main floor contains seven rooms and two bathrooms - the basement was unfinished, except for one bedroom, a bathroom, a utility room and the main entry vestibule. Occupancy took place in January 1977. Following occupancy of the dwelling, the owners have undertaken finishing of the balance of the basement, including installation of kitchen facilities, to the extent that the finishing work qualifies the basement as a second dwelling unit in a single-family dwelling. Our letter of 1978 03 17 to the Colasimones described these matters and how application should be made for permits to properly authorize the finishing work within the scope of single-family occupancy.

The Building Department is frequently called upon to deal with circumstances other than those for which permit(s) was originally granted. This is such a case, and now that the Colasimones have submitted a reply to our letter, the matter can be concluded in a routine manner.