

JANUARY 10, 1972

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, January 10, 1972 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor R. W. Prittie in the Chair;
Alderman W. R. Clark;
Alderman T. W. Constable;
Alderman J. Dailly (7:05 p.m.);
Alderman G. M. Dowding (7:03 p.m.);
Alderman J. D. Drummond;
Alderman H. G. Ladner;
Alderman D. A. Lawson (7:08 p.m.);

ABSENT: Alderman W. A. Blair;

STAFF PRESENT: Mr. M. J. Shelley - Municipal Manager
Mr. J. H. Shaw - Municipal Clerk
Mr. E. A. J. Ward - Deputy Municipal Clerk
Mr. A. L. Parr - Director of Planning
Mr. E. Olson - Municipal Engineer

MAYOR PRITTIE distributed a report outlining the situation with respect to the Snow Removal Programme during December 1971, including related statistical information.

ALDERMAN DAILLY AND DOWDING ARRIVED DURING THE DISCUSSION ON THE REPORT.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Minutes of the Council Meetings held on December 20, 1971, January 3, 1972, and the Public Hearing on December 21, 1971, be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That Alderman Blair be granted Leave of Absence from this meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DELEGATION

Mr. J. A. Ruddy, Barrister and Solicitor, wrote to request permission to address Council on the question of rezoning Lot 1N½ and S½, Block 32, D.L. 34, Plan 3333 to permit the development of a Senior Citizen's high-rise building thereon.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That Mr. Ruddy be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LAWSON ARRIVED AT THE MEETING.

Jan/10/1972

Mr. Ruddy then spoke and first displayed a plan of the area that was to be the subject of his presentation.

He also distributed a Brief in which the following points were made:

- (a) He was representing the owners of property in the area between Inman Avenue and Patterson Avenue South from Bond Street a distance of approximately 500 feet, who were contending that their lands should be rezoned to RM3 so as to establish a better transitional land use between the proposed senior citizens' development and the area to the North.
- (b) The owners mentioned do not oppose the construction of the said senior citizens' development but feel that the rezoning of their properties to the RM3 category should be done concurrently with the rezoning of the site proposed to be occupied by the senior citizens' development.
- (c) The disadvantages in proceeding with the rezoning of the property on which the senior citizens' development is planned to be built are that it would:
 - (i) constitute "spot" zoning;
 - (ii) be discriminatory;
 - (iii) change the basis on which the present owners purchased their properties;
 - (iv) lower the resale value of the properties in question;
 - (v) be contrary to some of the objects recited in the Zoning By-law of the municipality.
- (d) The advantages in rezoning the properties to RM3 in accordance with the wishes of the owners would be that it would:
 - (i) establish a better transition, in profile and in character, between the senior citizens' development and other multiple family accommodation nearby;
 - (ii) provide some relief from the pressure for "spot" zoning of land in the area;
 - (iii) be more equitable for the property owners concerned;
 - (iv) increase the value of the properties surrounding the senior citizens' development;
 - (v) be in keeping with part of the present proposal to rezone some of the adjacent properties to RM3;
 - (vi) not be opposed by many property owners surrounding the Patterson-Inman-Bond Area, as witness an accompanying submission signed by these owners;

Jan/10/1972

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That Council reaffirm its decision to proceed with the rezoning of Lot 1N½ and S½, Block 32, D.L. 34, Plan 3333 to Comprehensive Development District (CD), to permit the high-rise senior citizens' development that is planned to be built thereon, it being understood that the request presented this evening by Mr. Ruddy is not being entertained."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * * * *

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DOWDING:

"That consideration of the subjects of the letters from:

- (i) The City of Port Coquitlam;
- (ii) Mr. and Mrs. O. Wilson
- (iii) Landon Leasing Ltd.
- (iv) E. McKnight
- (v) Mr. and Mrs. J. H. Nystrom
- (vi) The Acting Minister of Finance
- (vii) Mr. D. Bedford

as shown more particularly on the Agenda, be deferred until receipt of the reports of the Municipal Manager dealing with each item later in the evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Tom Goode, M.P. for Burnaby-Richmond-Delta, submitted a letter forwarding a cheque in the amount of \$60,900.00, payable to the Corporation, representing the contribution by the Federal Government to Burnaby's British Columbia Centennial Project.

Mr. L. McCormick, 1972 Shamrock Drive. Chairman, Columbus Charities Association, wrote to request permission to hold Tag Days on March 9th, 10th and 11, 1972.

Mrs. R. Smith, Guardian Secretary, Bethel No. 15, International Order of Job's Daughters, wrote to request permission to hold a Candy Drive on May 9th and 10, 1972, probably between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That permission be granted to both the Columbus Charities Association and Bethel No. 15 of the International Order of Job's Daughters to conduct their respective campaigns at the times indicated in their letters."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/10/1972

Mr. D. H. Little, Deputy City Clerk, City of Vancouver, submitted a letter asking that Council support the Council of Vancouver in protesting a decision of the Federal Government to cancel the sale of a submersible research craft to the Soviet Union.

No action was taken by Council in regard to the request from Vancouver because it was felt the subject of it was beyond the jurisdiction of municipalities.

Mr. C. S. J. McKelvey, Executive Director, Union of British Columbia Municipalities, submitted a letter with which he forwarded an account in the amount of \$2,493.00 covering the 1972 membership dues of Burnaby in the U.B.C.M.

He also explained how the amount mentioned was determined and that a complete review of the dues structure will be undertaken this year in time for presentation to the 1972 Convention of the U.B.C.M. in Vancouver.

CLERK'S NOTE - See the Resolution on Page 6 immediately following the one pertaining to the approval of the 1972 Provisional Budget for the decision of Council in connection with the 1972 dues of the Municipality in the U.B.C.M.

The Executive Director of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities submitted another letter requesting suggestions on the matter of the U.B.C.M. providing new or expanded services.

Mr. A. C. Durkin, Secretary-Treasurer, Burnaby School Board, submitted a letter pointing out that, because the School Board is not in a legal position to supplement the present school patrol services, such action must be taken by Council if deemed necessary.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:
"That the Burnaby School Board be asked to co-operate with the Traffic Safety Committee in conducting a study into the advantages and disadvantages of adult school patrols being provided, either universally or at appropriate places in the municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. James G. Lorimer, M.L.A. for Burnaby-Willingdon, wrote to reiterate his views in support of mobile home parks being established in the municipality. He also indicated he would be opposed to allowing private enterprise to enter this field.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the question of the municipality developing mobile home parks be referred to the Housing Committee for consideration."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN CLARK AND
LADNER

Jan/10/1972

Mr. D. J. Doubleday, Clerk-Administrator, The Corporation of the Township of Langley, submitted a letter indicating that the Council of Langley does not support a proposed increase in the levy to be made for the year 1972 by the Vancouver-Fraser Park District on its member municipalities.

An enquiry was made in Council as to whether the question of increasing the levy of the Vancouver-Fraser Park District last year was considered by Council then.

It was understood that the Municipal Clerk would ascertain the situation in that regard.

Miss Sherea Barwell, Pipe Major, Vancouver Ladies Pipe Band Association, wrote to request permission for the Association to hold a Tag Day on January 15, 1972.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Vancouver Ladies Pipe Band Association not be granted permission to conduct its campaign because the Association is not based in the municipality."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN CLARK, LADNER

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN CONSTABLE, DAILLY,
DOWDING, DRUMMOND,
AND LAWSON

MOTION LOST

Though no action was taken in regard to the request of the Vancouver Ladies Pipe Band Association, it was understood that the tacit reason for this was that a sufficient number of Tag Days are presently being conducted by various organizations and some control should therefore be exercised over the number of such events in the municipality.

* * *

TABLED ITEMS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

- (a) Requests of Burnaby Public Library for additional staff and study carrels and chairs for the Reference Library

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the requests of the Chief Librarian for the Burnaby Public Library for the additional staff and study carrels and chairs for the Reference Library which were mentioned in Item 23 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 82, 1971, be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/10/1972

(b) 1972 Provisional Budget

Municipal Manager pointed out that the reference on Page 1 of his Letter of Transmittal relating to the 1972 Provisional Budget in regard to additional policemen and civilians for the R.C.M.P. establishment should be changed to indicate that it is planned to engage eleven additional policemen and two civilians rather than the numbers shown in the Letter of Transmittal.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the 1972 Provisional Budget that was presented to Council at its meeting on January 3, 1972 be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was tacitly understood by Council that the action just taken with respect to the 1972 Provisional Budget only authorizes expenditures for normal maintenance and that all extraordinary items of planned expenditure will need to be submitted to Council for express approval.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That the account Council received earlier in the evening from the Union of British Columbia Municipalities covering the 1972 dues of the municipality in the U.B.C.M. be approved for payment to the extent of the amount provided in the 1972 Provisional Budget."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the following resolution, which was passed by Council on December 20, 1971, be reconsidered:

"That the Planning Department include in the draft Sign By-law a prohibition against the erection or placement of political signs on public property in Burnaby and;

That political signs be permitted on private property, provided the perimeter of the permitted sign does not exceed eight feet and that the placement of the said sign does not constitute a safety hazard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the entire matter of regulating the erection or placement of political signs on property in the municipality be held in abeyance until the Committee of Council which is to be appointed to investigate the matter of arranging stewardship meetings prior to annual elections and establishing regulations for the erection of political signs in the municipality, has reported its conclusions on the matters."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MAYOR PRITTIE DECLARED A RECESS AT 8:55 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:05 P.M.

* * *

QUESTION AND ANSWER
PERIOD

ALDERMAN LADNER enquired as to whether a reply had been received from the City of Vancouver in regard to a recommendation of a Special Committee that overtures be made to the City in connection with the matter of sewer connections in the City causing a degree of pollution in Still Creek.

It was understood by Council that the Municipal Clerk would endeavour to obtain a response to the matter mentioned by Alderman Ladner.

* * *

When Alderman Ladner enquired as to whether the Greater Vancouver Regional District had obtained Letters Patent to regulate air pollution matters, Mayor Prittie replied that the Chairman of the Board of Directors for the Regional District had indicated such Letters had been received.

* * *

R E P O R T S

HOUSING COMMITTEE submitted a report in connection with Burnaby Senior Citizens being allowed to obtain accommodation in Public Housing Projects FP2 and FP3, advising as follows:

- (a) At present, senior citizens wishing to apply for such accommodation in the new projects must either have resided in Burnaby for the 12 months immediately prior to their application or have lived in the municipality for at least five years out of the last fifteen years.
- (b) Arrangements have been made to have most of the suites involved occupied by people who qualify in accordance with either of the above two stipulations, but there are a few units in FP3 which are still unoccupied and the present list of applicants for FP2 indicates a similar situation may occur there when that building is ready for occupancy.
- (c) When the Committee became aware of this situation, further advertising was undertaken on the understanding that, should full occupancy not be achieved, the conditions of residence would be reviewed.
- (d) This has been done and it was being recommended that, if there are no eligible Burnaby applicants and units remain vacant in the two housing developments in question for a period of one month, the B. C. Housing Management Commission be authorized to use discretion in arranging for the occupancy of the units by people who have the same qualifications enunciated above under (a) in any municipality in the Greater Vancouver Regional District.

Jan/10/1972

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 1, 1972 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (23), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Stride Avenue Area

As a result of Council receiving a petition on November 1, 1971 from 6 property owners in the above area relating to the matter of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority purchasing their properties, it has been ascertained that the Authority will be purchasing one of the properties by December 15, 1971 and may acquire others by the end of 1971. The actions of the Authority are strictly speculative in that no agreement has been reached to consummate an exchange of lands with the municipality, although this matter is receiving active consideration.

The B. C. Hydro and Power Authority wrote to the Municipal Clerk on December 6, 1971 to explain the progress being made toward purchasing properties in the area in preparation for a planned development there. The letter from the Authority also indicated the owners of the private properties concerned (the petitioners) are aware of the situation in that regard.

It was being recommended that the petitioners be advised that:

- (a) The Corporation is aware of their concern and is asking the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority for assurance that the Authority will purchase the properties in which it is interested within a reasonable period of time, if the area is rezoned and the municipal land there is sold.
- (b) The Corporation is also aware that the Authority has already agreed to purchase one property in the area.
- (c) The Authority is actively negotiating with the municipality to acquire the municipal property in the area.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Administrative Assistant to the Municipal Manager

Mr. John G. Plesha has been appointed Administrative Assistant to the Municipal Manager effective December 28, 1971.

His background and work experience has been to provide staff and industrial relations support to manufacturing and engineering departments, and to conduct special studies designed to identify and solve production problems in a large aircraft company involving, among other things, interpretation of company policies and procedures, staff problems, deployment of manpower, management development programs, counselling employees, work flow sequencing, forms analysis and evaluation of space requirements.

Mr. Plesha has participated in an 18 month management training programme and has a Bachelor of Commercial Science degree in Business Administration.

His references indicate him as being a young man who is completely responsible and who has total integrity. Mr. Plesha is able to receive very sketchy direction and to parley it into a succinct course of action. He has managed an office staff of up to 9.

Mr. Plesha is 36 years old, is married and has three children, and has been a temporary employee in the Personnel Department since July 5, 1971.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Non-Conforming Uses

The Planning Department has reported as follows on a proposal Council received last July from the District of Coquitlam that the Department of Municipal Affairs review Section 705 of the Municipal Act, which deals with non-conforming uses, in order to allow for the exercise of greater control by municipalities over such uses:

- (a) While a gradual replacement of non-conforming uses is taking place through redevelopment, the provisions of the Municipal Act do not allow for the elimination of many such uses.
- (b) Provisions are included in many American zoning ordinances for the elimination of non-conforming uses - either immediately after a uniform period of time or after varying periods of time (sometimes referred to as amortization of non-conforming uses). This latter approach is perhaps the most equitable because it is obviously less difficult to eliminate a junk yard or a billboard than a permanent building of some type.
- (c) It is felt more specific terms of reference should be included in the Municipal Act, although there should be a suitable procedure for the gradual phasing of legal non-conforming uses.
- (d) The other objectives itemized in the resolution from Coquitlam can also be supported.
- (e) It has been concluded that there would be considerable merit in asking for the study proposed by Coquitlam.

Jan/10/1972

It was being recommended that the District of Coquitlam be informed that the Municipality of Burnaby endorses the proposed resolution on the matter of non-conforming uses which was advanced to Council last July, subject to the views expressed by the Planning Department.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN CLARK

(4) Business Licence - F. Dugaro

The Chief Licence Inspector wrote to Mr. F. Dugaro on December 13, 1971 to advise that Mr. Dugaro's business licence would not be renewed after its expiry on December 31, 1971.

The Chief Licence Inspector also pointed out to Mr. Dugaro that, pursuant to Section 458 of the Municipal Act, Mr. Dugaro could appeal the decision outlined to the Council.

A copy of a letter dated December 10, 1971 from the Chief Building Inspector to the Chief Licence Inspector pertaining to the subject at hand was also being submitted.

It was understood that a letter from Mr. Dugaro had also been provided to Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That Council deal with the subject of the report from the Manager at its "In Camera" session later in the evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Monthly Report of Health Department

A report of the Medical Health Officer covering the activities of his Department for the month of November, 1971 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:
"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Train Whistles

The following answers were being supplied to the questions raised by Council when considering a complaint received on November 8, 1971 regarding the nuisance caused by trains using whistles while travelling through the municipality:

- (a) The Council has the authority to install stop signs on streets at every railroad crossing, if this is deemed advisable.

Jan/10/1972

- (b) The Council has no power to direct that train engines be equipped with flashing lights or any other equipment because the Canadian Transport Commission is the authority in Canada that deals with inter-provincial or international rail lines.
- (c) There is provision in both the Federal and Provincial Railway Acts for grade separations, but they are not provided without some cost to the municipality.
- (d) The design of local streets so as to cul-de-sac some of them is always possible, but without an overall study of the traffic patterns it would not be possible to advise as to how many could be treated in this fashion and what the cost would be.
- (e) It is not possible to comment specifically on a proposal that a resolution be prepared for the U.B.C.M. and the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities which would be aimed at requiring railways to accept more responsibility for the resolution of grade crossing problems in urban areas, unless the exact intention of Council was known.
- (f) Since there is already provision for grade separations, there is little likelihood any railway authority would leave it to the discretion of the Engineer operating the train whether or not to blow a whistle or sound a horn at level crossings.

There is provision in both the Federal and Provincial Railway Acts for any municipality to prohibit the sounding of a whistle or the ringing of a bell by trains at crossings within the municipality. Such a By-law must be approved by the appropriate Federal or Provincial Authority (depending on the rail line involved) and, if the municipality passes such a By-law, then the Railway Company is relieved from liability. No doubt in the case of accident, the municipality would be sued instead of the Railway Company. The City of Edmonton has enacted such legislation to deal with certain level crossings in the City.

The Municipal Solicitor is of the opinion that Railway lines of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority are mainly under Provincial jurisdiction. The Authority does lease, from the C.P.R., and operate a line known as the Vancouver and Lulu Island Railway, which traverses the Big Bend Area and may be subject to Federal jurisdiction.

The Authority also operates a line in the Fraser Valley which may also be under Federal jurisdiction.

The proposed Anti-Noise By-law cannot override Provincial or Federal legislation and therefore will have no impact on the problem which is the subject of the report this evening.

It was being recommended that:

- (a) Burnaby enquire of Edmonton as to the standards used by the City in selecting the crossings at which trains are prohibited from sounding their whistles.
- (b) A copy of the foregoing report be forwarded to Mr. B. Vogt, Ste. 212, 6380 Silver Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C. because of his interest in the subject at hand.

Jan/10/1972

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) 6000 Block Aubrey Street (Bedford)

The following was being submitted on a complaint Council received earlier in the evening from Mrs. Doris Bedford regarding an excessively wet condition on the boulevard in front of her property at 6051 Aubrey Street and the resulting annoyance because of the absence of a public concrete sidewalk there plus related matters:

- (a) Aubrey Street is paved to an interim standard (a 20 foot wide asphalt cap with ditches on both sides).
- (b) The 6000 Block extends West from Fell Avenue to Stratford Avenue, where there is a school, and Stratford Avenue continues from Curtis Street to Charles Street. Because the portion of Aubrey Street in question is only one block long and enters Stratford Avenue (which itself is not very long), there is not likely any great traffic volume. Fell Avenue is not considered a through street so there are no stop signs.
- (c) There is a very definite wet spot at Mrs. Bedford's home, with water seeping onto the footwalk across the boulevard. It appeared as though there might be a leak in the water service but, when this was checked, one was not found so it was concluded there must be a spring on the private property.
- (d) The Engineering Department could do nothing to stop the seepage but did build up the footwalk from the asphalt to the end of the cement footwalk which ends at the property line. This stopped seepage water makes the footwalk wet and muddy. The Engineering Department also lengthened the culvert so that the footwalk is now extended across the ditch.
- (e) There are slugs in the ditch at times, the attraction being the water which drains from Mrs. Bedford's lot.
- (f) There is no sidewalk and none of the boulevards are really finished, so people do walk on the road.
- (g) Aubrey Street from Stratford Avenue to Sperling Avenue (which includes the subject block of Aubrey Street) is being proposed for Local Improvement works in Phase III of the 1972 Local Improvement Programme. The work will consist of pavement 28 feet wide with five-foot curb sidewalks on both sides, and has yet to be considered by Council, though there is no guarantee it will be constructed until all approvals are received. Even if that latter situation occurs, the work itself may not be completed in 1972.
- (h) There are street lights at both ends of the 6000 Block Aubrey Street, which is well below the criteria used for street light spacing on poles of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority.

It was being recommended that the foregoing information be conveyed to Mrs. Bedford.

Jan/10/1972

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Local Initiatives Programme

The proposal advanced by Burnaby, in a letter from the Municipal Clerk dated November 3, 1971, pertaining to the above subject, is now redundant because the Federal Government announced its intentions to introduce a work programme very shortly after our letter was sent.

It will be noted, in the letter Council received earlier in the evening from the Acting Minister of Finance, that the question of the Federal Government making loans under the Federal-Provincial Employment Loans Programme interest-free cannot be considered because the Programme is now too far advanced.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Sign By-law

The Planning Department has reported that, as a result of considering all suggestions and comments received on the above By-law, views were formulated on each of the comments or suggestions, a summary of which was being submitted to Council at this time.

The Planning Department has also incorporated in the By-law the appropriate legislation to implement the second of two resolutions Council passed on December 20, 1971 pertaining to the erection of political signs.

The Planning Department has also expressed the opinion that revolving or rotating signs, like flashing signs, are unnecessary because they attract excessive attention which works to the detriment of other signs and to the hazard of vehicular traffic. The Department points out that the proposed regulations will establish standards that are designed to ensure the visibility of all advertising in an inoffensive and attractive manner, and was therefore recommending against the introduction of a clause in the By-law that would permit this type of sign.

The Planning Department was also recommending that Council endorse the revised draft by-law.

It was being recommended that the Legal Department prepare the Sign By-law in accordance with the recommendation of the Planning Department and that the By-law be advanced to Council for consideration.

Jan/10/1972

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted, except as it applies to the question of controlling the erection of political signs."

During consideration of the subject of the report from the Manager, suggestions were made in Council that the regulations proposed for the control of billboards and the inspection procedures outlined in the By-law be reviewed. It was also suggested that the Planning Department indicate the source of the comments itemized in its report that accompanied the one from the Manager.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the above motion pertaining to the Sign By-law be tabled until the January 17th meeting in order to allow for a report to be submitted on the suggestions detailed above."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (10) Lots 11 and 12, Block 10, D.L. 116, Plan 1236 (Wilson and Landon Leasing Ltd., respectively)
URBAN RENEWAL SCHEME - 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street

The Planning Department has reported as follows on requests of the above noted that consideration be given the matter of their properties being included in the Redevelopment Scheme planned for the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street because of the difficulty which would be experienced in attempting to develop the two lots by themselves:

- (a) The two lots are zoned R5 and are located at 3885 and 3897 Pender Street.
- (b) The desirability of including the properties on the North side of Pender Street between Esmond Avenue and Ingleton Avenue in the Urban Renewal Scheme for the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street has been recognized from the beginning but the properties were not blighted or substandard to the point where it was felt they could be included in such a scheme. It was therefore decided that private redevelopment would need to be responsible for the block. In that regard, the Proposal Call contains a statement that proponents should take into account in their proposals the relationship of the site to the surrounding privately-owned parcels, particularly in respect of the possibilities of including adjacent land in their proposal or alternatively recognizing the building location and access needs of adjacent land.
- (c) As is known by Council, there has been no success in obtaining suitable proposals nor have private developers attempted consolidation and redevelopment of the Pender Street properties between Esmond Avenue and Ingleton Avenue.

- (d) The Urban Renewal Co-ordinating Committee is currently drafting a new Proposal Call to take into account comments from developers who have expressed interest in the area. It is planned to call for new proposals early in 1972.
- (e) It is true what Landon Leasing Ltd. indicates in its letter that the two subject lots are "locked-in" between an existing apartment and the redevelopment area, and that the potential of the redevelopment area would be enhanced by the inclusion of the two lots in the scheme. However, before considering municipal acquisition of the lots, it would seem preferable if these lots are now available to make this fact known to future proponents and to indicate that the municipality would agree to the closure of the remaining portion of Ingleton Avenue between Hastings Street and Pender Street and the inclusion of Lots 11 and 12 in the scheme.

It was being recommended that:

- (a) The new Proposal Call for the redevelopment of the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street include a clause that the subject Lots 11 and 12 are available for acquisition.
- (b) The Municipality agree to the closing of Ingleton Avenue between Hastings Street and Pender Street and the inclusion of the two Lots 11 and 12 in the Scheme.
- (c) A copy of the report being submitted this evening be forwarded to both Mr. and Mrs. Wilson and Landon Leasing Ltd.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CONSTABLE:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Parcel 1, Expl. Plan 10599, Except Plan 26541, Block 2,
D.L. 73, Plan 4326
REFERENCE REZONING #77/71

As a result of the Planning Department reviewing the prerequisites which Council established in connection with a proposal to rezone the above described property to Manufacturing District (M1) and Truck Terminal District (M6), it was being recommended that the prerequisites be modified so as to read as follows:

- (a) That a suitable plan of development, which incorporates features noted in the report Council received in connection with the rezoning proposal, be submitted.
- (b) That an undertaking be given to dedicate a 20-foot strip along the Westminster Avenue frontage of the property, when required, provided that, when that dedication occurs, the 50-foot residential strip of the subject property along Westminster Avenue is rezoned to M6 and M1.

- (c) That an undertaking be given to provide adequate screening and landscaping, at no cost to the Corporation, at acceptable locations to replace any landscaping that may be lost through the widening of Westminster Avenue.
- (d) That a Letter of Credit be provided at the time application is made for Preliminary Plan Approval for the office building proposed to be built on the property to ensure that the open ditch on the Canada Way frontage only is enclosed at the time the said building is constructed.
- (e) That an undertaking be given to provide an easement, at no cost to the Corporation, for the storm sewer to be installed on the property at the time this work is done.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Local Initiatives Programme and Job Opportunities Programme

The Projects which the Municipal Treasurer filed under the above Programmes as a result of Council so authorizing on November 8, 1971 have been approved by both the Provincial Government and the Federal Government.

Recruitment began immediately after these approvals (using men from the welfare rolls) and the Parks Project that was in the Programme began on December 28, 1971. The Federal Government allowed the municipality to increase the number of men to be employed from 20 to 23.

The ditch project in the Programme has not yet been approved and, on enquiring as to its progress, the Municipal Treasurer was informed that it was one of the less attractive projects and it was therefore unlikely it would be approved.

(13) Monthly Report of Building Department

A report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department between December 5th and December 31, 1971 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LAWSON:
"That the above two reports of the Municipal Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(14) Financial Report

The Financial Report of the Municipal Treasurer for the period between January 1st and December 19, 1971 was being submitted for approval.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the report mentioned by the Manager be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(15) Monthly Report of Personnel Department

A report of the Personnel Director covering the activities of his Department as at December 19, 1971 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(16) Estimates

It was being recommended that the Special Estimates of Work in the report of the Municipal Engineer that was being submitted, which amounts to \$46,400.00, be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(17) Juvenile Breaking and Entering (City of Port Coquitlam)

The following report was being submitted as a result of a request from the City of Port Coquitlam for support of the action taken by the Council of that City in urging the Department of the Attorney General to investigate the current juvenile breaking and entering offence situation there, in co-operation with the R.C.M.P. in the City, and to increase treatment facilities of all types for juvenile offenders:

The Municipal Solicitor feels the Provincial Court Judges will confirm that there is a lack of proper facilities for dealing with juveniles convicted of crimes. He personally supports that part of the Port Coquitlam proposal.

The Superintendent of the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P. advises that the total breaking and entering and theft offences in Burnaby have yet to be finalized for the year ending 1971 but he had indicated that there appears to be an increase of approximately 11% over the previous year. He also points out that juveniles are more prone to breaking and entering and thefts from dwellings than non-dwellings. He does not feel anything can be gained by having the situation investigated in Burnaby by the Department of the Attorney General because the Burnaby Probation Branch works closely with the R.C.M.P. now and is undoubtedly aware of the problem. The Detachment has instituted a school programme of visitations by members of the Detachment in conjunction with the Youth Officer in an effort to reduce the number of juveniles involved in breaking and entering and theft offences by means of education.

As for treatment facilities, the R.C.M.P. feels the detention facilities are sometimes inadequate and this part of the Port Coquitlam resolution should therefore be supported.

It was being recommended that Council advise the Department of the Attorney General and the City of Port Coquitlam that it concurs in the portion of the submission from the City pertaining to an increase in treatment facilities for juveniles because present facilities are sometimes deemed to be inadequate.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(18) S.P.C.A. (Nystrom)

The following report was being made on a suggestion Council received earlier in the evening from John H. and Rose B. Nystrom that the action taken by employees of the S.P.C.A. in enticing animals for the purpose of apprehending them is most unwarranted and unnecessary:

- (a) The Licence Inspector reports that, in the instance which gave rise to the complaint from Mr. and Mrs. Nystrom, the dog catcher, while on routine patrol in the area (8400 Block 13th Avenue), noticed four or five dogs at large. He was only able to impound two, one of which was the Nystrom dog which was unlicensed. The S.P.C.A. claims the dog catcher did not whistle the animal out of the yard - he merely went into the yard to impound him.
- (b) Under Section 8 of the Dog Tax and Pound By-law, the Pound Keeper may at all reasonable times enter upon any property to ascertain if there is any unlicensed dog thereon and seize and impound any such dog.
- (c) The subject area is particularly troublesome as far as dogs being at large is concerned.

A copy of the complaint from the Nystrom's has been forwarded to the S.P.C.A.

(19) Noise from Vancouver Auto Auctions (McKnight)

The following report of the Chief Public Health Inspector was being submitted on the complaint Council received earlier in the evening from Mr. E. McKnight about noise from a loudspeaker used by Vancouver Auto Auctions, which is located on Beresford Street between Gilley Avenue and Buller Avenue, when conducting auctions:

- (a) Investigation revealed the Company concerned holds a weekly auction of automobiles.
- (b) On January 5, 1972, the first noise readings where the Vancouver Auto Auctions is located were taken. This was followed by a telephone discussion with an official of the business and the complainant. The latter stated that the noise was much less and the Company has indicated it will do everything possible to see that the noise emissions are maintained at an acceptable level.

Jan/10/1972

(c) Vancouver Auto Auctions has requested advice from the Health Department as to how it might make further improvements. The Department has agreed to assist in that regard.

(d) The Health Department does not anticipate difficulty in finalizing the matter but will advise should complications arise.

It was being recommended that a copy of the above report be sent to Mr. McKnight.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DOWDING:
"That items (18) and (19) of the Municipal Manager's Report be received and copies of the two reports be sent to John H. and Rosa B. Nystrom, and E. McKnight, respectively."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(20) Lots 154 and 155, D.L. 93,
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 59/71

It was being recommended that Council waive the provisions of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act in respect of a subdivision involving the captioned properties in order to exempt the subdivider of the parcel from being required to provide the amount of land prescribed by the Section mentioned as a highway.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the owner of Lots 154 and 155, D.L. 93, Plan no to be assigned, be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described, as shown on a survey plan prepared by Kenneth K. Wong and sworn the 30th day of November, 1971."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(21) Tax Adjustments - Section 376 of the Municipal Act

It was being recommended that Council ratify the adjustments to the Tax Roll which are referred to in the attached report of the Municipal Treasurer and authorize adjustments to the accounts in question, including refunds where necessary.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(22) Lots 173 to 175 inclusive, D.L. 165, Plan 1050 (Can-Am Crawler Parts)

The above Company has applied to develop a Butler building in the Big Bend Area to house an operation which reconditions and rebuilds used heavy equipment (earth movers and bulldozers). This proposed use is permissible under M3, which is the category intended to be assigned to the land if Council adopts the recommendations pertaining to land use in the Big Bend Area.

The Planning Department has been successful in minimizing some of the difficulties which would have been experienced if the original proposal of the Company was instituted, and has requested the Engineering Department to examine the bridge spanning Byrne Creek at the extreme southern end of the site to determine its structural soundness.

It was being recommended that:

- (a) The development proposal outlined above be accepted and that the Planning Department be authorized to continue working with the applicant to finalize details of the development so that the scheme integrates all of the land use criteria established for the part of the Big Bend Area in which the property is located.
- (b) If, after the Engineering Department has surveyed the bridge access referred to above and recommends strengthening of the structure, this improvement be made a condition of the P.P.A. with the cost of it to be borne by the applicant.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (23) Lane Allowance separating Lots "B" and "C", Block I, D.L. 131, Plan 15911 from Lots 324 and 325, D.L. 131, Plan 38192

Because the above lane allowance is considered redundant and in order to allow for the finalization of a subdivision of adjacent lands, it was being recommended that Council authorize the:

- (a) Cancellation of the lane allowance and the preparation of a By-law to close it.
- (b) Incorporation of the allowance into the subdivision mentioned, which is known as Reference 231/71.
- (c) Acceptance of an easement over the land that currently constitutes the lane allowance to contain existing sewerage and drainage works; and the execution of the document pertaining to the matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/10/72

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That:

"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972" #6038

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972" #6039

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(The Clerk then explained the intended object of the By-laws.)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That:

"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972"

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972"

be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That consideration of "BURNABY TAX-SALE MONEYS EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972" #6040 be deferred until later in the evening following a decision on the subject of the By-law, which is covered in Item (1) of the Manager's Report No. 2, 1972 (In Camera)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 55, 1971" #5994."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The By-law provides for the following proposed rezoning:

Reference RZ #50/71

FROM R5 TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lots 15, 16, 17 and 18, Block 4, D.L. 121, Plan 1354

(4403, 4411, 4419 and 4433 Albert Street)

Municipal Clerk stated that the Planning Department has reported that the prerequisites established by Council in connection with this rezoning proposal have been satisfied.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 55, 1971" be now read three times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That:

"BURNABY TAX ABATEMENT BY-LAW 1971"	#6025
"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 25, 1971"	#5992
"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 16, 1971"	#6024
"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 17, 1971"	#6026

be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972"	#6027
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1972"	#6028
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 3, 1972"	#6029
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 4, 1972"	#6030
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 5, 1972"	#6031
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 9, 1972"	#6035
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 6, 1972"	#6032
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 7, 1972"	#6033
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 8, 1972"	#6034
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1972"	#6036
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 11, 1972"	#6037

be now introduced and that Council resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

These By-laws provide for the following proposed rezonings:

(1) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1972 #6027

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Reference RZ #74/71

Lots 11 to 15 inclusive, Block 80, D.L. 127, Plan 4953

(381, 361, 353, 341, 331 Ellesmere Avenue South -- Located
at the South-West corner of Ellesmere Avenue and Capitol
Drive)

(2) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1972 #6028

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
DISTRICT (M5)

Reference RZ #52/71

- (a) Lots 1 to 3 incl., Block 14, D.L. 69, Plan 1558
- (b) Lot 4N $\frac{1}{2}$ and Lot 4S $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 14, D.L. 69, Plan 1558
- (c) Lots 9 and 10, Block 14, D.L. 69, Plan 1558
- (d) Lots "A" and "B", S.D. 11/12, Block 15, D.L. 69, Plan 21765
- (e) Lots 11 and 12, Block 16, D.L. 69, Plan 1321
- (f) Lots 1 to 5 incl., and 7 to 10 incl., Block 15, D.L. 69,
Plan 1321
- (g) Lot 6 E $\frac{1}{2}$ and Lot 6 W $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 15, D.L. 69, Plan 1321

(2710, 2720, 2730, 2740 Boundary Road; 3731, 3721 Regent
Street; 3719, 3729, 3761, 3753 Grandview Highway; 2810, 2820,
2830, 2840 Boundary Road; 3722, 3730, 3742 Regent Street;
3745, 3737 Grandview Highway and 3732, 3726 Regent Street --
Located in the area North-East of Grandview Highway
and Boundary Road)

(3) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 3, 1972 #6029

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL
DISTRICT (P5)

Reference RZ #73/71

Lots 5 and 6, D.L. 68, Plan 3431

(4126 and 4136 Canada Way -- Located on the South side of
Canada Way approximately 430 feet East of Curle Avenue)

January 10, 1972

(4) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 4, 1972 #6030

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO COMPREHENSIVE
DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD)

Reference RZ #58/71

Lots 8 and 9, Block 2, D.L. 119E½, Plan 2855

(4479 Buchanan Street and 1731 Willingdon Avenue -- Located on the West side of Willingdon Avenue between Halifax Street and Buchanan Street)

(5) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 5, 1972 #6031

REFERENCE RZ #77/71

(a) FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MANUFACTURING
DISTRICT (M1)

The Southerly 50 feet of Parcel 1, Expl. Pl. 10599 except Plan 25451, Block 2, D.L. 73, Plan 4326, except the Easterly 50 feet thereof

(b) FROM MANUFACTURING DISTRICT (M1) TO TRUCK TERMINAL
DISTRICT (M6)

Parcel 1, Expl. Pl. 10599 except Pl. 25451, Block 2, D.L. 73, Plan 4326, except the Easterly 50 feet, the Southerly 140 feet and approximately the Northerly 440 feet

(4878 Manor Street -- Located on the West side of Westminster Avenue between Manor Street and Canada Way)

(6) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 9, 1972 #6035

FROM MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) TO
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT SIX (R6)

Reference RZ #79/71

(a) Lots 13 and 14, Block 29, D.L. 152, Plan 1292

(b) The South 33 feet of Grimmer Street between Dunblane and Marlborough Avenues

(6567 Marlborough Avenue and 6568 Dunblane Avenue -- Located on the South side of Grimmer Street between Dunblane Avenue and Marlborough Avenue and also that portion of Grimmer Street described above.)

January 10, 1972

(7) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 6, 1972 #6032

FROM GENERAL INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT (M2) TO TRUCK TERMINAL DISTRICT (M6)

Reference RZ #69/71

Lots 2 and 3, S.D. "C", Block 1, D.L. 75, Plan 4147

(2822 and 2836 Norland Avenue -- Located on the North side of Norland Avenue roughly 750 feet East of its Intersection with Douglas Road)

(8) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 7, 1972 #6033

FROM SPECIAL INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT (M4) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Reference RZ#72/71

- (a) Lot 10, Block 9, D.L.s151/153, Plan 2702
- (b) Lot "B", Block 9, D.L.s151/153, Plan 2702
- (c) Lot 1, Block 8, D.L.s151/153, Plan 2155

(6055 and 6079 Wilson Avenue -- Located on Wilson Avenue and Beresford Street immediately North of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority right-of-way)

(9) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 8, 1972 #6034

FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO PARKING DISTRICT (P8)

Reference RZ #75/71

Lot "A", Expl. Pl. 11608, S.D. 1, Block 4, D.L. 2, Plan 4286

(9914 Government Street -- Located on the South side of Government Street 160 feet West of North Road)

(10) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1972 #6036

FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD)

Reference RZ #36/71

- (a) Parcel "B", Ref. Plan 9342 except Parcel 1, Explanatory Plan 10507 and except Parcel 2 and Road Reference Plan 12333 and except the Westerly 33 feet of Parcel "B", Block 4 N $\frac{1}{2}$, D.L. 4, Plan 845
- (b) Sketch 11400, Block 4N $\frac{1}{2}$, D.L. 4, Plan 845

(9235 and 9303 Lougheed Highway -- Located at the North-East corner of Bell Avenue and the Lougheed Highway)

(11) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 11, 1972 #6037

FROM GENERAL INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT (M2) TO TRUCK TERMINAL DISTRICT (M6)

Reference RZ #82/71

Block "A" North part, S.D. 2 acre part, Sketch 5641, D.L. 74N $\frac{1}{2}$,
Plan 2603

(2636 Douglas Road -- Located on the East side of Douglas Road
approximately 370 feet North of its intersection with Norland
Avenue)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Committee now rise and report progress on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NOS. 1, 1972
TO 11, 1972"

be now read two times."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the meeting extend beyond the hour of 10:30 p.m."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN CLARK AND
DRUMMOND

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole "In
Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY