ITEM 16

MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 53

COUNCIL MEETING Aug. 18/75

Re: CONTINUATION OF THE FEDESTRIAN TRAIL SYSTEM THROUGH THE TRANS MOUNTAIN PIPE LIME COMPANY LID, 'S WESTRIDGE TERMINAL PROPERTY

Following is a report from the Director of Planning regarding discussions between staff and representatives of the Trans Mountain Pipeline Company Limited on a proposal to extend the pedestrian trail system through the firm's Westridge Terminal property.

This is for the information of Council.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PLANNING DEPARTMENT AUGUST 8, 1975

TO: MUNICIPAL MANAGER

FROM: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

RE: WESTRIDGE TERMINAL - CONTINUATION OF TRAIL SYSTEM

During discussion of Item 25, Manager's Report No. 45, 1975 - "Proposed Addition of Methanol Facilities at the Trans Mountain Tank Farm" at the Council meeting of June 23, 1975, the following motion was passed:

"THAT discussion be carried on with Westridge Terminal in relation to continuation of the trail system through their property."

Discussions have been held with representatives of Trans Mountain Pipeline Co. Ltd. concerning the possible continuation of the proposed foreshore pedestrian trail system westward through their Westridge Terminal property. While the company has exhibited a co-operative position in discussing this and other matters, it is their belief that the physical and operational nature of their Westridge facility would not be conducive to the integration of a pedestrian walkway.

The area of land between the CPR right-of-way and the Burrard Inlet foreshore is quite limited at this locals and presently accommodates a double rail spur. Note critical, however, are the safety regulations relating to the leading of propose onto vessels at the Westridge dock. During the periods at propase loading, access into the entire Westridge properly is restricted and marshalled by security personnel for safety reasons. Public access to the foreshore at these times would have to be restricted and would likely necessivate an elaborate fencing arrangement for security purposes at the loading class, and is cestalet access to the adjacent CPR trackage.

ITEM 16
MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 53
COUNCIL MEETING Aug. 18/75

Staff have reviewed this proposal and are of the opinion that trailway connection to the west would best be achieved by access traversing the upland slopes surrounding the Westridge Terminal which are under current Municipal ownership. Access to this trailway would be provided by means of the most westerly pedestrian overpass contained within the proposed Marine Park System development program. Longer term access back down to Burrard Inlet could be provided by traversing a trailway behind the Westridge subdivision. It should be noted that in the conceptual Burnaby Linear Parks and Trail System endorsed by Council at its meeting of June 17, 1974, provision was not made at that time for a continuation of the trailway system immediately west of the Trans Mountain Westridge facility.

For the further information of Council, the Planning Department has received correspondence from Trans Mountain Pipe Line Company Ltd. dated July 30, 1975 (see attached) in which the company has withdrawn its application for a development permit to build facilities to store and ship methanol as previously requested. Despite the withdrawal of this application, it is the intention of the Planning Department to continue meeting with Trans Mountain in order to develop a landscape and beautification program for the tank farm and to obtain a public access vistapoint.

This is for the information of Council.

JSB: cw

Att.

cc: Parks and Recreation Administrator

ITEM 16
MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 53
COUNCIL MEETING Aug. 18/75



## TRANS MOUNTAIN PIPE LINE COMPANY LTD.

400 EAST BROADWAY - VANCOUVER, B. C. V5T IX2 TELEPHONE 87G-67H - AREA CODE 604 - TELEX 04-54301

July 30th, 1975

File: 3-714-75.9

The Corporation of the District of Burnaby 4949 Canada Way Burnaby, B.C.

Attention: Mr. A. L. Parr,

Planning Director

Dear Sirs:

RE: Methanol Facilities

We wish to withdraw our application for a development permit to build facilities to ship methanol as requested in our letter of May 29/75.

We have been informed that our client in Alberta has made arrangements to ship this material via one of the existing north shore terminals because of the urgency of commencing shipments in early 1976. They were apparently of the opinion that our facility in Burnaby could not be completed in time.

We very much appreciate the cooperation shown by your Department and also the Burnaby Engineering Department in expediting this project and we are sorry that we were unable to successfully complete our negotiations with the Alberta firm.

Yours very truly,

 $\langle ^{\wedge} \lambda, w.$  SAMSON, P. Eng.

Chief Engineer

/jjc

cc: G. Irving E. E. Olson