
LOT 21C, BLOCK 9, D. .i. 68, PLAN 980 3771 ELMWOOD STREAT (ORTMBLE)
(Item 202 Report No. 49, July 21, 1975)

## ITE. 34 <br> MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 51 <br> COUNCIL MEETING Aug. $5 / 75$

On July 21, 1975, Council tablsd a report regarding a proposal to sell the subject lot for consolidation with an adjoining, privately-owned property. Council specifically requested additional information on (a) the rationale for the establish ment of the recommended price, and (b) the suitability of the subject lot as a report from the Land lot following completion of filling operations. The following report from the Land Agent contains the additional information that has been requested
by $C$ ouncil on this matfer.

## RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. THAT Item 20, Report No, 49, July 21, 1975 be 1ifted from the table; and
2. THAT the offer oE $\$ 5,500 \mathrm{by}$ Mr. and Mrs. T. E. Trimble, be accepted subject to:
(a) The consolidation of Lot 21 C with Iot 27 B
(b) Enclosure of the watercourse (approximately $80^{\prime}$ ) traversing Lot $21 C$ by the applicant to full municipal standards, fncluding submission of
(c) design drawings and inspection of the works,

The provision of a $15^{\prime}$ wide easement covering the drainage works to be
installed; and
3. THAT the applicant pay all of the costs of such work involved.

To:
FROM:
SUBJECT:

MUNICIPAL MANAGER
LAND AGENT
REQUEST TO PURCHASE
Lot 21C, B1ock 9, North-west Part of D.L. 68, P1an 980 3771 Elmwood Street (Trimble)

Our appraisal of the subject property took into consideration the following factors affecting the value.

Zoning R5: Requires side yards of not less than $10 \%$ of width.
The location of the culvert pipe in approxinately 18 ft . from the West property line. Alloiving for adequate clearance, an easement of $15 \mathrm{ft}$. is required. This effectively eliminates the West 20 ft . from ary developmene.

Allowing for the side yard requirements, the aize of building permitted on the lot would be 16 it . Wide by approxtmately 50 [t., or 800 sq . ft. $+/ \mathrm{m}$.

Sec attached sketch.

1. Value by Congolidation:
 qualdfles as a duplex lot under the $n 5$ wonng requicomente, inceretstng
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We must recognize that the lots in the area are predominantly 50 ft . wide. R5 zoning has a minimum lot requirement of 50 ft . width. The Planning Department has advised that they would be unable to enforce the 50 ft . width minimum in this case.
2. Value as Single Family Lot:

| Value of unencumbered single family 40 ft . lot LESS loss of value to easements | \$28,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10,000 |  |
| Value of lot | \$18,000 |  |
| Allowance for land fill settlement period of |  |  |
| 3 yrs. @ 12\% per annum \$18,000 x . $704960-$ |  |  |
| present worth | \$12,689 |  |
| LESS site preparation | 9,500 |  |
| Current value as single family site | \$ 3,189 | say $\$ 3,200$ |

It is the opinion of this Department that to sell, subject to consolidation, would be to our best advantage.
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[^0]:    cc: Director of Planning Municipal Eng ineer

