

JANUARY 19, 1970

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, January 19, 1970 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor R. W. Prittie in the Chair;
Aldermen Blair, Clark, Dailly,
Drummond, Herd, Mercier and
McLean;

ABSENT: Alderman Ladner;

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, announced that Alderman Blair had been sworn in by the Municipal Clerk, and had taken the Oath of Office, on Friday, January 16, 1970.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Minutes of the Meeting held on January 12, 1970 plus a Public Hearing on the same date be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

DELEGATION

Campaign Director, Red Shield Building Fund, The Salvation Army, wrote to request an audience with Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the delegate from The Salvation Army be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Major S. R. Armstrong, The Campaign Director for the Red Shield Building Fund of The Salvation Army, appeared and distributed a Summary of the projects planned to be undertaken if sufficient funds are raised for them.

Major Armstrong also made the following remarks:

- (a) It was the first time in 14 years that the Salvation Army has made a request for a capital grant.
- (b) The five projects planned by the Salvation Army were:
 - (i) The Eventide Home for Elder Citizens
 - (ii) The House of Concord for Young Offenders
 - (iii) Mount Pleasant District Centre
 - (iv) Rehabilitation Centre for Men
 - (v) Camp Sunrise for Underprivileged Children

- (c) The Salvation Army is requesting a 30 cent per capita grant, which could be paid over a period of five years.
- (d) All Municipal Councils in the Lower Mainland would be approached with a like request.
- (e) The Corps Building to which reference is made in the Summary is approximately 14 or 15 years old.
- (f) The Salvation Army works in concert with other similar agencies whenever circumstances permit.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the request of the Salvation Army be referred to the Grants and Publicity Committee for consideration and recommendation."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That Council now deal with a letter from Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Morrison, and others, plus Item (7) of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 3, 1970, which concern a rumored proposal that the X-Kalay Foundation wish to use the Seton Academy at 401 North Esmond Avenue."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The letter from Mr. and Mrs. Morrison and others expressed an objection to the sale of the Seton Academy property to the X-Kalay Foundation on the following grounds:

- (a) The people in the area do not approve of the attempt to rehabilitate ex-convicts, dope addicts or persons suffering from mental or nervous disorders, in or near the area.
- (b) The Provincial Government should be consulted about the location of this kind of service, as it was when the Drug Addiction Centre was established at Matsqui.
- (c) The social services provided by the municipality are sufficient for the area and, in the event Council feels further such services for Burnaby are required, leadership will be provided by Council for the establishment of such services.

The report of the Municipal Manager offered the following on the matter at hand:

- (a) The Seton Academy site is presently zoned Residential District Five (R5) and could therefore not be used for institutional purposes without being first rezoned.
- (b) No approach has been made by the X-Kalay Foundation to the Corporation respecting the property.

- (c) Enquiries have been made at the Planning Department by representatives of the Action Line Housing Society concerning the possibility of developing the property for senior citizens use.
- (d) If the property was to be rezoned, the Council would naturally need to hold a Public Hearing on the matter. Anyone appearing at the Hearing would have the opportunity to express his/her opinion on the rezoning proposal.
- (e) The Council has no control whatsoever over the sale of private property.
- (f) Until the Council may become seized with the question of rezoning, the objections now at hand are premature.

It was being recommended that authority be granted to deal with all correspondence which may be received in connection with the subject matter as Council may now decide, rather than bring all these communications before Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That all correspondence which has been, and may be, submitted relative to the X-Kalay Foundation proposal be held in abeyance until an application is received for the rezoning of the Seton Academy site, no matter what the nature of the application may be."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

The Honourable W. D. Black, Minister of Highways, submitted a letter explaining that, even though the widening of the 3800 and 3900 Blocks plus the 5200 to 5600 Blocks Hastings Street is a project that his Department plans to commence this spring, it is not possible to make a positive commitment regarding the matter until funds are made available by the Legislature and the Treasury Board.

The Municipal Manager stated that the Deputy Minister of Highways had informed him he might be able to provide the municipality with an indication this week as to a means of resolving the problem which has developed in attempting to have the Department of Highways undertake the project in question.

The Council understood that the Municipal Manager would report anything which might materialize as a result of the efforts of the Deputy Minister of Highways this week.

Mrs. Edith A. Matson wrote to urge that some action be taken by the municipality to instill an awareness in the minds of the public of the desirability to not litter.

Jan/19/1970

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That, even though the Air Pollution Control Committee was established to deal with the question of air pollution, its terms of reference to be expanded to include matters such as that mentioned in the letter from Mrs. Matson; and further, her letter be referred to that Committee for consideration and recommendation, with it being understood that particular attention will be given the question of recommending regulations which are enforceable and which provide a stiff fine for anyone convicted of littering."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Lower Mainland Municipal Association, submitted a circular notice advising that the Annual General Meeting of the Association would be held at the Golden Ears Banquet Hall in Port Coquitlam on February 12, 1970 commencing at 8:00 p.m.

Mr. A. M. Van de Bogart submitted a letter in which he offered further views on the subject of Burnaby Lake being polluted.

His Worship, Mayor Prittie, made the following remarks in connection with the matter at hand:

- (a) *The Canadian Wildlife Service is continuing its investigation of allegations concerning Burnaby Lake being polluted by oil and chemical residues.*
- (b) *He met with the Chief Public Health Inspector, Alderman Dailly, a Biologist and Mrs. Doreen Lawson this past week for the purpose of discussing the claims regarding the pollution of Burnaby Lake.*
- (c) *It was mentioned that there have been certain changes in the wildlife around and on Burnaby Lake during the past few years, although there was no way of knowing at this time whether this could be attributed to the condition of the water in Burnaby Lake.*
- (d) *Contrary to what Mr. Van de Bogart contends regarding oil from parking lots and highways being filtered by soil, this method of filtering cannot extract all oil particles from water going into Burnaby Lake and its tributaries because these waterways constitute a drainage basin for a vast area.*
- (e) *The Chief Public Health Inspector has received a letter from the Federal Department of Fisheries and Forestry which indicates that, as a result of investigating a claim from the United Fishermen's Allied Workers Union concerning kerosene pollution of Brunette Creek, no oily wastes were detected entering Burnaby Lake from the Tri-Mac Trucking operation, as was thought by the Union, but it was surmised that the small patches of oil in the ditches and other waterways in the area could have originated from any number of sources since the area is heavily industrialized.*

Jan/19/1970

It was also mentioned that no signs of oil were noticed against the gates of the Brunette Creek Dam; Burnaby Lake had flecks and small patches of oil on its surface; Piper Creek and another small one just above the Brunette Creek Dam were found to be free of any signs of oil; a log barricade across Still Creek at Sperling Avenue held back some black oil, and a fair amount of oil slick was noticed below this barricade.

(f) The Chief Public Health Inspector is still investigating the situation but has not yet completed. When he has, a report will be submitted to Council.

*

Alderman Clark stated that he had received information that the "PH" count in the water of Burnaby Lake was around 7.2 or 7.3 whereas normal drinking water is around 7 when measured on the "PH" scale.

Union of B. C. Municipalities and the Extension Department of the University of British Columbia submitted formal advice that an orientation programme for Aldermen would be held at the Harrison Hotel in Harrison Hot Springs between February 20th and 22, 1970.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That Council authorize the payment of the registration fee and normal expenses for any member of Council wishing to attend the orientation programme in question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

TABLED MATTERS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

(a) Report of Planning Department entitled "Mobile Home Study"

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report "Mobile Home Study" be referred to the Advisory Planning Commission for study and comment."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

When it was pointed out that Pitpar and the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce have an interest in the report "Mobile Home Study", the Council directed that these two organizations be asked for their comments on the report.

While dealing with the report of the Planning Department concerning the Mobile Home Study, it was suggested in Council that, irrespective of the decision made in connection with the report, Council should consider the possibility of invoking Section 458 (11) of the Municipal Act, which allows Council to impose a monthly occupancy fee on the occupants of Mobile Homes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Municipal Treasurer offer his views on the proposal concerning the use of Section 458 (11) of the Municipal Act at the time the subject of Mobile Homes is returned to Council for further consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (b) (i) Lanes in Subdivisions
- (ii) Block 101, D.L. 132, Plan 1493 (McLean)
- SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 129/68

Planning Director submitted a report, in response to a direction of Council on January 12th, in which he provided the following information concerning the subject of (ii) above:

- (i) The cost of constructing the lane proposed to be created by that subdivision is approximately \$8.00 per lineal foot.
- (ii) The value of the land required for lane purposes is approximately \$150.00 per front foot.
- (iii) At the rate of \$150.00 per foot for a width of five feet, the value would be --- \$750.00
 The cost of constructing 148 feet of the full width of the lane would be --- \$1,200.00
 Total \$1,950.00
- (iv) The value of ten feet would be -- \$1,500.00
 The construction of half of the lane width would be -- \$600.00
 Total \$2,100.00

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That, inasmuch as Alderman Ladner was the one who initiated the request for the above information, action on the matter involving the "McLean" lane be deferred until Alderman Ladner is present."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Alderman Herd enquired as to the arrangement which was being required by the Approving Officer in connection with the provision of a lane facility in the 2300 Block Sperling Avenue.

It was understood that the Approving Officer would provide Alderman Herd with an answer to this question.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the Approving Officer offer his opinion on the feasibility of the following proposal:

"That, where an owner is subdividing his property and is required by the Approving Officer to provide a lane both at the rear of the property and along one or more sides and is required to pay for the paving of such lanes, he should be exempted from paying for the cost of paving more than one of the lanes."

CARRIED

AGAINST--ALDERMAN MERCIER

(c) Proposed Abandonment of a portion of Sullivan Street

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That Item (5) of Report No. 3, 1970 of the Municipal Manager, which deals with the matter at hand, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The report of the Manager was virtually the same as that presented to Council on January 12, 1970.

The plan, which had not been attached to the report submitted on January 12th, was being presented at this time.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the question of abandoning the portion of Sullivan Street which is the subject of the Manager's Report be tabled until the Planning Director provides an overview, including a plan and a full report, indicating a general outline of the future road system for the area bounded by Burrard Inlet, North Road, Austin Road and Gagliardi Way so that Council can better appreciate the relationship between this future road system and the proposed abandonment of the portion of Sullivan Street in question."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE,
ALDERMEN CLARK AND BLAIR

- (d) Proposed rezoning of Lots 13 to 15 inclusive, S.D. 18, Blks. 1 and 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1880 AND Lot 18, S.D. 17, Blocks 1 and 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1414
- REFERENCE REZONING #34/69

(e)

Proposed rezoning of:

- (a) Lots 6, 7, 8 and 9, R.S.D. "A", S.D. 19 & 30, Blks. 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1264
 - (b) Lots 10 & 11, Block "A" D.L. 95, Plan 1264
 - (c) Lot 17, S.D. 17, Blocks 1 & 3, D.L. 95, Plan 1414
 - (d) Lots "A" and "D", R.S.D. 16 and 18, S.D. 18, Blks. 1 & 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 12331
 - (e) Lot "B", S.D. 16 & 18, Block 18, D.L. 95, Plan 12331
 - (f) Lot "C", S.D. 16 & 18, Block 18, D.L. 95, Plan 12331
-
- REFERENCE REZONING #54/69

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the prerequisites which were recommended in the reports submitted to Council last Monday by the Municipal Manager pertaining to the above rezoning proposals be established."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(f) Proposed purchase of two Automobiles

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the following tenders in the amounts indicated be accepted for the supply to the Corporation of:

- (i) One Marquis Brougham - George Black Motors Ltd. - \$2,381.63, including all taxes, licence and registration fees.
- (ii) One Dodge Coronet 440 - Brentwood Dodge Ltd. - \$1,376.20, including all taxes, licence and registration fees.

as more particularly described in the report Council received from the Manager on January 12, 1970."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(g) Report of Advisory Planning Commission on a Prospectus

Secretary, Advisory Planning Commission, submitted a report containing the following list of changes which the Commission felt should be made in the Prospectus which the Planning Department is preparing:

- (a) A more definitive title should be used for the document which would more closely identify its intended function.
- (b) Figures 2 and 3 should be consolidated into one map.
- (c) Travel distances from Burnaby, as presently shown on Figure 3, should be designated by circles graduated to show either distances or driving time.
- (d) A separate section on Parks should be included to give as much information as possible on the Parks and Recreation facilities in the municipality.
- (e) Only the population growth of Burnaby, as related to the entire Metropolitan area, should be shown.

- (f) The constitution of the Metropolitan area should be indicated.
- (g) Figure 6 should be deleted.
- (h) The land use and zoning section is too negative in its approach and should be re-written.
- (i) Figure 9 should be redrawn to differentiate between treatable and non-treatable peat areas.
- (j) Figure 10 should be redrawn to reflect a more accurate picture; also, the white areas on the map should be designated in the legend as Parks, Unused Space, etc.
- (k) Figure 12 should be deleted because street patterns are adequately demonstrated on other figures.
- (l) The transportation section should be amended by the addition of a description of airport facilities (Sea Island, Pitt Meadows, etc.), with their availability.
- (m) A section should be included listing major industries, department stores, and other major facilities along these lines.
- (n) Figure 14 should be amended to indicate that the rail link from the Second Narrows Bridge to Burnaby is a tunnel. Railways shown on this Figure should also be identified.
- (o) Garbage Disposal arrangements for householders should be shown.
- (p) The strength of the Fire Department should be indicated as representing full-time men to differentiate from a volunteer Fire Department.

The number of Fire Halls should be shown as five, rather than four, because the Central Headquarters Fire Hall is now under construction.

The underwriter's rating for the municipality should be shown, if available.

It might be useful to list types of equipment used by the Fire Department.
- (q) Figure 15 should show white areas on the maps in the legend as undeveloped.
- (r) It may be advisable to expand the section on hospital facilities to include such things as the Provincial Mental Health Services, the George Derby Veterans' Hospital, etc.
- (s) Information on such utilities as the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority, the B. C. Telephone Company, and water should be included.
- (t) The strength of the Police Department should be shown.
- (u) The name of the incumbent should be shown under the description of Municipal Government functions, against the Department concerned rather than being listed separately.

(v) The name of the Fire Chief, the Chief Librarian and the Secretary-Treasurer of the Burnaby School Board should be included under the heading "Municipal Agencies".

(w) Mill rate comparisons by years should be deleted.

Changes in assessment procedures has made such a comparison meaningless.

(x) Items in Table 6 (Assessments) should be grouped by function; i.e. industrial, residential, etc.

(y) Where available, 1969 development statistics should be included.

(z) Statistics for separate schools should be included in the figures for "Comparative Statement of Miscellaneous Statistics".

Various Municipal Boards should be checked to ascertain whether additional statistics are available which would be worthwhile in the Prospectus.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the report of the Advisory Planning Commission be received and be referred to the Special Committee of His Worship, Mayor Prittie and Alderman Mercier for consideration in conjunction with other material they receive relating to the Prospectus and the Promotional Brochure."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, returned, for reconsideration, the policy Council discussed on January 12, 1970 that all lanes which are paved in the future only receive the "strip" application of pavement and there be no aprons or "tie-ins" provided to any accesses to private properties abutting the lanes.

A report from the Municipal Engineer relating to the matter, particulars of which are as follows, was then read:

(a) The provision of "tie-ins" minimizes lane maintenance costs because an unpaved portion between a driveway or a garage floor creates a potential drainage problem. Moreover the exposure of the pavement edges to the action of wheels on a vehicle plus a continuous spewing of gravel onto the paved surface causes deterioration.

(b) Thirty-eight lanes have been paved with connections to paved driveways and carport floors. This has virtually established the standard of work to be provided and any deviation from that standard would likely cause a high mortality rate in the remaining Local Improvement Land Paving Projects. Any high mortality rate would leave the municipality with a great number of gravelled lanes to maintain. The consequence of this situation would be that the projected savings in lane maintenance would be virtually nullified. Also, dust control through oiling would need to be done again.

- (c) The rate structure established includes the joining of driveways and carport slabs with the lane paving. As a result of Council approving the Lane Paving Programme on November 3, 1969, many ratepayers have been advised of the standards. To not abide by the standards will cause a great number of complaints to be made, and this will require special staff to handle them.

It would be very difficult to give satisfactory information to every taxpayer as to why he has to pay as much for his lane paving and yet receive less benefit than those whose lanes were paved earlier.

- (d) The ratepayers would need to use their own initiative to have the "tie-ins" paved, if they so wish. The cost of such work is approximately three times that which would occur if the paving was included in the basic work in the first place.
- (e) A property with a paved driveway is not the same as one without it. The provision of an apron to a gravelled driveway would have little benefit by itself, although it would cost the Corporation a significant sum to construct and maintain it.
- (f) Although preference is shown the recommendation which was made to the Council on January 12, 1970, the next best thing would be the provision of aprons to the property line of all those who have driveways. The added cost would be offset somewhat by an expected 8% mortality rate in the forthcoming Lane Paving Programme.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That the following motion, which was to be reconsidered by Council on January 12, 1970, be withdrawn:

"That a policy be established whereby all lanes which are paved in the future only receive the "strip" application of pavement and there be no aprons or "tie-ins" provided to any accesses to private properties abutting the lanes."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN McLEAN,
MERCIER AND DRUMMOND

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That, when paving lanes, a paved connection be made with all existing driveways which are paved or concreted, providing such work has been constructed to the legal setback limits, and all other driveways which do not have such a finished surface be provided with a paved apron up to the rear property lines of the properties on which such driveways are built."

IN FAVOUR -- HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR
PRITTIE;
ALDERMEN CLARK, HERD,
AND DAILLY;

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN BLAIR, DRUMMOND,
MERCIER, AND
McLEAN:

MOTION NEGATIVED

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That all lanes receive the "strip" application of pavement and, prior to this work being done, the property owners abutting such lanes be canvassed to determine whether they wish a paved apron or "tie-in" and, if they do, they be charged for that work separately from whatever rate may apply for the lane paving itself."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN CLARK AND
HERD

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:10 P.M.

THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.M.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"WHEREAS the Chairman of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority has indicated a proposed 15 per cent increase in power rates, without providing any obvious justification for such a high increase;

AND WHEREAS the existing power rates are already the highest in Canada;

AND WHEREAS such a high increase would have far-reaching effects on the economy of the Province and our ability to attract secondary industry;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Burnaby Council go on record as opposing this 15 per cent increase and support a review of rates by the Public Utilities Commission."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was understood by Council that, in addition to conveying the foregoing resolution to the Public Utilities Commission, the Union of B. C. Municipalities would be forwarded the same advice for circulation throughout the Province.

* * *

R.E.P.O.R.T.S

TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE SUBMITTED reports on the matters listed below as Items (I) to (II) recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(I) Imperial Street and Patterson Avenue

It was being recommended that no action be taken on a request for four-way stop signs at Imperial Street and Patterson Avenue because:

- (a) traffic counts failed to produce the volumes of traffic required to meet the minimum warrants for a traffic signal.

- (b) Warrants for four-way stop signs, which require volumes approaching the minimum warrant for a signal plus a ratio on the streets involved of 60/40, were not met.
- (c) Peak hour delays to traffic on Patterson Avenue were not too significant, with most vehicles being able to make a crossing within 30 seconds.

(2) Lozells Avenue between Government Street and Conrad Street

A complaint was received concerning traffic conditions on the above portion of Lozells Avenue, with particular concern being expressed about the volume of large trucks, the speed of such vehicles and the noise created by them.

Until such time as the Winston Collector road system is built (this is expected to be around the end of 1971) Lozells Avenue is the only route available to the industries on that Avenue and on Conrad Street. It is therefore impossible to ban the use of these streets to trucks at the present time. The problem of speeding is one of enforcement and the R.C.M.P. has been made aware.

In checking the portions of Lozells Avenue which is used primarily by school children from the Kentwood Area, it was found that the street improvements consist of a standard 20 foot wide pavement with a gravel shoulder on the East side approximately four feet in width. No sidewalks are available and the children would normally walk on the gravel shoulder or the grass boulevard.

Parking seems to be almost non-existent as the adjacent land use is residential.

Because of the "tight money" situation, it is unlikely any immediate consideration can be given the question of building sidewalks in the near future.

As any parked vehicles would seriously affect the safety of pedestrians (particularly school children using the road shoulder), it was being recommended that a "No Parking - 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. (Monday to Friday)" sign be posted along the East side of Lozells Avenue from Government Road South to the Winston Collector alignment.

(3) Sussex Avenue and Bond Street

It was being recommended that a "Yield" sign be installed at the South approach on Sussex Avenue at Bond Street.

(4) Lane Parallel to Willingdon Avenue between Grange Street and Burke Street

It was being recommended that, as a portion of the above lane is thirty feet wide, 15 m.p.h. speed limit signs be posted on the lane.

(5) Hastings Street and Gamma Avenue

It was being recommended that Council approve the conversion of the traffic signal at the above location to a 24 hour pedestrian-operated signal.

(6) Lozells Avenue and Conrad Street

It was being recommended that the action of the Municipal Engineer to prohibit parking on both sides of Lozells Avenue and Conrad Street adjacent to industrial development there be ratified until such time as the streets are improved to a width which can safely accommodate both parking and moving vehicles.

(7) Buller Avenue between Rumble Street and Clinton Street

A request was received for sidewalks on the above portion of Buller Avenue.

This portion of Buller Avenue is classed as a minor residential street.

The road surface consists of a 20 foot strip of pavement, with eight-foot wide gravel shoulders. The boulevards are grass and meet the edge of the gravel shoulders because there are no ditches on the street.

The cross section of the Street is flat.

There is a passable gravel walkway on the East boulevard about 15 feet from the edge of the pavement.

In view of the foregoing and the fact traffic on the street is reasonably light in volume, it is not felt that a priority is warranted for the construction of sidewalks.

It was being suggested, however, that the Engineering Department investigate the possibility of upgrading the gravel walkway as a maintenance project.

(8) 8000 Block Bradley Avenue

It was being submitted that, in view of the light volumes of traffic and the nature of the area, there was no justification for the construction of sidewalks on the 8000 Block Bradley Avenue as a Local Improvement.

(9) 7793 Royal Oak Avenue

It was being recommended that no action be taken on a request for a one-hour parking restriction at the above location because:

(a) There is no warrant for such a restriction.

(b) The corner where 7793 Royal Oak Avenue is located has a paved parking lot that could accommodate three or four cars, and there is about 150 feet of available curb space on Clinton Street (which is adjacent to 7793 Royal Oak Avenue)

Jan/19/1970

(10) Truck Traffic on Canada Way and on Gilley Avenue

A letter was received from the Automotive Transport Association of B. C. containing the following suggestions concerning the proposed prohibition of truck traffic between 11:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. on the above streets:

- (a) Canada Way - This is a Provincial truck route, of major importance to the B. C. trucking industry, and the requirements of shippers and consignees necessitates night time operation.

Every attempt will be made by A.T.A. members to keep night time traffic and truck noise to a minimum.

With regard to other truck operators, particularly those from out of town, the A.T.A. was recommending increased enforcement of Provincial regulations for the control of excessive noise.

- (b) Gilley Avenue - There is little truck traffic at night (as generated by A.T.A. members).

A survey by the A.T.A. indicates much of the traffic noise arises from hotrods, "muscle" cars and motor bikes.

There would be no point in banning properly equipped and muffled trucks from Gilley Avenue if other noisy road users were allowed to dissipate the benefits arising from such a plan.

No action was taken on the submission from the A.T.A. but consideration of it was being deferred until reports are received from the Engineering and Planning Departments on the feasibility of Stride Avenue being developed as an alternate to Gilley Avenue.

(11) Clover Valley Road

A report was received from the Planning Department indicating the progress to date on the creation of the Clover Valley Road in Surrey as a truck route between Highway 401 and the International Boundary.

In that regard, it was indicated that a meeting had been held at the Municipal Hall in Surrey, attended by Mr. H. Vogel, M.L.A. for Langley, representatives of Langley City and Municipality, Burnaby and Surrey, at which the use of Clover Valley Road as a truck route was endorsed.

It was being recommended that Council reiterate its strong recommendation to the Minister of Highways that the construction of the Clover Valley Road in Surrey be commenced at the earliest possible opportunity.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That all of the recommendations in the Report of the Traffic Safety Committee be adopted, and the views expressed on those items without recommendations be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 3, 1970 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (9), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Central Headquarters Fire Hall

Thirteen bids were received for the construction of the proposed Central Headquarters Fire Hall.

A tabulation of these bids was being submitted.

In a report dated December 5, 1969, the Council was informed by the Fire Hall Committee that the estimated cost of the new building would be \$335,000.00 after changes were made in the design and specifications.

In view of the favourable tender received, the Committee again reviewed these changes and has decided to recommend that:

- (a) The tender of Royal City Construction Ltd. in the sum of \$326,557.00 be accepted.
- (b) Certain items amounting to \$5,718.00, which were previously deleted, be re-instated, so that the total cost of the building will be \$332,275.00.

The total cost of the project is \$387,515.00.

The sum of \$291,398.00 was provided in the 1969 and 1970 Capital Improvement Programme.

It was being recommended that these provisions be adjusted to reflect a total estimated cost of \$388,000.00.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Portion of Clydesdale Street

It was being recommended that the portion of Clydesdale Street shown in red on an attached sketch be abandoned and consolidated with Lot "E", D.L. 69, Plan 23177, on the understanding that the owner of the said Lot "E" will be responsible for the legal and survey costs connected therewith.

The foregoing situation was part of a land exchange arrangement authorized by Council in November, 1967.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/19/1970

(3) Easement - Remainder of Lot 285, D.L. 87, Plan 35983
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #287/68

It was being recommended that Council authorize the:

- (a) acquisition of an easement for sewerage and drainage purposes, which is required in order to finalize a subdivision, over a portion of the above described property for a nil consideration.
- (b) execution of the documents attending the matter.

(4) Easements - Lots 54, 56 and 57, D.L. 149,
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 274/68

It was being recommended that Council authorize the:

- (a) acquisition of an easement for sewer purposes, which is required in order to finalize a subdivision, over a portion of the above described properties for a nil consideration.
- (b) execution of the documents required in connection with the matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendations of the Manager covering the above two items be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Portion of Sullivan Street

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting.)

(6) Garden Sprays

All commercial sprayers must be certificated by the Provincial Government.

Mr. E. M. Zalinko, who was the subject of a complaint last December from Mr. J. A. Porterfield, holds a valid Provincial Certificate, and therefore the municipality has no basis for refusing to issue a licence to Mr. Zalinko.

The Council was concerned that a person can apparently obtain Provincial Certification for using commercial weed killers and yet the municipality in which such service is performed is unable to effect any control over problems that can develop by the misuse of commercial sprays.

The holding of a Provincial Certificate is a prerequisite to a Municipal Licence.

The Council has the right, under the Municipal Act, to either refuse or revoke a Municipal licence, even though the applicant may hold a Provincial Certificate.

Sections 455 and 458(3) of the Municipal Act give Council ample power to act and deal with an applicant or a licensee if Council has a sound basis on which to take such action.

The granting of a licence by a municipality is not a guarantee of quality of workmanship. This is a matter of contract between the licensee and the parties doing business with him. In the case of third parties, they have the protection of civil law.

Quality of workmanship and careless operations affecting third parties could be grounds on which the Council could consider refusing or revoking a licence.

The Council also has the right to prescribe conditions for the issuance of a licence. For example, the Council might wish to consider the production of evidence of third party insurance for garden sprayers to protect third parties. The same could be said for builders and other occupations, and it is difficult to see how just one could be reasonably selected for such treatment.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That Mr. E. M. Zalinko be sent a letter drawing attention to the situation outlined in the report of the Municipal Manager, with it being understood that Mr. Porterfield will also be sent the same advice."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the Municipal Manager return the question of commercial spraying of weeds to Council this spring so that consideration can be given the matter of requiring persons doing the spraying to provide Third-party Liability Insurance, or something like that, for the purpose of giving them an opportunity for redress in the event someone other than the person engaging the spraying service suffers damages to his property."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Seton Academy

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting.)

(8) Kingsway-Olive-Beresford-Patterson Area
REZONING REFERENCE #30/69

The Planning Department has reported as follows in connection with the proposed land use situation in the above area:

- (a) The area has been recommended in the Apartment Study as a first priority "high-rise" apartment development area.
- (b) Council has deemed it desirable that a Community Plan be established for the area to ensure the desired high density development pattern.

- (c) A Community Plan was prepared and considered by Council on April 28, 1969. The Council subsequently forwarded the proposal to a Public Hearing on May 26, 1969, and a number of sites within this plan were advanced for RM5 rezoning.
- (d) The Council, on June 9, 1969, gave two readings to an amendment to the Zoning By-law covering the RM5 rezonings and, at the same time, stipulated that the By-law not be advanced until the apartment location policy had been reviewed.
- (e) Since June, further interest has been demonstrated in the development of the area. For example, the proposal for a Comprehensive scheme in the Kingsway-Barker-Grange area has been considered, and this rezoning proposal has been advanced to the point where the amendment to the Zoning By-law received two readings on December 1, 1969.
- (f) The Community Plan has now been reviewed and a number of amendments have been considered.
- (g) The original draft of the Community Plan was amended to allow for a rezoning to permit the extension of the Standard Oil Service Station at the South-West corner of Kingsway and Olive Avenue. That amendment received final approval by Council on October 6, 1969. The area of Site 2 (which includes the aforementioned South-West corner of Kingsway and Olive Avenue) was subsequently reduced.
- (h) At the Public Hearing on May 26, 1969, the owner of the properties covered by Site 5, as shown on an attached sketch, expressed a wish to have the RM3 designation that was proposed for their properties changed to RM5. This request is considered acceptable and has been incorporated in the plan.
- (i) A Preliminary Plan of Approval application was received for a proposed drive-in restaurant at the South-West corner of Kingsway and Barker Avenue. On July 7, 1969, this proposal was approved by Council. The area of Site 1 (which includes the Kingsway - Barker corner mentioned) was consequently reduced.
- (j) A further amendment to the plan is a slight increase in the density for the various sites. This is the result of amendments to certain regulations in the RM5 category, such as an increased site bonus.

It was being recommended that the amendment to the Zoning By-law covering the foregoing be returned to Council for third reading, and that it cover the following sites:

- Site 1 - Lots 2 to 6 inclusive and Lots 21 to 25 inclusive, Block 7, D.L. 151
- Site 2 - Lots 27 to 32 inclusive, Block 7, D.L. 151
- Site 3 - Lots 13 to 16 inclusive, Block 8, D.L. 151, Plan 2155
- Site 4 - Lot 12, S½ Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 7, D.L. 151, and North 54 feet Lot "C" and South 45 feet Lot "C", Sketch 11945, Block 7, D.L. 151,
- Site 5 - S½ Lot 9 and Lots 10 to 11, Block 7, D.L. 151

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the owners of the property in the area embraced by the above report of the Planning Department be notified of the foregoing decision of Council and be sent the report and accompanying sketch pertaining to the matter, with it being drawn to their attention that the site designations shown are not to be regarded as final and could, if deemed justified, be slightly altered, an example being that it may be more desirable to combine Site 5 with Site 4 rather than allow them to develop separately."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the meeting extend beyond the hour of 10:00 p.m."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN DRUMMOND
AND CLARK

(9) Miscellaneous Rezoning Applications

The Planning Department has reported as follows on the rezoning applications indicated below:

(1) Reference RZ #57/69

(a) Part of Lot "B", Block 17, D.L. 79, Plan 3574

(Located at Sperling Avenue and Gilpin Street - the site of the Central Headquarters Fire Hall)

FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO ADMINISTRATION AND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT (P2)

(b) Part of Lot "G", Ref. Plan 6025, Block 17, D.L.'s 79 and 85, Plan 536

(Adjoins the Central Headquarters Fire Hall site and includes Deer Lake Creek)

FROM SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO PARK AND PUBLIC USE DISTRICT (P3)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to the categories indicated above be approved for further consideration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted
and these rezoning proposals be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Reference RZ #82/69

Lot 4, S.D. 7, Block 7, D.L. 96, Plan 1194

(Located on the East side of Sperling Avenue between
Kingsway and Arcola Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone
the above described property to Service Commercial District (C4)
not be approved because commercial use of the lot, which would not
be oriented towards Kingsway, would be an encroachment upon the
residential area along Sperling Avenue, and that Council consider
in principle the proposed extension of the RM3 zone.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That this application not be favourably considered because
it is felt that commercial use of the lot, which would not
be oriented towards Kingsway, would be an encroachment upon the
residential area along Sperling Avenue."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN HERD, McLEAN,
AND DRUMMOND

(3) Reference RZ #83/69

Lots 10 and 11, Block 12, D.L. 122, Plan 1308

(Located on the South side of Hastings Street at the intersection
of Pender Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to
rezone the above described properties to Service Commercial District
(C4) be approved for further consideration.

The Department also indicated that, should the site ever be redeveloped,
attention will be given the matters of design, landscaping and
screening on the sides facing the residential development.

It was added by the Planning Department that the site does not have an
adequate area to accommodate a service station.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and
this rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

(4) Reference RZ #85/69

Lot 4, Block 8, D.L. 121, Plan 1054

(Located on the South side of Albert Street in a block bounded by Hastings Street, Gilmore Avenue, Albert Street and Carlton Avenue.)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Parking District (P8) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The submission of proof that a suitable agreement has been reached with the adjoining property owner regarding access to the lot in order that the requirements of the Zoning By-law can be met.
- (b) An undertaking be provided that all existing structures on the property will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (c) A suitable plan of development for the site be submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and this rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Reference RZ #88/69

Lot 36, D.L. 120, Plan 25111

(Located on the North-West corner of Willingdon Avenue and Halifax Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Comprehensive Development District (CD) be approved in principle and that Council authorize the Department to work with the applicant in the preparation of suitable plans of development reflecting the broad conceptual objectives outlined in the report.

The Department also mentioned that, as discussions and drawings progress, a detailed report on this proposal will be submitted to Council together with the conditions which should be attached to the rezoning of the site under the Comprehensive Development section of the By-law.

The Planning Department submitted a further report indicating that the architectural drawings relating to the project covered by the above report are more advanced than had been earlier anticipated.

The Department requested that, because of this situation, the proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing at this time.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the rezoning of Lot 36, D.L. 120, Plan 25111 to Comprehensive Development District (CD) be approved for further consideration and this proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Reference RZ #86/69

Lot "A" S $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 28, D.L. 98, Plan 9676

(Located on the North-East corner of Royal Oak Avenue and Irmin Street.)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Special Industrial District (M4) not be approved because the industrial zoning of the property would be incompatible with the surrounding residential development.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

(7) Reference RZ #87/69

Portion of Lot 132, D.L. 136, Plan 34438

(Located on the South-West corner of Phillips Avenue and Halifax Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Comprehensive Development District (CD) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, a suitable plan of development be submitted for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and this rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Reference RZ #81/69

(a) Lots 1, 2 and 3, Blocks 51 and 52, D.L. 30, Plan 4098

(b) (i) Lot 2 exc. Pcl. "A", Ref. Plan 7090,

(ii) Lot 2 pt., Sk. 7090,

(iii) Lot 3 exc. Pcl. "A", Expl. Pl. 8646

(iv) Lot 3, Pcl. "A", Expl. Pl. 8646

all of Block 53, D.L. 30, Plan 3036

(c) Lot 6, Sk. pts. 3021 and 3410, Block 53, D.L. 30, Plan 3036

(d) Lot 7, Block 53, D.L. 30, Plan 3036

(Located within the block bounded by Britton Street, Kingsway and Hubert Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the ten lots and the re-subdivision of them into parcels of suitable size.
- (b) The dedication of the North-Easterly 16.5 feet of the Lot 3 except Parcel "A", Expl. Plan 8646 and the Lot 2 except Parcel "A", Ref. Plan 7090 described in caption.
- (c) The deposit of a sum sufficient to cover the cost of providing a storm sewer extension to the properties.
- (d) An undertaking be provided that all existing structures on the properties will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (e) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the parcels that reflects the ultimate closure of the Northerly portion of Hubert Street.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and this rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN CLARK

(9) Reference RZ #84/69

Lots 75 to 77 inclusive, D.L. 4, Plan 35319

(Located between Cameron Street and Lougheed Highway, West of the Cameron School)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Comprehensive Development District (CD) be approved in principle and that Council authorize the Department to work with the applicant in the preparation of suitable plans of development reflecting the broad conceptual objectives outlined in the report.

The Department also mentioned that, as discussions and drawings progress, a detailed report on this proposal will be submitted to Council together with the conditions which should be attached to the rezoning of the site under the Comprehensive Development section of the By-law.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted; and further, the Planning Department submit a report on the anticipated traffic situation in the area covered by the report, as it relates to the project in question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Reference RZ #89/69

North 36.83 feet, Lot 32, Block 24, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2001

(Located on the West side of Lily Avenue approximately 66 feet South of the intersection with Nelson Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Community Commercial District (C2) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The submission of a suitable plan creating the sites shown on the attached sketch.
- (b) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.
- (c) An undertaking be provided that all existing structures on the property will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and this rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the following schedule for dealing with rezoning applications this year be established:

- (a) Applications which are received up to March 1, 1970 -
The reports of the Planning Department on these applications are to be submitted to the March 16, 1970 Council meeting.
- (b) Applications which are received up to May 1, 1970 -
The reports on these applications are to be submitted to the May 18, 1970 Council meeting.
- (c) Applications which are received up to July 1, 1970 -
The reports on these applications are to be submitted to the July 20, 1970 Council meeting.
- (d) Applications which are received up to September 1, 1970 -
The reports on these applications are to be submitted to the September 14, 1970 Council meeting.
- (e) Applications which are received up to November 1, 1970 -
The reports on these applications are to be submitted to the November 16, 1970 Council meeting. "

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That a Public Hearing be held in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Hall on Wednesday, February 11, 1970 commencing at 7:30 p.m. to receive representations in connection with those rezoning proposals which were approved for further consideration this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

BY - LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 3, 1970" #5643 and that it now be read a First Time."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND CLARK

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND CLARK

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-law."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND CLARK

This By-law provides for the following proposed rezoning:

Reference RZ #47/69

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lots 1 and 2, Block 49, D.L. 30, Plan 4497

(7480 - 7490 Kingsway -- Located at the North corner of Sixteenth Avenue and Kingsway)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Committee do now rise and report progress on the By-law."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND CLARK

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND CLARK

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That leave be given to introduce:
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1970" #5642
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1970" #5641
and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"that the By-laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to
consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1970 provides
for the following proposed rezoning:

Reference RZ #44/68

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lot 16, Block 3, D.L. 68, Plan 980

(3845 Linwood Street -- Located on the North side of Linwood
Street from a point 160 feet East of Smith Avenue Eastward
a distance of 40 feet)

BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1970 provides for an amendment to the Comprehensive Development plan which formed an integral part of BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967 to change 56 three-bedroom suites in Phase I to two-bedroom units on the following properties:

Lots 131 and 132, D.L.'s 136 and 137, Plan 34438

(Located in the area bounded on the North by Halifax Street on the East by the Municipal Golf Course, Montecito Drive on the South, and on the West by the Swedish Canadian Rest Home, the Easterly properties of the 7200 Block Sutliff Street and the proposed park and school site for the area)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That:
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1970"
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1970"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That:
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1969"#5638
"BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1969" #5624
"BURNABY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION BY-LAW 1969" #5625
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That:
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1969"
"BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1969"
"BURNABY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION BY-LAW 1969"
be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, requested that Council authorize the payment to him of his expenses, including the per diem rate, while attending the opening of the British Columbia legislature this month.

**See end of January 26, 1970 Minutes for additional resolution

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the request of His Worship, Mayor Prittie, be granted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

As a result of a direction by Council, Alderman McLean was asked to present a formal motion requesting a review of the C4 category in the Zoning By-law.

*

It was drawn to the attention of Council that the Curling Coach of the Burnaby South Senior Secondary School had written to request a grant in the amount of \$25.00 for the purpose of allowing the Burnaby South Boys Curling Team to purchase complimentary pins to be exchanged with those competing in the B. C. Boys Curling Championship at Trail, B. C. on January 24, 1970.

It was also mentioned that, if the Curling Team wins, they may approach the municipality again for an additional financial contribution when advancing to the next Curling competition.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That a grant in the amount of \$25.00 be made to the Burnaby South Boys Curling Team for the purpose indicated in its submission."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was drawn to the attention of Council that the President of the Burnaby Junior Chamber of Commerce had written to request a grant in the amount of \$182.20 to cover the expected expenses of Miss Burnaby in travelling to, and participating in, the Vernon Winter Carnival on February 6th to 8, 1970 inclusive.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the request of the Burnaby Junior Chamber of Commerce be granted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN MERCIER drew attention to an action of the Fraser Valley Mosquito Control Board whereby it authorized its Chairman to make two trips, one of which is to a conference on Mosquito Control in Hawaii.

He suggested that the expense of the Mosquito Control Board in sending a delegate to Hawaii was not justified because any benefits which would accrue would only affect a limited area of the Province.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That Council express its opposition to the sponsorship of any delegate from the Fraser Valley Mosquito Control Board attending the Conference in Hawaii to which reference is made above."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 30 -

Jan/19/1970

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole
"In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY