# JUNE 12, 1967

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, June 12, 1967, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT:

Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Blair, Corsbie, Dailly, Drummond, Herd, Hicks, Lorimer and McLean

Reverend William Youngman led in Opening Prayer.

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (a) Mr. L. Hamper re proposed Local Improvement on Ewart Street between Royal Oak Avenue and Macpherson Avenue.
- (b) Mr. John M. Doig re the same matter.

There was also a letter from Mrs. L. Grunert concerning the same subject.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD: "That both of the delegations be heard."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(a) Mr. Hammer appeared and submitted that there had been a misunderstanding regarding the requirements in connection with the lodging of objections to the proposed Local improvement work, with the result the petition that was presented in opposition to the project was declared insufficient.

He cited the cases where the Municipal Clerk had been unable to accept certain signatures on the petition opposing the project.

Mr. Harmor stated that information had been received from the Engineering Department after the Local improvement proposal was initiated that it would be sufficient if those receiving copies of the Notice merely wrote the word "No" on the address slip appended to the Notice and returned it to the Municipal Clerk.

At this juncture, the Deputy Municipal Clerk explained that this "slip" needed to be signed by the owners of the property involved, otherwise it would not be accepted.

Mr. Hammer advised that, if necessary, he could present an affidavit to the Municipal Clerk concerning the signatures that were declared unacceptable proving that there were grounds for acceptance.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE ENMOTT, explained that the Municipality is enjoined from undertaking a Local Improvement work if sufficient objections to the proposal are received. He added that after the Municipal Clerk submits his Certificate of Sufficiency respecting Local Improvements, the Council may proceed with the project but it need not necessarily. He also remarked that the question of whether or not signatures on petitions were valid was not within the jurisdiction of Council, only the Municipal Clerk.

He suggested that the substance of the presentation by Mr. Hammer was an appeal to Council to not proceed with the Local Improvement planned for Ewart Street because of the alleged misunderstanding by the property owners of the instructions in the Notice that was sent to these owners.

He commented that it was his understanding one of the reasons for objecting was the desire for a different type of work. The Reeve stated that it is Council that decides the appropriate standard of improvement for a street, not the owners, because the Council must be conscious of the need for various classes of street improvements and their appropriate application.

When Mr. Hammer enquired as to whether his interpretation was correct that, in the event Ewart Street was to receive pavement 36 feet wide, the abutting property owners would not be required to pay for 8 of this 36 feet, he was informed that this was correct. It was added, however, that the Council must first decide that a 36-foot standard is the appropriate one for Ewart Street.

Mr. Hammer then suggested that a 28-foot wide pavement was not desirable because, when vehicles are parked on both sides of the street, there is insufficient space for two moving lanes. He added that, during the winter months, it is difficult for snow removal equipment to adequately clean the streets with the 28-foot standard when vehicles are parked on the sides of it.

The purpose of a 28-foot wide pavement standard was explained. In this regard, it was stated that the object is to discourage any through movement of traffic on streets with this standard. It was added that this width is used on streets that are called "local residential" which are intended to merely serve those on the street.

Nr. Hammer also enquired as to whether the rate of 62¢ per front foot for the work proposed would increase in the event it was two years before the work was provided.

He was informed that this rate of 62¢ per front foot would remain constant no matter when the work was done.

Mr. Hammer advised that, with a 36-foot paving standard, it would cost very little more and would provide a more desirable facility.

It was explained to Nr. Hammer that the Municipality, when undertaking any Local Improvement work, has a programme of boulevard restoration which includes (if necessary) the construction of retaining walls and the reinstatement of stairways.

Nr. Hammer made a further comment that there are some owners on the street who have a limited income and therefore would find it difficult to pay for the Local Improvement planned.

He concluded by urging Council to again seek the opinion of the property owners involved on a Local Improvement proposal for the portion of Ewart Street in question, in view of the situation presented this evening.

(b) <u>Mr. Doig</u> then spoke and advised that he represented the majority of the property owners involved in the Local Improvement proposal for Ewart Street between Royal Oak Avenue and Macpherson Avenue, in terms of numbers and land assessments.

He contended that not only does the Certificate of Sufficiency of the Hunicipal Clerk indicate that the majority desire the work planned but, in actuality, even more of the property owners feel this way.

He urged that Council recognize the Clerk's Certificate of Sufficiency and thereby proceed with the project.

As regards the comments by Mr. Hammer concerning the alleged misunderstanding of property owners, Mr. Doig stated that those who objected to the work had ample opportunity to determine the precise requirements for registering their objection.

Mr. Doig added that some of those who originally opposed the project were now prepared to withdraw their objections and, even though this could not be done because the date for the receipt of objections had expired, it was conversely not possible for any more objections to be made to the project for the same reason.

Mr. Doig also upheld the viewpoint expressed earlier regarding the application of an appropriate standard of road improvement, stating that this should always be paramount and should not be sacrificed because of the preferences of some property owners.

IIr. Doig concluded by asking that Council proceed with the project for the subject portion of Ewart Street, which was to construct pavement widening to 28 feet plus five-foot wide concrete curb sidewalks on both sides of the street.

During the consideration given the above submissions, a suggestion was made in Council that one way to determine the reaction of all the affected property owners would be to re-initiate the Local Improvement proposal.

Some members held that this action would be tantamount to Council acknowledging that the objections which were received to the work during the initiative programme in April were sufficient to defeat the project. It was added that, if this was the proper interpretation, the Council would not be able to re-initiate the work for at least one year.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Legal Department offer advice on the question of the position of
Council in regard to the Local Improvement proposal for Ewart Street between
Royal Oak Avenue and Macpherson Avenue in the light of the situation presented
this evening, which is that outlined above."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

<u>Deputy Provincial Secretary</u> submitted a copy of The Commonwealth Day Message from Her Majesty, The Queen.

<u>Fund Raising Committee, Burnaby Hastings Rotary Band,</u> wrote requesting permission to hold Tag Days on August 3rd, 4th and 5, 1967.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN: "That permission be granted to the Committee to conduct its campaign at the times mentioned."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

<u>Chairman, Burnaby Centennial Youth Travel Reception Committee, submitted a letter</u> requesting the sum of \$400.00 to sponsor a proposed banquet and reception for 48 students at the Burnaby Mountain Pavillion on July 6th while they are visiting Burnaby under the aegis of the Dominion Centennial Youth Travel Programme.

A report from Councillor Blair, on behalf of the Centennial Youth Travel Programme Committee, relating to the same matter as the letter from the Chairman of the Committee, was submitted.

This report contained details in connection with the Programme mentioned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That authority be granted to make a donation of up to \$400.00 to sponsor
the proposed banquet and reception outlined in the letter from the Burnaby
Centennial Youth Travel Reception Committee."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the report of Councillor Blair, on behalf of the Centennial Youth
Travel Programme Committee, be received."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. G. A. Fernandes wrote expressing appreciation for the thorough way in which the request for sanitary sowers in the Hatton-Augusta area was investigated.

Superintendent of Motor Vehicles submitted a letter expressing appreciation for the co-operation and assistance that was experienced by the Mobile Motor Vehicle Inspection Unit that operated in Burnaby between May 9th and May 20, 1967.

Messrs. L. T. Evmundson and L. Finnbogason submitted a letter requesting permission to bridge the lane adjoining their properties at 6128 and 6138 Portland Street, respectively, so as to enable them to improve their grounds.

The Deputy Municipal Clerk stated that the Solicitor for Mr. Eymundson had telephoned him earlier in the day to request that Council be asked to defer consideration of the request from Messrs. Eymundson and Finnbogason for one week in order to allow the Solicitor, Mr. J. H. Edwards, an opportunity to prepare a case in connection with the matter.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the request of Messrs. Eymundson and Finnbogason be tabled for one week,
as requested by the Solicitor for Mr. Eymundson."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

<u>President, Burnaby Chamber of Commerce, submitted a letter expressing an opinion regarding the naming of the Municipal Golf Course and the Municipal Ice Rink.</u>

NOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the letter from the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce be referred to the Parks and Recreation Commission for comment on the suggestions in the letter."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

<u>Chairman</u>, <u>Burnaby Safety Council</u>, wrote advising that "Burnaby Safety Week" has had to be postponed until October 1967 because the Mall at the Brentwood Shopping Centre has been let to other organizations during the period between June 19th and 24th, when it was proposed to have "Burnaby Safety Week".

Capitol Hill Alliance Church submitted a letter requesting permission to use Lot 1, Block 90, D.L. 127, Plan 4953 as a parking lot for its congregation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the request of the Capitol Hill Alliance Church be referred for consideration and report."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

City Clerk, City of Vancouver, submitted a letter advising that the Council of the City had expressed support of the position taken by the Vancouver Real Estate Board, Burnaby Chamber of Committee and the Richmond Municipal Council, plus the North Fraser Harbour Committee in respect of a proposed crossing of the North Arm of the Fraser River.

<u>HIPS. W. E. D'Altroy</u> submitted postcards and an attached newspaper clipping relating, in part, to facilities that have been provided for riding trails in England.

<u>Secretary, Westminster Presbytery</u>, submitted a letter expressing concern regarding the shortage of hospital facilities in the Lower Mainland area and urging that Council act, with despatch, to co-operate in the formation of a Regional Hospital District.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMHOND:
"That the Westminster Presbytery be advised of the steps which have been taken
by Council to support the concept of regionalization for hospitals."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# The following matter was then lifted from the table:

Demolition of buildings on Lots 163 to 165 inclusive, D.L. 175, Plan 31053 (PAPKE)

Councillor Blair, to whom the subject matter had been referred on June 5th, reported verbaily that the Fire Prevention Officer had said the buildings in question could be burned on the site, provided this was done under proper supervision.

He also drew an analogy to the demolition of the building that was on the "Macdonald Mushroom Farm" property on 12th Avenue. In this regard, he stated that this demolition, by means of burning, was done for the sum of \$720.00. He suggested that, since circumstances in both cases were very similar, the buildings on the subject property could be demolished for approximately the same price instead of the sum quoted by the tenderers Johnson & McKinnon Demolitions Limited (\$5,500.00).

Councillor Blair also suggested that perhpas the Municipality could undertake the demolition of the buildings itself.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That tenders for the demolition of the buildings on Lots 163 to 165 inclusive,
D.L. 175, Plan 31053, with or without the burning of the buildings on the site,
be invited, with the Municipality itself to submit a bid."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 34, 1967

Report No. 34, 1967 of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

# (1) Signing Officers (WATKINSON)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That, wherever the words "Municipal Treasurer" or "Deputy Municipal Treasurer"
appear on the Corporation's banking resolutions, The Royal Bank of Canada may
add the words - "or Nr. E. A. WATKINSON, ASSISTANT MUNICIPAL ACCOUNTANT",
with all other terms and conditions of the resolutions to remain unchanged."

# (2) Sumas Drive from Jordan Drive

It was mentioned that a representative of the property owners involved in the matter that is the subject of the report from the Manager was present and desired an audience.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMHOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That this representative be granted permission to speak,"

#### CARRIED UNANIHOUSLY

<u>lir. P. J. Lawson</u> appeared on behalf of the property owners on Sumas Drive West from Jordan Drive to speak in support of the request that the same Local Improvement work planned for Sumas Drive North and West from Jordan Drive be extended further West.

The Brief which Mr. Lawson presented contained the following points in support of the request:

- All the property owners involved have signified their approval of the Local improvement proposal that is desired.
- (2) The property owners on the South side of the subject portion of Sumas Drive have no rear access, which means that service vehicles must use the street in addition to all other forms of traffic. The result is that the street suffers from this usage and therefore requires frequent maintenance because it is only a gravelled road. The street has yet to be graded in such a manner as to prevent excess water from running into the front yard of the properties on the South side of Sumas Drive. This has created such problems as plugged drains and a generally unsatisfactory appearance in driveways.
- (3) Children use the street constantly travelling to and from Sperling Elementary School and the absence of proper sidewalks make the safety of the children a source of constant worry to the parents.
- (4) As Sumas Drive is the only connecting link between Sperling Avenue and Kensington Avenue, it attracts vehicular traffic far in excess of what normally would be expected in a residential area.
- (5) The street recently received an application of oil and this caused consternation to many home owners because of the stains that are made after a person walks on the oiled road and enters private property and the homes. It is also necessary that some automobiles will require steam cleaning in order to remove the oil from the lower sections of the cars. Moreover, those persons in the neighbourhood who have the benefit of pavement complain that the oil is tracked onto the pavement and then into their properties.
- (6) The maintenance costs for the street could be virtually eliminated if it was paved in the same fashion as the other portion of Sumas Drive. In addition to this maintenance aspect, the beautification that would be provided as a result of the pavement would enhance the area.

After presentation of the Brief, it was explained to the delegation that the reason the Municipality was not able to initiate a Local Improvement for the portion of Sumas Drive mentioned by the spokesman was that a road allowance of inadequate width exists on that part of Sumas Drive. The specific information was that this road allowance is only 40 feet wide at the moment whereas the ultimate and desired width is 60 feet.

Information was received that this additional 20 feet would normally be acquired when the large tracts of land on the North side of Sumas Drive are subdivided and this width is dedicated for the road allowance. It was added that this land would be obtained by the Municipality at no cost to the Corporation because it would be acquired as a result of the subdivision. It was pointed out that if the Corporation was to negotiate the acquisition, by outright purchase, it would need to compensate the owners for the property.

581

It was the general consensus of opinion that, notwithstanding the situation described above concerning the inadequate width of the subject road allowance, the quandary in which the property owners on the South side of Sumas Drive have been placed as a result of this situation perhaps warrants some attempt being made by Council to resolve the problem to the mutual satisfaction of all the property owners on the subject portion of Sumas Drive.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the owners of the large tracts of land on the North side of the 6500 and
6500 Blocks Sumas Drive be apprized of the interest and desire of the property
owners in these blocks of Sumas Drive for improvement to the street and be
informed that, if they are prepared to dedicate sufficient land for the
widening of the Sumas Drive road allowance to 60 feet and express support of
the Local Improvement proposal for the street, the Council will initiate a
Local Improvement project of the same standard as is to be provided for that
portion of Sumas Drive North and West from Jordan Drive (i.e. pavement 28 feet
wide plus 5-foot wide concrete curb sidewalks on both sides)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (3) Fire Equipment

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORINER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLORS DAILLY AND LORIMER LEFT THE MEETING.

(4) Crude Oil Loading Lines - Westridge Terminal of Trans Mountain Oil Pipe Line Company

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the report of the Manager be received and the request of Trans Mountain
Oil Pipe Line Company for an easement to accommodate its proposed crude oil
loading lines, as detailed in the report, be referred back to the Manager for
negotiation with the Company, bearing in mind the situations described under
(a) and (b) of the report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Lane adjoining 6128 and 6138 Portland Street (EYMUNDSON AND FINNEOGASON, respectively)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the report of the Manager be tabled for one week, in view of the action
taken earlier this evening respecting the subject matter of the report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

### (6) Estimates

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Municipal Engineer was requested to examine the feasibility of installing street lighting on Hycrest Drive.

(7) Monthly Report of the Chief Building Inspector

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the report be received."

COUNCILLOR BLAIR LEFT THE MEETING.

COUNCILLOR DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

# (8) Portions of Blocks 17 and 18, D.L. 135

HOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (9) Lots 48 and 49, D.L. 127\/3/4, Plan 26744

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR LORIMER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, reported verbally that it seemed reasonable the Municipality should provide the Officer-in-Charge of the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P. with suitable living accommodation when he occupies this position. He mentioned that there are times when this Officer finds it necessary to live outside the Municipality while he is in charge of the Burnaby Detachment.

His Worship suggested that the Municipality should construct a home for the Officer-in-Charge and that the rental of the home should be a matter for negotiation with the Federal Government. He remarked too that the home would be for the Officer only while he was in charge of the Burnaby Detachment.

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, concluded by mentioning that, though there is only one Officer-in-Charge of the Detachment, there are two Officers in Burnaby with the rank of Inspector, and the foregoing should apply to both of the positions.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the proposal outlined above by His Worship, Reeve Emmott, be approved
in principle and the Municipal Manager prepare a detailed report on the matter
for submission to, and consideration by, Council."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR McLEAN cited an instance where Mr. W. Copeland, a Burnaby Fireman, and Mr. B. Nichols had performed an act of heroism in saving the life of a lady who had succumbed to smoke inhalation as a result of her home at 6985 Karen Street catching fire on June 6, 1967.

He stated that Mr. Copeland, after becoming aware of the fire, entered the home and proceeded to a bedroom where he found the lady (Nrs. L. Stevenson) lying unconscious on the floor beside a burning bed and, after carrying her downstairs, he was assisted by Mr. Nichols in removing the lady to fresh air. He added that subsequent inhalator treatment was provided by on-duty fire crews who were summonsed by Mr. Copeland's wife.

COUNCILLOR McLEAN advised that the actions of Hessrs. Copeland and Nichols, without a doubt, were instrumental in saving Mrs. Stevenson's life.

He concluded by suggesting that these two gentlemen should be commended for their actions.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That Mr. W. Copeland and Mr. B. Nichols both be commended for the act of
heroism cited above because Council feels it is always heartening when
people such as them perform courageous feats such as the one described."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Reference was also made to another incident where Hr. Roy Eckersley of the Vancouver Fire Department performed a feat similar to the one involving Nessrs. Copeland and Nicols.

Details in connection with this matter were not available at the meeting but it was understood that a similar commendation would be sent to Mr. Eckersley.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOFT, stated that the 49-member Burnaby South High School Band had been selected as B.C's Official Centennial Band to play at Expo'67 on British Columbia Day, July 15, 1967.

He suggested that Council should commend the Band for its achievement.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That all the members of the Burnaby South High School Band be commended for achieving the coveted honour outlined by His Worship, Reeve Emmott."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR BLAIR RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

When Councillor McLean enquired as to when a report was to be submitted in connection with a proposed Fire Hall in Central Burnaby, he was advised that the Manager proposed to submit a report on this matter next Monday evening.

COUNCILLOR CORSBIE drew attention to a proposal announced by the Provincial Government to require Municipalities to prepare separate Tax Notices for School Taxes and for General Taxes.

He suggested that Council should strongly protest this proposal because of the obvious additional costs that would be involved in implementing it.

HOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Municipal Manager prepare a report on all the ramifications associated
with the proposal outlined above concerning separate tax notices in order that
Council may have particulars with which to frame a resolution signifying its
objection to the proposal."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

HOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 5, 1967" (#5145) and that it be now read a First Time."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time,"

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and
report on the By-Laws."

#### CARRIED UHANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 5, 1967" be now read a Third Time."

HOLDMANY DOAD ACCURS ITION AND DEDICATION BY LAYING TO TAKEN

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD: "That:

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISTITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 13, 1967",	(#5148)
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 12, 1967",	(#5147)
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 8, 1967",	(#5100)
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO.11, 1967",	(#5103)
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO.12, 1967",	(#5104)
"BURNAGY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1967",	(#5146)
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1967",	(#5149)
"BURNABY TAX SALE MONEYS EXPENDITURE BY-LAW 1967".	(#5142)
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 32, 1967", an	nd (#5126)
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1967"	(#5128)

be now reconsidered."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 32, 1967" provides for the following rezoning:

### Reference RZ #8/67

# FROM NEIGHBOURHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C1) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Lots 5 and 6, Block 4, D.L. 68, N.W. part, Plan 980

(Located at the South-East corner of Laurel Street and Boundary Road)

"DURNABY ZOMING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1967" provides for the following rezoning:

# Reference RZ #24/67

FROM COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2)
TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (RM2)

That portion of Lot 5, except Plan 15900, S.D. 1, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plan 4032, lying East of Inlet Drive.

(Located on the East side of Inlet Drive immediately North-East of the intersection of Hastings Street and Cliff Avenue)

Opputy /Municipal Clerk stated that the prerequisites established by Council in connection with the above rezoning proposals had been satisfied.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MCLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

```
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 13, 1967",
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 12, 1967",
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 8, 1967",
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO.11, 1967",
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO.12, 1967",
"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1967",
"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1967",
"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1967",
"BURNABY TAX SALE MONEYS EXPENDITURE BY-LAW 1967",
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 32, 1967", and
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1967"
```

be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE: "That plans and specifications of the work or undertaking pursuant to By-Laws #5146 and #5149 be filed with the Municipal Clerk pursuant to Section 483 of the Municipal Act."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and
report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDHENT BY-LAW NO. 37, 1967"(#5131)."

# CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDHENT BY-LAW NO. 37, 1967" (#5131) provides for the following rezoning:

# Reference #47/67

# FROM MANUFACTURING DISTRICT (MI) TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C3)

- (a) Lots 1 and 2, S.D. 'A', Block 11, D.L's 151/3, Plan 5989
- (b) Lot L, Block 11, D.L's 151/3, Plan 1191
- (c) Lot This except South 200 feet, Block 12, D.L's 151/3, Plan 3384
- (d) Lot "B", except North 16.5 feet and except South 200 feet,
- Block 12, D.L. 153, Plan 3384 (e) Lot "C", except South 200 feet, Block 12, D.L. 153, Plan 3384
- (Located on the South side of Kingsway between Willingdon and

Kathleen Avenues)

DEPUTY MUNICIPAL CLERK read a letter that he had received from the Planning Director concerning this rezoning proposal.

In it, the Planning Director advised that his Department was successful in effecting an arrangement involving the road dedications that were established as prerequisites to the rezoning and, as part of the transaction, the applicant (Imperial Oil Limited) optioned an additional four lots which are the subject of Rezoning Application #74/67.

The Planner added that the Company is now in the position of having to commit itself in regard to the property before the rezoning can be finalized.

He recommended that, because complying with the prerequisites will take a considerable time and the commitments of the Company concerning the land involved will be costly, Council give the By-Law at hand Third Reading to serve as a firm indication that it is intended to proceed with the rezoning proposal after the prerequisites which were established in connection with it have been satisfied.

He added that the By-Law covering Rezoning Application #74/67 should also be treated in the same manner, and both By-Laws should await the execution of the prerequisites before being finally read.

The Planning Director concluded by indicating that the applicant had submitted a letter dated June 7th in regard to the matter and has since agreed that the procedure recommended above would be sufficient to enable the Company to proceed.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIHOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 37, 1967" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL THEN SAT "IN CAMERA" AT 9:50 P.M.