

MAY 11, 1970

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, May 11, 1970 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: His Worship, Mayor Prittie, (7:35 p.m.)
Aldermen Blair, Clark, Dailly, Drummond,
Herd, Ladner, Mercier and McLean;

ACTING MAYOR DAILLY WAS IN THE CHAIR UNTIL 7:35 P.M.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Minutes of the meetings held on April 20th and 27, 1970 plus May 4, 1970 be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

ACTING MAYOR DAILLY proclaimed the week of May 10th to 16, 1970 as Fostering Week.

* * *

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Harold B. Bromley submitted a letter asking to be enlightened on a number of matters pertaining to the procedure followed by the municipality in processing works under the Local Improvement sections of the Municipal Act.

Municipal Manager read a report from the Municipal Clerk on the subject of the letter from Mr. Bromley, which indicated the following:

- (a) *Those owners whose properties abut works proposed to be undertaken as Local Improvements are sent a notice which indicates, in a clear and concise manner, the proper method for expressing opposition to the works, including the time they have to submit an objection.*

(b) All objections received in written form, whether it be by way of a petition or a letter from an individual owner, are acknowledged upon receipt by the Clerk's Office.

(c) These petitions and/or letters are checked at the expiration of the thirty day period allowed for the submission of objections by the Municipal Act to determine the acceptability of the signatures of the owners who are opposed to the work.

If they were checked when first received and found to not contain a sufficient number of signatures to defeat the project, the Clerk's Office would need to send the petition back to the owners concerned for additional signatures but, if the petition was not returned to the Clerk's Office within the thirty day period allowed, then the Clerk would not be able to formally record his receipt of the original petition within the thirty day period.

(d) In some cases in the past where it was felt the petitioners may not have had a complete understanding of the situation, queries have been made of them to determine whether they did understand. The Clerk's Office has been met, in these instances, with indignation and statements to the effect that the petitions have been forwarded and should rest as submitted.

It was reported verbally to Council that the Municipal Engineer was in the process of producing a report indicating the approximate cost of installing storm sewers and concrete curbs on Gatenby Avenue, which is the type of work Mr. Bromley and others desired.

It was also mentioned that ornamental street lights can be installed separately from other works, such as curbs, at no greater cost than if all the works (ornamental street lights, curbs and storm sewers) were constructed together.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the enquiry of Mr. Bromley regarding the possibility of curbs and storm sewers being provided on Gatenby Avenue be tabled until the May 19th meeting pending receipt of a report from the Municipal Engineer on the costs of constructing such works."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN BLAIR

Mr. Rhys Hull wrote to again urge that action be taken by Council to compel the Columbian Newspaper to desist from littering municipal boulevards where distribution boxes of the Columbian are located.

E
I
-
B
H
-
L
A
-
L
W
-
U
O
S
-
F
-
F
Y
-
S
Y
-
R
Y
-
P
R
R
-
P
A
S
L
-
L
F
-
-

Municipal Manager stated that, if satisfactory evidence can be gathered in regard to the littering situation referred to by Mr. Hull, action will be taken by the municipality to remedy the problem by having the distribution boxes removed from the streets.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the course of action proposed by the Municipal Manager, as related this evening, be endorsed; and further, a copy of the letter which was received this evening from Mr. Hull be sent to the Columbian when communicating with them on the subject matter."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

President, Canadian Scientific Pollution and Environmental Control Society of B. C., wrote to request that Council meet informally with the Society for the purpose of receiving details as to the plans of the Society for eliminating problems relating to Burnaby Lake and developing the Lake as a Nature Sanctuary.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the request of the Society be referred to His Worship, Mayor Prittie, to make arrangements for the informal meeting the Society wishes."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. Verna D. M. Clark submitted a letter in which she:

- (a) took exception to remarks expressed by Captain K. Paley and a number of others in a petition they submitted to Council on April 27th relating to the conduct of teenagers in the Moscrop Junior High School area;
- (b) indicated that some motorists travel in excess of 50 m.p.h. on Moscrop Street past Westburn Park despite the presence of "playground" signs there;
- (c) urged that sidewalks be constructed on Moscrop Street so that pedestrians will not need to use the shoulder of the street;

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the letter from Mrs. Clark be referred to the Burnaby School Board and the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P. for attention in conjunction with their investigation of the points made in the petition from Captain Paley and the others; and further, the complaint regarding speeding on Moscrop Street plus the question of the adequacy of the "playground" signs next to Westburn Park be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee and the Parks and Recreation Commission, respectively, for investigation and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. James G. Lorimer, M.L.A. for Burnaby-Willingdon, submitted a letter in which he explained the philosophy of the New Democratic Party in regard to the use of Provincial jails, such as Oakalla.

The letter from Mr. Lorimer precipitated a discussion in Council on the matter of the municipality obtaining land from Oakalla for the Major North-South Road around the West side of Deer Lake plus that portion immediately adjacent to the Lake which the municipality desires for park purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the Planning Director produce a report for Council on May 19th showing the alignment of the Major North-South Road around the West side of Deer Lake, as it affects the Oakalla property, plus that portion of the Oakalla site which the Corporation wishes for park use."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Administrator, Greater Vancouver Regional District, submitted a letter conveying the views of the Greater Vancouver Regional District Committee on Housing relative to a report Council received on March 23, 1970 from the Planning Department concerning a proposed Housing Study.

He suggested that the municipality proceed with the Housing Study, as recommended by the Planning Department.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That action on the subject matter of the letter from the Greater Vancouver Regional District be deferred until the views of the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation on the proposed Housing Study are received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

School of Community and Regional Planning, University of British Columbia, Community Planning Association of Canada (B.C.) and the Department of Extension of the University submitted particulars relating to the Sixteenth Annual Short Course in Community Planning between June 1st and 5, 1970, which was the subject of a letter Council received on April 20, 1970.

Secretary, The New Vista Society, submitted a letter advising that a delegation from the Society would like to meet Council at its earliest convenience to discuss the New Vista Society building expansion programme.

E
I
-
E
H
-
I
A
-
I
W
-
U
O
S
-
F
-
F
Y
-
S
Y
-
R
Y
-
P
R
R
-
P
A
S
L
-
L
F
-

May/11/1970

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the subject of the letter from the New Vista Society be referred to His Worship, Mayor Prittie, to arrange an informal meeting between Council and the Directors of the Society."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Mayor Prittie, arrived at the meeting and assumed the Chair.

Mr. Earl O. Allard, Director, The X-Kalay Foundation Society, submitted a letter:

- (a) Clarifying the rationale of the Society in instituting legal action against the municipality in an effort to use the Seton Academy property at 401 North Esmond Avenue for the purpose desired by the Society.
- (b) Indicating that the Society is willing to begin negotiations immediately to lease property formerly owned by the Universal Life Foundation at Canada Way and Gilpin Street - Deer Lake Avenue for its purposes in the event the Society is not successful in its action regarding the desire in respect of the Seton Academy site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That action on the subject matters of the letter from the X-Kalay Foundation Society be deferred until the May 19th meeting of Council when it is expected that the Municipal Solicitor will advise of the propriety of Council dealing with anything pertaining to the Society until the legal action referred to in the letter from the Society has been resolved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

QUESTION AND ANSWER
PERIOD

When Alderman Dailly enquired as to the nature of the survey work which was being done in McGill Park next to the Standard Oil Company property, the Planning Director replied that this was being done as a result of a Council direction to determine from the Company its plans in regard to the future positioning of oil tanks on its property. He added that the surveying was also to plot the alignment of a major road planned for the area.

When Alderman Dailly enquired as to when lanes in the Garden Village Area of the municipality were to be paved, he was informed by the general Superintendent of the Engineering Department that the lane paving programme was commencing in the Northern part of the municipality and would be proceeding Southerly.

Alderman Herd drew attention to continuing indiscriminate dumping on land South of Marine Drive.

He suggested that the material being dumped could cause a rodent infestation.

He also mentioned that there was no By-law which could control this type of dumping, so far as he knew.

Alderman Ladner replied that the Pollution Committee was concerning itself with matters such as that mentioned by Alderman Herd, and would be reporting on the situation in due course.

He added that the particular problem concerning Alderman Herd was receiving the attention of the Health Department.

He also mentioned that there are powers under the Health Act which could be exercised to abate nuisances such as the indiscriminate dumping referred to by Alderman Herd.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

REPORTS

MUNICIPAL CLERK submitted a Certificate of Sufficiency covering Phase VI of the Local Improvement Lane Paving Initiative Programme, as follows:

F
I
-
E
-
I
A
-
I
W
-
U
C
S
-
E
-
F
Y
-
S
Y
-
R
Y
-
P
R
R
-
P
A
S
L
-
L
F
-

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

CERTIFICATE OF SUFFICIENCY

Section 589(1) of the Municipal Act provides, in part, that:

"589(1) -- -- unless within one month after the publication of the (required) notice a majority of the owners representing at least one-half of the value of the parcels which are liable to be specially charged, petition the Council not to proceed with it, the work may be undertaken as a Local Improvement."

This Certificate of Sufficiency covers Lane Paving Local Improvement Projects included in Phase Six of Seven Phases of the Local Improvements Programme for Lane Paving approved by Council.

Petitions have been received against Local Improvement Projects numbered 14-23, 14-24, 14-34, 14-41, 14-51, 14-55, 14-57, 14-60, 14-61, 14-62, 14-70, 14-77, 14-83, 14-85, 14-106, 14-115, 14-123, and 14-145, and are certified as sufficient, as these petitions represent a majority of the owners who represent at least one-half of the value of the parcels liable to be specially charged.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that sufficient petitions have not been received covering the Local Improvement works recorded herein, with the exception of Project Numbers 14-23, 14-24, 14-34, 14-41, 14-51, 14-55, 14-57, 14-60, 14-61, 14-62, 14-70, 14-77, 14-83, 14-85, 14-106, 14-115, 14-123 and 14-145.



John H. Shaw
MUNICIPAL CLERK

DATED -- MAY 8, 1970.

JHS/fl

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

PHASE VI

HIS WORSHIP, THE MAYOR,
AND MEMBERS OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Gentlemen: RE: 1970 LOCAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME - LANES

In accordance with Section 589 of the Municipal Act, I beg to report that I have published in a newspaper circulating in the municipality and also served upon the owners of property affected, Notice of Intention to construct, as a Local Improvement, pavement to a maximum width of 14 feet and to a depth of not more than 2 inches, on the lanes described below:

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED.	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-1	Imperial St.-Dubois St. lane from W.P.L.It."C" Blk. 14, D.L.150NW1/4, Fl.15320, to Joffre Ave.	18	10	N11	\$125,765	\$ 62,882	N11
14-2	Imperial St.-Dubois St. lane from Joffre Ave. to Mandy Ave.	15	8	4	123,760	61,880	\$ 32,485
14-3	Lane west of Boundary Rd. from Dubois St. to Hurst St.	6	4	N11	38,275	19,137	N11
14-4	Dubois St.-Hurst St. lane from Joffre Ave. to Mandy Ave.	18	10	N11	130,815	65,407	N11
14-5	Hurst St.-Peter St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	19	10	N11	134,510	67,255	N11
14-6	Peter St.-Victory St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	20	11	N11	138,555	69,277	N11
14-07	Victory St.-Arbor St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	20	11	N11	137,345	68,672	N11
14-8	Arbor St.-Watling St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	21	11	1	140,210	70,105	\$ 6,865
14-9	Watling St.-Irmin St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	21	11	N11	140,270	70,135	N11
14-10	Rumble St.-Southwood St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	17	9	N11	121,175	60,587	N11

210

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS
14-11	Southwood St.-Clinton St. "T" lane from Boundary Rd. to Joffre Ave.	18
14-14	Southwood St.-Clinton St. "T" lane from Joffre Ave. to E.F.L.It.50, S.D."P", Blk.2, D.L.175 NW1/4, Fl. 11511	13
14-15	Lane west of Joffre Ave. from Carson St. to Joffre Ave.	17
14-16	Irmin St.-Rumble St. lane from Roseberry Ave. to Fatterson Ave.	19
14-17	Rumble St.-Southwood St. lane from Greenall Ave. to Fatterson Ave.	37
14-18	Lane south of Southwood St., west from Kaymar Dr. to W.P.L.It.56, Blks.1&2, D.L.175, NE1/4, Fl.11511	4
14-19	Lane south of Southwood St., east from Kaymar Dr. to E.P.L.It.59, Blk.2, D.L.175, Fl. 11511	4
14-20	Suncrest Dr.-Fatterson Ave. lane	12
14-21	Lane north of Marine Dr., east from Joffre Ave. to E.P.L.It.137, Blk.3, D.L.175 SW1/4, Fl.11579	8
14-22	Willington Ave.-McKay Ave. lane from Imperial St. to Hurst St.	11
14-23	Fatterson Ave.-Willington Ave. lane from Hurst St. to Victory St.	18
14-24	Willington Ave.-McKay Ave. "H" lane from Hurst St. to Creta St.	13
14-25	Willington Ave.-McKay Ave. "T" lane from Creta St. to Hurst St.	10

Page -2-
 1970 Local Improvement
 Initiative Programme

51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC- TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
10	N11	\$130,255	\$ 65,127	\$ N11
7	N11	98,390	49,195	N11
9	N11	118,015	59,007	N11
10	1	127,435	63,717	5,945
19	6	276,445	138,222	42,845
3	N11	33,480	16,740	N11
3	1	29,690	14,845	7,555
7	N11	82,150	41,075	N11
5	4	52,385	26,192	25,750
6	N11	89,030	44,515	N11
10	12	140,250	70,125	86,685
7	7	97,660	48,830	53,110
6	N11	82,545	41,272	N11

211

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-26	Hurst St.-Greta St. "I." lane from Greta St. to E.P.L.Lt.2, Plk.17, D.L.149 NW1/4, Fl.19538	18	10	5	\$152,310	\$ 76,155	\$ 42,660
14-27	Greta St.-Victory St.-Sussex Ave. lane from Victory St. to Sussex Ave.	23	12	2	190,625	95,312	15,885
14-28	Rumble St.-Southwood St. lanes from McKay Ave. to W.P.L.Lt."C", S.D.11&12, Blks.13&14, D.L.156, Fl.10056	5	3	N11	51,225	25,612	N11
14-30	Southwood St.-Portland St. "H" lane from Fatterson Ave. to McKay Ave.	29	15	N11	228,065	114,032	N11
14-31	Southwood St.-Portland St. "T" lane from McKay Ave. to E.P.L.Lt.11, Plk.10, D.L.156, Fl. 2123	16	9	N11	123,820	61,910	N11
14-32	Portland St.-Winnifred St. "T" lane from Patterson Ave. to McKay Ave.	27	14	N11	208,250	104,125	N11
14-33	McKay Ave.-Pradley Ave. "T" lane from Portland St. to Carson St.	18	10	N11	139,330	69,665	N11
14-34	Portland St.-Winnifred St. lane from Bradley Ave. to Sussex Ave.	10	6	6	81,500	40,750	48,395
14-35	Winnifred St.-Carson St. lane from Bradley Ave. to Sussex Ave.	11	6	2	81,785	40,892	15,970
14-37	Sussex Ave.-Dow Ave. lane from Imperial St. to Hurst St.	13	7	N11	100,295	50,147	N11
14-38	Dow Ave.-Frederick Ave. lane from Imperial St. to S.P.L.Lt.13, Blk. 2, D.L.99, Fl. 1788	22	12	N11	159,385	79,692	N11
14-39	Frederick Ave.-Gray Ave. lane from Imperial St. to Victory St.	34	18	4	287,150	143,575	35,030
14-40	Sussex Ave.-Dow Ave. lane from Hurst St. to Victory St.	14	8	1	123,975	61,987	8,635

212

10

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS
14-41	Sussex Ave.-Dow Ave. lane from Victory St. to Watling St.	15
14-42	Victory St.-Watling St. "H" lane from Dow Ave. to Frederick Ave.	23
14-43	Victory St.-Watling St. "H" lane from Frederick Ave. to Gray Ave.	26
14-44	Lane south of Victory St. from Gray Ave. to Waverly Ave.	9
14-45	Waverly Ave.-Jubilee Ave. "T" lane from Imperial St. to Victory St.	41
14-46	Jubilee Ave.-Nelson Ave. lane, block north of Victory St.	36
14-47	Waverly Ave.-Jubilee Ave. lane from Victory St. to Watling St.	23
14-48	Jubilee Ave.-Nelson Ave. "T" lane from Victory St. to Watling St.	16
14-49	Watling St.-Irmin St. lane from W.P.L.Lt.1, Blk.23, D.L.99, Fl.2192, to Frederick Ave.	5
14-50	Frederick Ave.-Gray Ave. "T" lane from Watling St. to lane north of Rumble St.	17
14-51	Watling St.-Irmin St. lane from Gray Ave. to Waverly Ave.	12
14-52	Watling St.-Irmin St. lane from Waverly Ave. to Jubilee Ave.	10
14-53	Watling St.-Irmin St. lane from Jubilee Ave.	12

Page -4-
 1970 Local Improvement
 Initiative Programme

51% REQUIRED TO REPEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC- TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
8	8	\$128,495	\$ 64,247	\$ 71,190
12	1	179,540	89,770	7,220
14	1	194,325	97,162	7,890
5	N11	62,845	31,422	N11
21	2	353,345	176,672	17,990
19	2	289,340	144,670	15,150
12	1	159,705	79,852	7,160
9	N11	116,110	58,055	N11
3	N11	37,590	18,795	N11
9	1	129,780	64,890	9,865
7	7	93,790	46,895	54,075
6	N11	82,680	41,340	N11
2	1	86,425	43,212	6,280

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-54	Irmin St.-Rumble St. lane from Sussex Ave. to Frederick Ave.	26	14	N11	\$185,595	\$ 92,797	N11
14-55	Irmin St.-Rumble St. lane from Gray Ave. to Waverly Ave.	12	7	7	89,620	44,810	\$ 52,645
14-56	Irmin St.-Rumble St. lane from Waverly Ave. to Irmin St.	8	5	N11	59,770	29,885	N11
14-57	Rumble St.-Neville St. lane from Dow Ave. to Gray Ave.	21	11	11	168,510	84,255	91,700
14-58	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from Dow Ave. to Gray Ave.	22	12	2	161,395	80,697	17,795
14-59	Lane south of Southwood St. from Sussex Ave. to Edson Pl.	5	3	N11	36,905	18,452	N11
14-60	Rumble St.-Neville St. lane from Gray Ave. to Nelson Ave.	34	18	19	274,120	137,060	152,060
14-61	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from Nelson Ave. to W.E.L.Lt.1, S.D.3, Blk."P", D.L.157, Fl.10253	19	10	14	149,495	74,747	113,775
14-62	Rumble St.-Southwood St. "U" lane from Dow Ave. to Dow Ave.	9	5	7	63,595	31,797	50,050
14-63	Edson Pl.-Strathearn Ave.-Fortland St.-McKee St. lanes	24	13	1	179,550	89,775	7,885
14-64	Strathearn Ave.-Gray Ave.-Fortland St.-McKee St. lanes.	11	6	1	77,095	38,547	9,735
14-65	McKee St.-Carson St. lane from W.F.L.Lt.27, Fl.13860, to E.F.L.Lt.4, Blk.36, D.L.157, Fl. 1533	23	12	1	174,095	87,047	7,410
14-66	Strathearn Ave.-Gray Ave. lane from McKee St. to Carson St.	11	6	1	78,405	39,202	7,485

214

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS
14-67	Carson St.-Marine Dr.-Sunland Fl. lane from Carson St. to Sunland Fl.	37
14-68	Sunland Fl.-Marine Dr. lane from Strathearn Ave. to E.F.L. Lot 83, D.L. 157, Fl. 34405	11
14-69	Sunland Fl.-Marine Dr. lane from Strathearn Ave. to E.F.L.Lot "E", Blk.32, D.L.157, Fl.15194	8
14-70	Lane south of Portland St., west from Sunflower Ave. to W.F.L.Lt.5, Blks.27&28, D.L.157, Fl.1802	5
14-71	Sunflower Ave.-Nelson Ave. "T" lane from Portland St. to McKee St.	16
14-72	McKee St.-Carson St. lane from Nelson Ave. lane to W.F.L.Lt.10, Blk."R", D.L.157, Fl.19226	17
14-74	Imperial St.-Petersford St. "T" lane from Dunblane Ave. to Burlington Ave.	15
14-75	Dunblane Ave.-Marlborough Ave. "T" lane from Petersford St. to Victory St.	21
14-76	Forbell Fl.-Marlborough Ave.-Victory St. and Royal Oak Ave. lanes	24
14-77	Watling St.-Irmin St. "L" lane from Nelson Ave. to Irmin St.	32
14-78	Nelson Ave.-Arthur Ave. lane from Rumble St. to S.F.L.Lot 42, Blk. 1, D.L. 158, Fl. 14628	15
14-79	Clinton St.-Portland St. lane from Nelson Ave. to E.F.L. Lot 7, Blk.9, D.L.158, Fl.2077	12

Page 6
 1970 Local Improvement
 Initiative Programme

51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC- TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
19	2	\$290,770	\$145,385	\$ 15,570
6	N11	70,040	35,020	N11
5	N11	77,930	38,965	N11
3	3	43,565	21,782	24,680
9	1	131,215	65,607	7,990
9	2	141,765	70,882	17,245
8	N11	128,155	64,077	N11
11	9	170,700	85,350	74,810
13	N11	160,370	80,185	N11
17	17	237,580	118,790	121,910
8	1	110,120	55,060	7,665
7	N11	70,855	35,427	N11

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC-TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-80	Clinton St.-Portland St. lane from McGregor Ave. to Royal Oak Ave.	15	8	1	\$ 87,915	\$ 43,957	\$ 6,690
14-81	Portland St.-McKee St. lane from McGregor Ave. to Royal Oak Ave.	16	9	2	97,465	48,732	13,445
14-82	McKee St.-Ewart St. lane from McGregor Ave. to Royal Oak Ave.	11	6	N11	71,760	35,880	N11
14-83	Ewart St.-Carson St. lane from McGregor Ave. to Royal Oak Ave.	12	7	8	84,875	42,437	58,385
14-84	Carson St.-Patrick St. lane from McGregor Ave. to W.P.L.Lt.1, Blk.4, D.L.158, S1/2 of SW1/4, Pl. 2044	7	4	N11	45,815	22,907	N11
14-85	Carson St.-Patrick St. "T" lane from McGregor Ave. to Royal Oak Ave.	11	6	7	83,345	41,672	55,675
14-86	Patrick St.-Keith St. lane from McGregor Ave. to W.P.L.Lt.1, Blk.3, D.L.158, Pl.2044	6	4	N11	92,910	43,455	N11
14-88	Royal Oak Ave.-Falm Ave. lane from lan south of Imperial St. to Railway R.O.W.	13	7	N11	140,640	70,320	N11
14-89	Falm Ave.-Antrim Ave. lane from lane south of Imperial St. to Railway R.O.W.	21	11	6	207,405	103,702	50,130
14-90	Beresford St.-Victory St. "T" lane west of Antrim Ave.	15	8	3	108,020	54,010	17,270
14-91	Rumble St.-Neville St. lane from Roslyn Ave. to McPherson Ave.	23	12	1	136,555	68,277	6,435
14-92	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from E.P.L.Lt.A, Blk.13, D.L.158 E1/2, Pl.11155 to Roslyn Ave.	22	12	N11	123,820	61,910	N11
14-93	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from Roslyn Ave. to McPherson Ave.	22	12	N11	139,070	69,535	N11

216

71

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-94	Clinton St.-Fortland St. "T" lane from Royal Oak Ave. to Roslyn Ave.	20	11	N11	\$133,690	\$ 66,845	N11
14-95	Clinton St.-Fortland St. lane from Roslyn Ave. to McPherson Ave.	22	12	N11	140,270	70,135	N11
14-96	Fortland St.-McKee St. "T" lane from Royal Oak Ave. to Roslyn Ave.	20	11	N11	135,285	67,642	N11
14-97	McKee St.-Ewart St. lane from Royal Oak Ave. to Roslyn Ave.	22	12	N11	145,785	72,892	N11
14-99	Ewart St.-Carson St. lane from Royal Oak Ave. to lane west of McPherson Ave.	35	18	1	253,590	126,795	8,020
14-100	Carson St.-Patrick St. lane from Royal Oak Ave. to McPherson Ave.	41	21	N11	276,640	138,320	N11
14-102	Seller Ave. -Merritt Ave. lane from Imperial St. to Dorset St.	7	4	N11	95,725	47,862	N11
14-103	McPherson Ave.-Shirley Ave. lane from Dorset St. to Peresford St.	12	7	N11	164,995	82,497	N11
14-104	Merritt Ave.-Russell Ave. "T" lane from Kingsway lane to Peresford St.	24	13	N11	243,380	121,690	N11
14-105	Russell Ave.-Buller Ave. lane from Kingsway lane to Peresford St.	28	15	3	250,075	125,037	27,445
14-106	Lane west of Curragh Ave., north from Arbroath St. to N.F.L.It.12, Plk.13, D.L.97, Pl. 11512	4	3	4	33,515	16,757	33,515
14-107	Arbroath St.-Peresford St. "T" lane west of Curragh Ave.	11	6	N11	111,170	55,585	N11

217

14-108 ... lane from Plum Ave. to Bull ...

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC-TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-110	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from McPherson Ave. to Flum Ave.	24	13	2	\$149,605	\$ 74,802	\$ 14,710
14-111	Neville St.-Clinton St. "T" lane from Flum Ave. to Buller Ave.	23	12	N11	137,990	68,995	N11
14-112	Clinton St.-Portland St. lane from McPherson Ave. to Clinton School lane	16	9	N11	114,780	57,390	N11
14-113	Portland St.-McKee St. lane from McPherson Ave. to E.P.L.It.17, S.D.1&14, Plks.24&25, D.L. 159, Pl. 10637.	31	16	2	201,855	100,927	14,465
14-114	McKee St.-Ewart St. "T" lane from McPherson Ave. to McKee St.	26	14	N11	167,930	83,965	N11
14-115	McKee St.-Ewart St. lane from Buller Ave. to W.F.L.It.3W1/2, Blks.11,16,19, D.L.159, Pl. 2043	7	4	5	47,505	23,752	32,125
14-116	Ewart St.-Carson St. lane from McPherson Ave. to W.F.L.It.21, Plk.17, D.L.159, Pl.13797	19	10	N11	126,780	63,390	N11
14-117	Ewart St.-Carson St. lane from Buller Ave. to W.F.L.It.11, Plk.16, D.L.159, Pl.2043	8	5	N11	55,375	27,687	N11
14-119	Carson St.-Patrick St. lane from Buller Ave. to W.F.L.It.20, Blk.11, D.L.159, Pl.2043	8	5	N11	56,235	28,117	N11
14-120	Patrick St.-Keith St. lane from McPherson Ave. to 20' west of E.P.L.It.74, D.L.158, Pl. 28750	14	8	N11	90,555	45,277	N11
14-122	Lane north of Kingsway from Gilley Ave. to E.P.L.It."C", Plk.1, D.L.97, Pl.15308	3	2	N11	113,065	56,532	N11
14-123	Imperial St.-Elwell St. "T" lane from Colborne Ave. to Sperling Ave.	43	23	22	275,035	137,517	141,710

218

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-124	Imperial St.-Elwell St. "T" lane from Sperling Ave. to Griffiths Ave.	27	14	4	\$155,925	\$ 77,962	\$ 23,940
14-126	Arbroath St.-Peresford St. "T" lane from Gilley Ave. to Conway Ave.	15	8	1	133,320	66,660	8,450
14-127	Elwell St.-Palmoral St. "T" lane from Griffiths Ave. to Salisbury Ave.	28	15	2	263,095	131,547	12,425
14-128	Lane west of Edmonds St. from Salisbury Ave. to N.P.L.Lt.167, D.L.95, Pl.30087	6	4	1	31,800	15,900	5,350
14-129	Rumble St.-Neville St. lane from Curragh Ave. to Gilley Ave.	15	8	3	120,760	60,380	20,700
14-130	Rumble St.-Neville St. lane from E.P.L.Lt.21, D.L.160, Pl.30421, to Hedley Ave.	32	17	N11	210,860	105,430	N11
14-131	Fuller Ave.-Curragh Ave. "H" lane from Neville St. to Clinton St.	21	11	7	135,365	67,682	44,890
14-132	Curragh Ave.-Gilley Ave. "H" lane from Neville St. to Clinton St.	19	10	1	124,910	62,455	7,215
14-133	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from W.P.L.Lt.D, S.D.1, Plk.3, D.L.160 W1/2 of W1/2, Pl.13174 to Hedley St.	33	17	N11	207,590	103,795	N11
14-134	Clinton St.-Portland St. "T" lane from Fuller Ave. to Curragh Ave.	20	11	1	130,400	65,200	6,560
14-136	Clinton St.-Portland St. lane from Gilley Ave. lane to Hedley Ave.	34	18	N11	215,445	107,722	N11
14-137	McKee St.-Ewart St. "T" lane from Fuller Ave. to Curragh Ave.	20	11	N11	127,410	63,705	N11
14-138	McKee St.-Ewart St. lane from Curragh Ave.	15	8	1	96,030	48,015	6,035

PROJECT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF LANE	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT	NO. OF OBJEC-TIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS' ASSESSMENT
14-139	Ewart St.-Carson St. "H" lane from Buller Ave. to Gilley Ave.	36	19	N11	\$236,950	\$118,475	N11
14-140	Patrick St.-Carson St. lane from Buller Ave. to Gilley Ave.	30	16	1	182,315	91,157	6,795
14-141	Patrick St.-Keith St. lane from Fuller Ave. to Gilley Ave.	27	14	N11	167,370	83,685	N11
14-142	Arthur Ave.-McGregor Ave. lane from Rumble St. to S.P.L.Lt.8 S1/2, S.D.35&36, Blk.1, D.I. 158, Fl. 1940	7	4	2	47,555	23,777	15,485
14-143	Lane south of Rumble St., east from Patterson Ave. to E.P.L.Lt.23, S.D.1&2, Blks.13&14, D.I. 156, Fl. 13305	5	3	N11	\$ 36,285	18,142	N11
14-144	Lane west of Sussex Ave., south from Southwood St. to S.P.L.Lt.2, S.D."B", Blk.9, D.I. 156, Fl. 11443	5	3	N11	36,680	18,340	N11
14-145	Neville St.-Clinton St. lane from Gray Ave. to E.P.L.Lt."F", RSD 586, S.D. B&C, Blk."B", D.I.157, Fl.13586	12	7	10	103,435	51,717	86,245
14-146	Portland St.-McKee St. lane from Buller Ave. to Curragh Ave.	20	11	2	140,835	70,417	14,665
14-147	Portland St.-McKee St. lane from Buller Ave. to W.P.L.Lt.1, S.D."A", Blk. 24, D.I. 159, Fl. 13384	12	7	N11	78,385	39,192	N11
14-148	Lane south of Peresford St. from Gilley Ave. to E.P.L.Lt."C", Ex W100', RSD 1, S.D. 11, Blk."C", D.I.96, Fl. 4723	5	3	1	81,665	40,832	27,735

May/11/1970

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Certificate of Sufficiency of the Municipal Clerk be received and Local Improvement Construction By-laws be prepared for those works which survived the Initiative process, as indicated by the Clerk in his Certificate."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

SPECIAL COMMITTEE dealing with Landlord and Tenant Grievance matters submitted a report advising that, after considering various submissions which have been received in connection with a proposal that a Landlord and Tenant Advisory Bureau be established under the recent amendment to the Landlord and Tenant Act, it was being recommended that such a Bureau be created.

The Committee added that consideration will be given the matter of including the powers under the Rent Control Act of 1954 in any By-law that is prepared for the establishment of the Bureau. The Committee pointed out that it was still awaiting the outside opinion as to the powers of the municipality under the Rent Control Act.

The Committee concluded by requesting that Council authorize it to proceed further in the preparation of the by-law establishing the Landlord and Tenant Advisory Bureau according to the foregoing.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LANDER SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted and the request outlined in the last paragraph of its report be granted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 32, 1970 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (9), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Pollution - Oil Refineries

The Municipal Solicitor has advised, as follows, on the question of whether or not the provisions of Section 402.2(2) of the Burnaby Zoning By-law give Council sufficient power to enforce anti-pollution measures against oil companies:

- (a) Since the subject provision of the By-law has never been tested in Court, it is impossible to answer the question with certainty.

- (b) The Section is general and refers at the same time to present and future annoyances and nuisances; health, fire and explosion hazards; electrical interferences and undue traffic congestion. It is doubtful if these matters can properly be enacted in a Zoning By-law. In such a By-law, the Council may regulate the use of land, buildings and structures. The Section 402.2(2) in question is not such a regulation.
- (c) The Council has wide powers under various sections of the Municipal Act to deal with pollution matters (Sections 634, 642, 870 and 879).
- (d) The subject Section 402.2(2) is a valid enactment and therefore prohibits nuisances at law.
- (e) Where proper evidence exists, an offender can be prosecuted or restrained by an injunction. The latter is likely to be the more effective remedy. A private citizen or the municipality may apply for such an injunction.
- (f) There is a Municipal Air Pollution By-law and a Provincial Pollution Control Act.
- (g) In conclusion, Section 402.2(2) of the Zoning By-law can be used to eliminate air pollution but, instead of this single general enactment, a By-law dealing comprehensively with pollution would be more effective.
- (h) Pollution should not be dealt with in the Zoning By-law, and should be handled in other By-laws, so the problem of non-conforming use is of no real consequence.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the report of the Manager be referred to the Pollution Committee for consideration whenever it is felt that sufficient evidence can be adduced which will enable the municipality to prosecute anyone violating the Pollution Control legislation, with the view in mind that the Committee will indicate which of the legislative vehicles referred to by the Solicitor in the report of the Manager is the most suitable for the prosecution."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) The South portion of Lot 1, Except Plan 12728, Block 2E½,
D.L. 162, Plan 5176
REFERENCE REZONING #2/70

The above property is located on the North side of Marine Drive approximately 160 feet West of Royal Oak Avenue and its dimensions are 152.2 feet by 107.08 feet.

May/11/1970

The Amendment to the Zoning By-law covering the rezoning of the property to the R3 category has had two readings.

The Planning Department has reported that, if the site is subdivided into three equal sized lots, each would be below the minimum area required in the By-law by 525 square feet.

The Department has pointed out that the Approving Officer has the power to exercise some discretion in the matter of minimum frontage for lots in the occasional situation where the width of the parent parcel does not permit the creation of lots with frontages required by the By-law.

The Approving Officer has not exercised discretion in the creation of properties which will have less than the minimum area allowed by the particular section of the By-law.

If the parcel is rezoned to the R3 category, the Approving Officer would be most reluctant to establish the precedent of creating lots below the minimum area requirements of the By-law because this will have the effect of increasing the density.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report of the Manager be received and be brought forward when the Amendment to the Zoning By-law covering the subject rezoning proposal is returned to Council for further consideration on May 19th, 1970."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR
PRITTIE AND ALDERMAN
BLAIR

(3) Sewer Extension (Subdivision Reference #56/70)

It was being recommended that Council authorize an extension of the sanitary sewer on Bainbridge Avenue North to Buffalo Street to the edge of land to be subdivided under the above reference number.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Contingency Mortgage - Gildemeester

It has been revealed that, for some reason, a contingency mortgage that was registered in 1953 against property known as 4822 Portland Street, which was to ensure that a second dwelling would be removed from the property, was never released after the conditions of the mortgage were satisfied in 1956.

It was therefore being recommended that authority be granted to execute the Discharge of Mortgage in question.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Aubrey - Phillips Area

The Planning Department has reported, as follows, on the question of developing privately-owned land in the above area:

- (a) Like other private holdings on the West side of Burnaby Mountain, the lack of major services (particularly sewer and water) and the absence of a detailed development plan have meant the retention of Small Holdings (A2) zoning and the rejection of applications to subdivide the lands.
- (b) Some of the owners of the land have now agreed to group together and give a developer the opportunity to pursue the provision of services to the area. The developer, in his turn, recognizing the ownership pattern and the existence of the Simon Fraser Townsite Plan, has enquired as to the possibility of the municipality co-operating in the preparation of a comprehensive detailed plan, and on the servicing and development of municipal holdings in the area.
- (c) This is not only a major undertaking, but it involves such other agencies as the School Board, the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District, and the Provincial Health and Highways Departments.
- (d) Council direction was being sought on the following three questions:
 - (i) Is Council prepared to have the Planning Department prepare a detailed comprehensive plan for the area, which is owned partly by the municipality and partly by a number of private owners?
 - (ii) Is Council prepared to co-operate with a developer in the servicing and development of the area?
 - (iii) What priority is to be attached to such a programme? Attention was being drawn to the fact that Council agreed to a commencement date of December, 1970 for a plan for a similar area lying West of Phillips Avenue, and it would be reasonable to broaden the area of study to include the subject area and start detailed planning in both in December, 1970).
- (e) The foregoing would not conflict with the most recent reference to municipal properties in the North-East Burnaby area that were mentioned in the in the Planning Department's Working Paper on the 1970 - 1979 Municipal Land Development Programme, which has still to be considered by Council.

The third alternative advanced by the Planning Department is the one the Municipal Manager considers to be the most reasonable approach at this time, having regard to earlier priorities and financial planning. In effect, this alternative is to add the area

which is the subject of the Planning Department's report to the one Council recently approved as a study project to commence around December 1, 1970.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the third alternative advanced in the report of the Planning Department, and concurred in by the Municipal Manager, be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Miscellaneous Street Improvements

It was being recommended that the tender submitted by Imperial Paving Limited, which amounts to \$82,182.00, for a variety of concrete curb and/or sidewalks work plus paving, be accepted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Lots 3 and 4, Block 26, D.L. 152, Plan 4955
PRELIMINARY PLAN APPROVAL #956

The Planning Department has reported as follows on an application for the above Preliminary Plan Approval:

- (a) The Preliminary Plan Approval was granted August 1, 1969 for a three-storey building, based on the then current By-law standards. There was no subsequent application for a building permit so development did not proceed.
- (b) On January 1, 1970, changes to regulations pertaining to the Multiple Family Residential Districts became effective. While these new conditions restrict development of an RM3 site having less than a 120 foot lot width to two-storeys and increase the front and side yard requirements, the subject property still qualifies for apartment development.
- (c) The Council specifically made provision for applications received after adoption of the By-law amendments but before the January 1, 1970 effective date. In that regard, the Council on September 24, 1969 considered Apartment Study 1969 and the resolutions passed relating to building size and location, site size, and open space requirements were made effective with respect to all rezoning applications received on or after January 1, 1970.
- (d) Applications received between the date of that meeting and January 1, 1970 were to be processed on the basis of the then existing Zoning By-law applications. As Preliminary Plan Approval Application #956 did not fall within this time period, it does not qualify for this consideration so the new regulations must apply.

- (e) No outstanding application was under consideration during the period stipulated by Council for processing under previous regulations, nor does the matter at hand represent a locked-in lot situation because the site has a width of 110 feet and meets current lot width and area requirements for a two-storey building.
- (f) It must be concluded that development of the site is subject to current Zoning By-law provisions.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the applicant for a permit to construct a twenty-suite apartment on Lots 3 and 4, Block 26, D.L. 152, Plan 4955 be permitted to proceed with such construction under the regulations which applied prior to the amendment to the Zoning By-law referred to in the report of the Planning Department, for a maximum of 90 days."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN DAILLY AND
BLAIR

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

(8) Lot 20, Block 32, D.L. 218, Plan 4953 (5817 Highfield Drive)

The matter of the Deputy Chief Building Inspector rejecting an application to construct a "holiday-type" chalet on the above property was tabled until the Council meeting this evening to allow both the applicant and those owning property immediately adjacent to the subject one an opportunity to express their views.

On the afternoon of May 1st, the applicant withdrew his application and Council was verbally advised accordingly at its meeting on May 4, 1970.

On May 7, 1970, information was received that the application is being reactivated.

If this does take place, action will be taken in accordance with Council's previous instructions, unless otherwise directed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the course of action proposed by the Municipal Manager in regard to the subject of his report, as detailed therein, be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

(9) Lots 3 and 4, Block "B", D.L. 90, Plan 9287
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #20/70 (Formerly #111/69)

The Planning Department has reported as follows in connection with the above subdivision:

- (a) In August last year a recommendation was made that Council approve a cost-sharing arrangement for servicing on a portion of Berkley Street involved with the above subdivision because municipal land would benefit.

- (b) A reply was received from the Manager that the Benevolent Subdivision policy was not applicable because the municipal land involved was slated for park use.
- (c) It was later suggested by the Manager that the subdivider could have the area which is presently part of the Sixth Street right-of-way (from which he could obtain two lots) in lieu of the cost-sharing for the servicing of Berkley Street.
- (d) The applicant has now requested that a cost-sharing arrangement be entered into for Berkley Street and also for Morley Street because two lots at the end of Morley Street, which are partly a portion of the Sixth Street right-of-way and which the municipality will own, will benefit.
- (e) In view of the foregoing, it was being recommended that consideration be given to:
 - (i) permitting the applicant to have both the Lots 6 and 7 shown on an attached sketch (part of which are the Sixth Street right-of-way).
 - (ii) A cost-sharing arrangement for the road and water services on Morley Street on the basis of the benefit to the Lots "A" and "B" shown on the same sketch, the cost to the Corporation being approximately \$1,000.00.
- (f) In order to achieve the subdivision, it will naturally be necessary to cancel the portions of the Sixth Street rights-of-way involved.

It was therefore being recommended that Council approve in principle this cancellation.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That negotiations be undertaken with the owner of the subject Lots 3 and 4 to effect an arrangement whereby he will receive that portion of the Lot 6 shown on the sketch attached to the report of the Planning Department which lies within the blue lines in return for the municipality obtaining from him that part of the Lot 7 shown on the sketch which is embraced by the red lines."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That, because the current Benevolent Subdivision Policy does not provide for the municipality sharing in servicing costs where the municipal land benefitting is designated for park purposes, a review be conducted of the policy to determine whether a change should be made in cases where land opposite park property is subdivided and services are provided."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN BLAIR AND
MAYOR PRITTE

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That authority be granted to enter into the cost-sharing arrangements for the road and water services on Morley Street that are outlined under (e)(ii) of the Planning Department's report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LADNER LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

BY - LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1970" #5694 and that it now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLARR:
"That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1970"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole
to consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW NO. 58, 1969" #5598."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-law provides for the following proposed rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Reference RZ #21/69

- (i) Lots 14 and 17, Block 4, D.L. 116, Plan 1236
- (ii) Lots 15 and 18, Block 4, D.L. 116/186, Plan 1236
- (iii) Lot 16, Block 4, D.L. 116N, Plan 1236

(4001 - 4051 Albert Street inclusive -- Located at the
North-East corner of Albert Street and MacDonal'd Avenue)

Municipal Clerk stated that the Planning Department had reported
that the prerequisites established by Council in connection
with this rezoning proposal are now nearing completion.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 58, 1969"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That:

- "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1970" #5693
- "BURNABY MOBILE BUILDINGS OCCUPANCY FEE BY-LAW 1970" #5682
- "BURNABY ROAD DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1959, REPEAL BY-LAW 1970" #5701

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That:

- "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1970"
- "BURNABY MOBILE BUILDINGS OCCUPANCY FEE BY-LAW 1970"
- "BURNABY ROAD DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 2, 1959, REPEAL BY-LAW 1970"

be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:15 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.M.

ALDERMEN LADNER AND DAILLY WERE PRESENT.

May/11/1970

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, stated that he had received a letter from the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce outlining its financial position and requesting that, because it is anticipated that its deficit for 1970 will be approximately \$6,500.00, a grant in this sum be made to the Chamber by Council.

The Chamber also provided statements containing details of its financial position as at December 31, 1969 plus its proposed Budget for 1970.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the request of the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce be referred to the Grants and Publicity Committee for consideration and recommendation."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER AND DAILLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole "In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY