#### SEPTEMBER 27, 1971

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, September 27, 1971 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

His Worship, Mayor Prittie, in the Chair; Aldermen Blair (7:25 p.m.); Clark; Dailly (7:05 p.m.); Drummond; Emmott; Ladner; Mercier and McLean:

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, proclaimed the period between October 3rd and 9, 1971 as Fire Prevention Week.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on September 13, 1971
and the Public Hearing on September 21, 1971 be adopted as written
and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. C. Brown, President, South Burnaby Football Association, submitted a letter requesting permission to hold a Tag Day on October 8th and 9, 1971.

Executive Director, Greater Vancouver Youth for Christ, wrote to request permission to hold a Walkathon on October 23rd along a route outlined on an accompanying map.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That permission be granted to both of the above organizations to conduct their respective campaigns on the dates indicated and, in the case of the Greater Vancouver Youth for Christ, subject to:

- (a) The approval of the R.C.M.P.
- (b) The Provincial Department of Highways having no objection to the use of any arterial highways in the municipality that may be involved."

City Clerk, City of New Westminster, submitted a letter advising that the Council of New Westminster was urging all B. C. Municipalities and their citizens to register their protect against a proposal to legalize marijuana.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That Council deal with the subject of the ictter from New Westminster."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN DRUMMOND, MERCIER, DAILLY AND CLARK

AGAINST -- MAYOR PRITTIE,
ALDERMEN LADNER, EMMOTT AND
MCLEAN

MOTION NEGATIVED

National Traffic Manager, Nissan Automobile Company (Canada) Ltd., wrote to request that the termporary permit to use Lot "C", D.L. 166A, Plan 7398 (4678 Byrne Road) for automobile storage activities be extended for two weeks beyond September 26, 1971.

Meichin Auto Transport also wrote to request an extension of 30 to 60 days of the temporary permit which was issued to cover the storage of new automobiles on property known as the former Byrne Road Peat Farm.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That Items (I4) and (I5) of the Municipal Manager's Report No.
61, 1971, which deal with the subject of the letters from
Nissan Automobile Company (Canada) Ltd. and Melchin Auto Transport,
respectively, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following is the substance of those two reports from the Manager:

(14) 4678 Byrne Road (Nissan Automobile Company (Canada) Ltd.)

This Company has supplied a \$5,000.00 certified cheque and a Letter of Undertaking that the property in question will be vacated no later than September 19, 1971, as was required by Council.

It was being recommended that Council grant an extension of the temporary permit to the Company until October II, 1971, subject to the certified cheque mentioned being retained by the Corporation for the same period of time.

### (15) Former Byrne Road Peat Farm (Melchin Auto Transport)

A certified cheque in the amount of \$5,000.00 has been received from this Company as well as a Letter .of Undertaking that the cars stored there will be removed by September 26, 1971, as was required by Council.

Due to a breakdown in the dock workers strike in the United States, several ships have been diver: . to Vancouver and additional cars have been delivered to the site.

It was being recommended that Council authorize an extension, to October 26, 1971, of the temporary permit, subject to the retention by the Corporation of the certified cheque in the amount of \$5,000.00 for the same period of time.

It was also being recommended that the matter of renewing the permit be given further consideration at that time.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
"That the recommendations of the Manager covering Items (14) and
(15), as detailed above, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Parks and Recreation Commission, submitted a copy of a letter addressed to the Secretary of State of Canada indicating that the Commission felt the Opportunities for Youth Programmes which were undertaken in the municipality, particularly "the Burnaby Lake Youth Project", "The Teens Help the Handicapped", and "Opecation Ahoy", were excellent because the young people involved conducted their programmes in a capable and well organized manner.

General Manager, Active Bailiff Service Ltd., wrote to express disappointment about the proposed rezoning of land in the Big Bend Area to an agricultural category and to indicate that he felt industrial development should be encouraged in that area.

Mr. Leif Wike also wrote to express opposition to the proposed rezoning of a portion of the Big Bend Area to an agricultural category and to suggest that the area is better suited for industrial development.

Mr. Frank S. Fourchalk submitted a letter in which he provided reasons why he needed vehicularaccess, by means of a crossing, over a proposed sidewalk and curb that is to be built on Patterson Avenue adjacent to his property at 5222 Patterson Avenue.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That action on the matter concerning Mr. Fourchalk be deferred
"The consideration of item 19 of the Municipal Manager's Report
No. 61, 1971 later in the evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. A. Allegretto submitted a letter urging that steps be taken to ensure that dog owners understand their responsibilities in regard to their animals leaving their droppings on properties of other people.

During consideration of the letter from Mr. Allegretto in Council, the following points were made:

(a) Perhaps the Chief Licence Inspector should arrange to provide a notice clearly indicating the responsibilities of dog owners when licences are bosing issued.



- (b) Some way should be found to identify offending dogs, like the use of a spray paint, so that positive action can be taken against their owners, such as imposing a fine.
- (c) The S.P.C.A. should provide more staff to better police the matter of dog apprehension.
- (d) The municipality should return to the incentive system for apprehending dogs.
- (e) The By-law governing dog control should be strengthened so as to make it more enforceable.
- (f) Cost information should be supplied to indicate the comparison between dog control by municipal employees vis a vis the S.P.C.A.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
"That the Municipal Manager provide Council with a comprehensive report on the general question of dog apprehension and control, taking into account the above points and others that are deemed pertinent."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MAYOR PRITTIE drew attention to the Centennial Party that was held last Wednesday at the James Cowan Centre for approximately 800

He congratulated the Centennial Committee for making the arrangements in regard to the party.

Mayor Prittie also mentioned that he had received a letter from one of those who attended expressing appreciation for the party.

elderly people.

Director of Public Relations, The United Appeal, wrote to request permission to have a number of school bands play music from the back of slow-moving vehicles for approxiantely one and one-half hours, beginning at 7:00 p.m. on October 4, 1971, to publicize the commencement of the residential canvass for the organization that evening.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: "That permission be granted to the United Appeal to conduct its activity at the time indicated, subject to the approval of the R.C.M.P."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### TABLED ITEMS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

(a) Report of Alderman Emmott re Trip to Kushiro and other parts of Japan

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That Alderman Emmott be authorized to pursue the matter of
fostering exchange students between Burnaby and Kushiro, Japan and
to obtain more information which might lead to a recommended course
of action in that regard, as more particularly mentioned in his
report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That an invitation be extended to Mr. R. Roth to make a presentation
to Council on the question of a permanent international Trade
Fair being established in the municipality, as mentioned in the
report of Alderman Emmott."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (b) Request of Western Sleep Products Ltd. for shortening of Median on Alaska Street

Western Sleep Products Ltd. submitted a letter enclosing a cheque in the amount of .550.00 and requesting that the municipality proceed with the alterations to the curb beside its property.

The Company also indicated it would be making a submission to Councit at its next meeting on the question of shortening the median.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the request of Western Sleep Products Ltd. be retabled until
the October 4th meeting and the Company be advised that Council
will be making a decision on the matter at that time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

### ALDERMAN BLAIR ARRIVED AT THE MEETING.

# (c) Naming of "Century Park" Area

During consideration of the subject at hand, it was pointed out in Council that:

- (a) Across Canada, there are a great number of "Century Parks" commemorating Centennial events and that this name is perhaps

  \*\*Description\*\*

  \*\*Description\*\*

  \*\*Description\*\*

  \*\*The comment of the com
- (b) Perhaps the Parks and Recreation Commission should consider holding a contest to invite the public to select a name for the area in question.
- (c) The name of James Covan Centre should not be changed since Mr. Covan was prominent in earlier days in the development of a park and community hall on property now occupied, in part, by the Municipal Hall.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LATNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the matter at hand be referred back to the Park Names Committee
of the Parks and Recreation Commission for review in the light of
the above points to determine whether some other name besides
"Century Park" should be assigned."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Municipal Manager be instructed to investigate the
advisability of the Corporation of the District of Burnaby hiring
people now in receipt of social assistance pursuant to the recent
programme announced by the Provincial Government whereby it will
pay 50% of all the wages paid to such people, and report to Council."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the word "hiring" in the above resolution be deleted and
replaced with "assisting in the placing of"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A vote was then taken on the original motion, as amended, and it was Carried Unanimously.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the following motion be tabled for one week so that Alderman
Ladner can made further enquiries in regard to the subject matter
of it:

'That Council enquire of the Minister of Health and Welfare for the Federal Government whether the Federal Government would be prepared to pay to Burnaby for each person hired pursuant to the Provincial Government programme an amount equal to the amount it would pay to the Provincial Government if that person continued to be in receipt of social assistance.'"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That a Public Hearing be held on Tuesday, October 26, 1971 at
7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Hall to
receive representations in connection with those rezoning proposals
which have been approved for further consideration and on which
no Public Hearing has as yet been held."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD

ALDERMAN McLEAN enquired as to when the Motor Vehicle Testing Station would be opened.

It was understood that the Municipal Engineer would endeavor to obtain an answer to this question and report accordingly.

When <u>Alderman Ladner</u> enquired as to when further consideration would be given the matter of installing traffic control devices at Patterson Avenue and Imperial Street, the Municipal Engineer stated that a report would be submitted to the Traffic Safety Committee on the matter at its next meeting because the situation was such that warrants were almost met for such devices.

Alderman Dailiy stated that he had received a complaint regarding the contractor who is presently installing street lighting standards in the municipality.

It was understood by Comati that Alderman Dailly would contact the Municipal Engineer to provide more details about the complaint.

### REPORTS

MUNICIPAL CIERK submitted Certificates of Sufficiency covering the paving of the following lanes:

- (a) Lane between 12th Avenue and 13th Avenue from 13th Street to 15th Street;
- (b) Laws East of and parallel to 18th Street from Edmonds Street to 19th Avenue

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Certificates from the Municipal Clerk be received and
By-laws authorizing the construction of the lane pavings involved
be prepared."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSEY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 61, 1971 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (27) either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

#### (1) Manhole Cleaner

The Municipal Engineer has checked with Chevron Canada Ltd., because that Company is installing muffling equipment, and has been advised that the Corporation should do one thing at a time in endeavoring to muffle the noise emitted by the machine used by the municipality to clean catchbasins.

As a result of this advice, the Engineer has arranged to coat the air intake and discharge from the fan on the machine, and is prepared to also coat the fan housing itself. The noise level was reduced to some extent after the coating of the fan.

The manufacturer of the machine has designed a different exhaust and has supplied blue prints so that the municipality can manufacture the device itself, which is presently being done.

When the additions have been completed, Chevron Canada Ltd. will be asked to recheck the situation for possible further improvements.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER: "That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

As a result of a suggestion by Alderman Clark, it was understood by Council that the Municipal Engineer would indicate whether any measures could be taken to reduce the volume of noise which is emitted by the motorized street sweepers.

### (2) Burnaby Security Issuing By-laws Nos. 1 to 3, 1971

The following works are listed in the above three by-laws:

#### (a) Burnaby Security Issuing By-law No. 1, 1971

No.	Cost of the Work
5691	\$134,932.00
5692	120,480.00
5693	140,522.00
5694	171,714.00
5696	212,229.00
5697 ·	153,606.00
5716	6,064.00
5753	9,160.00
5758	2,734.00
5766	3,192.00
5774	4,538.00
5776	15,746.00
577 จั	1,881.00
5779	2,682.00
5784	1,487.00
5793	1,448.00
5808	1,881.00
5812	2,182.00
5831	2,070.00
	988,548.00
Deduct sum already borrowed	473,548.00
Amount to be borrowed	this
By-law	\$515,000.00

# (b) Burnaby Security Issuing By-law No. 2, 1971

By-Lew Euriber	Cost of the work
5645	\$ 3,125.00
5646	18,585.00
5647	6,557.00
5648	1,947.00
5649	23,713.00
5650	3,461.00
5651	2,581.00
5652	3,977.00
5654	3,478.00
5655	2,174.00
5658	2,925.00
5676	20,265.00
5729 <b>57</b> 65 <b>5</b> 775	1,764.00 3,243.00 7,010.00 12,821.00
5780 5833 Amount to be borrowed this By-Law	11,374.00

# (c) Burnaby Security Issuing By-law No. 3, 1971

By-Law Number	Cost of the work
5767 5768 5769	149,449.00 52,803.00 125,748.00
Amount to be borrowed this By-Law	<u>\$328,000.00</u>

Interest rates for money to be borrowed are currently in the 8% or greater range.

The Three By-laws involved cover lane paving, ornamental street lighting and street improvements projects.

It was being recommended that Council pass the By-laws and that the Treasurer be authorized to request the Greater Vancouver Regional District to undertake the financing covered by the By-laws as soon as possible this year.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALCERMAN EMHOTE: "That the recommandation of the Manager to Associate."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Connection of Highway 401 (Freeway) with Georgia Street Viaduct (East approach route)

The Planning Department has rescribed as follows on the above matter:

- (a) The effects on the municipality of the proposals within the report prepared by Phillips, Barratt, Hillier, Jones and Partners for the City of Vancouver have been examined and the conclusion has been reached that the presentation is consistent with understandings both the Planning and Engineering Departments of Burnaby have had as a result of consultations with that firm.
- (b) The terms of reference of the study were quite narrow in that the consultant was asked to study alternate routes for a link between Highway 401 in Burnaby and the Georgia Street Viaduct in Vancouver.

The consultant was not asked to relate this link to overall transportation plans, although there is reference to previous transportation plans resulting from a rapid transit study that was made by De Leuw and Cather.

- (c) Burnaby's prime concern is with the Eastern end of the route in the vicinity of Boundary Road and Grandview Douglas Highway and Eastward to the Willingdon Interchange where the proposed East - West Freeway merges with the present Freeway.
- (d) Of the alternatives examined in the Eastern section, the recommended route (Scheme 4.0), which passes under Grandview Highway and Boundary Road in a tunnel and emerges East of Boundary Road to join Highway 401 in the location of the present Grandview Interchange, appears to be the most suitable from Burnaby's point of view. Notwithstanding, there are several questions which should be raised that are not answered in the report. These are as follows:
  - (i) Congestion of streets in Burnaby will result in the merger of two major traffic flows (Vancouver and North Shore) if not kept balanced by the construction of additional lanes to Highway 40! East of the connection between the two routes.

This should be a Provincial Department of Highways responsibility.

(2) The report does not adequately detail the required ramps and frontage roads which will be required in Burnaby in order to adequately provide for municipal arterial road movement and access to properties in the vicinity of the major interchange.

It should be appreciated that the area between Boundary Road and Westminster Avenue becomes one elongated interchange serving Vancouver and North Shore traffic.

- (3) The report does not recognize the often proposed need for a North-South Freeway on the general alignment of Boundary Road and therefore makes no provision for its incorporation into the interchange design.
- (4) With the absence of detail on the point just covered, there is insufficient information to judge the effects of the major transportation proposals on the land in the triangle bounded by Highway 401, Grandview Highway and Boundary Road, or to comment on the use of land in this triangle.

- (c) The above matters have been discussed with the Consultant and with officials from the City of Vancouver, and it appears they are not prepared to widen the terms of reference of the study, largely due to the major role the Department of Highways has to play in further studies involving the items.
- (f) It was therefore being recommended that Burnaby initiate discussions with the Provincial Government in order to resolve:
  - (i) The responsibility for the widening of Highway 401 and the obtaining of guarantees that such widening will take place before the proposed route is open to traffic.
  - (ii) The responsibility for the design and construction of ramps and frontage roads necessary for the continuity of the municipal road system and the provision of access to adjacent properties.
  - (iii) The need for a North-South freeMay and, if necessary, the responsibility for incorporating such a freeway into the currently proposed interchange design.
- (g) The land use aspects, particularly in relation to the Boundary-Grandview-401 Triangle, can be resolved by the municipality once answers have been provided to the major transports ton questions listed above.

Both the Municipal Engineer and Municipal Manager concur with the views expressed by the Planning Department.

in checking with the consultants, it has been ascertained that the proper shown on an attached list would likely need to be acquired for the route recommended by the consultants.

A copy of a report from the Board of Administration for Vancouver pertaining to the subject matter was being attached.

It was being recommended that discussions be initiated with the Provincial Department of Highways along the lines recommended by the Planning Oppartment in the above report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Wayburne Drive - Westminster Avenue (Dominion Construction Ltd.)

At the time the industrial site of Dominion Construction Ltd. was subdivided in 1966, it was agreed that the municipality would pay the Company for the extra width of the Wayburne Drive Road Allowance. This extra width amounted to 2C feet and the value placed on it was \$11,650.00. The Company billed the municipality in August, 1970 for this amount.

When the account was submitted to Council, it was directed that the account be withheld from payment until a search could be made to determine if the maximum sum of \$2,000.00 to cover landscaping and buffer requirements imposed by Council at the time of rezoning haben deposited by the Company. The amount involved has not been paid.

The Planning Department has advised that, at the suggestion of the Company, its contribution of \$2,000.00 could be deducted from the \$11,650.00.

It was being recommended that Council authorize the payment of the account for \$11,650.00 less \$2,000.00, with that latter amount being credited to the Special Road Project account in the Capital Improvement Programme Budget.

After this is done, the Engineering Department will be able to issue a work order and a purchase regularition to the Parks Department in the amount of \$5,000.00 for the landscaping work performed by the Parks Department.

It was also being recommended that Mr. J. E. Saunders of 4929 Fulwell Street, be informed of the contents of both this report and Item 38 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 49, 1971 that Council received on August 9, 1971.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: "That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (5) Rumble Street and Moscrop Street Extensions

The following history of events leading up to the construction, in Vancouver, of roads that could be termed extensions of Rumble and Moscrop Streets, was being  $\mathfrak p$  ovided:

- (a) The first indication of inter-jurisdictional staff discussions in connection with the matter took place immediately prior to July 17, 1959.
- (b) The subject, at that time, was related to the relocation of Marine Drive and associated land use schemes.

Subsequently, it was agreed that a co-ordinating body comprised of Planning and Engineer personnel from each municipality should be established to study problems involved in major streets crossing Boundary Road.

The first meeting of this co-ordinating body was held on November 30, 1959 when the following major street matters were discussed:

- (i) Marine Drive.
- (II) Possible connection between East 54th Avenue and Rumble Street.
- (III) Possible connection between East 49th Avenue and imperial Street.
- (iv) Proposed East 29th Avenue Moscrop connection.
- (v) Possible extension of 1st Avenue into Burnaby.
- (vi) Possible Scenic Highway Bridgeway connection.

A working Committee was established and priorities were set for the above.

- (c) Communications between the two municipalities on major road crossings of Boundary Road lapsed until 1965 when it was noted, via a Local improvement Notice in the newspaper, that road improvements in the vicinity of East 29th Avenue and Boundary Road were being proposed by the City.
- (d) A meeting was held in April 1965 when no agreement could be reached about crossings of Boundary Road because staff from Vancouver indicated they preferred an extension of East 26th Avenue into Burnaby while the staff from Burnaby felt its plan and development had been directed toward extending Moscrop Street into Vancouver along the alignment proposed in the Harland, Bartholemew & Associates report for Vancouver.
- (e) The Vancouver Planning Department subsequently prepared a report which was translated into current policy when that Departments School/Park development proposal was accepted by the Vancouver Council.

When development is initiated under this policy, it will effectively block the short extension of Moscrop Street to an easy connection at this time with Joyce Road through currently vacant city-owned land and will preclude East-West continuity across Boundary Road of the Moscrop Street arterial that has been established in Burnaby.

(f) The Burnaby Planning Department believes, in view of the long-range development concept proposed for the Central Area in its "Urban Structure" report:

the current facilities.,

the proposed near future development in the Municipal Hali - Administrative Complex, and

the Provincial Government facilities adjacent to Moscrop Street.

that East-West continuity across Boundary Road connecting with Joyce Road would provide the same desired degree of access and level of service that Vancouver wishes in its connection of Kingsway to Rumble Street via Tyne Street.

- (g) The recent surveying in the "Moscrop" Area in Vancouver could be the first step in implementing Vancouver's plans for the area.
- (h) It is felt Burnaby must re-establish communications and, in this connection, staff has already had a meeting with Vancouver officials to discuss the problems, after which a progress report will be submitted.
- (i) The subdivision in Vancouver is proceeding because the City has authority to do what it wishes within its own boundaries, whether or not Burnaby agrees.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK: "That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

## (6) Monthly Report of R.C.M.P.

A report from the R.C.M.P. covering the policing of the municipality for the month of August, 1971 was being submitted.

# (7) Monthly Report of Health Department

A report of the Medicat Health Officer covering the activities of his Department for the month of August, 1971 was being submitted.

## (8) Monthly Report of Fire Department

A report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department during the month of August 1971 was being submitted.

## (9) Monthly Report of Building Department

A report of the Chief Building inspector covering the operations of his Department between August 16th and S is mber 10, 1971 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the above four reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (10) Lane South of and Parallel To Halifax Street East from Tallen Avenue to the W.P.L. of Lot 170, D.L. 131, Plan 28826

The following cost report was being provided in connection with the proposed Local improvement paving of the above lane:

Length of work	1701
Estimated cost of work	\$600.00
Actual frontage	343.75
Taxable frontage	322.88
Owner's share of the cost of the work	\$322.88

Estimated lifetime of the work

10 years

Frontage tax levy

5 annual installments of \$.257 per taxable front foot.

it was being recommended that the Cost Report be received and that the construction by-law to authorize the work be brought forward.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER: "The" the recommendation of the Manager be Edopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Easement - Lot 246, D.L. 135, Plan 33648 (1081 Sherlock Avenue - Breed)

It was being recommended that Council authorize the acceptance of an easement five feet wide over the above described property, for drainage purposes, for a consideration of \$1.00 and the execution of the documents required in connection therewith.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Winston Street from Lozells Avenue to Brighton Avenue (F. W. Monssen Construction Ltd.)

It was being recommended that Council grant the above Company an extension of time from September 20, 1971 to October 20, 1971 to complete the construction of the above portion of Winston Street, without the imposition of the \$100.00 per day liquidated damages penalty contained in the contract between the Corporation and the Company for the work, because the reasons provided by the Company for being unable to complete the job in time are considered legitimate.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (13) Data Processing (Regional District of Kootenay -Boundary)

The Regional District of Kootenay - Boundary is proposing to engage the services of Yolland, Soligo, and Babcock, Chartered Accountants, who operate an IBM 360 Model 20 Computer.

The District believes it will become operational faster and will save a great deal of money if Burnaby will supply computer and office systems for assessments, taxing and voter's lists. It cost Burnaby upwards of \$10,000.00 to develop these systems.

The Treasurer is of the opinion that the systems should be made available to the Regional District at the costs in staff and machine time it will take to compile the system for the use of the District, which is expected to be about \$2,150.00. It is not felt that Burnaby should attempt to recover capital costs because they are lower than normal due to the fact most of the work was done by our own staff and because we already had some experience in data processing before acquiring the present installation. In any event, the Capital cost has long since been paid off.

The programmes will become the property of the Regional District and not the Data Processing Firm. If this proves successful, it is likely other Regional Districts will ask for our assistance.

It was being recommended that Burnaby supply the Regional District of Kootenay-Boundary with computer and office systems for assessments, taxing and voters' lists at the cost in staff and machine time it will take to compile the systems for the District's use, and also provide the District with whatever assistance will be required.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be reanded by adding "plus
15% overhead" to the costs mentioned and the recommendation, as
amended, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(14) 4678 Byrne Road (Nissan Automobile Company (Canada) Ltd.)

(This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting.)

(15) Former Byrne Road Peat Farm (Melchin Auto Transport)

(This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting.)

# (16) Swimming Pool No. 2

The Parks and Recreation Commission has determined that it wishes to have a system where one person will act as a consultant or construction advisor in connection with the construction of Swimming Pool No. 2, answering directly to the Commission on all matters pertaining to design and construction.

The following two options in that regard have been considered by the Commission:

- (a) To engage Mr. Harold Shopland of O'Connell Shopland Ltd. as the consultant.
- (b) To appoint the Chief Building Inspector for the municipality, Mr. M. J. Jones, to act as consultant, on the understanding this service will provide similar functions, responsibilities and time as those by Mr. Shopland.

The Commission was recommending that Council retain the services of Mr. Shopland but, if it is the wish of Council, the Commission will accept the other alternative to appoint Mr. Jones.

The consultant chosen will act as the Commission's agent throughout the entire project.

The Commission was requesting that Council enter into a Contract with the person selected.

in effect, the Commission is proposing that a consultant be engaged as well as an architect for the project. The consultant's fee would be 24% of the cost and, as the project is estimated to be \$500,000.00, the fee would be in the vicinity of \$11,250.00.

It is doubtful if the architect's fee would be reduced if a consultant is engaged, although this has not been indicated thus far. Nevertheless, there will substantial extra costs in using the approach mentioned.

The major concerns are that:

- (a) There would appear to be a great deal of overlapping in responsibilities between the architect and the consultant or, if there is none, there will certainly be some duplication of effort.
- (b) The consultant has offered to do some things which could, and should be, the responsibility of the architect.
- (c) He has also offered to undertake certain things which could, and should be, the responsibility of the staff from the Parks and Recreation Commission and staff from the municipality.
- (d) It will be difficult to clearly define the role of the consultant and architect, as it is for any project.

Many contract disputes are the direct result of not clearly defining responsibilities and the lack of communication or improper communication. The more people between the contractor and the owner, the worse it becomes.

- (e) Many of the problems in connection with the project at hand will concern water treatment and purification, which are not really in Mr. Shopland's field.
- (f) All swimming pool design must be approved by the Provincial Government. Municipal staff really has the best experience to advise, especially on the pool portion of the project.
- (g) Most municipalities have sufficient and capable staff that, when combined properly, can make a very suitable contract management team without engaging a consultant, although on a "crash" programme it may be desirable to use a consultant.

The field of contract management is a relatively new one which shows a lot of promise.

It is felt that the most success will be enjoyed if the additional responsibilities become those of the architect.

It is also felt that consultants should only be engaged if municipal staff do not have the capability or the time for the work involved.

The Swimming Pool Committee of the Commission was made aware of the above concerns and this is what lead to the optional recommendation regarding the Chief Building Inspector being appointed.

He is presently involved with Fire Hall No. 6 and is working closely with the construction of Heritage Village.

He has asked for additional staff for other reasons so extra work could be ifitted in at this time.

Notwithstanding the qualifications and capabilities of Mr. Shopland, the Manager cannot in all conscientiousness recommend approval of an additional expenditure for engaging a contract management consultant as well as an architect for the construction of Swimming Pool No. 2.

It was therefore being recommended that the Chief Building Inspector act as the project co-ordinator and consultant for, and under the direction of, the Parks and Recreation Commission in close liaison with the Municipal Manager, where necessary, on Swimming Pool No. 2 and the Commission advise of the terms and conditions it wishes to have placed in the architect's agreement to reflect the arrangement just outlined.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN: "That action on the subject of the report be deferred until after the next meeting of the Parks and Recreation Commission (which, it is understood, will be on October 6th) when it is exacted the Commission will provide a report containing reasons why it feels Mr. Shopland should be engaged as a consultant for the construction of Swimming Pool No. 2."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(17) Lot 2, Block 2, D.L. 161, Plan 1742 (8626 Joffre Avenue)
PRELIMINARY PLAN APPROVAL NO. 1589

The Planning Department has met with the applicant for the above Preliminary Plan Approval to clarify the operation to be conducted on the property and to reach an understanding as to the standard of development required in the proposed M5 zone.

The following points were agreed upon during the meeting:

- (a) The site is scheduled for M5 industrial zoning, as per the "concept" report that was submitted to Council.
- (b) The use desired is permitted in the MI, M2 and M3 categories, but not in the M5 one.
- (c) The use will not involve any outside storage of either trucks, materials or equipment.
- (d) Materials will arrive at the site in normal two-ton type vans.
- (e) The materials and equipment used in the building, which is primarily to be a warehouse, do not create a nuisance in the form of dust, noise or glare.
- (g) The use of the site will take place entirely within an enclosed building.
- (h) The site will be developed according to M5 standards.
- (i) The issuance of any permit will be contingent upon full compliance with all other aspects of municipal by-laws pertaining to services, etc.

The applicant, in a letter dated September 23rd, confirmed acceptance of the above terms.

In view of the foregoing, it was being recommended that the Planning Department be authorized to work with the applicant, under the present M3 zoning on the property, toward the preparation of a suitable plan of development which will reflect the standards required in the M5 zoning category, on the basis that the Preliminary Plan Approval in question will be granted after a plan such as that mentioned is developed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(18)(a) Lot "A", S.D. 13, W 2/3, Blocks 34/36, D.L. 35, Plan 8354 (b) Lot "A", Expl. Pla. 11824 , S.D. 6/7, Blks. 34/36, D.L. 35, Plan 1370 REZONING REFERENCE NO. 46/71

A map showing the location of those residents opposed to the proposed rezoning of the above described properties to Residential District Six (R6) was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the report of the Manager, and the attachment to it, be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (19) 5222 Patterson Avenue (Fourchalk)

The policy which resulted from Council's decision on the three cases pertaining to driveway corssings on Patterson Avenue at the meeting on September 20th was that a property owner would be provided with a vehicular crossing if he had the ability to confine such access to a side yard area or rear yard through use of the side yard.

Mr. Fourchalk does not have the ability or potential parking area which would conform to the by-law and therefore it must be recommended that he be denied a vehicular crossing to serve his illegal front yard parking.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That Council reconsider the decision it rendered on September 20th
respecting requests for driveway crossings to three properties
on Patterson Avenue."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN EMMOTT stated that Mr. Curtis, whose request for a driveway crossing was denied by Council on September 20th, should be given such a crossing because the parking use being made on the property prevailed before the Zoning By-law was enacted in 1965.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That Council confirm the decision it made on September 20th in respect of driveway crossings to the three properties on Patterson Avenue."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN EMMOTT
AND McLEAN

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That action on the subject of the report from the Manager be deferred until it is determined whether Mr. Fourchalk had access from the front of his property to the garage at the side of his house before the Zoning By-law was passed in 1965."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN DAILLY

### (20) Miscellaneous Amendments to the Zoning By-law

The Planning Department has advised that the expiry date for the satisfaction of prerequisites relating to the following amendments to the Zoning By-law has passed:



 Outstanding Applications which the Department would recommend be abandoned.

Reference	No.	Address/Location	Expiry Date
50/69	R5/RM3	7337 Hubert . 7425 & 7435 Kingsway	Sept. 8, 1971
47/69	R5/RM3	<b>7490 &amp; 7480 Kingsway</b>	Sept. 8, 1971
11/70	R5/R6	3180, 3150 & 3250 Douglas Road	Sept. 9, 1971
81/69	R5/RM3	7416, 7430, 7456, 7468, 7478 Britton; 7331 Hubert; 7389, 7397 Kingsway	Sept. 8, 1971

- 2. The Department would recommend extensions in the case of the following By-Laws which have passed their expiry dates.
- 1) 5/65 N.E. corner of the Lougheed Highway and Springer Avenue

The applicant has requested a 90 day extension as per attached letter. The Department is presently examining the applicant's latest development proposal.

2) 18/69 5909 & 5945 Pioneer

Council granted an extension of time to November 5, 1971 at their September 1, 1971 meeting.

3) 35/70 5608, 5622 & 5636 Inman Avenue

The applicant is actively pursuing the fulfillment of the prerequisites. Consolidation and development plans have been submitted.

4) 107/68 6450 Telford

The applicant has requested an extension as progress has been made towards the fulfillment of the prerequisites. A development plan and a consolidation plan have been submitted.

5) 37/65 N.E. corner Lougheed Highway and Delta

Applicant is awaiting results of discussions between the Planning Department and the B. C. Department of Highways regarding the need and location of a frontage road along the Lougheed Highway before submitting further plans.

6) 91/68 8611 Armstrong

The only prerequisite to this is rearing completion.

It was being recommended that:

- (a) The By-laws covering Reference Rezoning #50/69, 47/69, 81/69 and 11/70 be abandoned because no action has been taken on the prerequisites.
- (b) versions of 90 days be granted in the cases of Reference Rezoning #5/65, 18/69, 35/70, 107/68, 37/65 and 91/68 for the reasons provided in the report of the Planning Department.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY / DERMAN DAILLY: "That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

あるとはないである。 となる できょうこう

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

(21) North 62 feet of Lot 2A, Blocks 2/4, D.L. 28, Plan 2162 REZONING REFERENCE NO. 20/71

The Legal Department has indicated that Council cannot legally rezone property when the land concerned possesses insufficient width according to the requirements of the Zoning By-law.

In view of that, it was being recommended that the amendment to the Zoning By-law covering the above rezoning proposal be abandoned because the property concerned only has a width of 42 feet and the category to which it was to be rezoned (C2) requires a lot to have a minimum width of 50 feet.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### (22) Land Filling

As a result of amendments to the Municipal Act in 1971, it is now possible for a municipality to enact a By-law to control the filling of land. Such a By-law is now being prepared and should be available within the next two months.

The indiscriminate filling of land is still taking place and it is desirable that some interim form of control be introduced until the comprehensive by-law is passed.

An interim By-!aw, which is similar to the existing soil removal By-law, has therefore been prepared. The effect of this By-law will be that . Ing can only take place on a permit basis, with such permits being granted by the Municipal Engineer.

It was being recommended that the interim By-law mentioned be brought forward for Council consideration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (23) American Waterworks Association (Pacific North-West Section)

It was being recommended that Council authorize Mr. A. L. Francis of the Engineering Department to attend a Trustees Meeting of the above Association in Eugene, Oragon on October 15th and 16, 1971.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARLIED UNANIMOUSLY

(25) Lots I to 4 incl., Blk. "L", D.L. 9IN part, Pl. 1869
Lot 5, Pl. 10599, Blk. "L", D.L. 9IN part, Plan 1869
Lot 5 except Pl. 10599, Blk. "L", D.L. 9IN part, Pl. 1869
Lt 6, Blk. "L", D.L. 9IN part, Pl. 1869
A portion of the Humphries Avenue right-of-way lying adjacent to the above properties

REFERENCE REZONING #38/71

A map showing the location of some of those people who indicated at the Public Hearing on September 21st whether they supported or opposed the above rezoning proposal was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the report of the Manager, including the attachment to it,
be reserved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (26) Canadian Fire Investigation School (Western Division)

It was being recommended that Council ratify the action taken by the Municipal Manager to authorize Fire Inspector H. O. Hogan and Assistant Fire Prevention Officer S. B. Wilson to attend the above school in Banff, Alberta between September 29th and October 6th, 1971.

The reason the action described was taken by the Manager is that the Fire Chief was not aware until last Thursday of the School and, because he felt both men involved should attend, arrangements in that regard had to be made prior to the meeting this evening.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

MAYOR PRITTIE DECLARED A RECESS AT 8:45 P.M.

THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 8:55 P.M.

ALDERMAN DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

### (27) Agreement - Canada Summer Games Society

The President of the 1973 Canada Summer Games Society has written to request that Council rescind the portion of the resolution it passed on September 20th which authorized the Mayor to execute the agreement with the Society providing "the Society first signs the sub-agreement between Burnaby and the Society which is dated May 3, 1971".

Both the Municipal Solicitor and the Manager felt the content of the President's letter does not detract from the opinion already expressed that the sub-agreement should be executed before the master agreement.

The letter from the President of the Society Indicates the following in support of its request:

- (a) The proposed contract between Canada, British Columbia, Burnaby, New Westminster and the Society contains a provision whereby any excess in capital expenditures over and above that set out in the Budget annexed to the agreement are to be borne equally by the municipalities of Burnaby and New Westminster.
- (b) The additional forseeable expenses incurred, and to be incurred, in connection with the dredging of Burnaby Lake have not been approved by the Co-ordinating Committee designated in the master agreement for the staging of the Games but, since this dredging has now been done for all practical purposes, the Committee will have no alternative but to approve the expenditures that have been incurred prior to its existence.
- (c) The net effect is that, even if the Society entered into the agreement respecting the dredging of Burnaby Lake, the costs of such dredging over and above the budgetary figure would eventually fall upon Burnaby and New Westminster.
- (d) The Society itself is really a child of Burnaby and New Westminster and has no funds, and also has no foreseeable source of funds, with which it could honour any obligations which would be incurred by executing the agreement.

The Executive Committee of the Society would therefore be acting in bad faith if it recommended execution of the agreement by the Society knowing full well it could not perform the obligations I posed upon the Society pursuant to the agreement.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the resolution Council passed on September 20th respecting
the execution of agreements between the Corporation and the
1973 Canada Summer Games Society be amended by deleting the
prerequisite that the Society first sign the sub-agreement."

**CARRIED** 

### (24) Big Bend Area

The Planning Department has reported as follows on Phase II of the proposed zoning concept for the Big Bend Area:

- (a) The :: / Industrial District categories currently account for about 86.4% of the total area.
- (b) Agricultural zoning covers 6.7% of the area, while the remainder is either residentially zoned or P6, P3 and a small commercial zone.
- (c) The sector where the golf course sports complex is planned contains a significant proportion of agricultural land that is used for market gardening.
  - The complex also uses municipal land reserves and has been strongly supported by the Parks and Recreation Commission.
- (d) The current proposal lessens the opportunity for the future encroachment of industry into the area abutting the residential zone on Marine [:ve and will reduce growing pressures in the future for the movement of industry into this key area.
- (d) A map (known as "C") illustrating the A3 dosignation was being submitted.
- (e) Much of the area included in the present Al zone is being proposed for A2 rezoning, a category which is more closely related to existing development.
- (f) The area coverage of the M3(a) category has been considerably reduced. This zone has been situated within the extreme South-Easterly portion of the area to reflect existing development and to allow for limited future expansion.
- (g) The M2 zone, which is currently being considered for the Byrn. Road enclave, is also being proposed for the area North of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority rail line South of Marine Way and West of the proposed golf course.
- (h) The new M5 District is particularly designed for locations in close proximity to residential development, and the area proposed for this designation involves those lands North of Marine Way and South of the Marine Drive residential strip in the North-Westerly part of the day area.
- (i) P3 zoning is planned for the golf course recreational complex, while A3 is proposed for privately-held properties located within the same recreational area.
- (j) In addition to the standard zoning district categories, special controls are considered necessary in certain parts of the area. This, it is felt, can best be accomplished by the use of a Comprehensive Development (CD) designation.
- (k) The properties proposed for CD designation involve the block of land East of the golf course (which is mainly under C.N.R. ownership) and the connecting parkway link along the foreshore between the golf course and the proposed park area to the East.

In both of these cases, the CD zoning would require the preparation and approval of plans in advance of development, which will permit negotiations almed at implementing the concept for the area.

In the final plans for the area, the proposed shoreline strip could be of varying widths depending on the circumstances involved with individual properties and development proposals.

- (!) The block of land East of the proposed golf course has been recommended for special consideration because of its location in relation to the golf course and the proposed recreational complex, and also the potential which exists for a high standard of industrial use. The application of this type of zoning to a proposed industrial development area will require an amendment to the CD section of the Zoning By-law.
- (m) Section 702A of the Municipal Act permits a municipality to enter into land use contracts with developers and also allows the designation of "development areas". Such a designation does not require a Public Hearing and allows for mutually agreed upon terms and conditions to apply to the use and development of land.
- (n) Recent discussions have been held with C.N.R. officials who indicated they would be agreeable to the idea of a land use contract for the proposed CD area in terms of a development plan, servicing arrangements, tree plantings, staging, possible land exchanges, etc. A land use contract, in combination with CD zoning, could well provide the means for the future establishment of a high quality industrial estate in the area. C.N.R. officials emphasized that such an agreement would be subject to the permitting of car storage on the site as an interim use for a period of ten years. A tree planting programme could be undertaken in the intervening period which would screen the car storage from view and provide a suitable setting for the future development of an industrial estate.
- (o) The application of the agricultural zoning categories to the areas proposed will result in only a limited amount of non-conformity. The following four sites would fall into this classification in the case of the proposed A3 zone:
  - (i) A.R.C. Enterprises, Pioneer Auto Salvage and Sunny Slope Kennels (presently non-conforming).
  - (ii) Sea Import Handling Limited and Vancouver Pacific Handling Company.
  - (iii) Conboy Machinery.
  - (iv) Consumer Pallet Limited (sub-lease from Pacific Coast Woodworking who are leasing from the Corporation).

In the area proposed for AI, the following four sites would be non-conforming:

- (i) Meadowland Peat
- (ii) Globe Foundry
- (iii) Modulux Manufacturing Limited
- (iv) Can-Am Crawler Parts Limited, Hemlock Motors and Pacific Loader Service.

\* 1 × 1

(p) More than half of the land included in the proposed A3 and A1 zones is presently undeveloped while agriculture clearly predominates as the largest of the existing uses.

The proposed change in the status of the presently zoned AI area to A2 will have little effect on land use but will recognize the Smail Holding character of the area and the prevailing parcel sizes, which average about one acre.

(q) Should Council adopt in principle the area zoning concept proposed in the report, the Planning Department will be in a position to prepare more detailed proposals following discussions and consultations with concerned groups.

Further study and research will also be necessary on a number of other items involved in the process leading to the preparation of detailed development plans for the area. These include the following:

- Further discussions with the C.N.R. in regard to industrial development of the proposed CD area.
- (ii) A review of the proposed A3 District regulations
- (iii) Finalizing road plans for the area.
  - (IV) Studies and consultations involved in implementing the park strip proposal along the forestore of the North arm of the Fraser River and in the establishment of a park link system layout for the study area as a whole.
  - (v) Design of a programme and staging schedule for the development of the area, including servicing of industrial areas, roads, parks, recreational facilities, etc.
  - (vi) Examination of the possibility of including further special uses, such as a bird sanctuary or air strip, in consultation with appropriate authorities.
  - (vii) Research on agricultural development in the area to determine whether land development grants and assistance is available from senior governments.
  - (vili) Research into the development of special landscaping and screening provisions and possible measures to promote the retention of suitable areas of existing natural growth which is compatible with industrial, agricultural and recreational development.
- (r) It was being recommended that:
  - (1) Council adopt, in principle, Phase II of the area zoning concept, as proposed above.
  - (ii) The Planning Department be authorized to contact concerned groups in the area to provide them with the information on these proposals in order to promote a fuller understanding of the concept and allow additional time for discussion and consultation.

There should also be continuing consultation with the Parks and Recreation Commission on development plans for the area.

The Advisory Planning Commission should be brought up to date as well at its next meeting.

It was being recommended that:

- (a) The Council concur with the recommendations of the Planning Department.
- (b) The possibility of a land use contract with the C.N.R. be more fully investigated with regard to the industrial development of the proposed CD area.
- (c) The possibility of developing an industrial estate involving both the C.N.R. and the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority, utilizing land use contracts, be more fully investigated for industrial development of the proposed CD area.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR: "That Council adopt, as a guide only, Phase II of the zoning concept for the Big Bend Area, as shown on the Sketch "C" accompanying the above report of the Planning Department, and authorize the said Do thent to continue working with the property owners in the area toward the preparation of more detailed plans for land use there."

CARRIED

AGAINST --- ALDERMEN CLARK
DRUMMOND AND ENMOTT

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Planning Department be authorized to conduct further study and research on the eight items listed at the end of its report under Point (q)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANTHOUSE

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

#### BY-LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 22, 1971" #5970 "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 21, 1971"
"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 1, 1971"
"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 2, 1971" #5967 #5963 #5964 "BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 3, 1971" #5965 "BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1971" #5909 "BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1971" #5928 "BURNABY STREET AND TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. #5968

2, 1971" and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the By-laws be now read Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the Committee do now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That:

"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 22, 1971"
"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 21, 1971"

"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 1, 1971"

"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 2, 1971"

"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 3, 1911"
"BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1971"

E AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1971" "BURNABY STREET AND TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1971" be now read a Third Time."

MOVED BY ALDERWAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 28, 1971" #5916."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That this By-law be abandoned."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MCLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER: "That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 20, 1971" #5966 be now reconsidered and finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 48, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 49, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 50, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 51, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 51, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 53, 1971"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 54, 1971" #5957 #5958 #5959 #5961 and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANTMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DATLLY: "That the By-laws be now read a Second Time."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

### BURNABY ZONING BY-IAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1971 #5955

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT SIX (R6)

#### Reference RZ #46/71

- (a) Lot "A", Expl. Pl. 11824, S.D.'s 5/7, Blocks 34/36, D.L. 35, Plan 1370
- (b) Lot "A", Ref. Plan 8354,RSD West 2/3, S.D. 13, Blocks 34/36, D.L. 35, Plan 1370

(4578 and 4678 Smith Avenue -- Located at the North-East corner of Moscrop Street and Smith Avenue)

BURNABY ZOHING BY-LAW 1965, AMERINTERT BY-LAW NO. 48,1971 #5956

# FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

#### Reference RZ #48/71

Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 77, D.L. 127, Plan 4953

(270, 290, 330 Ellesmere Avenue South -- Located on the South-East corner of Capitol Drive and Ellesmere Avenue)

# BURNABY ZONING BY-IAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-IAW NO. 49, 1971 45957

# FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO SERVICE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C4)

## Reference RZ #47/71

Lot  ${}^{6}C^{\prime\prime}$  except the Southerly 125 feet parallel to Kingsway, Blocks I/2, D.L. 97, Plan 15308

(6205 and 6213 Kingsway -- Located on the North side of Kingsway approximately 159 feet West of its intersection with Gilley Avenue)

BUSHANY FORTER BY-TAK 1986, APERCURES BY-LAY NO. 50 1021 (4)

#### FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD)

#### Reference RZ #29/71

Lot I North Half and South Half, Block 32, D.L. 34, Plan 3333

(5584 and 5550 Inman Avenue -- Located on the East side of Inman Avenue approximately 420 feet North of Kingsway)

BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 51,1971 (5)

#### FROM SERVICE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C4) TO COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2)

#### Reference RZ #49/71

Lot 7, Blocks I, 2 and 3, D.L. 94S, Plan 3754

(5276 Kingsway -- Located on the South side of Kingsway approximately 230' East of its intersection with Royal Oak Avenue)

(6) BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 53, 1971 #5961

#### FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RMI)

#### Reference RZ #35/71

- (a) Lot 9 except Explanatory Plan 11295, Block 2, D.L.'s 44/78, Plan 3049
- (b) Parcel "C", Explanatory Plan 9256 except Explanatory Plan 11295, S.D.'s 7/8, Block 2, D.L.'s 44/78, Plan 3049

(2756 Ellerslie Avenue and 6917 Lougheed Highway -- Located at the Northeast corner of the Loughead Highway and Ellerslie Avenue)

(7) BURNABY ZOHING BY-LAW 1965, AFFICIMENT BY-LAW NO. 54, 1971

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RI) TO REIGHBOURHOOD INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (PT)

#### Reference RZ #38/71

- (a) Lots 1 to 4, Block "L", D.L. 918 part, Plan 1869
- (b) Lot 5, Ptan 10599, Block "t", D.L. 918 part, Ptan 1869 (c) Lot 5 except Pt. 10599, Btk. "t", D.L. 918 part, Ptan 1869 (d) Lot 6, Block "t", D.L. 918 part, Ptan 1869

- (c) A portion of the Hamptrion A. An anglit-of-way lying adjacent to the above properties
- (6519,6529, 6530, 6540, 6501, 650) 6509 Neighbries Avenue -- Located on the Southerty side of the all the large approximately 155 feet West Consemplation of the state of t of Imporiat Stroct)

Mr. and Mrs. R. F. Burns and Mr. and Mrs. J. V. Lindsay submitted a letter in connection with Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 47, 1971 expressing opposition to the proposed rezoning.

Mrs. Philippa Turner also submitted a letter in connection with this rezoning expressing the same view.

Mr. J. E. Swanson and a number of others submitted a petition in connection with Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 50, 1971 expressing opposition to the proposed rezoning.

Mr. H. A. Pearson submitted a letter in connection with Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 54, 1971 expressing opposition to the proposed rezoning.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN EMMOTT:
"That the Planning Department submit a report indicating whether
the lane which is referred to in the Zoning By-law covering
RZ #47/71 is needed in view of the points made by the applicant
in his submission to the Public Hearing on September 21, 1971."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the Planning Department submit a report indicating:

- (a) The proposed future subdivision pattern for the lands bounded by Inman Avenue, Bond Street, Patterson Avenue and Kingsway.
- (b) The current zoning of the area outlined.
- (c) How this zoning situation relates to the guide plan in the Apartment Study concept.

and the rezoning proposal covered by Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 50, 1971 be held in abeyance until after the Planning Department submits the report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the Planning Department submit a report indicating the
feas?bility of restricting vehicular movements to and from the
properties covered by Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment
By-law No.54, 1971 to the flanking street only rather than to and
from the lane which will also abut the properties."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Committee do now rise and report progress on the By-laws."

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN D. 'LLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That leave is given to introduce "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1971" #5954 and that it now be read a
First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY 'LDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-law."



### BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1971 #5954

# (a) FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (R2) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

#### Reference RZ #39/71

- (a) Lot I except North 140 feet and East 145 feet, Block "A", D.L. 8, Plan 5191
- (b) Lot 2 except North 140 feet, Block "A", D.L. 8, Plan 5191

(9727 and 9681 Casewell Street -- Located North of Casewell Street 120 feet East of Prichard Avenue)

# (b) FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

#### Reference RZ #40/71

- (a) Lots 8 and 9, Block I, D.L. 14, Plan 3047 (b) Lot 10 except Expl. Pl. 9817, Block I, D.L. 14, Plan 3047
- (9070 and 9060 Purklss Street, 7072 Cariboo Road -- Located on the South-East corner of Cariboo Road and Purklss Street with the exception of Lot "A", Expl. Pl. 9817, S.D. 10, Blk. 1, D.L. 14, Plan 3047)

# (c) FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RI) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

#### Reference RZ #41/71

Lot 4, Block "R", D.L.'s 85/79, Plan 11109

(5111 and 5121 Dale Avenue)

# (d) FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RI) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

#### Reference RZ #42/71

Approximately the rear 140 foot portion of Lot 161, D.L. 85, Plan 37715

(5435 Sperling Avenue -- Located approximately 227 feet West of Sperling Avenue)

# (e) FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (AZ) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

### Reference RZ #43/71

Lot 2, except Pcl. "A", Ref. Pl. 12540, Block 3, D.L. 14, Plan 3047

(9150 Christle Avenue -- Located at the South-West corner of Christle Avenue and Cariboo Street)

# (f) TROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

Reference RZ #45/71

(5925

Lot 279, D.L. 129, Plan

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY: "That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1971 be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER: "That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole "In Camera"."