NOVEMBER 8, 1976

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, B.C. on Monday, November 8, 1976 commencing at 7:00 P.M.

PRESENT:

Mayor T.W. Constable, in the Chair Alderman G.D. Ast Alderman D.P. Drummond Alderman A.H. Emmott Alderman B.M. Gunn Alderman D.A. Lawson Alderman G.H.F. McLean Alderman F.G. Randall Alderman V.V. Stusiak

STAFF:

Mr. M.J. Shelley, Municipal Manager
Mr. V. Kennedy, Duputy Municipal Engineer
Mr. A.L. Parr, Director of Planning
Mr. J. Hudson, Municipal Clerk
Mr. R.W. Watson, Deputy Municipal Clerk
Mr. J. Plesha, Administrative Assistant to Manager

MINUTES

The Minutes of the Council Meeting held on November 1, 1976 came forward for adoption.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on November 1, 1976 be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DELEGATIONS

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- a) Westridge Home and School Association Re: Hastings and Duncan Street Crossings
- b) Laura J. Ervin Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee Re: Boundary Road Public Meeting

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT the Delegations be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.

Mr. Dave Williamson, 452 Northcliffe Crescent appeared before Council and spoke as follows:

"My name is Dave Williamson and I live at 452 Northcliffe Crescent. I am representing the citizens of the Westridge area and the Westridge Home and School Association. We are here to get your support for immediate action to improve an obviously neglected and increasingly dangerous crossing at Hastings and Duncan Streets, particularly for youngsters having to cross to go to school and also for all residents during peak rush hour traffic. Thus far, along Hastings Street, lights and controlled crosswalks have been installed at other crossings, several as recently as this past summer, even after our previous petition was submitted and refused. We assume that the

A 36 6 12

other crossings met the criteria. The situation here at Westridge meanwhile has not remained the same. It has become worse. On October 28, 1976 my son was struck while in the Duncan and Hastings Crosswalk. Upon contacting Mr. Evans of our Burnaby Municipal Traffic Department, I was informed that Burnaby monitored this area and it did not meet the so-called Provincial Government warrant. I then called the R.C.M.P. Traffic Officer who explained the situation about the lack of manpower and the numerous traffic zones that they were responsible for patrolling. I then called Mr. Page of the Department of Highways who acknowledged that it was in their jurisdiction but he stated they liked to co-operate with the Municipalities and that they were prepared to share half the cost of whatever Burnaby recommended. Needless to say, I then spoke to Mr. Bacon, Burnaby's Traffic Supervisor. Mr. Bacon admitted that this zone was dangerous and if he had children going to Westridge School he wouldn't allow them to cross Hastings at Duncan. He suggested instead that my son and his friends should use the light at Sperling, a solution good only on paper. I also got the impression that it was news to Mr. Bacon that the Provincial Government would okay any Burnaby request. He stated he would get clarification on this. We can appreciate the traffic officials' and your positions in the past. We, however, want to know what can and what will be done now, for it would appear that the Burnaby pedestrian is suffering at the expense of the motorist. The uniqueness of this Westridge School zone has been a concern of parents in this area for the past 18 years. As recently as November 13, 1975 we submitted a petition and a request to Mr. Bacon. None of the executive was given the courtesy of a reply regarding a decision for this crossing. The fact that nothing, and I emphasize nothing, has been done was graphically brought home to me on October 28th. Since that date I have communicated with Mr. Ast by phone and by letter. Also without prior arrangements, we met at this zone in question where we observed first hand the many factors youngsters now have to contend with in getting to school. There are physical factors such as 1) the distance across; 2) the number of lanes; 3) the location of the school; 4) the location and number of signs; 5) the parked vehicles; 6) visibility; 7) volume of traffic, and 8) gaps.

Human factors - 1) the relative size and age of the pupils trying to cross, the relative age and size of the school patrols, motorist traffic factors are speed and volume of traffic; 2) switching lanes; 3) failure to stop at crosswalks; and 4) failure to remain at the crosswalk until the children have reached the other side.

Since that warning of October 28 we have been aware of the steps taken by certain Vancouver residents who were successful in having the necessary equipment installed within three weeks of their stopping of traffic. Many of us have questioned our approach now for we also want something done as it is humanly possible as soon as it is humanly possible. In making the decision to take the steps that have led us here tonight, we felt it was the most responsible way to go about it, however, I only hope I have . clearly reflected the feelings of our Home and School Association, for we were and are no less irrate and concerned than the Douglas Road people were on Friday. They at least have a light.

To reiterate, we are seaking your support for immediate action for the following reasons:

- That our present uncontrolled crossing is the first impediment facing most of the traffic since it left the light at St. John's and the Barnet Highway corner in Port Moody;
- 2) That all other schools near or adjacent to Hastings Street have already received pedestrian push button crosswalks or lights;
- 3) That the majority of the traffic, a) ignores the posted speed limited;
 b) is switching lanes and jockeying for position going both east and west; and c) ignores the pedestrian unless they are out in the flow of traffic; and
- 4) An accident has occurred here recently in good weather.

If there is to be a delay in installation we would like to see some immediate interim measures taken. Also, if for some reason, further discussion

. The state of the

is required, would it be possible for us to be represented at these meetings."

the second second second

0

Mr. Jack Gilmour, Principal Westridge Elementary School appeared before Council and spoke as follows:

"Your Worship, and Members of Council, my name is Jack Gilmour and I an Principal of Westridge. I appreciate that you have been generous with your time allotment but I would like to make a point very strongly and that is that as Principal of that school I don't see how I can continue to have our safety patrol go out and man that intersection unless we have the protection of a light. I have a file this thick from Mr. Elliott open for 10 years now, pointing out the danger of that intersection and we have had to wait until a boy was struck in that intersection and we have a woman in this audience struck in that intersection, we have had children struck, we have had members of the patrol have their sticks knocked out of their hands in that intersection. was there this morning in the fog and I just, as a new principal, I have only had the opportunity to observe it for two months, I have come to the conclusion we just can't ask those children to go out there in the morning unless they have the protection of a light. Theoretically they stand on the sidewalk, they put out their flags and what they are saying to the motorist is 'Hey, there is a crosswalk here, we are drawing attention to this crosswalk and you must stop' but in actual fact if they remain on the curb they are often ineffective so what you see them do, doing quite often, is to step out that ten feet into that traffic. Now if you are just there with me and you look down one block east is a curve and these motorists have faced about a fifteen minute frustrating drive around the Barnet and suddenly they hit clear sailing and they have only one thing on their minds, you know, there is a six lane highway suddenly there, two of them of course are parking lanes, but it is basically a six lane highway and there is no speed limit. There is a 30 mile per hour speed limit but very few observe it so they come around that curve after a frustrating drive and they have their eye on only one thing and that is the stop light at Sperling and as a result they are maneuvering to get there. That is how the boy was struck. This driver was behind a parked car waiting to make a left turn at Duncan and they just wriggled out of the line so they could scoot up and get through Sperling and that happens all the time. They don't see that little sign there, they don't slow down, it is just a race if it is go or changing amber they are going for that Sperling light. That is looking that way. The other way coming east from the west, it is like being at the start of a drag race. Suddenly the light at Sperling turns go and they take off and just as they are fully accelerated, they hit our patrol about a block and a half away and also, their minds are on 'now I want to go up Hastings to University, I had better maneuver over there', or 'I have got to go to the Barnet'. There is all these concerns because I have had these thoughts myself as I approach. that intersection. The last thing you are thinking of is the fact that there is an obscure crosswalk there at Duncan. Now what we are pointing out is that you can't make a mechanical application of a criteria to this situation. We do meet 2 of the 3 warrants. It is 4 lanes, it is actually 6 lanes, and it is 30 m.p.h. It is true that technically we son't qualify on the gaps, we have more gaps than we should have of 8 seconds duration, but I submit to this Council that that is a unique situation. I appreciate the fact that you can't make an automatic response to every delegation asking for a light. Obviously there are other factors, you know in a Municipality, but this is of such a unique nature it is so dangerous that at the very least what we have to do is give that school boy patrol the protection of a manually operated stop light and when that goes red then with some safety they can then put out their flags and our children can get across safely and apparently the Department of Highways are willing to share with you, we have to accept the word of Mr. Page, all we need is a majority vote from this Council and we hope that you will see the urgency of it. Thank you very much."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT Item 15 of the Municipal Manager's report No. 71, 1976 be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3.

The Municipal Manager reported as follows:

"The subject correspondence, which appears on the Agenda, pertains to a request for a pedestrian signal at the intersection of Hastings and Duncan Street.

The subject of installing a traffic signal at the subject intersection has been before the Burnaby Traffic Safety Committee a number of times over the past few years. In each case field investigations have failed to produce the necessary warrants for signal installation.

The last study done at the crossing was in May of 1976. This last study again failed to produce the necessary warrants for the installation of the requested traffic signal.

The intersection in question is under the control of the Department of Highways and as such any signal installation must meet with their approval. Because of the failure of the necessary warrants neither the Burnaby Traffic Safety Committee or the Department of Highways have ever endorsed a signal installation.

As the item is again before the Burnaby Traffic Safety Committee a further study will be conducted to ascertain whether volumes have increased sufficiently to produce the necessary warrant."

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that a copy of this report be sent to Mrs. Eileen Dailly and the Westridge Home and School Association.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"THAT we favour installation of an appropriate signalization at an intersection to be agreed upon and that the Department of Highways be so advised."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN GUNN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT a meeting be held between a Committee of the citizens group and the Traffic Safety Committee before appropriate signalization is agreed upon and that the Traffic and Safety Committee report back to Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the Motion as amended and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Alderman Drummond advised that a Notice of Motion in regard to the question of the Provincial Government introducing a Safety Program for both drivers and pedestrians on the question of crosswalks would be presented to Council for consideration at the next regular Meeting.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the Traffic Safety Committee review and report on the potentially dangerous intersections within Burnaby."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

November 8, 1976

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT we request the R.C.M.P. to place additional emphasis on patrolling at school crosswalks."

CONCERNING IN A SHOWING THE

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. Laura J. Ervin, Burnaby Citizens' Roads Committee then appeared before Council and spoke as follows with regard to Boundary Road and the Public Meeting held November 3, 1976 at Nelson School:

"Mayor Constable and Members of Council:

On behalf of the Burnaby Citizens Roads Committee, we would like to thank you for holding and attending the Public Meeting re Boundary Road, November 3rd, at Nelson School. We hope you received the input you requested as well as alternatives to be considered.

We felt badly that many people attending were unable to have had seating accommodation. There were people, some elderly, who had to leave because of this. I'm sure you share our feelings. It does however, raise a highly significant point in that one should never underestimate. Obviously, the residents of Burnaby are working toward a mature view; that we do share common concerns. Our Committee from its inception, has held one basic stand; we are fully supportive and concerned with such road development problems facing other groups in all areas of Burnaby. It is a positive step toward sharing concerns, knowledge and information, with the hope Council will find such input helpful in making future decisions that affect all residents.

Following the Public Meeting, we would like to pass on to you a few comments and ask a question or two.

We were disturbed at the write-up appearing in the Columbia Newspaper the following day. First, the headline, and I quote, 'Seething crowd slams truck route plan', followed by 'More than 500 hostile people crowded into a Burnaby meeting----'. The next quote, 'Constable and other members of Burnaby Council, who are resolved to have Boundary widened, have been under increasing criticism from residents.' If we felt you were totally resolved, we would naturally question the sincerity of your statements in Council, that you do indeed want input from the residents BEFORE you make any decision. We question this type of reporting, as it appears, particularly to the average reader, that Burnaby Council and the residents of Burnaby cannot work together. We have informed the newspaper of our feelings.

The Vancouver Sun did not send a reporter to cover the Public Meeting, and they have been notified. I understand now, however, they are in the process of rectifying this.

The Province Newspaper gave a pretty straightforward account of the meeting and we appreciate this type of reporting. This newspaper has been informed.

From the notices appearing in the papers by the Corporation of the District of Burnaby, advertising the meeting, it stated that the purpose of the Public Meeting was for all interested individuals or organizations to 'discuss': the Oxford Dictionary defines this word as such: 'to talk about -argue the faults and merits of'. It is our opinion that unfortunately the concerned residents were not given this opportunity.

One of the questions we have been frequently asked is 'what was the answer to Mr. Strongman's question to Council: that being, do you want encroachment of Central Park?'. This is not a direct quote, but it is the essence of his question. Do you have an answer to this question at this time?

Mrs. Lucy Vick, in her presentation at the Public Meeting, brought up the

5.

 $\left(\right)$

subject of the newsletter, 'Information Burnaby'. We would like to know if this newsletter has ever mentioned the 'Planning Information Programme'; for it was certainly news to us when Mr. Parr made reference to this Programme at the Public Meeting. We understand that 'Information Burnaby' is not mailed in some areas, rather it is given out by schools. Could you please clarify this.

Alderman Emmott on October 25th in Council requested a map to be drawn up pinpointing locations of the people who had written to Council protesting the widening of Boundary Road and all aspects. Our Committee would also like to view this map. Did we perhaps miss something? Could this item have been tabled? (Manager's Report No. 71)

We hope you have some feed-back to present to us tonight, and we respectfully request that any information pertaining to the Public Meeting or further pertinent developments on all aspects of the widening of Boundary Road be sent to us at your earliest convenience. We would like to be informed of any upcoming meeting with Vancouver Council and the outcome of such meeting. You may use the address given below if you wish.

If there are any questions you wish answered by our Committee, I will gladly present them at our next weekly meeting, and they will be answered at a subsequent Council Meeting.

Thank you,

'Laura J. Ervin'

BURNABY CITIZENS' ROADS COMMITTEE Mrs. Lucy Vick 3791 Carson Street, Burnaby, B.C. V5J 2X6"

Alderman Stusiak then advised of a Notice of Motion in regard to Provincial Government involvement in a multi-government study on the question of whether or not Boundary Road should be an urban arterial collector.

BY - LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Item 16 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 71 be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Treasurer regarding properties that are proposed for exemption from taxation. It was indicated that Section 327 of the Municipal Act exempts every building set apart and in use for the public worship of God and any church hall which Council considers is necessary thereto; every building set apart and used as a hospital; and every building which is used as an incorporated institution of learning giving children instruction equal to that furnished in a public school and the land on which the building, hall, or school actually stands and all such areas of the land surrounding the building as may be determined by Council. Your staff has determined the amount of such areas that should be exempt. Generally, they relate only to reasonable side yards and parking areas.

It was recommended that the subject By-laws be brought forward.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"That the following resolution concerning tax exemptions on church properties be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RESOLUTIONS RE TAX EXEMPTIONS

RESOLVED THAT the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby pursuant to Clause (h) of subsection (1) of Section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from =Taxation for the year 1977:

(1) Those lands occupied by "THE PARISH OF ALL SAINTS, SOUTH BURNABY" described as portion of Lots "A" and "B", Sketch 5443, Block 29, District Lot 98, Plan 573, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(2) Those lands occupied by "VANCOUVER HEIGHTS BAPTIST CHURCH" described as portion of Lot 11, Block 3, North part of District Lot 116, Plan 1236, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(3) Those lands occupied by "THE CONVENTION OF BAPTIST
 CHURCHES OF BRITISH COLUMBIA" described as Lot "B", Block 3,
 District Lot 95, Plan 1796, Group 1, New Westminster District,
 Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(4) Those lands occupied by "ITALIAN PENTECOSTAL CHURCH"
 described as portion of Lot 7, Block 91, District Lot 127,
 Plan 4953, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of
 British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(5) Those lands occupied by "TRUSTEES OF THE CLIFF AVENUE CONGREGATION OF THE UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA" described as Parcel

"A", Explanatory Plan 16397, of Block 60, District Lot 135, Group 1, Plan 3234, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

lvember 8, 1976

(6) Those lands occupied by "ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF CANADA" described as North and South portion of Lots 11 and 12, Block 7, District Lot 186, Plan 1124, Group 1, New West-. minster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(7) Those lands occupied by "ALTA VISTA BAPTIST CHURCH" described as South 63 feet of Lot 20, Block 6, District Lot 173, Plan 1034, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(8) Those lands occupied by "CENTRAL PARK GOSPEL HALL" described as portion of Lot 29, Block 7, District Lot 151/3, Plan 1895, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(9) Those lands occupied by "LOCKDALE FOURSQUARE CHURCH" described as portion of Lot 1, Subdivision "A", Block 1, District Lot 206, Plan 10145, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(10) Those lands occupied by "NORTH BURNABY KINGDOM HALL SOCIETY" described as Lot 7, Block "G", District Lot 127, West 3/4, Plan 1254, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(11) Those lands occupied by "BURNABY UNIT OF NEW WEST-MINSTER CONGREGATION OF THE JEHOVAHS WITNESSES" described as Lot 26, Block 1, District Lot 98, Plan 1384, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(12) Those lands occupied by "VANCOUVER HEIGHTS PRESBY-TERIAN CHURCH" described as South portion of Lots 19 and 20, Sketch 5992, Block 7, District Lot 186, Plan 1124, Group 1,

New Westminster Districf, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

S. S. Bart

er 8, 1976

(13) Those lands occupied by "THE GOVERNING COUNCIL OF THE SALVATION ARMY CANADA WEST" described as Lots 1 and 2 except the North 20 feet, Block 10, District Lot 121, Plan 1054, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(14) Those lands occupied by "ELLESMERE UNITED CHURCH"
 described as Lots 4, 5 and 6, Block 77, District Lot 122/127,
 Plan 4953, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British
 Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(15) Those lands occupied by "ROYAL OAK BAPTIST CHURCH" described as Lot "A", Block 1, District Lot 74 South, Plan 1547, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(16) Those lands occupied by "NEW APOSTOLIC HOLDING COMPANY LIMITED" described as portion of Lots 11 and 12, Block 2, District Lot 116/186, Plan 1236, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(17) Those lands occupied by "UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA" described as portion of Lots 19 and 20, Lot 21, Block 35, District Lot 120/121, Plan 11500, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(18) Those lands occupied by "WEST BURNABY CONGREGATION OF THE UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA" described as portion of Lot "A", Block 6, District Lot 151/3, Plan 3641, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(19) Those lands occupied by "THE SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF NEW WESTMINSTER" described as Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 3, North West part of District Lot 68, Plan 980, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(20) Those lands occupied by "NEW WESTMINSTER EVANGELICAL FREE CHURCH" described as Lots 22, 23, 24 and 25, Block 12, District Lot 29, Plan 3035, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

vember 8. 1976

(21) Those lands occupied by "PARISH OF ST. NICHOLAS CHURCH" described as Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 10, District Lot 186, Plan 1124, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(22) Those lands occupied by "WESTMINSTER GOSPEL CHAPEL" described as Lots 33 and 34, Block 10, District Lot 28C, Plan 627, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(23) Those lands occupied by "TRUSTEES OF SOUTH BURNABY UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA" described as Lots 12, 13 and 14 and South Half of Lot 11, Block 22, District Lot 99, Plan 2231, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(24) Those lands occupied by "ST. PAUL'S UNITED CHURCH" described as Lot "B", subdivision 4/5 pt., Block 34/36, District Lot 35, Plan 17928, Group 1, New Wëstminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon, and also those lands occupied by "ST. PAUL'S UNITED CHURCH" described as Lot 5, South one-third, Block 34/36, District Lot 35, Plan 1370, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(25) Those lands occupied by "PARISH OF ST. JOHN THE DEVINE" described as Lot "A", Except Explanatory Plan 15591, Block 49, District Lot 35, Plan 799, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and Lot "B", Block 49, District Lot 35, Plan 799, Group 1, and Parcel 1, Explanatory Plan 15591, of Lot "A", Block 49, District Lot 35, Plan 799, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(26) Those lands occupied by "TRUSTEES OF THE CONGREGATION" OF EAST BURNABY UNITED CHURCH" described as the East 181.5 feet of the North Half of Block 13 of District Lot 28C, Plan 3287, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

ember 8, 1976 .

(27) Those lands occupied by "CENTRAL BURNABY UNITED CHURCH" described as Lot "A", Reference Plan 14874, of Lot 6 of Block "R", of District Lot 85, Plan 11109 and Lot "J", Sketch 7290 of District Lot 5, Plan 5431, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(28) Those lands occupied by the "FIRST CHRISTIAN REFORM CHURCH OF NEW WESTMINSTER" described as Lot 3 of Lot 18 of Block 2 of District Lot 25 West, Group 1, Plan 22388, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(29) Those lands occupied by "THE PARKCREST GOSPEL CHAPEL" described as Lot 284, District Lot 132, Plan 42002, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(30) Those lands occupied by "SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF NEW
WESTMINSTER" described as the South Half of Lot 10, Blocks 1 to
36, District Lot 132, Group 1, Plan 2640, New Westminster District,
Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(31) Those lands occupied by "ST. STEPHEN'S ANGLICAN CHURCH" described as Lot "A", Pt. of Lot 4, Blocks 1 to 5 and 24, District Lot 6, Group 1, Plan 2381, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(32) Those lands occupied by "THE SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF NEW WESTMINSTER" described as Lot "B", of Block 25 of District Lot 80 North Half, Plan 16273, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(33) Those lands occupied by the "B.C. ASSOCIATION OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENTISTS" described as Lots "C" and "D" of subdivision 37 and 38, Block 4, District Lot 28 South, Plan 20867, Group 1, New

Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

óvember 8, 1976

(34) These lands occupied by "PENTECOSTAL HOLINESS CHURCH" described as Lot 6, of Lot "E" of Lot 13, Block 1, District Lot 13, Plan 17512, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(35) Those lands occupied by "SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF NEW WESTMINSTER" described as Lots "D" and "F", Blocks 45 and 46, District Lot 28N, Plan 18850, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(36) Those lands occupied by "TRUSTEES OF THE CONGREGATION
OF THE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN CANADA" described as Lot "D", Block
⁷7, District Lot 30, Plan 3036, Group 1, New Westminster District,
Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(37) Those lands occupied by "TRUSTEES OF THE CONGREGATION OF SOUTH BURNABY CHURCH OF CHRIST" described as Lot 2, Sketch Plan 7931, of Lot 1, of Lots 45 and 46, Blocks 1 and 3, District Lot 95, Plan 2702, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(38) Those lands occupied by "FIRST CHURCH OF CHRIST SCIENTIST, BURNABY, B.C." described as Lot "A", Block 4, District Lot 131, Plan 37636, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(39) Those lands occupied by "EVANGELICAL FREE CHURCH OF AMERICA" described as Lot 1, Block 37, District Lot 159, Group 1, Plan 2585, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(40) Those lands occupied by "GOVERNING COUNCIL OF THE SALVATION ARMY CANADA WEST" described as the Northerly 123.2 feet of Lot 2, Block 5, District Lot 32, Group 1, Plan 6123, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(41) Those lands occupied by "BOUNDARY ROAD PENTECOSTAL
 CHURCH" described as Lot "A", Block 4, District Lot 68, Plan
 980, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British
 Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

ingeneral and a second s

ovember 8, 1976

 (42) Those lands occupied by "THE INTERNATIONAL CHURCH OF THE FOURSQUARE GOSPEL" described as Lot 7, District Lot 34,
 Plan 849, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(43) Those lands occupied by "THE LETHBRIDGE STAKE" described as Lot "A", of District Lot 80N, Plan 22622, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the Buildings thereon.

(44) Those lands occupied and held by the ALTA VISTA BAPTIST CHURCH" described as Lot 85, District Lot 98, Plan 37924, Group 1, New Westminster District, and the buildings thereon.

(45) Those lands occupied and held by the "APOSTOLIC CHURCH OF PENTECOST VANCOUVER" described as Lot 74, District Lot 34, Plan 31689, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(46) Those lands occupied and held by the "PARISH OF CHRIST THE KING CHURCH" described as Lot 119, District Lot 123, Plan
44141, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

ST,

TIO

(47) Those lands occupied and held by the "NISHVA HINDU
PARISHAD OF B.C." described as Lot 13, Block 2, District Lot 116/
186, Plan 1236, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of
British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(48) Those lands occupied and held by the "CAPITAL HILL
ALLIANCE CHURCH" described as Lot 484, District Lot 126, Plan
41685, Group 1, New Westminster District, Province of British
Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

 (49) Those lands occupied and held by "THE ARCHDIOCESE OF
 CATHOLIC PUBLIC SCHOOLS" described as Lots 8, 9 and 10, Block 7,
 District Lot 186, Plan 1124, Group 1, New Westminster District, 1099 Province of British Columbia, and the buildings thereon.

(50) Those lands occupied and held by "THE BRITISH COLUMBIA CORPORATION OF SEVENTH DAY ADVENTISTS" described as Lot 91, District Lot 91, Plan 28684, Group 1, New Westminster District, and the buildings thereon.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nóvember 8, 1976

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby, pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the buildings erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the NEW VISTA SOCIETY.

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

Lot 71, District Lot 30, Plan 29773, Group 1, New Westminster District

Lot 72, Except Plan 44227, District Lot 30, Plan 29773, Group 1, New Westminster District

Lot 73, Except Plan 44227, District Lot 30, Plan 29773,

Group 1, New Westminster District

Lot 74, Except Plan 44227, District Lot 30, Plan 29773,

Group 1, New Westminster District

Lot 99, District Lot 30, Plan 44227, Group 1, New

Westminster District

Lots 15 to 28 inclusive, Subdivision 4, Block 1, District Lot 25 West, Group 1, Plan 1169, New Westminster District

Lots 6 to 9 inclusive, Block 4, District Lot 27,

Group 1, Plan 697, New Westminster District

Lot 94, District Lot 30, Plan 38962, Group 1, New Westminster District

Lots 1 to 14, Subdivision 3 of Block 1, District Lot 25 West, Group 1, Plan 1169, New Westminster District

Lot "A", Subdivision 18 to 21, Block 15, District Lot 28C, Group 1, Plan 17036, New Westminster District.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby, pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the buildings erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the NORWEGIAN OLD PEOPLE'S HOME ASSOCIATION.

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

ALL AND SINGULAR that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being a portion of Lot "D", Block 6, District Lot 28, Group 1, Plan 24032, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia and being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South East corner of said Lot "D", being a point of intersection of Twelfth Avenue and Fourth Street, thence in a North Westerly direction a distance of 307.56 feet along the Eastern boundary of said Lot "D", thence in a South Westerly direction parallel to Thirteenth Avenue a distance of 247.0 feet, thence in a South Easterly direction a distance of 121.15 feet, thence in a South Westerly direction parallel to Thirteenth Avenue a distance of 50.0 feet to the Westerly boundary, thence in a South Easterly direction a distance of 32.28 feet, thence in a South Westerly direction a distance of 49.5 feet, thence along the Western boundary, in a South Easterly direction, a distance of 153.78 feet to the Twelfth Avenue boundary of said Lot 12, thence along the Twelfth Avenue boundary 346.5 feet to the point of commencement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the buildings erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the DANISH OLD PEOPLE'S HOME.

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

Part of Block 11, RP 2455, District Lot 79, Plan 2298, Group 1, New Westminster District, as shown outlined in Green on sketch attached and marked with the letter "D".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby, pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the building erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the UNITED CHURCH OF CANADA (and occupied by the United Church Homes).

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South West corner of Lot "B" of the South East Quarter of District Lot 149, Group 1, Plan 3160, save and except part on Sketch 11860, New Westminster District; thence North and following the West boundary a distance of 258 feet; thence East and parallel to the South Boundary a distance of 591.27 feet; thence North and parallel to the East boundary a distance of 85 feet; thence East and parallel to the North boundary a distance of 233.62 feet; thence South a distance of 343 feet following and parallel to Sussex Avenue; thence West, following and parallel to Rumble Street a distance of 825.99 feet to point of commencement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

November 8, 1976

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby, pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the buildings erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the WEST CANADA DANISH OLD PEOPLE'S HOME.

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at South West corner of Lot 216, District Lots 79 and 80, Plan 34884, in the District of New Westminster, thence in a Northerly direction a distance of 314 feet, thence 212 feet East, thence South 50 feet, thence East 298.4 feet to Norland Avenue, thence South parallel to Norland 169 feet, thence West 299.28 feet, thence South 93.12 feet, thence West 174.87 feet to the point of commencement, as shown outlined in green colour on sketch attached, and marked with the letter "D".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

That the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby, pursuant to clause (i) of subsection (1) of section 327 of the Municipal Act does hereby exempt from taxation imposed under section 206 of the Municipal Act for the year 1977, 40% of the area of those lands surrounding the building erected upon those lands more particularly hereinafter described owned by the SWEDISH CANADIAN REST HOME ASSOCIATION.

The said lands are more particularly described as follows:

ALL AND SINGULAR that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being a portion of Lot 1, of Lot 1, of Block 10, District Lot 136, Group 1, Plan 6173, save and except the North 33 feet thereon, New Westminster District, Province of British Columbia and being more particularly described as follows:

November 8, 1976

Commencing at the North corner of said Lot 1 then South and following the East boundary for a distance of 329.4 feet, then West and following the South boundary for a distance of 314.25 feet, then North and parallel to the East boundary for a distance of 329.4 feet, then East and following the North boundary for a distance of 314.25 feet to the point of commencement as shown outlined in Green colour on the plan attached and marked with the letter "A".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT:

BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 9, 197	6' - #6935
'BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 11, 1976'	- #6928
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1976	, - # 6937
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1976	- #6938
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1976	
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1976	j' - # 6940
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1976	j' - #6941
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1976	- # 6942
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1976	5' - #6943
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 8, 1976	5' - #6944
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 9, 1970	5' - # 6945
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 10, 197	76' - #6946
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 11, 192	-
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 12, 19	76' - #6948
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 13, 19	
*BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 14, 19	

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT:

'BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 9, 1976'	- #6935
'BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 11, 1976'	- #6928
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1976'	- #6937
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1976'	- #6938
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1976	- #6939
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1976'	- #6940
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1976'	- #6941
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1976'	- #6942
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1976'	- #6943
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 8, 1976'	- #6944
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 9, 1976'	- #6945
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 10, 1976'	- #6946
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 11, 1976'	- #6947
'BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 12, 1976'	- #6948
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 13, 1976	- #6949
BURNABY TAXATION EXEMPTION BY-LAW NO. 14, 1976'	- #6950

be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT NO. 24, 1976' - #6852"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was requested that the Director of Planning provide the final CD drawings before final adoption of the above noted By-law.

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT:

'BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT NO. 24, 1976' - #6852

be now read a third time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

November 8, 1976

For final reading of By-law No. 6929 - "Burnaby Fire Prevention By-law 1968, Amendment By-law No. 4, 1976" see Item 4(d) - Minutes, Page 21.

CORRESPONDENCE AND PETITIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT all of the following listed items of correspondence be received and those Items of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 71, 1976 which pertain thereto be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

a) British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, Assistant General Manager, Transportation, Re: Transit Fares and Transit Zones for Urban and Pacific Stage Lines operations adjusted November 8, 1976.

A letter under date of October 29, 1976 was received indicating adjustments in transit fares and transit zones for both the Urban and Pacific Stage Line operations as at November 8, 1976. It was indicated that bus fares in the Greater Vancouver Area are to go up 10¢ but a simplified zone system for the lower mainland will reduce many suburban commuter fares. This double move is aimed at reducing the increase in the transit deficit while opening up the system to attract new ridership from outlying areas. The Pacific Stage Line minimum fare will rise from 25¢ to 50¢ but there will be a corresponding increase in the distance which can be travelled for this levy. Zonal increments of 50¢ will produce a maximum fare of \$3.50. The present maximum is \$2.95.

b) Mr. and Mrs. J. Romans Re: Boundary Road, Grandview Highway, 401 Triangle

A letter under date of October 28, 1976 was received advising of the struggle to get in and out of this triangle and suggesting that their existence could be made more bearable by the installation of a traffic light at the intersection of Esmond Street and the Grandview Highway (Clydesdale Street).

The Municipal Manager advised a staff report would be available at the November 15, 1976 Meeting of Council.

c) The Salvation Army North Burnaby Corps., Captain Margaret Folley Re: Christmas Serenading - December 1 to 22, 1976

A letter under date of October 27, 1976 was received advising of a Christmas Serenading effort involving members of the Corp collecting money door-to-door while their Band plays Christmas Carols.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the request received from the Salvation Army North Burnaby Corps. providing Christmas Serenading and collecting money door-to-door between December 1 and December 22, 1976 inclusive be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

d) Burnaby Fire Fighters Association Local 323 Re: Fire Prevention By-law Amendment and Job Descriptions

A letter under date of November 1, 1976 was received drawing to Council's attention a number of matters in relation to the proposed changes in Job Descriptions, Director - Fire Services and Chief - Fire Operations and strongly urging Council to postpone any further consideration of the proposed

November 8, 1976

Fire Prevention By-law Amendment and the new job descriptions until all parties have had an opportunity to discuss the ramifications of the proposed changes. It was suggested Council might also want to consider postponing further consideration until the Labour Relations Board has dealt with the Union's application on the inclusion of the Deputy Fire Chief in the bargaining unit.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT:

BURMABY FIRE PREVENTION BY-LAW 1968, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 4, 1976'

- #6929

be now lifted from the table."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following resolution was then before the Council:

MCVED BY ALDERMAN GUNN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN AST:

"THAT:

BURNABY FIRE PREVENTION BY-LAW 1968, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 4, 1976'

- #6929

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

e) Burnaby Haida Speedskating Club Re: Permit for Nut Sale North Burnaby December 4 to 19, 1976

A letter under date of October 28, 1976 was received requesting permission to hold a nut sale in the North Burnaby area for the weeks December 4 to December 19, 1976.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:

"THAT the request received from the Burnaby Haida Speedskating Club to have a nut sale in the North Burnaby area during the period December 4 to December 19, 1976 be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

f) Petition - Residents Victory/Frederick Streets Re Use of property located at 4535 Victory Street

A petition under date of October 28, 1976 was received petitioning the Corporation of the District of Burnaby to prohibit the use of the property located at 4535 Victory Street for the purpose of the used auto parts, scrap and junk business. It was indicated the tenant at this address persists in parking a total of 7 vehicles including several loaded junk trucks in front of neighbouring properties for extended periods of time. He also persists in transferring scrap metals etc., from one truck to another at all hours of the day and night with considerable noise. It was further indicated that this situation has already caused a neighbour to sell his home and move.

The Municipal Manager advised that a Staff report would be available at the November 15, 1976 Meeting of Council

g) Christian Reformed Church in Burnaby Re: Observance of the Lords Day Act of Canada and the Sunday Observance Act

A letter under date of October 28, 1976 was received indicating the

216 2413

desecration of the Sunday by various industries and businesses. Contrary to the Lord's Day Act of Canada, N.S.C. 171 and the Sunday Observance Act, Ch. 318 and further urging Council as local government to restrict business practices as the law provides; to promote those that work for the benefit of the whole community and to prosecute others that disregard the laws and operate only for selfish gain.

The Municipal Manager advised that a Staff report would be available at the November 15, 1976 Meeting of Council.

h) Salvation Army Over Sixty Club

A letter under date of November 1, 1976 was received conveying thanks to Burnaby Municipal Council for making a contribution for a Christmas grant to the Salvation Army Over Sixty Club.

1) Eileen Dailly, M.L.A. Re: Traffic Lights at Hastings Street and Duncan Avenue

This subject matter was considered previously under Delegations - Item 2(a).

j) Lochdale Parent-Teacher Association Re: Upcoming Election and Referendum

A letter under date of November 1, 1976 was received indicating the Association's feelings with the 'package type' referendum which seems to defeat itself because of the necessity to obtain such a large sum of money. In addition, not all taxpayers are interested in all three areas listed in the referendum. Concern was also expressed as to little information on this referendum and wondering why it was publicized at such a late date.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT a copy of Item 17, Manager's Report No. 69, November 1, 1976 and Item 45, Manager's Report No. 48, July 19, 1976 be forwarded to the Lochdale Parent-Teacher Association."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

k) Joseph Samusevich Re: Edmonds Stree throughway and berms

The Municipal provided a report from the Director of Planning on comments contained in the subject correspondence. It was indicated that the Chief Public Health Inspector advises that available personnel and equipment are now engaged in the taking of noise emission readings on Boundary Road and that upon completion of this assignment in about one week, a concentrated effort will be made to enforce the provisions of the Noise By-law on Edmonds Street.

It was recommended that a copy of this report be sent to the correspondent.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted and further that copies of all information pertaining to this Item be forwarded as well."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN GUNN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the Planning Department bring forward a supplementary report to give comment on the question of compensation both for the improvement and the loss of property values in the case of the road alignment and other things the Municipality might injuriously or betterly affect a particular property."

> CARRIED OPPOSED: Aldermen Emmott, McLean and Stusisk

1) Mr. and Mrs. J. Lapre Re: Trucks on Edmonds Street - Evening Restriction

This subject matter was considered previously under Item (k).

m) Killarney Champlain Citizens for Action Re: Report "Public Transit in Burnaby"

Correspondence under date of November 1, 1976 was received reviewing the report "Public Transit in Burnaby" and making comments for Council's consideration.

The Municipal Manager advised that a Staff report would be available at the November 15, 1976 Meeting of Council.

n) George W. Jackson Holdings Ltd. Re: Still Creek Street

A letter under date of November 4, 1976 was received in regard to the above noted subject and indicating disappointment that Council decided to give them notice to vacate the road allowance before the matter of the Still Creek Street Extension was finally settled. It was respectfully requested that Council reconsider its instructions to have them vacate the Still Creek Street Road allowance until the question of the road's location is finalized.

o) Jack K. McNamara Re: Noise By-law and Trucks on Boundary Road

A letter under date of October 26, 1976 was received in regard to the noise of trucks on Boundary Road coming off Marine Drive. It was suggested they apparently do not have the proper mufflers and the noise is terrible. It was suggested that this should be checked by the authorities and any infractions noted and the offenders charged in Court. It was also suggested the matter of widening Boundary Road should be thoroughly checked out as to feasibility before any work is started on it.

The Municipal Manager reported that Council considered a report on this matter last week and that Staff is now conducting surveys on Boundary Road in which tickets will be issued to motorists who are in contravention of Burnaby Noise and Sound Abatement By-law 1972, No. 6052.

It was recommended that:

- A copy of this report item together with Item 16, Report No. 69 dated November 1, 1976 be sent to Jack K. McNamara; and
- 2. A copy of this report item be sent to the correspondents who have already received copies of Item 16, Report No. 69, dated November 1, 1976.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

p) Thomas B. Barnes and Marilyn Barnes Re: Concern regarding proposed widening of Boundary Road

A letter under date of October 27, 1976 was received expressing concern regarding the proposed widening of Boundary and its use as a major truck route. It was suggested there was no reason to increase traffic for the benefit of Vancouver.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT correspondence Items (p) to (y) inclusive be tabled and the Director of Planning be asked to bring forward a report on Boundary Road including information received at the November 3, 1976 Public Meeting.

The following correspondence was received as well in relation to the above noted subject:

q) Margaret Ballantyne, 7930 Joffre Avenue, Burnaby, B.C.

r) T. and C.M. Binnie, 3796 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

s) Mr. and Mrs. Hugh Jeccel, 3745 Victory Street, Burnaby, B.C.

t) Mr. and Mrs. Ben Sharpe, 3727 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

u) D.D. McBay, 3753 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

v) Mrs. D. McBay, 3753 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

v) F.A. and E.M. Frost, 3756 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

x) Leslie and Francis Golding, 3726 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

y) George Cherry, 3793 Peter Street, Burnaby, B.C.

z) Mr. Henry Lum and Petition Re: Closure of Dellawn Drive and Halifax Street

A letter under date of October 28, 1976 was received enclosing a petition signed by 73 households in their subdivision reading as follows:

"We the undersigned hereby petition Council to remove the blockade on Halifax Street and Dellawn Drive. If the blockades are not removed we ask members of the Council to do whatever they consider necessary to decrease the flow of 'through' traffic in our neighbourhood."

The Director of Planning advised that the report was awaiting the completion of traffic counts and would shortly be coming to Council.

The Council recessed at 9:10 P.M.

The Council reconvened at 9:22 P.M. with all members present.

TABLED MATTERS

a) Public Transit in Burnaby (Council Meeting September 7, 1976)

The Municipal Manager advised that a Staff report will be submitted on November 15, 1976.

b) Boundary Road Widening (Correspondence Items - Council Meeting September 27, 1976)

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 4(p) - Correspondence and Petitions.

c) "Burnaby Fire Prevention By-law 1968, Amendment By-law No. 4, 1976" No. 6929

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 4(d) - Correspondence and Petitions.

ENQUIRIES

Alderman McLean requested that the Municipal Engineer review the question of the old sidewalks and a lane problem in the 4700 block Maitland and that the Engineering Staff discuss the subject matter with the owners at 4756 Maitland Street.

REPORTS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:

"THAT Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Municipal Manager presented Report No. 69, 1976 on the matters listed following as Items 1 to 17 either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given.

1. Retention of Consultant - Burnaby Metrotown Development Concept.

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning requesting authorization to engage a consultant to assist with the development of the Metrotown concept.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that the Planning Department be authorized to retain Mr. N. Hotson as a consultant to assist the preparation of the Burnaby Metrotown Development Concept report for a fee of \$6,750 for the purpose outlined in the Director of Planning's report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

بغاج أنها

2. 2.6

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Termination of Lease, Burnaby Public Library, 2729 Lake City Way Lot 44 of D.L. 59, Plan 31265 - Jaemar Properties Limited

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Land Agent regarding the proposed termination of a lease. Since time was of the essence the Manager this week authorized that action be taken to have the lease agreement cancelled for the reasons noted in the report.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that:

- 1) The action taken by the Manager to have the lease cancelled be ratified by Council; and
- 2) A copy of this report be sent to the Library Board.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. Interest chargeable on arrear and delinquent Taxes

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Municipal Treasurer regarding interest chargeable on arrear and delinquent Taxes.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that a By-law be brought down to set the rate of interest applicable to arrear or delinquent taxes at 12% per annum.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

25.

4. Implementation of Burnaby Lake Regional Park Plan

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding the Burnaby Lake Regional Park Plan.

"Following initial consideration by Council and the Parks and Recreation Commission of the report "A Development Program for Burnaby Lake Regional Park", the Planning Department contacted G.V.R.D. staff to initiate discussions concerning a mutually acceptable procedure to develop and manage Burnaby Lake Regional Park.

The G.V.R.D. Regional Park Committee has assigned a top priority to the acquisition of private lands and initial development of the Burnaby Lake Regional Park consistent with the development plan prepared by this department. In their letter of October 27, 1976 the G.V.R.D. has requested that two representatives from the Municipality be designated to meet with G.V.R.D. staff in order to prepare a proposed agreement concerned with jurisdiction, management, land tenure, operation, development priorities etc., that will allow for the priority development and use of the park.

The Municipal Manager has asked the Director of Planning and the Parks and Recreation Administrator to represent the Municipality in drafting this agreement. It is proposed that this agreement be prepared in draft form by November 30, 1976 for subsequent consideration and adoption by the Municipal Council and the Regional District respectively.

This is for the information of Council."

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that a copy of this report be forwarded to the Parks and Recreation Commission.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN GUNN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED OPPOSED: Alderman Stusiak

It was requested that the Director of Planning obtain clarification as to the terms "active" and "passive" particularly as they refer to the Burnaby Lake Regional Park Plan.

5. Application for Strata Title approval on an existing Duplex Lot 74, D.L. 206, Plan 37302 - 6797 and 6799 Carnegie Street

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding a request for Strata Title approval on a Duplex at 6797 and 6799 Carnegie Street.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that the subject application for Strata Titling be granted approval by Council subject to the fulfillment of Sections 6.1 through 6.7 of the Guidelines for Duplex Condominiums and Conversions.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program (RRAP)

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning on availability of funds under the RRAP Program. The report is submitted in response to enquiries that Council made on November 1, 1976.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that the Planning Director request from C.N.H.C. a clarification of RRAP funding and future guidelines that may be involved to ensure that no future disruptions occur in RRAY funding.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: "THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

·····

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was requested that copies of the Report Item No. 6 be forwarded to local M.P.'s and the N.I.P. Committee for information purposes.

.

7. Fire Prevention By-law Amendment

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 4(d) - Correspondence and Petitions.

8. Engineer's Special Estimate

The Municipal Manager provided a report of the Municipal Engineer covering a special estimate of work in the total amount of \$4,000. to provide access from the West Building to Deer Lake Place and to include traffic controls and directional signing and minor landscaping.

It was recommended that the estimate be approved as submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

9. Letter from Victor and Muriel Love that appeared on the Agenda for the November 1, 1976 Meeting of Council (Item 5b)

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning regarding property at 8720 Government Road.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that a copy of this report be sent to Victor and Muriel Love.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN GUNN:

"THAT the recommendation of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN RANDALL:

"THAT this matter be referred back to the Planning Department for a report as to a plan of possible traffic pattern and to obtain letters of confirmation or policy from all the effective bodies."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10. Letter from Jack K. McNamara, 3737 Banting Place, Burnaby Traffic Noise on Boundary Road

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 4(o) - Correspondence and Petitions.

11. Letter from the Lochdale Parent-Teacher Association, 6990 Aubrey Street, Burnaby - Community projects Loan Authorization Referendum

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 4(j) - Correspondence and Petitions.

12. Letter from Hean, Wylie, Prentice & Company that appeared on last week's Agenda (Item 3b) - Lots 1 and 2, Blk. 7, D.L. 121, Plan 1054 4204 Albert Street, Burnaby, B.C. The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning on this matter.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that:

- The Municipality offer to enter into a land exchange involving the two lots at 4204 Albert Street for two lots owned by the Municipality between 4224 and 4228 Albert Street and that the applicant purchase the remaining two Municipal lots, all within the context of a rezoning application for the central site within this block (4224 - 4250 Albert, 4227 - 4245 Hastings) for an RM5 type Comprehensive Development residential proposal; and
- 2) Should the applicant not wish to enter into the exchange/purchase from the Municipality noted in Recommendation No. 1, that the Land Agent be authorized to pursue negotiations to acquire the two lots at 4204 Albert Street if offered for purchase to the Municipality by the present owner.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. Letters that appeared on the Agenda for the November 1, 1976 Meeting of Council - 1. Mrs. L. Young; 2. Susan D. Dowell. Letters that appear on the Agenda for the November 8, 1976 Meeting of Council - 1. Mr. and Mrs. Lapre; 2. Mr. Joseph Samusevich. Edmonds Street

This matter was considered previously under Item 4(k) - Correspondence and Petitions.

14. Burnaby Lake Sports Complex Development Plan Concept

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Parks and Recreation Administrator regarding the Commission's review and comments on the Burnaby Lake Sports Complex Development Plan Concept and as well a report of the Director of Planning reviewing the recommendations that the Commission is referring to Council for consideration. It will be recalled that the Advisory Planning Commission submitted its comments on this matter to Council on October 25. There is a divergence of opinion with respect to the second recommendation in the Administrator's report regarding the total exclusion of financial assistance by the Municipality to developers who express an interest in constructing facilities within the complex. The Manager concurs with the Planner's opinion that we should permit ourselves as much flexibility as possible, and that each proposal should be considered on its own merits. On the other hand, it is not difficult to accept Recommendation No. 2 from the Parks and Recreation Commission providing it is considered and understood as a general overall objection. With respect to the Commission's Recommendation No. 6, the Municipal Manager is of the opinion that at this stage the implementation process should remain under the jurisdiction of Council working jointly with the Commission for the reasons outlined in the Planning Director's report.

It was recommended by the Municipal Manager that:

- 1) Recommendations 1, 3, 4, 5 and 7 of the Parks and Recreation Administrator's report be adopted;
- 2) The objectives of the Planning Department's report dated August, 1976 be expanded to reflect the Council's position on commercial ventures incorporated within the Plan being developed without cost to the

Municipality, providing it is understood that this is a general overall objective and that individual projects will be considered by Council and the Commission at the time of their implementation on their own merits in the light of Municipal policy at that time and the benefits that might be seen to accrue to Burnaby citizens;

3) The implementation of the Plan be retained under the jurisdiction of the Council working jointly with the Commission, with full input being given by the Parks and Recreation Commission on the various implementation measures.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN AST: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK:

"THAT the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

15. Requests for a Traffic Light at Hastings and Duncan Streets Mrs. Eileen Dailly, M.L.A., Burnaby North and Westridge Home . and School Association

This subject matter was considered previously under Item 2(a) - Delegations.

16. Exemptions from Taxation

This subject matter was considered previously under Items 3(c) and 3(p) - By-laws No. 6937 and No. 6950.

17. Locations of Addressees who have corresponded with Council on matters pertaining to Boundary Road

The Municipal Manager provided a report from the Director of Planning containing information on the locations from which correspondence had been received regarding Boundary Road.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN GUNN:

"THAT the report of the Municipal Manager be received for information purposes and a copy of same go to all who have corresponded with Council in connection with Boundary Road."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN GUNN:

"THAT the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN STUSIAK: SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN:

"THAT the Council do now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole 'In Camera'."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY