

JANUARY 12, 1970

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, January 12, 1970 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor R. W. Prittie in the Chair;  
Aldermen Clark, Dailly, Drummond,  
Herd, Ladner, Mercier (7:07 p.m.)  
and McLean;

ABSENT: Alderman-Elect Blair

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, issued the following proclamation:

"WHEREAS there are many boys with problems because their fathers are missing due to death, divorce or desertion, and

WHEREAS greater efforts are needed for the prevention of juvenile delinquency, and

WHEREAS there is great need to give boys support, influence and guidance to help them achieve wholesome maturity, and

WHEREAS Big Brother work is dedicated to this aim

NOW THEREFORE I, Robert W. Prittie, Mayor of the Municipality of Burnaby DO HEREBY PROCLAIM January 11th - 17th, 1970 as

'BIG BROTHER WEEK'

and furthermore, call upon the citizens of Burnaby to support the Big Brother Movement."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:  
"That the Minutes of the meetings held on December 8th, 15th and 22, 1969 plus January 5, 1970 be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:  
"That Alderman Blair be granted leave of absence from this meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN MERCIER ARRIVED AT THE MEETING.

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

Executive Director, Canada Safety Council, submitted a letter advising that Burnaby was among the 46 Canadian communities with populations of 40,000 or more which completed Safe Driving Week 1969 without a fatal traffic accident.

He added that a Certificate of Commendation would be presented to the municipality in the near future by the Provincial Safety Council to formally recognize the matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That a copy of the letter from the Canada Safety Council be forwarded to the Traffic Safety Committee for its information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. and Mrs. W. H. L. Rogers wrote to express appreciation for the consideration being given a problem relating to their desire to develop their property at 6596 Marlborough Avenue for apartment purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the letter from Mr. and Mrs. Rogers be brought forward at the time the Planning and Legal Departments submit their reports on the matter concerning the Rogers."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Bruce Young wrote to:

- (a) register a complaint concerning air being polluted by the alleged emissions of smoke by Kapoor Sawmills.
- (b) offer a number of suggestions as to how the subject of his complaint could be resolved.

*Municipal Manager stated that the Kapoor Sawmills have not been in operation for some nine or ten years. He added that there is a shingle mill operation to the East of the old Kapoor mill site which does not contravene air pollution regulations, and has not done so for the past four or more years, due to the fact the mill has spent a considerable sum on installing air pollution control equipment.*

*He concluded by indicating that the Chief Public Health Inspector would be submitting a full report on the subject of the complaint by Mr. Young.*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That action on the subject of the letter from Mr. Young be deferred pending receipt of the full report from the Chief Public Health Inspector on the matter, which is expected to be submitted to the January 19th Council meeting, and the complaint from Mr. Young be referred to the Air Pollution Control Committee for attention."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*Alderman Ladner stated that the Air Pollution Control Committee had a fruitful meeting with representatives from the oil companies with refineries in Burnaby and that a full report on the matter would be submitted after additional information is received by the Committee.*

Pacific Diesel Brake Ltd. submitted a letter defending the use of exhaust retarder brakes, commonly called Jacobs Engine Brakes, and suggesting that this device, when its noise emissions are properly muffled, does not create an excessive amount of noise, as has been alleged.

Secretary, Automotive Transport Association of B. C., wrote to offer the views of the Association in connection with the subject of the letter from Pacific Diesel Brake Ltd.

The Association also offered its services to the Special Committee which has been appointed by Council to deal with the question of preparing regulations designed to control noise emissions.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the submissions from Pacific Diesel Brake Ltd. and the Automotive Transport Association of B. C. be referred to the Special Committee dealing with the subject of noise control."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Director of Operations, Department of Commercial Transport, submitted a letter indicating that his Department was not prepared to reconsider its restriction on the "through" movement of mobile homes on Kingsway.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:  
"That the letter from the Department of Commercial Transport be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for its information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

General Manager, Retail Merchants Association of Canada (B. C. Division), submitted a circular letter offering the opinion of the Association on a proposal that the Greater Vancouver Regional District exercise, on a regional basis, the shops regulation powers contained in the Municipal Act.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That Council reaffirm its December 8, 1969 decision to not support the proposal that the Regional District obtain the type of authority mentioned because the question of regulating shops closing hours and related matters is deemed to be something of concern to each municipality itself and therefore is a matter that can best be handled by a Council of a municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Assessment Commissioner submitted a circular letter enclosing a schedule showing the time, date and place of the first sitting of the 1970 Court of Revision in Burnaby and other municipalities in the Lower Mainland Area.

Jan/12/1970

Secretary-Treasurer, Burnaby School Board, wrote to advise that the Board has:

- (a) approved in principle a proposed series of public meetings, to be offered by the Adult Education Department, on the subject of distributing information to parents in Burnaby concerning "soft" and "hard" drugs.
- (b) requested that the Council appoint one of its members to a Special Committee which is felt should be established to consider the proposal.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That Alderman Herd be appointed to the Special Committee mentioned in the letter from the Burnaby School Board and he suggest to the Board that a school student plus a member of the Family Division Committee be appointed to the Special Committee in question as well as the others mentioned in the letter from the Secretary-Treasurer of the Board."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Assistant Deputy Minister of Municipal Affairs submitted a letter with which he enclosed a copy of the Supplementary Letters Patent and supporting data relating to the redefinition of the boundaries of Burnaby.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That Council express its opposition to the redefinition of the boundary in the vicinity of Holmes Street and North Road between New Westminster and Burnaby on the alignment described in the Supplementary Letters Patent and on the accompanying plan and urge that the decision which has been rendered by the Provincial Government be reconsidered with a view to establishing the boundary either along Holmes Street from 10th Avenue Eastward to North Road (Columbia Street) or some other alternate that is more acceptable than the one which has been established because this selected alignment is regarded as being too irregular in that it does not entirely follow an established street system; rather, it travels along a lane and wends its way, in part, along the edges of a number of properties; and further, the three Members of the Legislative Assembly representing Burnaby be informed of the foregoing decision."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR FRITTE, AND ALDERMAN DAILLY

President, Canadian Expo '70 Girls' Bicycle Caravan, submitted a letter requesting that her organization be given permission to hold Tag Days on the weekends of January 16th and 17th and January 23-24, 1970 on various streets in the municipality.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Canadian Expo '70 Girls' Bicycle Caravan be granted permission to hold its campaign on the weekend of January 23-24, 1970."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN MERCIER

TABLED ITEM

The following matter was then lifted from the table:

1970 Provisional Budget

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the 1970 Provisional Budget be approved on the basis that this action only authorizes expenditures for normal maintenance and that all extraordinary expenses will be submitted to Council for express approval, with it being made clear that this decision relating to the Provisional Budget is not to be construed as meaning that all the items shown in the Budget will necessarily be entertained when consideration is given the Annual Budget this April."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*His Worship, Mayor Prittie, informed Council that he would endeavor to arrange a series of meetings to consider the Annual Budget and that advice in that regard would be conveyed to Council well in advance of these meetings.*

*He also indicated that Department Heads would be invited to attend the portion of the meetings when their Budget is to be considered.*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\*

R E P O R T S

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, submitted a report recommending that the following Committees, which were created in 1969, be reconstituted for 1970 with the same Chairmen and membership, and that they remain in existence until discharged by a motion of Council:

- (a) Special Committee to investigate, with two members of the Board of Variance, the matter of allowing some permissiveness in the Zoning By-law respecting the question of mixed residential/industrial uses on industrially - zones properties.--  
Alderman Ladner
- (b) Special Committee to consider ways and means of enlisting names of resident-electors and tenant-electors for the List of Electors.--  
Alderman Clark
- (c) Special Committee to deal with the question of preparing regulations designed to control noise emissions. -- Alderman Drummond as Chairman, and Aldermen McLean and Dailly
- (d) Special Committee to discuss the ramifications of the general transportation system which will result from the construction of a new bridge crossing of the North Arm of the Fraser River at Knight Road. --- Alderman Ladner as Chairman, and Aldermen Blair, and Herd.

- (e) Central Headquarters Fire Hall Committee -- Alderman Clark, as Chairman, and Aldermen Herd and Dailly
- (f) Exempt Staff Committee -- Mayor Prittie, as Chairman, and Aldermen Clark, Herd and McLean.
- (g) Special Committee to examine and report on the question of procedures to be followed by Council in conducting its business. -- His Worship, Mayor Prittie, as Chairman, and Aldermen Drummond and Ladner
- (h) Special Committee to deal with the question of establishing the position of Development and Communication Officer. -- His Worship, Mayor Prittie, and Alderman Mercier
- (i) Special Committee to receive grievances concerning rental matters.-- Alderman Blair, as Chairman, and Aldermen Dailly and Mercier.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, mentioned that Alderman Blair should have been shown with Alderman Ladner as the Special Committee mentioned under (a) above.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the report of His Worship, Mayor Prittie, be amended by including Alderman Blair as a member of the Special Committee referred to under (a) in His Worship's report, and the recommendations of the Mayor be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MUNICIPAL CLERK submitted his Certificate of Sufficiency covering the 1969 Local Improvement Ornamental Street Lighting Programme, as follows:

Section 589(1) of the Municipal Act provides, in part, that:

"589(1) -- -- unless within one month after the publication of the (required) notice a majority of the owners representing at least one-half of the value of the parcels which are liable to be specially charged, petition the Council not to proceed with it, the work may be undertaken as a Local Improvement."

Petitions have been received against Local Improvement Projects numbered 13, 14, and 15, and are certified as sufficient, as these petitions indicate a majority of the owners who represent at least one-half of the value of the parcels liable to be specially charged.

With reference to Local Improvement Project No. 4, it is noted that two signatures on the petition submitted were not acceptable because only one owner had signed the petition whereas the properties concerned are in "joint" ownership. If both owners of each individual property had signed the petition, it would have been certified as sufficient and the proposed works could not have been proceeded with.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that sufficient petitions have not been received covering Local Improvement Project Nos. 1 to 12 inclusive and the proposed works can be proceeded with as originally planned.

(SIGNED)  
John H. Shaw,  
MUNICIPAL CLERK.

DATED: January 6, 1970

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

JANUARY 6, 1970

HIS WORSHIP, THE MAYOR, AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL:

In accordance with Section 589 of the Municipal Act, I beg to report that I have published in a newspaper circulating in the Municipality and also served on the owners of the properties affected, Notice of Intention, to construct, as a Local Improvement, ornamental street lighting on the streets indicated below.

A R E A	NO. OF OWNERS	51% Req'd to defeat Project	No. of Objections	Total Assessed Value of Land	50% Required to defeat Project	Total Petitioners Assessment
1. SAMARA COURT East from Abbey Avenue to and including cul-de-sac.	7	4	Nil	\$ 91,935.00	\$ 45,962.50	0
2. WESTLAKE STREET East from Eastern boundary Lot 5, D.L. 58, Plan 4338, to Lakedale Avenue; LEALAND COURT, WAKELY COURT, FAIRLAND COURT, North Westlake Street to and including cul-de-sacs. LAKEDALE AVENUE North from Lakeland Drive to and including cul-de-sac. LAKELAND DRIVE East from Lakedale Avenue to Dalebright Drive. MANSON DRIVE South from Lakeland Drive to cul-de-sac and thence East to Dalebright Drive; MANSON COURT East from Manson Drive to and including cul-de-sac; DALEBRIGHT DRIVE West from Manson Drive to and including cul-de-sac. DALEBRIGHT DRIVE North from Government Street to Southern boundary Lot 4, D.L. 58, Plan 11757.	25	13	Nil	\$771,445.00	\$385,445.00	0

1.5

A R E A	No. of Owners	51% Req'd to defeat Project
3. GREENWOOD PLACE North from Greenwood Street to Lawrence Drive; LAWRENCE DRIVE East from Eastern boundary Lot 2, D.L. 59, Plan 9444; GREENWOOD STREET East from Loughheed Highway to and including cul-de-sac.	6	4
4. RIDLEY DRIVE West from Duthie Avenue to Southern boundary Lots 221 and 222, D.L. 135, Plan 32215.	15	8
5. DELLAWN DRIVE off Springer Avenue from Western boundary Lot 183, D.L. 126, Plan 31611 to Eastern boundary Lot 184, D.L. 126, Plan 31611; CRESTLAWN DRIVE North from Dellawn Drive to Northern boundary Lots 177 and 190, D.L. 126, Plan 31611.	14	8
6. SPRINGDALE COURT East from Springer Avenue to and including cul-de-sac; BERIDALE COURT South from Springdale Court to and including cul-de-sac. MEADEDAL DRIVE from Springdale Court to Parker Street. CEDARDALE COURT AND BROOKDALE COURT West from Meadedale Drive to and including cul-de-sac; HOWARD AVENUE from Southern boundary Lot 336, D.L. 126, Plan 35409;	101	51



No. of Objections	Total Assessed Value of Land	50% Required to defeat Project	Total Petitioners Assessment
Nil	\$172,000.00	\$ 86,000.00	0
7	\$118,405.00	\$ 59,202.50	\$55,225.00
Nil	\$ 97,135.00	\$ 48,567.50	0
Nil	\$958,700.00	\$479,350.00	0

A R E A	No. of Owners	51% Req'd to defeat Project
HEATHDALE COURT AND FRANDALE COURT East from Howard Avenue to and including cul-de-sacs.		
7. BURNFIELD CRESCENT East from Sixth Street to Eastern boundary Lots 155 and 156, D.L. 87, Plan 31693.	21	11
8. EMPRESS AVENUE North from Imperial Street to Northern boundary Lot 4, D.L. 92, Plan 16936.	17	9
9. SERVICE STREET East from Waltham Avenue to Eastern boundary Lots 133 and 92, D.L. 93, Plan 29521.	28	15
10. GATENBY AVENUE North from Gilpin Street to cul-de-sac, thence East on Ivar Place to Western boundary Lot 2, D.L. 83, Plan 20063. EGLINTON STREET East from Royal Oak Avenue to Eastern boundary Lot 92, D.L. 83, Plan 31256. MONARCH STREET from Eastern boundary Lot C, D.L. 83, Plan 23938, to Eastern boundary Lots 88 and 89, D.L. 83, Plan 31256; MORELAND DRIVE from Eastern boundary Lots 101 and 116, D.L. 83, Plan 32522. GATENBY AVENUE North from Eglinton Street to and including cul-de-sac.	90	46

Jan/12/1970

No. of Objections	Total Assessed Value of Land	50% Required to defeat Project	Total Petitioners Assessment
1	\$131,545.00	\$ 65,772.50	\$ 6,200.00
Nil	\$118,545.00	\$ 59,272.50	0
Nil	\$194,930.00	\$ 97,465.00	0
7	\$651,670.00	\$325,835.00	\$ 43,660.00

21

A R E A	No. of Owners	51% Req'd to defeat Project	No. of Objections	Total Assessed Value of Land	50% Required to defeat Project	Total Petitioners Assessment
11. CHAPPLE CRESCENT South from Gilpin Street to Cedarwood Street to Eastern extremity Lots 113 and 128, D.L. 83, Plan 33464.	5	3	Nil	\$121,880.00	\$ 60,940.00	0
12. FOREST STREET North from Spruce Street to Western boundary Lots 126 and 145, D.L. 80, Plan 34307.	9	5	1	\$ 80,265.00	\$ 40,265.50	\$5,265.00
13. BANTING PLACE East from Boundary Road to and including cul-de-sac.	9	5	8	\$ 47,245.00	\$ 23,622.50	\$ 42,290.00
14. CHRISTOPHER COURT South from Burke Street to and including cul-de-sac, and West to Eastern boundary Lot C, D.L. 35, Plan 6952.	15	8	8	\$ 89,405.00	\$ 44,702.50	\$ 46,330.00
15. DUNCAN AVENUE between Union Street and Hastings Street.	13	7	10	\$104,086.00	\$ 52,043.00	\$ 56,040.00

18

Section 589(1) of the Municipal Act provides that where a majority of the owners representing at least one-half of the assessed value of the land liable to be specially charged petition the Council not to proceed, the work shall not be undertaken. As a majority of the owners under Project Numbers 13, 14, and 15 have signified their objection, Council is therefore stopped from proceeding with these works.

Respectfully submitted,

*John H. Shaw*  
John H. Shaw,  
MUNICIPAL CLERK.

BL/EW/mb

November 17, 1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That appropriate By-laws be prepared for Project Nos. 1 to 3 inclusive and 5 to 12 inclusive, as shown in the Clerk's Certificate of Sufficiency, and additional information be supplied indicating whether the joint tenants who did not sign the petition opposing the work listed under Project No. 4 were made aware that each of the joint tenants involved had to signify their objection."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION submitted a report on the following matters:

(a) Prospectus on Burnaby

The Prospectus on Burnaby was reviewed in detail by the Commission on January 8, 1970 and, as a result, the acceptance of the Prospectus in principle was being recommended.

The Commission considered that certain changes to the original draft of the Prospectus were required to improve its ultimate impact on users.

A detailed list of these changes were forwarded to the Planning Department for possible inclusion in the final draft of the Prospectus.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the report of the Commission be tabled for one week in order to allow the Secretary of the Advisory Planning Commission the opportunity of producing the list of changes which have been forwarded to the Planning Department, as mentioned in the report now at hand."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(b) James H. Edwards

It was being recommended that a letter of appreciation be sent to Commissioner J. H. Edwards, who will retire from the Advisory Planning Commission on January 31, 1970, for the service he has rendered as a member, and as Chairman during the past year, of the Commission.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the recommendation of the Commission be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

BURNABY FAMILY DIVISION COMMITTEE submitted a report outlining its 1969 activities and recommending the following specific proposals for consideration in 1970:

- (a) That every possible assistance be extended to the Big Brothers Association in its activities and future expansion of services in the municipality.
- (b) That the municipality negotiate, with the Corrections Branch of the Attorney-Generals Department to increase the staff of the Burnaby Probation Office to five full time officers.

(c) That Council establish a Budget of \$500.00 for the Committee.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the first recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the second recommendation of the Committee be tabled for two weeks in order to allow for the submission of a full report by the present Probation Office justifying the increase in staff being sought."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the third recommendation of the Committee be referred back for further details as to the actual need for the sum being requested."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted a Special Report dealing with the question of treating sewage being discharged into the Fraser River and Burrard Inlet, advising as follows:

- (a) The pronouncement by the Provincial Government requiring the treatment of all sewage being discharged into the Fraser River and Burrard Inlet necessitated a study by the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District for the purpose of producing plans to meet the government requirements. Fortunately, the District had previously embarked on an up-dating of the Rawn Report and this simplified the problem somewhat.
- (b) In the interests of both operation and economy, new sewerage areas were proposed. In that regard, Burnaby becomes a member of the Vancouver area with respect to its Northern outfalls, and of the Fraser area with regard to most of the balance of its sewage.
- (c) Burnaby has examined these plans and agrees in principle with the proposal.
- (d) The major item of concern to the municipality was the cost involved in the Fraser Area and the method of cost-sharing to be used, if the Sewerage District proposed to change the present formula.
- (e) To assist in evaluating Burnaby's position, the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District was asked for further information. From this, an examination was made of various possibilities. The following is an outline of this assessment:
  - (i) The alternative schemes prepared involved the addition or deletion of various lines, pumping facilities, treatment facilities, etc., the purpose of which was assumed to be the determination of the cost effect on various municipalities.

- (ii) There is no argument respecting the inclusion of North Burnaby in the Vancouver area because it is quite logical from an engineering viewpoint and the financial arrangements are not unfavourable to Burnaby.
- (iii) In the case of the Fraser Area, the Sewerage District would obviously be faced with the problem of benefitting areas. The District produced figures in various schemes and it is evident that the theory of charging benefitting areas might well work to Burnaby's advantage, cost-wise, but defeat the overall scheme due to the high impact on some other municipalities.
- (iv) As a means of measuring the situation, engineers from Burnaby had the District develop a cost plan projected to 1986 to show what it would cost Burnaby if we proceeded alone. From the figures provided, Scheme F 6 does provide a possibility of a fairly substantial saving to Burnaby over its own system, based on the existing method of the District for apportioning costs. It was also assumed that F 6 would be acceptable to other municipalities, thus ensuring that the entire project could be undertaken.
- (v) Even though it could likely be proven that Burnaby is contributing for more than its own benefits, the alternatives are less attractive and Burnaby should therefore support F 6.
- (vi) The amount involved may appear to be small but it really is fairly substantial when operating costs and wage escalations are taken into account.
- (vii) It is also necessary to give consideration to the likelihood of secondary treatment being required.
- (viii) The proposal to add Burnaby's North slope area to the Vancouver Sewerage Area would result in a further saving of \$1,300,000.00 .
- (ix) If the success of the joint proposal were to hinge upon Burnaby's position, the failure of the said proposal could cost Burnaby \$2,000,000.00 and upwards over the next 17 years.
- (x) On the basis of the foregoing, even though Burnaby will be called upon to subsidize some other member municipalities, Scheme F6 should be supported.
- (xi) The above is dedicated only on the assumption that the District has no alternative but to proceed with the costly scheme because of Government decree.

Since it is extremely unlikely there will be any change of attitude by the Government, it was being recommended that Council support the inclusion of the Municipality of Burnaby in the Vancouver and Fraser Sewerage Areas, and agree to the apportionment of costs relating thereto being applied under the existing assessment formula.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 1, 1970 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (21), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Lane Paving

On the basis of the average depth and width, as obtained by a survey, it is estimated that the cost of paving "tie-ins" between lanes which are paved and adjoining driveways would be approximately \$4,500.00, or slightly more than 8% of the total costs.

The Council has authorized a lane paving programme, on the initiative method, which is estimated to cost \$930,000.00. Applying a 10% factor to this figure would obviously increase costs of the programme by \$93,000.00.

Driveways presently unpaved hardly warrant an expenditure of this magnitude for the value to the property concerned unless the owners plan on extending the pavement onto their own property.

The present policy of tying-in finished slabs or paved driveways which are at the property line or at the normal by-law set-back line is a reasonable one, recognizing the premium price which would need to be paid to a private contractor.

It was being recommended that the present policy be confirmed.

*During consideration of the report from the Manager, it was suggested in Council that perhaps the municipality should ask the paving contractor to approach the owners of properties abutting lanes that are to be paved and offer them the opportunity to pay for a paved apron at the same time the lane is done.*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That a policy be established whereby all lanes which are paved in the future only receive the "strip" application of pavement and there be no aprons or "tie-ins" provided to any accesses to private properties abutting the lanes."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN LADNER, MERCIER AND DRUMMOND

AGAINST -- HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTE, ALDERMEN CLARK, HERD, DAILLY AND McLEAN

MOTION LOST

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That lane paving at driveway locations be provided to the property lines."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the previous motion be amended by adding the following words "except where a paved driveway exists, in which case the paving be provided at municipal expense to meet the driveway pavement, providing such pavement has been constructed to the legal set-back limits"."

CARRIED  
AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND LADNER



HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:05 P.M.

THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.M.

MUNICIPAL MANAGER drew the attention of Council to the fact that the Council has just recently authorized the initiation of a Local Improvement Lane Paving Programme and, if it was at this time to change its policy regarding the paving of aprons or "tie-ins" with driveways, as set out in the above two motions, this could result in some of the lane paving projects being deleted due to insufficient funds being available for the work because of the extra cost which will be incurred by the municipality in providing the paved "tie'ins".

He also remarked that there will likely be a few projects defeated by the abutting property owners and this would have the effect of perhaps allowing some funds to be used for paving "tie-ins".

A vote was then taken on the original motion introduced by Alderman Clark and amended by Alderman Dailly, and it was Lost, with Alderman Clark being the only one in support.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the following motion, which was lost earlier in the evening, be reconsidered:

"That a policy be established whereby all lanes which are paved in the future only receive the "strip" application of pavement and there be no aprons or "tie-ins" provided to any accesses to private properties abutting the lanes."

CARRIED

AGAINST - HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE,  
ALDERMEN CLARK AND HERD

## (2) Water Supply - Big Bend Area

The Council, on June 23, 1969, approved a project for the construction of a reservoir to improve the water supply in the Big Bend Area.

Authority was also granted at that time to engage Falizeszewski Engineering Limited to investigate the possibility of constructing either a tank above ground or a ground-storage reservoir in the vicinity of 10th Avenue and 21st Street.

This firm produced a report offering five alternative ways of providing the facility desired.

The scheme favoured by that Company was A1, with the next choice being B. The cost of the first, which was an underground prestressed concrete tank, is \$175,000.00 and the cost of the second, which is an on-ground prestressed concrete reservoir, is \$185,000.00.

Scheme A1 required land from the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority who indicated that the land was not available because of the effect the presence of the tank would have on the ultimate Stride Area development. It was also found that the sterilization of hundreds of thousands of cubic yards of available free fill, which would be required for the ultimate filling and grading plans for the Stride development, effectively nullified Alternatives A, A1 and B.

The site selected for Scheme C, which is between 20th Street and the 19th Street Diversion, was then re-examined and it was noted that it would be desirable to set the tank at as low an elevation as possible to free fill for the Stride Development. It has therefore been proposed that the tank be constructed in this location at a bottom elevation of 370 feet, which would set the bottom of the tank approximately 25 feet below the road level of 19th Street. With this bottom elevation of 370 feet, it would be necessary, for pressure purposes, to increase the size of the tank to 1.475 million gallons in order to make 1 million gallons available at or above 382 feet.

The estimates for Scheme B have, as a consequence, been revised so that the total approximate cost will be \$269,000.00. \$44,000.00 of this sum is required for extra excavation to elevation 370.00 and this is not required by the water utility for any hydraulic reason. The additional .475 million gallon capacity did not form any part of the original design consideration.

It is accepted that the additional .475 million gallons would provide a useful reserve. This additional gallonage would cost an extra \$20,000.00 and could be a legitimate water utility expense.

Regarding the excavation costs of \$44,000.00, it is considered that this is more properly a Stride Development expense which should be repaid to the Water Utility when that development proceeds.

An approximate saving of \$9,000.00 in architectural treatment was effected because the low setting of the tank in relation to surrounding property, except for the future Stride Industrial Development, would have no adverse visual affect.

The alternative to Scheme B has been approved by the Greater Vancouver Water District.

It was being recommended that Council:

- (a) Approve the construction of a 1.475 million gallon on-ground water storage reservoir on a site between 19th and 20th Streets approximately 570 feet West of 10th Avenue at an elevation of 370.00, at an estimated cost of \$269,000.00, with \$44,000.00 of that sum being considered a "Stride Development" cost which is to be repaid to the Water Utility when that development proceeds.
- (b) Direct that the Water Utility absorb an estimated cost of \$20,000.00 (which is included in the aforementioned \$269,000.00 figure) for increasing the capacity of the water storage tank from 1.0 million to 1.475 million gallons.
- (c) Grant approval to proceed with construction plans in preparation for calling tenders for the project.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities

The 1970 membership fee in the above organization is \$1,899.00, which is calculated on the basis of 1.5¢ per capita for a population of 126,600.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That authority be granted to pay the account from the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Local Improvement Initiative Ornamental Street Lighting

The following is a report from the Municipal Treasurer, which has been prepared in accordance with Section 601 of the Municipal Act, referring to a proposed Local Improvement Ornamental Street Lighting Programme under the Initiative Plan for Stage 2B:

"Estimated cost of the work	\$ 7,000.00
Estimated owners' share of the cost	3,635.00
Estimated Corporation share of the cost	3,365.00
Number of Lights	10
Frontage Taxes per item (11) Local Improvement Charges By-law, Amendment By-law 1968, By-law #5352	
Taxable frontage of each of 38 lots	66 feet
Annual levy per front foot for 10 years	\$ .197
Levy per property	\$ 13.
Electrification charge -- annually	\$ 3.
Estimated lifetime of the works	20 years"

(5) Local Improvement Initiative Ornamental Street Lighting Programme

The following is a report from the Municipal Treasurer, which has been prepared in accordance with Section 601 of the Municipal Act, referring to a proposed Local Improvement Ornamental Street Lighting Programme under the Initiative Plan on Monroe Avenue between Cariboo Road and Cascade Avenue:

"Estimated cost of the work	\$ 3,000.00
Estimated owners' share of the cost	1,943.00
Estimated Corporation share of the cost	1,057.00
Number of Lights	4
Frontage Taxes per item (11) Local Improvement Charges By-law, Amendment By-law 1968 By-law #5352	
Taxable frontage of each of 21 lots	66 feet
Annual levy per front foot for 10 years	\$ .197
Annual levy per property	\$ 13.
Electrification charge - annually	\$ 3.
Estimated lifetime of the works	20 years"

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:  
"That the above two reports be received and arrangements be made to proceed with the Programme on the basis of the Local Improvement Initiative method."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) 1970 Assessment Roll

The Municipal Assessor has submitted a report covering the 1970 Assessment Roll.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:  
"That the report of the Assessor be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Local Court of Revision

It was being recommended that Council sit as the Local Court of Revision in the Committee Room on Friday, May 1, 1970 at 10:00 a.m. to consider the Local Improvement Frontage Tax Assessment Roll and the Sewer Utility Assessment Roll.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) (a) Policy - Lanes in Subdivisions  
(b) Block 101, D.L. 132, Plan 1493 (McLean)  
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 129/68

The Approving Officer has reported, as a result of Council deciding to review the policy of requiring the paving of lanes created by subdivisions, that, in designing new subdivisions for single family residential use, lanes are not usually considered necessary except in the following circumstances:

- (a) Whenever a secondary access is desirable, viz., where properties front on a major road and access to the properties is hazardous or restricted.
- (b) Whenever the emerging subdivision pattern has provided lanes and indicates the logical extension of the lanes to complete the intended pattern.
- (c) Whenever power provided by the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority is from a pole system in a lane in a partially subdivided area and it is logical to extend the lane and the pole system.
- (d) Whenever natural features indicate a need for lanes (e.g. where excessive surface water must be controlled or where access to the front of the lot from the road is precluded by a steep grade)
- (e) Whenever a buffer is desirable between areas of different uses.

The Municipal Engineer has offered the following in connection with the matter at hand:

- (i) The present policy should be continued.
- (ii) This policy was established on September 14, 1964 when it was felt that any reduction in the requirement was, in effect, subsidizing the profit of land developers.
- (iii) In all modern single family homes, the need for secondary access to the rear of properties is being dictated by the advent of the three-car family, the camping trailer, the boat trailer and the very frequent need for homeowners to accept delivery of large items from a lane.
- (iv) The design of most modern homes denies, or makes very difficult, access from the front by occupying almost the entire frontage of a lot while providing storage for only one or two cars in front. Rear yards, in such circumstances, become virtually useless to the functions for which they were originally designated.
- (v) The provision of lanes overcomes access and drainage problems at considerably less cost than storm sewer requirements in easements.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That Council confirm the current policy of requiring the paving of lanes as prerequisite to the approval of subdivisions."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That, in order to determine how the problem involving the lane which was the subject of Mr. McLean's submission, the Approving Officer indicate the approximate dollar value of the strip of land ten feet wide abutting the Easterly side of Mr. McLean's property plus the cost of paving that portion vis-a-vis the value of the five-foot wide portion which the Approving Officer is requiring Mr. McLean to dedicate for lane purposes plus the full cost of paving the entire lane."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the meeting extend beyond the hour of 10:00 p.m."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN CLARK AND  
DRUMMOND

(9) Sullivan Street

The Council authorized the Planning Department to work with the owners of property at the East end of the Lake City Industrial Park in the preparation of a Comprehensive Development scheme for a site containing approximately 70 acres.

As part of this development, it is necessary to abandon the portion of Sullivan Street shown on an attached plan in order to allow the developer to consolidate the property to the West of the broken line shown on the sketch and to permit the Corporation to create the park strip lying East of the broken line.

It was therefore being recommended that the portion of Sullivan Street in question be abandoned and that authority be granted to execute the documents relating to this matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:  
"That the report of the Manager be tabled for one week in order to allow for the production of the plan to which reference was made in his report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Villa Montecito (Lakewood Village)  
REFERENCE REZONING #143/66

The Planning Department has reported as follows on this matter:

- (a) Following discussions with the developer, the Planning Department would propose a fourth alternative to the proposed revision in the Comprehensive Development plan for the site, which would be to allow the change of the 56-three bedroom units in Phase 1 of the development to two-bedroom units on the understanding they will be replaced in Phases 2 and 3 of the development.
- (b) This alternative recognizes that the existing three-bedroom units have some design deficiencies and that there will be a market for an improved three-bedroom apartment.
- (c) In agreeing to the alternative, the developer wishes to reserve his rights to approach the Planning Department at any time in the future, if necessary, to further discuss Phases 2 and 3.
- (d) This report should be read at the Public Hearing on January 12th and, if Council concurs, the Hearing should be taken to cover all 56- three bedroom units in Phase 1.
- (e) It will be necessary for the developer to present revised proposals for Phases 2 and 3, incorporating the change, before Development Permits could be issued for these phases.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:  
"That Alternative No. 4, as outlined in the report of the Planning Department, be accepted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the Chief Building Inspector be authorized to issue occupancy permits for the two-bedroom units which will be built in Phase 1 of the Villa Montecito development and which were originally to be three-bedroom units, providing the construction of the suites is in accordance with the regulations set forth in the Building and other by-laws of the municipality governing such projects."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Reference RZ #76/69

Parcel "B", Ref. Plan 15504, Block 38, D.L. 159, Plan 930

The Planning Department is recommending that the following prerequisites be established in connection with a proposal to rezone the above described property to Service Commercial District (C4):

(a) That a suitable plan of development for the site be submitted.

(b) That suitable evidence be submitted that waste water from the site can be adequately handled.

The Planning Department has pointed out that storm drainage facilities are not available to the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the recommendation contained in the report of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) 1969 Local Improvement Initiative Street Lighting Programme

Local Improvement Construction By-laws are being prepared for the programme which was the subject of the Municipal Clerk's Certificate of Sufficiency earlier this evening.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(13) Christian Science Practitioners

The Municipal Solicitor has indicated that, on the basis of the facts in his possession at this time, it seems the dominant purpose of a Christian Science Practitioner is not gain or profit and therefore he would not require a business licence.

The Solicitor has also mentioned that Council does not have the power to exempt a person from paying either a trades licence or a business tax if the person is in fact carrying on a business within the municipality.

The Solicitor further pointed out that he was not able to provide an answer as to whether the income of the Christian Science Practitioner in question is subject to income tax.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That Christian Science Practitioners not be regarded as operating a business and therefore not be required to pay either a Trades Licence or the Business Tax."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN MERCIER

(14) Parks and Recreation Commission By-law 1969

As a result of Alderman Mercier raising a point at the December 22, 1969 Council meeting regarding the delegation by Council of its administrative powers in respect of parks and recreation matters to the Parks and Recreation Commission, the Commission has approved an amendment to Section 18 of the subject By-law to reflect what it feels is desired.

The remainder of the By-law is unchanged from the original draft.

The Manager is not too concerned with the format of the By-law, only the matter of whether or not listing certain items in a schedule gives any impression to Council that this affects the procedures necessary to amend any of the items.

The schedule is as much a part of the By-law as would be separate items and therefore an amending By-law would be required in order to change any item.

No municipality can legislate by regulation - it can only do so by By-law.

Since the Commission has approved of the suggested format, the Manager is of the opinion that the By-law should reflect its wishes.

The Municipal Solicitor concurs.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:  
"That consideration of the report from the Manager be deferred until the By-law in question is deliberated later this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(15) Estimates

It was being recommended that the Municipal Engineer's Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$49,884.90 be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(16) Monthly Report of Building Department

A report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department for the period between December 8th and December 31, 1969 was being submitted.

(17) Monthly Report of Fire Department

A report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department for the months of November and December, 1969 was being submitted.



(18) Health Department

A report of the Medical Health Officer covering the activities of his Department for the month of November 1969 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the above three reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (19) (a) Lots 13, 14 and 15, S.D. 18, Blocks 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1880  
(b) Lot 18, S.D. 17, Blocks 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1414  
REZONING REFERENCE NO. 34/69

The Planning Department is recommending the following prerequisites in connection with a proposal to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3):

- (a) That the four lots be consolidated into one site.
- (b) That a suitable plan of development for the site be submitted.
- (c) That an undertaking be given that all existing structures on the properties will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (d) That a sum be deposited to cover the cost of paving the flanking lane at the East end of the site.
- (e) That a sum be deposited to cover half the cost of paving the lane at the rear of the site.

(The balance of this cost is covered by RZ #52/69)

- (20) (a) Lots 6, 7, 8 and 9, R.S.D. "A", S.D. 19/20, Blks. 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1264  
(b) Lots 10 and 11, Blk. "A", D.L. 95, Plan 1264  
(c) Lot 17, S.D. 17, Blks. 1/3, D.L. 95, Plan 1414  
(d) Lots "A" and "D", R.S.D. 16/18, S.D. 18, Blks. 1 & 3 D.L. 95N, Plan 12331  
(e) Lot "B", S.D. 16 & 18, Blk. 18, D.L. 95, Plan 12331  
(f) Lot "C", S.D. 16 & 17, Blk. 18, D.L. 95, Plan 12331  
REFERENCE REZONING #52/69

The Planning Department is recommending the following prerequisites in connection with a proposal to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3):

- (a) That the eleven lots be consolidated into two equal sites.
- (b) That a suitable plan of development for the site be submitted.
- (c) That an undertaking be given that all existing structures will be removed from the property within six months of the rezoning being effected.

- (d) That a sum be deposited to cover the cost of paving the flanking lane at the East end of the site.
- (e) That a sum be deposited to cover half the cost of paving the lane contiguous to the properties covered by Rezoning Reference #34/69 plus the full cost for the paving of the lane West of this point.
- (f) That a sum be deposited to cover the cost of providing storm drainage facilities to the Westerly site.

(21) Two Automobiles

It was being recommended that Council accept the following tenders for the supply to the Corporation, for the use of the Mayor and Manager respectively, of the vehicles indicated:

- (a) George Black Motors - one Marquis Brougham - \$2,381.63, including all taxes, licence and registration.
- (b) Brentwood Dodge Ltd. - Dodge Coronet 440 - \$1,376.20, including all taxes, licence and registration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That the above three reports of the Manager be tabled for one week to allow the members of Council an opportunity to study them."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

\*

ALDERMAN McLEAN suggested that Council should oppose a proposed increase in the rates charged by the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority.

Alderman McLean was asked to prepare a formal submission on this matter.

\*

ALDERMAN CLARK enquired as to a rumor that the Seton Academy was to be sold for redevelopment as a Half-way House for Alcoholics.

He was informed that nothing positive in connection with the matter had been learned but if something developed which involved Council then the situation would be reported.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LADNER LEFT THE MEETING.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

BY - L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1969" #5624 #5624  
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1969" #5638  
and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the By-laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole  
to consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That:  
"BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1969"  
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1969"  
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:  
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to  
consider and report on:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 72, 1969" #5612  
"BURNABY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION BY-LAW 1969" #5625

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/12/1970

BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 72, 1969 provides for the following proposed rezoning:

Reference RZ 48/69

FROM MANUFACTURING DISTRICT (M1) TO COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2)

- (i) Lot 1 Except Pl. 21763, S.D. 4, Block 5, D.L. 206, Plan 1684
- (ii) Lots 2 and 3, S.D. 4, Block 5, D.L. 206, Plan 1684

(6805, 6811 and 6851 Hastings Street -- Located on the North side of Hastings Street between Clare Avenue and Duncan Avenue)

Mr. Y. M. Chernoff, of the Legal Firm of Freeman, Freeman, Silvers and Koffman submitted a letter advising that the intending developer of the properties covered by Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment By-law No. 72, 1969 is not prepared to satisfy the prerequisites to the rezoning proposal and therefore wishes its application withdrawn.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 72, 1969 be abandoned."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LADNER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

During consideration of Burnaby Parks and Recreation Commission By-law 1965, the report Council received earlier in the evening from the Manager pertaining to this By-law was brought forward.

Alderman Mercier indicated he felt there was a void in the By-law insofar as the procedures to be followed in administering the affairs of the Parks and Recreation Commission were concerned.

He added that there was, and likely still would be, an inter-mixture of departments, including that handling the Parks and Recreation Commission affairs, and that either the Commission should have its own administrative structure or come directly under the jurisdiction of the Municipal Manager.

Comments were made by other members of the Council in regard to the By-law at hand.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That the Committee do now rise and report on BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 72, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That the Committee do now rise and report BURNABY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION BY-LAW 1969" complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

Jan/12/1970

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:  
"That BURNABY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION BY-LAW 1969 be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That:

"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 2, 1969" #5634

"BURNABY CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PROGRAMME BY-LAW 1969" #5639

AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1969"

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 2, 1969 received the approval of the Inspector of Municipalities on January 6, 1970.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That:

"BURNABY SECURITY ISSUING BY-LAW NO. 2, 1969"

"BURNABY CAPITAL EXPENDITURE PROGRAMME BY-LAW 1969, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1969"

be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

\* \* \*

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:  
"That the Committee now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole "In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY