

NOVEMBER 29, 1965

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, November 29, 1965, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;  
Councillors Blair, Cafferky,  
Dailly, Drummond, Edwards,  
Herd and Hicks

ABSENT: Councillor Corsbie

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the Minutes of the meetings held October 18th and November 1, 1965, be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Fresh Pak Limited wrote requesting an opportunity to address Council on the matter of the prerequisites established in connection with the proposed rezoning of Lots 25 to 29 inclusive, Block 35, D.L's 151/3, Plan 1319.

The spokesman for Fresh Pak Limited was not present so the request of the Company was laid over until later in the evening.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. John M. Laing submitted a letter urging Council to accelerate the matter of encouraging the development of land on the West slope of Burnaby Mountain.

While discussing the subject matter of the letter from Mr. Laing, the following points were made by Council:

- (i) The Council is fully cognizant of the amenities of developing the area referred to by Mr. Laing for residential purposes but it is equally aware of the need to resolve a number of problems before such development can take place.
- (ii) From the viewpoint of land use planning, it is now not opportune to encourage the development of the area in question. Though the future subdivision pattern for the area has been tentatively established, there are such questions as the creation of a major road extending Southeasterly from the Eastern end of Hastings Street to Curtis Street at Centennial Way plus the provision of certain basic community facilities which must first be resolved.
- (iii) The major road development mentioned is to be the responsibility of the Provincial Department of Highways and Council is endeavouring to have that Department construct the road as quickly as possible.
- (iv) The Council has a number of priorities concerning the development of land in the municipality. An example of this is "Buckingham Heights" where the development of high-class residential land use is to be encouraged.

- (v) The financial limitations of the municipality must be considered when deliberating the matter of developing municipal property.
- (vi) Another problem with respect to the area at the West end of Burnaby Mountain is that some of the property there is privately owned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That Council express its appreciation to Mr. Laing for the interest he has shown in encouraging the development of land on the West slope of Burnaby Mountain and he be assured that, commensurate with other land development priorities in the municipality, the development of the lands in the area mentioned will be proceeded with as quickly as possible and further, in replying to Mr. Laing, the points enumerated above be conveyed to him in order that he may have a full appreciation of the situation confronting Council in respect of the matter in which he has shown an interest."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

City Clerk, City of Vancouver, submitted a letter advising that the City has approved a traffic signal control arrangement at the Boundary-Grandview-Schou intersection at a capital cost of \$14,000.00 plus an annual maintenance expense of \$300.00 on the basis that these costs will be shared equally between the City, the Provincial Government and Burnaby.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That one-third of the total cost of the signal installation in question be accepted by this municipality."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was understood by Council that a Work Order covering the signal installation would be presented by the Municipal Engineer later.

Secretary, Buckingham School Association, wrote requesting that Council review the Local Improvement proposal for Burris Street between Grandview-Douglas Highway and Walker Avenue which was authorized on October 25, 1965, and consider the suggestion proffered by the Association concerning the construction of a sidewalk on one side of Burris Street between the two points of termination mentioned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the request of the Buckingham School Association be referred to the Municipal Manager for consideration and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, North Burnaby Commerce and Community Bureau, submitted a letter to which was attached a resolution concerning the past actions of Council in connection with attempts by the municipality to redevelop the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street. The submissions from the Bureau requested that:

- (a) The widening of the two blocks involved be accelerated;
- (b) The properties acquired by the municipality in the 3900 Block Hastings Street as a part of the widening proposal be immediately disposed of on the basis described in the resolution from the Bureau;
- (c) The Municipality compensate those owners whose properties are involved and whose business was injuriously affected by

the action of Council in acquiring land in the two blocks, with such compensation to be retroactive to the period between January and May, 1964, when the demolition of the buildings on the properties was undertaken.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That the letter from the North Burnaby Commerce and Community Bureau be returned and the Bureau advised that Council rejects the contents of the letter because it contains a complete distortion of the facts relating to the planned redevelopment of the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street."

IN FAVOUR: COUNCILLORS CAFFERKY,  
DAILLY, HICKS

AGAINST: REEVE EMMOTT,  
COUNCILLORS EDWARDS,  
HERD, BLAIR AND  
DRUMMOND

MOTION LOST

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That the North Burnaby Commerce and Community Bureau be advised that Council does not concur in the opinions expressed in the preamble of the resolution of the Bureau and that Council plans to proceed with the course of action already commenced regarding the Urban Renewal Project for the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman, 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street Property Owners' Association, submitted a letter embodying a resolution suggesting a certain course of action be followed by Council in connection with the plan of the Municipality to redevelop the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street plus those properties peripheral to these Blocks which are included in the Urban Renewal Scheme that was prepared pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act.

While deliberating the submission from the Association, the following points were made in Council:

- (i) The Urban Renewal Scheme involving the lands in question has been formally presented to both the Provincial and Federal Governments. It is expected that these two will arrange meetings on the Scheme but, in any event, the implementation of the Scheme (either in its present form or in a revised one) will need to await the approval of the two senior governments.
- (ii) The Council can consider the matter of compensation to the owners of the land involved at any time.
- (iii) It has always been the intention of Council to afford the property owners involved a full opportunity to participate in the redevelopment plan and to treat all of them on a fair and equitable basis.
- (iv) There is no doubt that at least one other meeting will be held with the property owners before any further action is taken by Council on the Urban Renewal Scheme.

- (v) The Council will be able to more properly consider the submission from the Association after the position of the Federal and Provincial Governments on the Scheme is determined.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That the submission from the 3800 and 3900 Blocks Hastings Street Property Owners' Association be referred to the office of the Municipal Manager for consideration of the proposals and a report on them, with it being tacitly understood that the points enumerated above will be taken into account during consideration of the Brief from the Association."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Administrator, Burnaby General Hospital, submitted a letter apprising Council of the latest developments in the programme for a proposed expansion of the Burnaby General Hospital facilities.

The Administrator also suggested that a meeting be arranged between the Board of Directors of the Hospital and Council next January.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:  
"That the suggestion of the Burnaby General Hospital regarding a meeting between it and Council be referred to the 1966 Council for appropriate action."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Account Executive, Radio NW Ltd., wrote thanking Council for confirming sponsorship of the Burnaby Choirs' Christmas Eve programme.

Executive Secretary, Burnaby Chamber of Commerce, submitted a letter suggesting that the Business Tax rate presently in effect be reduced so that it will produce approximately the same yield as was originally anticipated plus an allowance for the growth that has occurred during the past year.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That consideration of the suggestion from the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce be deferred until later in the evening when 'Burnaby Business Tax By-Law 1965, Amendment By-Law No. 1, 1965' is brought forward for further consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was brought to the attention of Council that a Mr. Eastman was present to speak on behalf of Fresh Pak Limited relative to its request that Council reconsider two of the prerequisites which were established in connection with a proposed rezoning of Lots 25 to 29 inclusive, Block 35, D.L's 151/3, Plan 1319 to Special Industrial District (M4).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That Mr. Eastman be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Eastman then spoke and submitted the following in support of the request of Fresh Pak Limited for reconsideration of the following two requisities related to the rezoning proposal mentioned earlier:

- (a) The dedication of 33 feet for road purposes to provide for the future widening of Kathleen Avenue.
- (b) The depositing of \$3,180.00 by Fresh Pak Limited to cover the cost of constructing the widening project referred to under Point (a).

1. Mr. Earl A. McKay of Fresh Pak Limited was not aware, prior to the Council meeting on November 2, 1965, of a recommendation that was made then by the Planning Department concerning the Company dedicating the Westerly 33 feet of its property for the widening of Kathleen Avenue without being compensated therefor, and he did not know that the Company would be expected to deposit the sum of \$3,180.00 to cover the cost of constructing this widening project.
2. When the Company expanded its operations in 1960, it acquired the properties on which the present plant is situated, and it was suggested then by the Corporation that the Company dedicate land for the widening of Beresford Street. The Company acceded and received no compensation for the dedication. To-day's value of the land then dedicated is approximately \$10,000.00.
3. In its current expansion proposal, the Company has acquired the five parcels now under application for rezoning for approximately \$50,000.00 and this, coupled with the dedication and road construction prerequisites currently attached to the rezoning proposal at hand, means that the Company is faced with an expense that was not expected and which was not taken into account when formulating its plans.
4. The Company, because of its benevolence in connection with the aforementioned Beresford Street situation, has proven itself to be mindful of community interests, and this should be recognized by Council now.
5. Because the Corporation does not propose to widen Kathleen Avenue at the present time, the requirement concerning depositing \$3,180.00 for the construction of the widening project should be withdrawn. When the project is to be undertaken, all of the owners on the Street can be assessed their proportionate share for the work.
6. If the Corporation is concerned that allowing the rezoning without first obtaining the land for the future widening of Kathleen Avenue might result in the buildings currently planned being constructed on the land that may eventually be required for the widening of Kathleen Avenue, the Company can revise its plans accordingly so that the Corporation will not be faced with the encroachment problem. The Company could agree to dedicate the 33 feet for Kathleen Avenue at some time in the future.

Mr. Eastman concluded by contending that, because of the foregoing points, Council should abrogate the two prerequisites mentioned earlier.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:  
"That the written submission from Fresh Pak Limited and the oral one by Mr. Eastman be referred to the Planning Department for consideration and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:  
"That leave of absence be granted Reeve Emmott, Councillors Dailly and Cafferky from the regular meeting of the Council held Monday, November 22, 1965."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT OF THE JUSTICE BUILDING COMMITTEE

A report of the Justice Building Committee, a copy of which is attached to and forms a part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

Mr. Kerr of McCarter, Nairne and Partners, Architects and Consulting Engineers, was in attendance and presented the final sketch plans and working drawings relating to the Justice Building proposal.

He answered a number of questions concerning the various facets of the development proposal and described, in general terms, the details of the Justice Building.

Mr. Kerr also mentioned that the further studies referred to under point (b) of the report from the Justice Building Committee, including discussions about the revisions with Inspector Jeeves of the Burnaby Detachment of the R.C.M.P., have been done.

In response to a question, Mr. Kerr stated that the time table listed in the report of the Justice Building Committee was quite realistic.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the recommendations of the Justice Building Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY LEFT THE MEETING.

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 81, 1965

Report No. 81, 1965, of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming a part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

- (1) Application for Welfare Institutions Licence  
(PARRY - 3915 Pender Street)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That the application of Mrs. P. Parry for a Welfare Institutions Licence be approved on the basis outlined in the report of the Manager."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) Fence along the C.P.R. right-of-way at Barnet Beach

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:  
"That the report of the Manager be received and conveyed to the Burnaby Citizen's Committee for Access to Burrard Inlet at Barnet Beach."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Subdivision Reference #306/64

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (4) Estimates

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Expenditures

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR HICKS LEFT THE MEETING.

(6) Magistrate Andrew D. Johnston (Municipal Superannuation)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That the first recommendation in the report of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That the second recommendation in the report be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLORS HICKS AND CAFFERKY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

(7) Easement - Portion of Lot "C", Block 3, D.L. 136, Plan 7333 (LOXTERKAMP)

(8) Miscellaneous Easements for Sewer Purposes

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:  
"That the recommendations of the Manager covering Items (7) and (8) be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, stated that it has been customary for the municipality which hosts meetings of the Lower Mainland Municipal Officers' Association to underwrite part of the cost of the meeting.

He mentioned that the last meeting of the Association was held in Burnaby a short time ago and the outstanding expense amounted to \$100.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:  
"That authority be granted to pay the sum of \$100.00 to underwrite the cost of Burnaby hosting the last meeting of the Lower Mainland Officers' Association."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, mentioned that the Community Planning Association of Canada (B.C. Division) was having a Conference on December 4, 1965 at The Chestnuts in Langley, B.C.

He advised that Messrs. Walker, Ellis and Chivers of the Advisory Planning Commission wished to attend the Conference and that the registration fee for each is \$2.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:  
"That authority be granted to remit the sum of \$6.00 to cover the registration fee of Messrs. Walker, Ellis and Chivers of the Advisory Planning Commission in attending the Conference of the Community Planning Association of Canada (B.C. Division) at The Chestnuts in Langley, B.C., on December 4, 1965."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, pursuant to the powers vested in him under the Municipal Act, returned for reconsideration one of the prerequisites which was established in connection with the proposed rezoning of Lots 7 to 10 inclusive, S.D. 6, Block 10, D.L's 151/3, Plan 2389. The prerequisite in question was that the North 66 feet of the four lots be dedicated for road purposes.

He concluded by suggesting that the matter to be considered is whether or not this prerequisite is excessive or, if it is not, then perhaps the Corporation should now acquire the 66 feet for road purposes.

COUNCILLOR DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

Planning Director displayed a sketch illustrating the total road proposal of which the 66-foot parcel was a part and explained the reason of his Department for this road. In this regard, he stated that this road will form part of a street system that is intended to separate the future commercial development to the North from other development to the South.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the decision made by Council on October 18, 1965, to not rezone Lot 7, S.D. 6, Block 10, D.L's 151/3, Plan 2389, because it is felt the lot is required for future road purposes, be reaffirmed."

CARRIED

COUNCILLORS CAFFERKY  
AND DRUMMOND -- AGAINST

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That negotiations be commenced for the acquisition of Lot 7, S.D. 6, Block 10, D.L's 151/3, Plan 2389, for road purposes."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR BLAIR -- AGAINST

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLORS CAFFERKY AND DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY TRADES LICENCE BY-LAW 1950, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965",  
"BURNABY CAB AND COMMERCIAL VEHICLES BY-LAW 1951, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965",  
"BURNABY AUTOMATIC VENDING MACHINE BY-LAW 1946, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965",  
"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 8, 1965", and  
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1965", and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That "BURNABY TRADES LICENCE BY-LAW 1950, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965"  
"BURNABY CAB AND COMMERCIAL VEHICLES BY-LAW 1951, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965"  
"BURNABY AUTOMATIC VENDING MACHINE BY-LAW 1946, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965"  
"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 8, 1965", and  
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1965", be now read a Third Time."

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 24, 1965" was withdrawn.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That "BURNABY BUSINESS TAX BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1965"  
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The letter from the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce, reference to which will be found earlier in these Minutes, was brought forward for further consideration.

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, pointed out that it was not possible to entertain the request of the Chamber at this time because any amendments to the Business Tax By-Law must be finally passed by November 30, 1965.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the request of the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce be referred to the Municipal Assessor for his views and a report be submitted by him to the 1966 Council in sufficient time to enable it to consider the request of the Chamber before the deadline for the passage of an amendment to the Business Tax By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That "BURNABY BUSINESS TAX BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1965"  
be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That "BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1965",  
"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1965" and  
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1965", be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That "BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 4, 1965"  
"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1965" and  
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1965", be now finally adopted,  
signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965,  
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 23, 1965", and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider  
and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 23, 1965" provides  
for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY  
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

- (i) Lots 1 and 2, Blocks 4 and parts of 51/52, D.L's 153  
and 33, Plan 1316
- (ii) Lot "A", S.D. 3/4, Block 51, D.L. 33, Plan 22303  
(Located on the East side of Willingdon Avenue from Grange  
Street to Grafton Street).

Municipal Clerk advised that the Planning Department had reported all  
of the prerequisites to this rezoning proposal had been satisfied.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:  
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 23, 1965"  
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1965", "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1965", and "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 35, 1965"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1965" provides for the following rezoning:

FROM MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (RM2) TO  
MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RM1)

Lot 18, S.D. 12/16, Block 18, D.L. 29, Plan 19194

(Located on the North side of Thirteenth Avenue from a point approximately 138 feet East of Kingsway Easterly a distance of approximately 125 feet).

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1965" provides for the following rezoning:

FROM MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (RM2) TO  
MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RM1)

Lots "B" & "C", Block 24, D.L. 29, Plan 19908

(Lot "B" is located on the North side of 14th Avenue from a point approximately 214 feet West of Mary Avenue, Westerly a distance of approximately 120 feet.

Lot "C" is located immediately to the North and extends along the Easterly side of Humphries Court to a point approximately 250 feet South of 16th Avenue).

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 35, 1965" provides for the following rezoning:

FROM MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3) TO  
MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (RM1)

Lot "F", S.D. 10 and 11, Block 19, D.L. 29, Plan 16965

(Located on the South side of 14th Avenue from a point approximately 72 feet West of Mary Avenue, Westerly a distance of approximately 60 feet).

Municipal Clerk stated that the prerequisite concerning connection to the storm sewer system, which was originally established by Council in connection with these rezoning proposals, had been withdrawn because of the unavailability of such a system in the area.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1965",  
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1965", and  
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 35, 1965", be now  
read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 36, 1965"  
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 36, 1965" provides  
for the following rezoning:

FROM MANUFACTURING DISTRICT (M1) TO GENERAL INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT (M2)

- (a) That area bounded by Dawson Street on the North; Rosser Avenue,  
the East boundary of Block 15, West 116 feet, D.L. 119 E $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  
Plan 2855, and Sumner Avenue on the East; Highway 401 on the  
South; and Gilmore Avenue on the West.
- (b) That area bounded by a line approximately 60 feet South of and  
parallel to Loughheed Highway, Delta Avenue, Juneau Street,  
Beta Avenue, the Right-of-way of the B.C. Hydro and Power  
Authority, Alpha Avenue, Dawson Street and Beta Avenue.

Planning Director submitted a report on this proposed rezoning advising  
that the effect of it will be to reduce the extent of non-conformity  
within the areas concerned. He cited a few examples of the situation,  
which were: Truck terminals, welding shops, and the manufacture of  
such items as prepared meat products, sash and door products, machine  
shop products, truck trailers and paints.

He emphasized that all of the uses which were conforming under the M1  
category will continue to be conforming under the M2 zoning but that  
there would be a number of uses which were non-conforming under M1 that  
will continue to be non-conforming with M2. The Planning Director  
provided a few examples of this situation, which were: General and  
heavy construction contractors, storage yards, auto-wrecking yards,  
junk yards and the manufacturing of such items as lumber and building  
materials, heavy machinery and equipment, cement and concrete products.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:  
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 36, 1965" be  
now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate  
Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That "BURNABY DEBENTURE BY-LAW 1965" be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Municipal Clerk advised that the Inspector of Municipalities has approved  
this By-Law.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:  
"That "BURNABY DEBENTURE BY-LAW 1965" be now finally adopted, signed  
by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, stated that it is customary that Council grant old-age pensioners' organizations a sum for Christmas dinners. He advised that the rate used in the past was 25¢ per member but that he felt this should be increased to 50¢ per member.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That His Worship, Reeve Emmott, be authorized to arrange for grants to be made to old-age pensioners' organizations for Christmas dinners on the basis of 50¢ per member."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole "In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY