

SEPTEMBER 29, 1969

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Monday, September 29, 1969 at 7:00 P.M.

PRESENT:

Mayor Prittie in the Chair;
Aldermen Blair, Clark, Dailly,
Drummond, Herd, Ladner, Mercier
and McLean;

MUNICIPAL MANAGER stated that a recorder had been connected to the public address system as an experiment.

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, proclaimed the period between October 5, 1969 and October 11, 1969 at Fire Prevention Week.

*

D E L E G A T I O N S

A letter was received from Miss Pauline Mudrakoff requesting an audience with Council for the purpose of protesting a proposal to rezone properties on the South side of the 3700 Block Albert Street to allow the construction of apartments thereon.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That Miss Mudrakoff be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Miss Mudrakoff then appeared and made the following points in her presentation:

- (a) A majority of the property owners in the immediate vicinity of the subject land oppose the rezoning proposal in question.
- (b) The construction of apartments on the street would:
 - (i) eliminate the excellent views which homeowners in the area presently enjoy.
 - (ii) increase traffic volumes in an area which is already seriously congested.
- (c) Apartments, in many cases, create a slum-like appearance on streets.

Sept/29/1969

- (d) The owners in the area are concerned because of the lack of consideration for them, many of whom purchased their houses in what they regarded as being a strictly residential area.
- (e) The presence of apartments creates an incompatible arrangement with single family homes.
- (f) In addition to the increase in traffic volumes, parking problems on the street will be compounded.
- (g) The homes on the lots under consideration for rezoning are, though deteriorating, still saleable.

It was drawn to the attention of Council that a report from the Planning Department dealing with the rezoning proposal which is the subject of Miss Mudrakoff's representations would be brought forward for consideration later in the evening.

*

MUNICIPAL CLERK stated that he had received a letter from Mr. John W. Motiuk, President of the Burnaby Tenants' Association, requesting an audience on the question of apartment accommodation in the municipality.

His Worship, Mayor Prittie, explained that it was the policy of Council to only hear delegations if a written request to appear was received by the Municipal Clerk prior to his preparing the Agenda for a Council meeting.

A remark was made in Council that the reason the request from Mr. Motiuk was only made earlier in the day is that the tenants in an apartment complex which was to be the subject of Mr. Motiuk's presentation only received notice of a proposed rent increase late last Friday and, since that was one of the reasons for the request for an audience with Council, it was impossible to comply with the policy of Council respecting persons wishing an audience.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That a spokesman for the Burnaby Tenants' Association be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Motiuk, President of the Association, then appeared and:

- (a) Suggested that proposed increases in rents for the suites in the apartment complex at 5742-5932 Hastings Street were unjustified because of alleged inadequacies in services, which are regarded as basic for such accommodation, and neglect in the maintenance of the building.
- (b) Urged that Council institute a Rental Accommodation Grievance Board so that matters such as those concerning tenants in apartment buildings can be satisfactorily resolved.

Sept/29/1969

Mr. Motiuk also made the following points in his presentation:

- (1) The owner of the apartment complex in question does not provide adequate heat in his buildings for the tenants.
- (2) Many of the suites in the building are infested with "silver fish".
- (3) Often times hot water is not available in sufficient quantities for all the tenants.
- (4) The building is in an intolerable state of repair.
- (5) A Committee from Council should meet with a Committee representing the tenants to discuss and deal with the problems.
- (6) Burnaby should institute the same type of Rental Accommodation Grievance Board which exists in Vancouver.
- (7) The owner of the apartment complex resides in Africa and has no concern for the tenants in the building.
- (8) The municipality must have stricter standards for apartment accommodation.
- (9) Another example of poor construction and maintenance is an apartment at 6535 Dunblane Avenue where, after a few months, the floors are heaving.
- (10) The notice which the tenants received from the representatives of the landlord of the apartments at 5742 - 5932 Hastings Street gives an ultimatum that, unless the tenants agree within a few days to accept the rent increase, they will be expected to move within one month.
- (11) There was a meeting the previous evening at the Capitol Hill Community Hall and, if the landlord knew who was there, these tenants could possibly face eviction.
- (12) Mr. Motiuk would meet with the landlord if it was felt this would resolve problems.
- (13) An example of the treatment accorded some tenants in apartment buildings is a situation which developed at Kincaid Court on 3955 Smith Avenue where the police were called upon to assist in evicting a tenant there.

Letters were also submitted by the following persons who expressed the same sentiments, more or less, as Mr. Motiuk in his presentation:

Mrs. Sylvia M. Blackwell; Mr. and Mrs. Allan Heavenor; Mr. D. K. Slimmon; Mr. B. M. Hill; Mr. V. Wasylyuk; Mr. and Mrs. O. Rance; Mr. & Mrs. A. Hunger; Mr. C. Gallagher; Mr. and Mrs. P. Poiries; Mr. and Mrs. J. McKay; Mrs. G. Crerar; Mr. B. Ridron; Mr. & Mrs. J. D. Downie; and Mrs. P. Garrett; Mr. B. Williams, Mrs. A. A. Borthroyd; Mrs. B. Hands; Mr. R. E. Conker; Mr. and Mrs. G. A. Nickull;

Sept/29/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Health and Building Departments submit reports to Council on October 6, 1969 indicating the results of their investigation of the complaints made this evening by Mr. Motiuk in connection with the apartment at 5742 - 5922 Hastings Street and another at 6535 Dunblane Avenue."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the question of establishing an agency which would handle grievances associated with rental accommodation be referred to a Special Committee of Council after such a body is formed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. W. L. Esdale submitted a letter requesting permission for the Highland Laddies Pipe Band to hold Tag Days on the evening of October 17th and all day of October 18, 1969.

It was mentioned to Council, during consideration of the request from Mrs. Esdale, that the United Community Services conducts its Red Feather Campaign during the entire month of October each year.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That, in view of the situation respecting the United Community Services holding its Red Feather Campaign during October each year, the Highland Laddies Pipe Band be asked to select some date(s) after October 31st for their Tag Day(s)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

M. F. and C. E. Kennedy submitted a letter enquiring as to the position of Council in regard to the matter of air pollution caused by outdoor burning.

Alderman Ladner, Chairman of the Air Pollution Committee, stated that he would be submitting a report to Council on October 6, 1969 in regard to the general question of air pollution controls.

Executive Secretary, Royal Canadian Legion, South Burnaby Branch No. 83, submitted a letter requesting:

- (a) Permission to sell wreaths between November 3rd and November 8, 1969;

Sept/29/1969

- (b) That His Worship, Mayor Prittie, proclaim the period between November 3rd and November 8, 1969 as Poppy Week;
- (c) Permission to hold a Tag Day on the evening of November 7th and all day on November 8, 1969;
- (d) Permission to hold a Remembrance Day Parade on November 11th commencing at 10:30 a.m. from the Simpsons-Sears parking lot, thence Easterly along Kingsway to the premises of the Branch.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That all of the requests from Branch No. 83 of the Royal Canadian Legion be granted, with the one related to the Parade being subject to the approval of the R.C.M.P. and to the Department of Highways having no objection to the proposal."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. E. M. Williams wrote to express his views with respect to the future use of the area bounded by Hastings Street, Duthie Avenue and Barnet Road for apartment purposes.

Mrs. E. Fershau submitted a letter urging that Council reconsider a proposal to not designate a portion of an area in Westridge between Barnet Highway and Duthie Avenue North of Hastings Street for future apartment use.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That action on the matter of concern to Mr. Williams and Mrs. Fershau be deferred until receipt of a report from the Planning Department on the merits of deleting the area bounded by Barnet Highway, Duthie Avenue, Hastings Street and Pandora Street, except for existing apartment therein, from a larger area which is considered suitable for further apartment use."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Minister of Highways submitted a letter advising that it is presently anticipated that a two-year period will be required for the consolidation of the foundation soils before structures can be erected in the construction of the Stormont Interchange.

He also indicated that the connection between Lougheed Highway and the Interchange in question could be completed thirty months after work commences on the embankments.

Alderman McLean suggested that the Department of Highways should be asked to construct Clover Valley Road in Surrey so that a considerable volume of traffic, particularly trucks, using streets in Burnaby could be otherwise accommodated.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the Traffic Safety Committee offer its opinion on the matter of requesting the Department of Highways to study the possibility of taking immediate action to construct the Clover Valley Road in Surrey because this facility will be used a great deal by truck traffic and, because of its location, it will eliminate the need for this traffic to use roads in Burnaby and thus minimize to a great extent the complaints which are received concerning truck traffic on streets in the municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

Minister of Municipal Affairs submitted a letter on the question of Rental Accommodation Grievance Boards advising that:

- (a) He questioned the wisdom of the Provincial Government establishing regulations and contributing financially for the operating costs of such Boards because circumstances vary substantially from one community to the other and the regulations would therefore need to be different if they are to adequately reflect these differences.
- (b) Provincial financial support is not a proper approach; rather, possibly the legislation might provide for a fixed fee of around \$5.00 for each one who appeals to a Rental Accommodation Grievance Board.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the Minister of Municipal Affairs be requested to provide answers to all the points made by Council at its September 15th meeting relative to the question of Rental Accommodation Grievance Boards and it be pointed out to the Minister that such Boards do not really differ substantially from Assessment Courts of Revision and Appeal Boards in their function."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council also directed that copies of all the letters which have been written to and by the Minister of Municipal Affairs in connection with Rental Accommodation Grievance Boards be forwarded to the Burnaby Tenants' Association for its information.

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

*

TABLED MATTERS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

(a) Shu-Pac Garbage Bodies

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That authority be granted to purchase five Shu-Pac Garbage Bodies, with the extras shown in the report the Manager submitted to Council on the matter, from F. & F. Equipment Ltd. for the sum of \$89,060.00 plus 5% Provincial Tax, on the understanding the

Municipal Engineer will keep a close surveillance during the construction of the equipment to ensure that the garbage bodies are built according to the standards required by the Municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

It was suggested that a survey be made to determine the feasibility of equipment manufacturers in Burnaby providing the municipality with equipment we may require.

It was contended that, if local suppliers could furnish such equipment for the same costs as others outside the municipality, then the Council should show preference for the local manufacturer.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the Municipal Engineer explore the proposal enunciated above concerning equipment manufacturers and submit a report on the matter after his considerations."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(b) Block 101, D.L. 132, Plan 1493 (McLean)

SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #129/68

AND

Policy - Lanes in Subdivisions

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That Item (6) of Report No. 59, 1969, which is scheduled for consideration this evening, be brought forward at this time because it deals with the subject at hand."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Lane Policy

The following is the substance of the report of the Manager on this matter:

- (a) The thing which precipitated this report was a protest from Mr. McLean against a subdivision servicing requirement that he deposit \$1,200.00 for the paving of a lane to be created by his subdivision.
- (b) In his case, a partial lane allowance had previously been acquired by the municipality without construction or the deposit of funds for that purpose. Mr. McLean is being required to dedicate the land for the remainder of the lane allowance and also pay for the complete cost of constructing it. The original subdivider provided fifteen feet of the allowance and Mr. McLean is required to provide only five feet.

- (c) The Municipality has had a variety of policies respecting lanes on subdivisions over the past year.
- (d) At one time, subdividers were required to provide and construct lanes to a gravel standard or deposit monies in trust for such work where immediate construction was not practicable for any reason.
- (e) The policy was later changed to require a subdivider to provide the lane allowance, construct it to a gravel standard, if practicable, or deposit monies in trust for construction if it was considered practicable to carry out the work within one year from the date of subdivision. If it was considered that construction would not likely be practicable within one year, no deposit was required.
- (f) This policy was later rescinded and either construction or the deposit of monies was required. This was the original policy.
- (g) Subsequently, the Council decided that all new lanes built in the municipality, either by the Corporation or by subdividers or developers, must be paved. This is the policy in effect at this time.
- (h) When a lane is to be built upon subdivision, the subdivider is called upon for the entire cost, less any monies which may have been collected in trust for such work. In the case at hand, there is no money in trust so the subdivider is being required by the Approving Officer to pay the entire cost.
- (i) It is likely a very fair claim to make that the municipality now has almost every possible combination of the above policies reflected in the present status of lanes.
- (j) Inequities of many sorts are inherent in subdivision. In many cases, the "early" subdivider in effect confers benefits upon future subdividers. The particular case now in contention appears to be the reverse because Mr. McLean is being required to pay for a portion of a lane allowance a previous subdivider was not required to construct nor deposit monies therefor.
- (k) Residential lanes differ from roads in that their value is mainly related to the property and its tenants. It is a "service" facility and is of little or no use or value to the public at large. Where such use is made by the public (e.g. the lane South of Ridgelawn Drive), it is found necessary to restrict the use of the lane.
- (l) A lane, in general, serves the following four main purposes:
 - (i) it provides rear access to the property.
 - (ii) it allows for garbage collection from the rear of the property.
 - (iii) it can accommodate a drainage facility for properties in the immediate area.
 - (iv) it provides a right-of-way for utilities, such as Hydro and Telephone facilities, thus making it possible to keep such facilities off streets.

- (m) Residential lanes can be considered much more "private" than roads.
- (n) In subdivisions, the public-at-large does not contribute towards roads though a better case could be made for this than for lanes.

It was being recommended that Council reaffirm its current policy respecting lanes in subdivisions and its application in subdivision control.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(c) Proposed Diesel Fuel Pump Installation (Norland Truck Parts and Equipment Ltd.)

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That Item 11 of Report No. 59, 1969 of the Municipal Manager, which is scheduled for consideration later in the evening and which deals with the subject at hand, be brought forward at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Diesel Fuelling Installations

The Planning Department has, as directed by Council, reported on the question of whether the Zoning By-law should be amended to allow for the installation of a system for dispensing diesel fuels from property owned by Norland Truck Parts and Equipment Ltd. at 2958/60 Norland Avenue.

The following is the substance of the report from the Planning Department:

- (a) The proposed use involves the installation of a pump handling diesel fuel only on the Norland Truck Parts and Equipment Ltd. site on Norland Avenue.
- (b) The pump will be controlled by a keylock system which records the amount of fuel taken from the tank for the operating equipment of any one of several specific companies who will be using the facility.
- (c) While a number of diesel fuelling installations are presently located in industrially-zoned areas, they are regarded as accessory uses since their purpose is to dispense fuel only to the owner or lessee of the property.
- (d) The proposed installation would involve the sale of fuel to a number of trucking companies.
- (e) Under the present Zoning By-law, such an operation is considered as a gasoline service station. The Legal Department concurs with this interpretation. "Gasoline Service Station" is defined by the Zoning By-law as "Any building or land used or intended to be used for the retail sale of motor fuels and lubricants and may include the servicing and minor repairing of motor vehicles and the sale of automobile accessories".

- (f) Gasoline service stations are presently permitted in C2, C4 and C6 zones. They are also allowed in C1 Districts as part of a shopping centre and in C3 zones where included as part of a shopping centre or in combination with an automobile show room and/or establishment for the retail sale of new automobile parts and accessories.
- (g) Gasoline service stations have been purposely omitted from the industrial zones because of the commercial nature of their operations. Since commercial uses can usually afford more expensive sites, the inclusion of service stations in industrial zones could lead to the preemption of the frontage of many large industrial tracts and the use of land which is more suited for industrial development.
- (h) While similar in many respects to the gasoline service station, the diesel fueling installation is somewhat different in that its primary function is the supplying of fuel and, in some cases, lubricants to trucks and heavy equipment. As such, it performs a much more limited type of service than the gasoline service station and is particularly oriented to such industrial uses as cartage, delivery and express facilities, truck transport firms and truck terminals.
- (i) Diesel fueling installations are commonly located in industrial areas and often in association with industrial park development. In some instances, they only involve a pump island with access and egress lanes, either on a separate site or on the same lot as another industrial use. In other cases, a small attendant office or service building is included as part of the operation.
- (j) In considering the possible addition of the diesel fueling installation as a permitted use in industrial zones, it is important that a clear distinction be made between this type of operation and the gasoline service station. In order to ensure reasonable development standards, it is desirable that many of the regulations which govern gasoline service stations be applied to diesel fueling installations (e.g. the location of pump islands, the paving of service areas, the provision of screening adjacent to a residential zone, etc.).
- (k) The "conditions of use" requirements in the C6 zones are as follows:
 - (i) Screening of not less than four feet in height shall be provided and properly maintained along any boundary of the lot which abuts a lot in an A, R or RM District.
 - (ii) Gasoline service pumps or pump islands shall be located not closer than 15 feet to any property line.
 - (iii) All servicing and servicing equipment, other than that normally carried on a pump island, shall be entirely enclosed within a building.
 - (iv) The entire service area shall be paved with a permanent surface of asphalt or concrete, and any unpaved areas of the lot shall be suitably landscaped and maintained, and separated from the paved areas by a curb or other barrier.

- (v) Where a lot abuts a lot in an A, R or RM District, or is separated by a street or lane therefrom, exterior lighting shall be designed to deflect away from adjacent properties.

It was being recommended that the Zoning By-law be amended to:

- (1) Include "Diesel fueling installations" as a permitted use in M1, M2, M3 and M4 Districts, subject to the provisions of Section 306.2 of the C6 District.

- (2) Include the following definition:

"Diesel Fueling Installation means any building or land used or intended to be used for the sale of diesel fuel and lubricants to commercial vehicles and industrial equipment, but shall not include a gasoline service station."

The Planning Director stated that, though the recommendation in his report was that the inclusion of diesel fueling installations in Industrial Districts should be subject to the provisions of Section 306.2 of the C6 District of the Zoning By-law, he felt the stipulation should not be required.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That Council approve for further consideration a proposal to amend Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965 to include, in Industrial Districts, diesel fueling installations as a permitted use and a Public Hearing be held on this rezoning proposal."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

NOTICE OF MOTION

The following Notice of Motion from Alderman Mercier was then considered:

RESOLVED: "That this Council establish the position of Development and Communication Officer with a salary scale comparable to that of a Department Head and reporting directly to the Mayor and Council outside the regular administrative process."

The following was offered by Alderman Mercier in support of his Motion:

- (a) The appointee would report directly to the Council and would not be classed as a Municipal employee because he would be engaged on a contract basis which would be subject to annual review.
- (b) The person appointed to the position could arrange meetings between those desiring to implement development schemes in the municipality and appropriate staff of the Corporation and act as a co-ordinator in the encouragement and implementation of new development.
- (c) He could investigate citizens complaints and arrange for the satisfaction of them.

- (d) He could review time study reports covering departments.
- (e) He could implement measures designed to correct inter-departmental communication problems.
- (f) The creation of the position could possibly do away with the need for the position of Assistant Manager.
- (g) He would use the stenographic and other facilities available in the Office of the Municipal Clerk.
- (h) He could arrange for Department Heads to form an Executive Committee.
- (i) The reason for the position, in addition to what has already been indicated, is that the present administration is overstructured and the object would be to study ways and means of rectifying this situation. In this respect, such^a study would determine the reasons for carrying a staff in wage brackets over \$10,000.00; which is considered to be a preponderance in relation to the total staff of the Municipality.
- (j) He could review the By-law which delegates the power of Council to the Parks and Recreation Commission to handle park matters.
- (k) He could examine the duties and functions of each Department to determine whether improvements can be made.
- (l) The introduction of the position of Development and Communication Officer would in no way affect the present managerial system.

ALDERMAN DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the proposal advanced by Alderman Mercier, as detailed above, be referred to a Special Committee consisting of His Worship, Mayor Prittie and Alderman Mercier, for consideration and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Planning Director was asked to produce a report reflecting any pertinent evolutions which have occurred on the subject of industrial development in the municipality since his presentation on the subject in 1967.

*

The following Notice of Motion from Alderman Blair was then considered:

"Whereas there is a dire need for housing;
And Whereas the cost of housing is high, necessitating large amounts of money having to be borrowed in order to purchase a new home;
And Whereas the cost of borrowed monies is also high;
Therefore be it resolved that the Municipal Manager bring in a list of the by-laws, and other necessary changes, for Council to study with the view in mind of setting aside an area, apart from others, where individuals can lease land for the purpose of building their own home over a period of time."

The following points were made in Council as a result of considering this Motion:

- (a) The Council may need to ease some of its building restrictions if the object of the resolution is implemented.
- (b) Any relaxation of building regulations could precipitate "tar paper shacks" situations.
- (c) The problem outlined in the Motion could perhaps be resolved by Council allowing trailers to occupy land in industrial areas.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Motion set out above be amended by deleting the phrase "apart from others" and also by deleting the words at the end "building their own home over a period of time" and replacing the latter deletion with "having their own home built"."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN BLAIR

A vote was then taken on the original motion, as amended, and it was carried with Alderman Blair against.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

R E P O R T S

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, reported verbally on the question of whether or not Burnaby should amalgamate with the City of Vancouver. He made the following points in his presentation:

- (a) The Special Committee which was appointed by Council in early January, 1969 to deal with the subject of amalgamating Burnaby with Vancouver has met but did not report because it felt no positive conclusions could be reached on the subject, and there did not appear to be any urgency.
- (b) There does not seem to be public, or Council, support for the proposal.
- (c) The amalgamation issue is regarded as occupying a lower priority than the matter of Regional Government, and therefore the latter issue should be given full attention.
- (d) In time, a megalopolis will likely develop in the Lower Mainland, thus nullifying the need for any amalgamating of municipalities therein.
- (e) Though the foregoing comments are his own, the other two members of the Special Committee appointed in January share the same views.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Special Committee appointed by Council on January 6, 1969 to study the question of amalgamating the District of Burnaby with the City of Vancouver be dissolved until it is felt necessary to reactivate consideration of the proposal."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:15 P.M.

THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 9:35 P.M.

*

ALDERMAN LADNER submitted a report dealing with the procedure followed for the processing of rezoning applications, advising as follows:

- (a) The present policy is for Council to consider rezoning applications four times a year and to bring forward all applications received immediately prior to when Council considers them.
- (b) Concern has been expressed in Council about the apparent increasing number of applications that are processed each of the four times and the proportionate decreasing amount of time at each member's disposal to give each application proper consideration.
- (c) Another point is the length of time an applicant must wait to have his rezoning proposal considered.
- (d) To bring applications forward too frequently would diminish the Planning Department's efficiency in dealing with them and would consequently increase the unit cost to the Corporation.
- (e) When the current policy was established (April 1967), the Planning Director recommended that Council establish six rezoning sessions each year, instead of four, if Council concurred with his recommendations regarding the fee structure for rezoning applications and the hiring of another staff member. These latter two proposals were endorsed by Council but the other one concerning the six rezoning sessions was deferred to determine whether there would be a decrease in the number of applications.
- (f) The number of rezoning applications did become radically less but, in 1968, there was another upsurge. Of significance in that regard is the fact that 89 of the 134 applications which were considered in 1968 were referred to a Public Hearing compared to only 56 out of 153 in 1966.
- (g) The figures for 1969 cannot be considered highly indicative because of the moratorium on rezoning applications for Multiple Family development, although it appears likely the number of applications submitted in the future will not decrease significantly, if at all.
- (h) One problem with the current policy is that many applications are received immediately prior to the meeting when they are to be considered by Council. This makes it most difficult for the Planning Department to process them in an orderly and efficient fashion. It would appear

desirable, therefore, for Council to pre-determine the dates upon which it will consider rezoning applications and establish a cut-off date some time prior to the time when applications will be considered.

Alderman Ladner concluded by recommending that:

- (1) On November 17, 1969, all applications for rezoning which have been received prior to November 1, 1969 be brought forward for consideration by Council.
- (2) At the first Council meeting after the 14th day of the month of every second month thereafter, all rezoning applications received prior to the beginning of that month be brought before Council.

Alderman Ladner suggested that, if Council concurs with his recommendations, the time spent for processing applications should be no longer than six weeks (two weeks to come before Council, two weeks for a Public Hearing, and two weeks for By-law readings, the latter depending on the time required by the applicant to satisfy the prerequisites), provided an application is made before the end of the month next before which Council will be considering applications.

He added that other advantages will be that developers will know precisely when Council will be considering rezoning applications and there should be fewer applications considered by Council at each session.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the first recommendation in the report be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN MERCIER

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Planning Department submit reports on rezoning applications as they are received but Council only hold the Public Hearing(s) on any which are approved for further consideration every second month."

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the previous Motion be tabled for one week to allow the Planning Director an opportunity to offer his opinion on the proposal."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the meeting extend beyond the hour of 10:00 p.m."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN DRUMMOND

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 59, 1969 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (13), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Railway Crossing at Barnet Beach

On August 11, 1969, Alderman Clark stated he had been talking to Mr. R. Perrault, the Member of Parliament for Burnaby-Seymour, who had advised that he (Mr. Perrault) had made enquiries concerning a crossing of the C.P.R. at Barnet Beach and had been informed that the municipality had never made an application for any type of crossing of the railroad.

The matter was referred to the Parks and Recreation Commission, which has now indicated:

- (a) A letter was written on June 7, 1968 by the Parks Administrator to the Chairman of the Commission in connection with the matter.
- (b) At the same time, the Commission requested that a formal application be made to the Railway Transport Committee of the Canadian Transport Commission for a level crossing.

Copies of the aforementioned June 7, 1968 letter are being attached.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That this item be tabled until the October 6th meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Parcel "A", Explanatory Plan 9160, except Plan 25736, the East 498 feet, D.L. 85, (Eggler)

The Corporation has been served with a Writ of Summons commanding an appearance to be entered in an action proposed to be brought for:

- (a) damages allegedly caused by the wrongful refusal of the Corporation to issue a permit for the construction of a building on the above described property.
- (b) an order of mandamus commanding the Corporation to issue, or cause to be issued, a building permit for the property.

An appearance has been filed by the Municipal Solicitor in connection with the matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Sept/29/1969

- (3) Lot 'G', as shown on Plan with By-law filed 44715, D.L. 53,
Plan 3037

The above described property was originally part of the 18th Street right-of-way. It was abandoned some years ago and title to the property was vested in the Crown in the Right of the Province of British Columbia. The municipality subsequently obtained title to the parcel. Following that, the property (the subject Lot "G") was offered for sale and the successful tenderers were Mr. & Mrs. W. Farrell, who own the lot adjacent to the subject property.

The Farrells paid the full purchase price of \$8,500.00 in cash plus \$600.00 for the construction of a lane.

The Legal Department was then directed to prepare and register a conveyance from the municipality to Mr. and Mrs. Farrell. A search was made of the property at the Land Registry Office at this time and it was found that, during the time the property was held by the Crown, an easement had been granted to the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority over the North-Easterly four by twenty foot portion of the lot. The purpose of the easement was apparently for an anchor for a guy line supporting a hydro pole in front of the property.

The Farrells have been made aware of this easement situation and they have now indicated they wish to withdraw from the purchase of the property and have their money refunded.

As the existence of the easement was not known at the time the property was advertised for sale and there was no record of the easement having been granted to the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority, the encumbrance (the easement) did not appear in the advertisement inviting tenders for the purchase of the property.

It was therefore being recommended that:

- (a) The sale of the property to Mr. and Mrs. Farrell be cancelled and all monies paid by them be refunded to them.
- (b) Authority be granted to re-advertise the property for sale by public tender, subject to the existence of the easement in question.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (4) Block 88, D.L. 132, Plan 1493
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 101/69

It was being recommended that Council waive the requirements of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act insofar as they apply to a subdivision of the above described property in order to exempt the subdivider from being required to provide the amount of land prescribed by the subsection as a highway.

Sept/29/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the owner of D.L. 132, Block 88, Plan 1493 be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by Allen McDonald and sworn the 15th day of September, 1969"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Lighting in Municipal Hall

It was being recommended that the quotation of B. C. Acoustical Specialties Ltd. in the amount of \$5,094.00 for the replacement of certain lighting facilities in the main corridors of the first and second floors of the Municipal Hall, as more particularly outlined in an attached specification, be accepted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN BLAIR

(6) Lane Policy

(This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting)

(7) B. C. Recreation Association

The Parks and Recreation Commission has requested that Council authorize Commissioner Doreen Lawson to attend a meeting of the B. C. Recreation Association in Kamloops on October 3rd and 4, 1969. The estimated cost of her attendance is \$128.00.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That Commissioner Doreen Lawson be authorized to attend the meeting mentioned in the report of the Manager."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Lot 16, Block 3, D.L. 68, Plan 980
REZONING REFERENCE NO. 44/68

The above Lot is owned by the Corporation and separates two lots owned by the same person.

On June 17, 1968, the Council approved the exchange of the Lot 16 for the adjacent Lot 15, and also agreed to rezone Lot 16 to RM3 (which is the same as Lot 17), subject to:

- (a) The deposit of monies to cover the construction of the lane at the rear of the site and to bring the adjacent street to an appropriate standard.
- (b) The deposit of monies to provide adequate storm drainage facilities for the site.

Sept/29/1969

(c) The consolidation of Lots 16 and 17 into one site.

The owner involved wishes to now proceed with the land exchange and the rezoning.

Because the Council made its decision over one year ago, the matter is being referred back along with a recommendation that the June 17, 1968 decision be confirmed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation contained in the report of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Lot 127, D.L. 85, Plan 33296
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 90/69

The above subdivision, which involves land located North of Rugby Street and West of Chislehampton Street, would create two lots having frontages on Rugby Street of 35 and 80 feet respectively.

The area is being developed with lots having frontages of 80 feet or greater.

The proposed Lot (159) with a frontage of 35 feet will eventually become part of a larger parcel when the property to the West is subdivided.

In the meantime, the owner of the property is prepared to enter into an agreement prohibiting the construction of any building on the said Lot 159.

It was being recommended that Council authorize the execution of this agreement.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Trail from 13th Avenue to the former Central Park Interurban line

The Municipal Engineer has issued orders to make the trail between the "top" of 12th Avenue (not 13th Avenue, as was requested) and the former Central Park Interurban line more usable by the people in the area.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the action taken by the Municipal Engineer be ratified."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Diesel Fueling Installations

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting.)

(12) Miscellaneous Rezoning Applications

The reports of the Planning Department on the ten applications to rezone properties, which were received by Council on September 15, 1969, were brought forward and dealt with as follows:

(1) Reference RZ #134/68

- (a) Lots 1, 2 Ept. and 3 Ept., Block 27, D.L. 32, Plan 10045
- (b) Lots 4 and 5, Block 27, D.L. 32, Plan 12272

(Located on the North-West corner of Royal Oak Avenue and Newton Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The abandonment and sale of the North/South lane allowance which presently separates the properties.
- (b) The submission and registration of a subdivision plan consolidating the five lots and the lane allowance referred to under (a).
- (c) The submission of evidence that a satisfactory solution has been found to the relocation of existing hydro poles in the lane allowance that is to be abandoned.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development.
- (e) The submission of an undertaking to remove all existing structures from the site within six months of the rezoning being effected.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN MERCIER LEFT THE MEETING.

(2) Reference RZ #7/69

Lots 4, 5, 12 and 13, Block 9, D.L. 30, Plan 3036

(The subject properties form a through site between Rosewood and Holly Streets 198 feet East of Humphries Avenue)

Sept/29/1969

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Two (RM2) not be approved because the area in which the lots are located contains dwellings which are good quality single or two family in character.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Reference RZ #9/69

Lot "A", S.D. 2, Block 31, D.L. 152, Plan 3627

(Located on the South side of Kingsway between Burlington and Royal Oak Avenues)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The cancellation of the East-West lane allowance along the Southern boundary of the property and its replacement with another one along the Southern boundary of an enlarged site which includes lands to the South of the subject Lot "A".
- (b) The consolidation of the subject property with the adjacent lane allowance and the four lots immediately to the South.
- (c) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of constructing and paving the new East-West lane allowance.
- (d) The submission of an undertaking to remove all existing structures from the site within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (e) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Reference RZ #10/69

Lots 5 - 7 inclusive, Block 39, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2884

(Located between McKay and Silver Avenues 152 feet North of Maywood Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because the lots are designated for future use as a part of a proposed small community park and their purchase is included in the parks acquisition programme.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That a decision on this rezoning application be deferred to allow the Planning Department an opportunity to indicate to Council, at its October 6th meeting, when it is intended to acquire the lots for park purposes."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Reference RZ #13/69

Lots 2 to 4 inclusive, Block 80, D.L. 127, Plan 4953

(Located on the East side of Howard Avenue South of Capitol Drive)

The Planning Department recommended that the rezoning of Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 80, D.L. 127, Plan 4953 to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the four lots into one site.
- (b) The submission of an undertaking that all existing structures on the site will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (c) The submission of a suitable detailed plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Reference RZ #17/69

Lots 1 to 4 inclusive, Block 8, D.L.'s 116/186, Plan 1236

(Located on the South-East corner of Boundary Road and Albert Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved and that the applicant be encouraged to:

- (a) assemble a through site between Hastings Street and Albert Street.
- (b) consider a more intensive comprehensive-type development.

It was drawn to the attention of Council that this was the rezoning application which was the subject of a presentation earlier in the evening by Miss P. Mudrakoff.

Alderman Herd expressed concern over the fact a developer had proceeded with the preparation of preliminary plans for an apartment development on the site, even though no guarantee regarding the rezoning could be given to him.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the application which is the subject of the report from the Planning Department be approved for further consideration and the proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN HERD AND
McLEAN

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN BLAIR, CLARK,
DAILLY, DRUMMOND,
MERCIER AND LADNER

MOTION LOST

(7) Reference RZ #35/69

Lot 6, Except North 20 feet, Block 2, D.L. 205, Plan 3328

(Located on the South side of Hastings Street, 262 feet West of Fell Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Multiple Family Residential District Two (RM2) not be approved but that the rezoning of the site to the R41 category be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to this rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the property.
- (b) The dedication of the Southerly 33 feet of the property for road purposes.
- (c) The deposit of money to provide storm sewer service to the site.
- (d) The submission of an undertaking that all existing structures will be removed from the site within six months of the rezoning being effected.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Reference RZ #40/69

Lots 4 and 5, Block 7, D.L. s 116/186, Plan 1236

(Located on the South side of Albert Street 150 feet East of Esmond Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved and the applicant be encouraged to assemble a site of suitable dimensions for the purpose of undertaking a comprehensive type development like that outlined in the report.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Reference RZ #49/69

Lots 2 to 5 inclusive, Block 1, D.L. 116 S $\frac{1}{2}$, Plan 1439

(Located on the North-West corner of Georgia Street and Esmond Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because the lots do not lie within an area which is regarded as being suitable for apartment development.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Reference RZ #62/69

Lot 4, D.L. 126, Plan 23019

(Located on the South-West corner of Buchanan Street and Duthie Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that Council confirm the boundaries suggested in the Apartment Study for the area in which the subject property is located and allow the normal subdivision of the property to proceed because the area is predominantly single family in character or is being developed in that manner.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(28) Reference RZ 21/69

Lots 14 to 18 inclusive, Block 4, D.L. 116/186, Plan 1236

(Located on the North-East corner of Albert Street and MacDonald Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the five lots into one site.
- (b) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of paving the lane at the rear of the site.
- (c) The submission of an undertaking that all existing improvements on the properties will be removed within six months of the rezoning being completed.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN MERCIER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

(29) Reference RZ #23/69

- (a) Lots 10, 11, 12 N $\frac{1}{2}$, 17, 18 and 19, Block 13, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2660
- (b) Lots 1 and 2, S.D. "C", Block 13, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 4991
- (c) Lot "D", S.D. "C", Block 13, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 4979

(Located between Willingdon and Cassie Avenues immediately North of the B. C. Hydro right-of-way.)

The Planning Department recommended that the rezoning of the subject properties plus Lot 3, S.D. "C", Block 13, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 4991 to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of all parcels into one site.
- (b) The dedication of the South 33 feet of the site for the Beresford Street road allowance plus the Easterly 16.5 feet for the widening of Cassie Avenue.
- (c) The deposit of monies to construct the aforementioned Beresford Street allowance to a final standard.
- (d) The deposit of monies to cover half the cost of constructing Cassie Avenue to a final standard.
- (e) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(30) Reference RZ #27/69

- (a) Lots 15 and 17, Block 39, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2884
- (b) Lots 16 A and 16 B, Block 39, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 4690

(Located approximately 326 feet South of Beresford Street with frontages on both McKay and Silver Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the lots into one site.
- (b) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(31) Reference RZ #29/69

Lots 13 and 14, Block 1, D.L.'s 121/187, Plan 1354

(Located on the North side of Albert Street approximately 198 feet West of Carlton Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the two lots into one site.
- (b) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of paving the lane at the rear of the site.
- (c) The submission of an undertaking that all existing improvements on the properties will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

(32) Reference RZ #34/69

- (a) Lot 18, S.D. 17, Blocks 1 and 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1414
- (b) Lots 13, 14, 15, S.D. 18, D.L. 95N, Plan 1880

(Located on the North side of Balmoral Street approximately
132 feet West of Salisbury Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because the introduction of apartment zoning in the area is regarded as being premature but, if the residents in the area do not object, the rezoning be considered.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That a decision on the rezoning proposal which is the subject of the report from the Planning Department be deferred pending an opinion from the Planning Director as to the merits in advancing the rezoning of the subject properties to some multiple family category, not necessarily RM3."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

(33) Reference RZ #42/69

Lots 11 to 15 inclusive, Block 80, D.L. 127, Plan 4953

(Located at the South-West corner of Ellesmere Avenue
and Capitol Drive)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the five lots into one site.
- (b) The submission of an undertaking that all existing improvements on the site will be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (c) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of extending storm drainage facilities to the site.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(34) Reference RZ #52/69

- (a) Lots "A" and "D", R.S.D. 16/18, S.D. 18, Blk. 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 12331
- (b) Lots "B" and "C", S.D. 16/18, Block 18, D.L. 95, Plan 12331
- (c) Lot 17, S.D. 17, Blk. 1/3, D.L. 95, Plan 1414
- (d) Lots 6-9 incl., S.D. 19/20, R.S.D. "A", Blk. 1/3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1264
- (e) Lots 10 and 11, Block "A", D.L. 95, Plan 1264

(Located on the South side of Elwell Street 132 feet West of Salisbury Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because the introduction of apartment zoning in the area is regarded as being premature but, if the residents in the area do not object, the rezoning be considered.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That a decision on the rezoning proposal which is the subject of the report from the Planning Department be deferred pending an opinion from the Planning Director as to the merits in advancing the rezoning of the subject properties to some multiple family category, not necessarily RM3."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

ALDERMAN DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

(35) Reference RZ #44/69

- (a) Lots 7 and 8, Blocks 23/24, D.L. 32, Plan 1444
- (b) Lot "A" E. 88 feet, Block 24, D.L. 32, Plan 5407

(Located on the South-West corner of Newton Street and Marlborough Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to a multiple family category not be approved but the applicant be encouraged to assemble a through site and consider a comprehensive development scheme for the larger site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, LEFT THE MEETING.

ACTING MAYOR McLEAN ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

(36) Reference RZ #53/69

- (a) Lots 4 to 7 inclusive, S.D. 39/42, Blocks 1 and 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 2751
- (b) Lots 1 and 2, D.L. 95, Plan 23285

(Located on the South side of Beresford Street between Salisbury Avenue and Murrin Road)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because apartment development of the properties is premature in that the lots are designated for future apartment use.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(37) Reference RZ #47/69

Lots 1 and 2, Block 49, D.L. 30, Plan 4497

(Located on the North-East corner of Sixteenth Avenue and Kingsway)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the two lots into one site.
- (b) The dedication of 16.5 feet for the widening of Kingsway.
- (c) The submission of an undertaking that all existing improvements on the properties will be removed within six months of the rezoning being completed.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

During consideration of the foregoing recommendation from the Planning Department, a point was made in Council that the area of the properties, as they stand now, is approximately 19,760 square feet and, if the owners were to dedicate the Southerly 16.5 feet of the lots for the widening of Kingsway, the remaining area would be somewhat small for the erection of an RM3 apartment.

A suggestion was made that perhaps the owner of the two lots could consider acquiring additional property (possibly the Lots 3 to 5 of Block 39, shown on the accompanying plan) and, if a portion of Sylvan Drive was cancelled and some other arrangement made for an outlet to 16th Avenue, a better site could be assembled for the proposed apartment

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That a decision on the subject rezoning application be deferred to allow the Planning Director to contact the applicant for the purpose of determining whether or not there is a possibility of effecting an arrangement such as that suggested this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(38) Reference RZ #50/69

Lots 4 to 6 inclusive, Blocks 51/52, D.L. 30, Plan 4098

(Located on the North-West corner of Kingsway and Hubert Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) be approved for further consideration and, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the three lots into one parcel and the dedication of the North-Easterly 16.5 feet of the site for the widening of Kingsway.
- (b) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of a storm sewer extension to service the site.
- (c) The submission of an undertaking that all existing improvements will be removed from the site within six months of the rezoning being completed.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site which reflects the ultimate closure of the Northerly portion of Hubert Street.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN CLARK AND
McLEAN

(39) Reference RZ #28/69

Lot 2, Block 22 S $\frac{1}{2}$, D.L. 6, Plan 6791

(Located on the North side of Cameron Street approximately midway between Noel Drive and North Road)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) not be approved because apartment development of the property is considered premature for the reasons cited in the report

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, RETURNED TO THE MEETING AND RESUMED THE CHAIR.

(40) Reference RZ #58/69

Parcel "B", Ref. Pl. 9342, Excpt. Parcel 1 Expl. Pl. 10507, Parcel 2 and Road Ref. Plan 12333 and Except the West 33 feet Block 4 N $\frac{1}{2}$, D.L. 4, Plan 845

(Located on the North-East corner of Lougheed and Bell Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that Council defer a decision on the application to rezone the above described property to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3) until:

- (a) A community plan for the area in which the property is located is prepared and completed.
- (b) Further study is made, and negotiations conducted, on the question of providing the area with essential storm and sanitary sewer facilities.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Planning Director was asked to report, at the October 14, 1969 Council meeting, on the priorities his Department feels are merited for community plans in different parts of the municipality.

(41) Reference RZ #66/69

Lot 26, D.L. 94, Plan 720

(Located on the North side of Lane Street approximately 396 feet West of MacPherson Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Service Commercial District (C4) be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The completion of a rezoning proposal involving the lot immediately East of the subject property.
- (b) The consolidation of the two parcels.
- (c) The demolition of existing Improvements on the site within six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (d) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(42) Reference RZ #36/69

Lot "G", Expl. Plan 13465, D.L. 30, Plan 11071

(Located on the East side of Linden Avenue 128 feet South of Elwell Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to Residential District Five (R5) be approved for further consideration and advanced to a Public Hearing.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(.3) Reference RZ # 54/69

Lot "A", D.L. 2, Plan 22562

(Located on the South-West corner of Government Road and
Lougheed Highway)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone
the above described property to Service Commercial District (C4)
not be approved and that Council re-affirm the appropriateness of
the guide plan for the area, which is that:

- (a) The ultimate use which should be made of the property
is multiple family.
- (b) "Strip" commercial zoning should not be introduced
along the Lougheed Highway frontage.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(44) Reference RZ #68/69

Lots 4 and 5, R.S.D. 3, S.D. 11/13, Blocks 1 and 3, D.L. 95N,
Plan 1796

(Located on the South side of Arcola Street 198 feet East of
Hall Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to
rezone the above described properties to Parking District (P8)
be approved for further consideration and that, as prerequisite
to the rezoning being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of the two lots into one site.
- (b) The submission of an undertaking that all existing
improvements on the properties will be removed within
six months of the rezoning being effected.
- (c) The submission of a suitable plan of development for the
site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted and
the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(45) Reference RZ #69/69

Lots 3 to 6 inclusive and 29 to 32 inclusive, Block 24,
D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2001

(Located between Fern and Lily Avenues immediately North
of the Brief Street allowance)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone
the above described properties to Parking District (P8) be approved
for further consideration and that, as prerequisite to the rezoning
being effected, the following be undertaken:

- (a) The consolidation of all 8 lots into one site.
- (b) The submission of an undertaking to demolish all
structures on the properties within six months
of the rezoning being effected.
- (c) The submission of a suitable plan of development for
the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted
and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public
Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(46) Reference RZ #43/69

Lot "C", except Ref. Plan 30248, Block 2, D.L. 119W½, Plan
11285

(Located on Loughheed Highway, Halifax Street and Douglas
Road 225 feet West of Madison Avenue. It fronts on Loughheed
Highway)

The Planning Department recommended that the rezoning of all land
on the South side of Douglas Road between Gilmore Avenue and
Madison Avenue to a depth of 60 feet from Residential District
Five (R5) to that enjoyed by adjoining land to the South (In all
cases, either Service Commercial District (C4) or Manufacturing
District (M1)) be approved for further consideration.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted
and the rezoning proposal in question be advanced to a Public
Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(47) Reference RZ #63/69

Lots 1 and 2 W½, Block 13, D.L. 158E½, Plan 1908

(Located on the South-East corner of Royal Oak Avenue and
Neville Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Comprehensive Development District (CD) not be approved but indicated the only alternative the Department could support would be the rezoning of the Easterly portion of the site to allow for the creation of a residential lot, with the Westerly portion retaining its commercial zoning.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the rezoning of the properties which are the subject of the report from the Planning Department to Comprehensive Development District (CD) be approved for further consideration and this proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(48) Reference RZ 51/69

Lots 4 and 5, Block 53, D.L. 30, Plan 3036

(Located on the South side of Kingsway, 120 feet West of Hubert Street)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described properties to Service Commercial District (C4) not be approved because:

- (a) apartment development is considered the appropriate use for the area in which the subject properties are located, as suggested in the Apartment Location Policy.
- (b) entertaining the application would constitute "spot" rezoning.

The Planning Department also recommended that Council encourage the interest which is being shown in apartment development of the general area, as expressed in Rezoning Application No. 50/69.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the application to rezone the properties which are the subject of the report from the Planning Department to Service Commercial District (C4) be approved for further consideration and this proposal be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN BLAIR AND
DAILLY

(49) Reference RZ #70/69

Lot "B", Block 2, D.L. 69, Plan 3691

(Located on the North-West corner of Myrtle Street and Smith Avenue)

The Planning Department recommended that the application to rezone the above described property to General Industrial District (M2) be tabled in order to allow for the preparation of detailed information by the Department on the question of providing services to an area in which the subject property is located.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Sept/29/1969

ALDERMAN LADNER LEFT THE MEETING.

(13) Hastings Street Redevelopment Project No. 1

It was being recommended that the following tenders be accepted for the works shown in the report, which are required in connection with the captioned project:

- (a) Underground Work - Globe Excavations Ltd. - \$15,473.00
- (b) Surface Works - Jack Cawc Ltd. - \$20,979.80
- (c) Ornamental Street Lighting - Norburn Electric Ltd. - \$7,034.45

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, requested authority to arrange for grants to be made to Old Age Pensioners' Organizations for Christmas dinners on the basis of 50¢ per member.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That His Worship, Mayor Prittie be authorized to make the grants mentioned by him on the basis indicated."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

Attention was drawn to the fact that the Municipal Appreciation Dinner would be held on Thursday, October 23, 1969 at the Villa Motor Inn.

*

ALDERMAN LADNER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That Council now deal with Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965, Amendment
By-law No. 49, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the
Whole to consider and report on Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965,
Amendment By-law No. 49, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-law provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #6/69 -- Lot 2, Block 4, D.L. 2, Plan 4285
(9892 Government Road -- Located on the South side of Government
Road from a point 280 feet West of North Road Westward a
distance of 159 feet, and a depth of 277 feet)

Mr. D. D. G. Milne, Barrister and Solicitor, submitted a letter
advising that he was aware consideration of the rezoning
proposal which is the subject of the By-law at hand was deferred
by Council on September 22nd following a letter being received
from Edper (B.C.) Ltd.

He asked if he could be sent a copy of the letter from Edper (B.C.)
Ltd. so that consideration can be given the allegations made
therein concerning the operations of Doug's Automotive Ltd. in
New Westminster, who is the applicant for the subject rezoning
proposal.

Mr. Milne also requested an opportunity to appear before Council
on September 29th to speak on the matter.

It was drawn to the attention of Council that a Mr. Jackson was
present from Edper (B.C.) Ltd.

The Planning Department submitted a report on the rezoning
proposal, advising as follows:

- (a) In its original report of April 14, 1969, the Planning
Department recommended that the application either
be approved for rezoning to C3, subject to the solution
of servicing problems, or be tabled to allow the
applicant an opportunity to make a further submission.
- (b) The Council chose the latter course of action and
directed the Department to further discuss the matter
with the applicant.
- (c) This was subsequently done and revised development
plans, prepared by the developer's architect, were
submitted.
- (d) As a result of examining the plans, a report was
submitted to Council on June 30, 1969 in which direction
was sought as to whether the Planning Department should
pursue the concept of an acceptable plan that would
include the used car display or re-affirm the earlier
recommendation concerning C3 rezoning.
- (e) The Council approved for further consideration the
rezoning of the property to Service Commercial District
(C4), and the applicant was advised to have his
architect work with the Planning Department in order
to obtain an acceptable plan.

- (f) The department subsequently worked with the applicant and his architect to achieve the end desired, and a revised development plan was submitted. A report on this situation was submitted to Council in early August and advice was conveyed that the plans which had been submitted were, subject to minor revisions, considered acceptable.
- (g) The Council then forwarded the rezoning proposal to a Public Hearing, which was held on September 19, 1969, and on September 22nd the By-law was given two readings.
- (h) With regard to the comments in the letter from Edper (B.C.) Ltd. which Council received at its last meeting, the following was being offered:
 - (i) The applicant was notified by a letter dated March 13, 1969 that the Department of Highways would not approve access to Loughheed Highway. However, he was still prepared to proceed with his proposed development. Evidently, the applicant feels the lot would still offer the necessary exposure even without vehicular access to Loughheed Highway.
 - (ii) The applicant has indicated to the Planning Department that the operations to be carried on will be the sale and servicing of new and used cars. A minimum number of new cars will be on display within the building while the bulk storage of new cars will still be located elsewhere. Under (C4) zoning, the proposed development, as shown on the plans, is permitted.
 - (iii) With respect to the standard of maintenance of the proposed development as it relates to the applicant's present development in New Westminster, the regulations regarding these aspects will be applicable to the development as they are to any other one.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the representative from Edper (B.C.) Ltd. be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Jackson then spoke and referred to the September 18th letter from his Company and the points of concern made therein regarding the proposal of Doug's Automotive Ltd.

He also drew attention to the fact that the closing of Government Street and the creation of a cul-de-sac at the Western end adjacent to the Loughheed Mall development was done with the concurrence of Edper (B.C.) Ltd. He hastened to add that his Company was extremely concerned about the type of development which would take place on adjacent land.

He suggested that the noise from the development planned by Doug's Automotive Ltd. would create a problem because there would be some repairing of automobiles involved. He also mentioned that there could be a parking problem if the type of use planned by Doug's Automotive Ltd. materialized for the property in question.

Mr. Jackson stated that a Tires, Batteries and Accessories Use was planned on nearby land owned by Edper (B.C.) Ltd. in the future.

Mr. Milne was then allowed to speak and he made the following points:

- (a) Doug's Automotive Ltd. has co-operated to the utmost in attempting to resolve points of difference between the municipality and the company.
- (b) There is no intention whatsoever to establish a body shop on the property, the sole purpose is to provide adequate servicing facilities for the vehicles there.
- (c) Doug's Automotive Ltd. plans to spend between \$250,000.00 and \$300,000.00.
- (d) The plan which the architect for Doug's Automotive Ltd. prepared is in accord with the desire of the municipality.
- (e) Edper (B.C.) Ltd. approached Doug's Automotive Ltd. for a commitment that the latter company would not oppose any future development proposed for land owned by Edper (B.C.) Ltd.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 49, 1969" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the Traffic Safety Committee examine the parking situation on Government Street West of North Road to determine whether any controls should be introduced."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN BLAIR

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW
NO. 9, 1969" and that it now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN BLAIR LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole
to consider and report on the By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 9, 1969" #5564 be now read
a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

ALDERMAN BLAIR RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

ALDERMAN MERCIER LEFT THE MEETING .

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That:
"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CHARGES BY-LAW 1968, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW NO. 2, 1969" #5571
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 18, 1969" #5490
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 83, 1968" #5167
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1969" #5565
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1969" #5566
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 48, 1969" #5567
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Sept/29/1969

Municipal Clerk stated that the Planning Department had reported that the legal descriptions of the properties covered by BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1969 and BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1969 had been corrected insofar as the zoning categories for each was concerned and therefore these By-laws could be Finally Adopted.

Municipal Clerk also stated that the Planning Department had reported that the prerequisites in connection with BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 48, 1969 have been satisfied.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That:

"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CHARGES BY-LAW 1968, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1969"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 18, 1969"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 83, 1968"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 46, 1969"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1969"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 48, 1969"

be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN MERCIER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

A brief discussion took place on the matter of Council appointing a Special Committee to deal with tenants grievances.

His Worship, Mayor Prittie, was asked to determine from each Alderman whether he would be prepared to serve on such a Committee.

Alderman Herd stated that tentative arrangements had been made to use the three High Schools in Burnaby and a number of recreation centres for group activities for teenagers and other children on Halloween.

He indicated that commissionaires would be engaged to control activities at these functions and, though it was planned to sell hot dogs and pop, it would still cost approximately \$4,500.00 to stage the functions.

He added that the Police would naturally be aware of these activities and would be expected to exercise close surveillance.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That Council authorize an expenditure of up to \$4,500.00 for a programme intended to be developed for the purpose of providing organized activities for young people this Halloween and thus hopefully minimize the problems which can occur as a result of roving groups causing a nuisance when celebrating Halloween."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN MERCIER,
CLARK AND McLEAN.

Sept/29/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole
"In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY