

SEPTEMBER 19, 1966

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, September 19, 1966, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Acting Reeve Corsbie in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Cafferky, Drummond,
Herd, Hicks and McLean

ABSENT: Reeve Emmott
Councillor Dally

Reverend V. Gallo led in Opening Prayer.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the Minutes of the meetings held on August 8th and 22, 1966, be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council was advised that Mr. Ferris, Solicitor for B.C. Tractor Roller Rebuilders Limited, was present and wished an audience with Council to further discuss the matter of his client Company's encroachment on the Grant Street road allowance. The Council was reminded of their previous action in this matter whereby they had granted an extension of time to remove the encroachment by September 17th, 1966, and failing this the instruction by Council that the encroachment should then be removed under authority of Section 236 of the Municipal Act.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That the delegation be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Ferris then appeared for B.C. Tractor Roller Rebuilders Limited.

Mr. Ferris firstly distributed amongst the members a copy of a sketch showing the encroachment. He explained that the temporary press-shed with its heavy slab base with equipment was creating the encroachment and he pointed out that the press-shed was absolutely necessary to the operation of the firm's business. He also agreed that considerable equipment was moved to and fro' over the lane allowance.

Mr. Ferris then referred to talks which his client Company had had when they had signed the lease for the land from the landlords. They had been told by their landlords that the lane would not be opened and he understood that the landlord had received this information from the Municipal Hall. Mr. Ferris then reminded Council that on learning of the encroachment his client had arranged to terminate their lease and had started building a new plant elsewhere. Considerable delays had occurred, especially with the continuing carpenters' strike, and completion of the plant was now well behind time. He explained that his clients were aware of the difficult situation which this had created for the Council and understood the terms of the fixed price tender for the construction and paving of the road allowance. In view of this, he stated that he was authorized to offer, on his client's behalf, that if a further

extension of time was granted until the 15th November or thereabouts (It being the assumption that the new plant could be built by that time) then his clients would deposit a money bond with the Corporation representing the increased costs in road construction and the cost of removing the concrete slabs and building footings on the road allowance. He emphasized, however, that the extension period date of 15th November was only an estimate.

In the course of his Brief, Mr. Ferris mentioned that the landlord had told his client that a temporary working permit had been granted some years ago for the construction of the press-shed. He displayed a sketch which had been prepared by the landlord's son and dated 1962 which it was thought had been submitted to the Municipal Hall with regard to this permit. Considerable discussion centred around this point as it was felt by some of the members that the question of whether a permit was issued on a road allowance could be very relevant to the manner in which the Council eventually disposed of this matter. Mr. Ferris was not able to supply any further details and the Municipal Manager advised that he had no knowledge of a building permit, but that as this was the first occasion that such a suggestion had been made, it was not something that he could confirm during the present meeting.

It was also suggested that this was the first occasion that the carpenter's strike had been suggested as a reason for the continuing delays for the completion of the new plant, and one member stated that if this were the actual case it might well have influenced the way in which he voted when the earlier request for an extension of time had come before Council.

Suggestions were also made that it was not a matter of great urgency to construct Grant Street and it would be sufficient if the base could be put in at this time and capped later. The Municipal Engineer commented that the construction price for the road was based on the job being completed in one go and that if the suggestion for capping the road was adopted the cost would likely be increased. He also pointed out that the traffic situation in the area was worsening. The Municipal Manager referred to his previous recommendation that if an extension were granted a bond would be required, and he suggested that there were probably good grounds for now granting an extension. He pointed out that there was an additional problem with regard to the new plant which B.C. Tractor Roller Rebuilders were constructing as there was now a picket line at the site and it was not possible to transfer any equipment from the old to the new site as the Teamsters' Union would not co-operate.

After further discussion, it was:

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the matter be tabled for a week to enable investigations to be made to ascertain whether a building permit of some sort was issued."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- COUNCILLORS BLAIR, CAFFERKY
AND HERD

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That the Company be granted an extension of time, to allow them to move themselves from the Grant Street allowance, until this matter is referred back to Council and a decision is made on it."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- COUNCILLORS BLAIR, CAFFERKY
AND HERD

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Guardian Secretary, International Order of Job's Daughters, Bethel #7, submitted a letter requesting permission to have a Peanut Drive in the New Westminster-Burnaby area on the 18th and 20th October, 1966.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That permission be granted as requested."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Corresponding Secretary, Cief Society of Burnaby, submitted a letter of appreciation with regard to the grant of \$500.00 made by the Council to the Cief Society.

The letter pointed out that the Society intended to use this money in connection with their Scholarship Fund and hoped that it would be the start of a very special and attractive Centennial Scholarship.

It was understood that a copy of the letter would be sent to the Centennial Committee for its information.

Chairman, "The Dominion Youth Travel Project" Section Day of Chaleurs submitted a letter of gratitude for the reception given in the Municipality to a group of students from the Gespe Area in the Province of Quebec.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That a copy of the letter be sent to Mr. Costley of the School Board who arranged much of the visit."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

General Chairman, 1966 Centennial Grey Cup Festival Committee, submitted a letter respectfully requesting a nominal grant of \$500.00 from the Council to carry out general committee activities.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the request be referred to the Grants Committee for consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. and Mrs. F. J. Molley submitted a letter appealing the decision of the Chief Building Inspector who had requested that they reconvert their home to a Single Family dwelling.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the letter be tabled and considered in conjunction with the report of the Municipal Manager later in the evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Proposed By-Law for the Burnaby General Hospital Expansion Programme was then lifted from the table. This matter was tabled from the meeting of September 6th at the request of the Administrator of the Burnaby General Hospital.

The Council was advised that the Administrator of the Hospital had submitted a further letter dated September 14th on this matter. The letter advised that the Hospital Board had endorsed the request from Council that a Committee of Council work with the Planning Committee of the Board with respect to the

proposed addition in the financing thereof. The letter went on to point out that the request for tabling had been made in the hope that a new cost-sharing formula might be announced by the Provincial Government which would decrease the Municipal share of construction costs in line with the formula adopted recently by the Province of Ontario. This, however, did not eventuate.

The Hospital Board explained that they had sought assurances from the Provincial Government with regard a change in the cost-sharing formula but that such an assurance had not been forthcoming. In view of this, the Board had passed the following resolution:

"That the Board approve the action to date of the Planning Committee and authorize them to request Council to proceed with the building by-law and, in any event, to give instructions to the architects to proceed with the working drawings if sufficient assurance is received from the Municipal Council that finances will be available to meet the commitment to the architect which will be entailed by such instructions."

It was explained to the Committee that no meeting had yet been held by the Committee of Council and the Planning Committee of the Hospital Board as outlined above. As members of the Council Committee would be attending the U.B.C.M. Convention in Penticton in the ensuing week, it was not thought possible that a meeting could be held until the week of September 26th. Suggestions were made that it might be possible to hold some form of meeting on the following day even though the Reeve could not be present, and Councillor Corsbie promised to look into this possibility.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the subject matter be tabled for two weeks in the hope that the Special Committee of Council can report further at that time as a result of their discussions with the Planning Committee of the Hospital Board."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR HERD, as Chairman of the Justice Building Committee, submitted a report on the tenders which had been received for the proposed Justice Building. A copy of this report is attached to and forms part of these Minutes.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendations (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) contained in the report be accepted and approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

On September 6th, a report was submitted to Council with regard to the financing of the Municipal Golf Course and this was tabled to the present meeting in order that the members might have more time to study it.

The subject matter was then lifted from the table.

The members were reminded of the contents of the report in which a projection was made of the total cost of the Municipal Golf Course including a clubhouse and Pro Shop and the necessary maintenance yard with fence and buildings.

The total estimated cost based at the then rate of spending was \$515,000.00. With funds available of only \$400,000.00, the amount required to complete would be at least \$115,000.00 for an 18-hole course.

The Parks and Recreation Commission have therefore requested that Council make the additional \$115,000.00 required through a Tax Sale Moneys By-Law.

The Commission proposed that this sum be repaid over five years with equal instalments of principal and interest.

In the ensuing discussion, the Council was reminded that the original funds for the Golf Course site had been raised as a result of a Money By-Law which had been approved by the public. It was suggested that if it were now necessary to furnish further money for the scheme then the same principle of a plebiscite should apply. In reply to the suggestion, it was pointed out that when the By-Law was put before the people so many years ago, it was only intended to build a nine-hole course and that the estimates were necessarily extremely approximate as no one was in a position to actually foresee the eventual costs. In actual fact, the costs had been rather less than had been originally proposed because the Golf Course now being built was a full eighteen-holes and not the nine-holes originally proposed. It was suggested that it was rather pointless to provide such a Golf Course and then not include a club house, Pro Shop and necessary ancillaries, and the proposed method of financing the extra \$115,000.00 involved no breach of trust with the public.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the Committee concur in the recommendation of the report with regard to the suggested manner of financing the additional facilities."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ACTING REEVE CORSBIE then appointed Councillors McLean and Hicks to act with His Worship, the Reeve, as a Court of Revision to revise and correct the 1966-1967 Municipal List of Electors and that the first meeting of the Court be held at 10:30 a.m. on November 1st, 1966.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, submitted a report with regard to attendance at the 1966 U.D.C.M. Convention.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That all members of the Council and the Deputy Municipal Clerk, Mr. E. A. Ward, be authorized to attend the U.D.C.M. Convention in Penticton; and that costs involved in registration, transportation, and the normal per diem rate in respect of Council members and appropriate expenses concerning the attendance of Mr. Ward be also authorized."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Acting Reeve Corsbie and Councillor Cafferky advised that they would not be able to attend the Convention.

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 51, 1966

Report No. 51, 1966, of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

(1) Urban Renewal Scheme - Hastings Street

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the report of the Manager be received,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Demolition of Houses

Queries were raised at the advice that the Municipal work forces could demolish the three houses listed in the report at an average cost of \$150.00 each.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted; and that the Municipal Manager be directed to report back to Council on the actual cost of demolition when the work has been completed."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR BLAIR -- AGAINST

- (3) Sale of Property - (a) Parcel "Q", Explanatory Plan 22192, D.L. 129
(b) Parcel "F", Filing 51321, D.L. 11
(c) Lot 79, Blocks 14/21, D.L. 11, Plan 21666

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Claim - Milani Drainage and Supplies

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Letter to Council from R. & M. Lea, 5366 Spruce Street

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That Mr. and Mrs. Lea be advised that Council intends to take no action in the matter."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Bridge Structure to 5689 Byrne Road - Mr. Art Dayton

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Limited

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That Council reaffirm its previous position not to allow log sorting operations in the Municipality."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR HERD -- AGAINST

There was discussion on the ruling made by the National Harbours Board that the C.P.R. is the upland owner of Block 8 as they own the land between the water and the Block. It was explained that the Municipality had always taken the view that they were the upland owners.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That in view of the effect which this ruling of the National Harbours Board would have on Block 8 and on other water front situations within the Municipality, the Municipal Manager be directed to investigate the Municipality's interests in

upland water rights and report back to Council in due course."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Municipal Manager arrange a meeting with the National Harbours Board to discuss further the future use of Burrard Inlet in the Municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Display Sign - Lot 21, Block 30, D.L. 152, Plan 1520 (5094 Kingsway)

On discussion of this matter, suggestions were made that Neon Products of Canada Limited should post a bond sufficient to cover the costs of removal of the sign and that the agreement should also be tightened by allowing the Council to require the removal of the sign for any reason within their discretion.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the agreement be referred back to the Manager to effect the amendments shown above, and that a letter be sent to the Zoning Board of Appeal expressing the Council's thanks for the manner in which the Board had dealt with this application."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Letter from F. J. and M. J. Molley, 3927 Nithsdale Street

Correspondence between the Chief Building Inspector and Mr. and Mrs. Molley was read to the meeting. The correspondence related to the decision of the Chief Building Inspector that Mr. and Mrs. Molley reconvert their home to Single Family residence standards.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That Mr. and Mrs. Molley be advised that Council regrets that it is not possible to grant them any relief from the provisions of the By-Law and that the decision of the Chief Building Inspector must stand."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Cancellation of Easement - E.15 feet of Lot 268 of Subdivision of Lot 109, D.L. 129, Plan 1192 (JOHNSON)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the Manager's recommendation be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Estimates

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Expenditures

It was reported that the Liaison Member for the Treasurer's Department, Councillor Corsbie, had requested that this item be withdrawn.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That permission to withdraw this item be granted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (13) Chief Building Inspector's Report
- (14) Monthly Report of the Fire Chief
- (15) Monthly Report of the Officer-in-Charge, Durnaby Detachment, R.C.M.P.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That the above three reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (16) Lot "C" of Lot "A", of Lot 3, Block 4, D.L. 38, Plan 18354 (SMALL)

Questions were asked on the value of \$2,500.00 which had been placed on the land to be acquired by the Corporation as the area substantially equals the area of an ordinary building lot. It was suggested that a building lot in this area would cost between five and six thousand dollars.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the matter be tabled for one week and that the Municipal Manager be requested to report further on the value of the land to be acquired."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (17) Sheep Protection Act Claim (Paul Strilkiwsky - 7223-13th Avenue)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (18) D.L. 86, Blocks 32-35, Lot "D", Plan 22023 (Subdivision Reference #38/66); AND D.L. 90S, Block 2, Lot "F", except Parcel 1, Explanatory Plan 11332, Plan 5833 (Subdivision Reference #130/66)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the owners of:

- (a) D.L. 86, Blocks 32-35, Lot "D", Plan 22023; AND
- (b) D.L. 90S, Block 2, Lot "F", except Parcel 1, Explanatory Plan 11332, Plan 5833

be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.D.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of subdivision of the properties described as shown on survey plans prepared by David H. Burnett and Associates (sworn the 17th day of August, 1966) and Morgan A. R. Stewart (sworn the 6th day of September, 1966), respectively."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (19) Miscellaneous Easement - D.L. 126
- (20) Miscellaneous Easements - Drainage Project, East side of Chesham Avenue between Gilpin and Price Streets

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendations of the Manager under Items 19 and 20 be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(21) Portion of Lot "D" of Lot "B", Block 28, D.L. 117E½, Plan 19931 (DUGARO)
DOUGLAS ROAD WIDENING (Truncation Easement)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(22) Acquisition of Easements for Miscellaneous Sanitary Sewer Projects

(23) Miscellaneous Land Acquisitions for Lane Purposes

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(24) Miscellaneous Rezoning Applications

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the Rezoning Applications be received."

The Committee then dealt with the Rezoning Applications in the order shown on the Planning Director's Report to the Municipal Manager dated September 19th.

Item No.

1. Reference RZ #82/66

Lot 23, Sketch 12798, S.D. 2, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plan 4032

(Located on the North side of Hastings Street between Duthie Avenue and Barnet Road, 92.3' East of the lane parallel to Barnet Road, 92.3' East of the lane parallel to Barnet Road)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the property described above from Residential District Four (R4) to MULTIPLE FAMILY use recommended that the application not be approved because:

- (i) The property does not have adequate frontage or area to experience apartment zoning;
- (ii) The property lies within an area which lacks adequate facilities for apartment development at this time and therefore an increase in population density is premature.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the application not be favourably considered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

2. Reference RZ #83/66

Lot 104, D.L. 135, Plan 4484

(Located on the North-East corner of Duthie Avenue and Halifax Street)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the above described property from Residential District Four (R4) to MULTIPLE FAMILY use recommended that the application be deferred until the North-East Durnaby Study has been presented to and dealt with by Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item No.

3. Reference RZ #84/66

Parcel "E", Explanatory Plan 15907, Block 12, S.D. "A" and "B",
D.L. 29, Plan 6224

(Located on the North-West corner of Grandview-Douglas Highway
and 11th Avenue)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the property described above from Residential District Five (R5) to INSTITUTIONAL (P1) recommended that the application be approved for further consideration and that, as a prerequisite to the rezoning, sufficient land be dedicated to bring the lane allowance at the rear of the property up to 20' in width.

Planning Director explained that a further application for another rezoning category had been submitted by the applicant and that, in the circumstances, permission was requested to withdraw this application.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That permission be granted to withdraw Rezoning Application No. 84/66."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4. Reference RZ #85/66

Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4, Block 40, D.L.s151/3, Plan 2666

(Located on the North-East corner of Maywood and Silver Avenue)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the properties described above from Residential District Five (R5) to MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) recommended that the rezoning be approved for further consideration at a Public Hearing, final rezoning to be contingent upon the following prerequisites:

- (i) Submission of an undertaking that the existing houses be removed within six months of rezoning.
- (ii) A plan consolidating the four lots into one site be filed in the Land Registry Office.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That this application be forwarded for further consideration at a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5. Reference RZ #86/66

Lots 4, 5 and 6, S.D. 6, Block 4, D.L. 206, Plan 1323

(Located on the West side of Clare Avenue, 113.5' South of Hastings Street)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the properties described above from Industrial M4 to MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) recommended:

- (a) That apartment zoning for the property not be favourably entertained for the reasons indicated in the report;
- (b) That further consideration to the rezoning of the Manufacturing (M4) District in the area referred to in the report be given to a Residential category.

NOTE: A report on this proposal is to be submitted to Council shortly.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That this application be tabled until the report referred to in the Planning Director's report is brought to Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was understood that when the report was prepared it would include details of the number of businesses which will become non-conforming in the area and also will give information on future school facilities. Statistics showing the makeup of families within the various suites, i.e. family population by type of suite in the differing apartment categories would also be revealed.

Item No.

6. Reference RZ #110/66

Lot "A", Explanatory Plan 15692, Block 1, D.L. 28N, Plan 632

(Located on the South-East corner of Grandview-Douglas Highway and Wedgewood Street)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the property described above from Residential District Five (R5) to C2 COMMERCIAL recommended that the Commercial C2 zone which covers the adjacent properties be extended to include the subject property.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That this application be forwarded for further consideration at a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. Reference RZ #87/66

Lots 11 and 12, Block 24, D.L. 186, Plan 1124

(Located on the North-West corner of Cambridge Street and Esmond Avenue)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the above described properties from Residential District Five (R5) to MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) recommended that the principle adopted in the Apartment Study be upheld and that Council re-affirm the decision made on the three previous applications.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That this application not be favourably considered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Reference RZ #88/66

Bk. "A"
Lot "B", D.L. 57/58, Plan 6230

(Located on the East side of Piper Avenue, 169.7' South of Loughheed Highway)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the above described property from Residential District One (R1) to Institutional P1 recommended that the rezoning of the property, save and except the East 180', be advanced for further consideration.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That this application be forwarded for further consideration at a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item No.

9. Reference RZ #89/66

Lots "B" and "C", S.D. 1 and 2, Block 9, D.L. 186, Plan 18407

(Located on the South-East corner of Dundas Street and Boundary Road.)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the above described properties from Residential District Five (R5) to MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) recommended that the residential amenities existing in this area be retained and that apartment development be located in those areas best suited for a higher population density as set out in the Apartment Study.

The report further recommended that this type of natural redevelopment be channeled to support the redevelopment scheme which is already underway along Hastings Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That this application not be favour bly considered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Reference RZ #90/66

Lot 1, Block 37, D.L. 159, Plan 2585

(Located on the South-East corner of Rumble Street and Curragh Avenue)

The report of the Planning Director on this application to rezone the above described property from Residential District Five (R5) to PI Institutional recommended that the rezoning of the property to INSTITUTIONAL (PI) be advanced for further consideration.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That this application be forwarded for consideration at a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Planning Director also reported on Rezoning Application No. 112/66 (Lot 1 Explanatory Plan 10989 Except Plan 15900 and Reference Plan 11756, and Lots "A" & "D", S.D.1, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plans 4141 and 5923 -- located within the triangular tract of land bounded by Barnet Road, Inlet Drive and the lane South of Pandora Street).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That this application be tabled until the report on the statistics on family population in apartment suites is received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That Councillor Dally be granted leave of absence from the meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1966" (By-Law No. 4990) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1966" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 49, 1966" (By-Law No. 4977)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 49, 1966" provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #48/66

FROM MANUFACTURING DISTRICT THREE (M3) to GASOLINE SERVICE STATION DISTRICT (CS)

A 13,000 sq. ft. portion of Lot "A", Block "P", D.L. 42, Plan 19615

(Located at the South-East corner of Enterprise Street and Lake City Way)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Sept/19/1966

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DLAIR:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 49, 1966"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 56, 1966"
(By-Law No. 4989) be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 56, 1966" makes provision
for the inclusion of:

- (i) business and professional offices in Service Commercial Districts (C4);
- (ii) the following in all Industrial Districts:

"Offices for professional services:
architectural, data processing, drafting,
engineering and surveying."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 56, 1966" be now
finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal
affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY