

JANUARY 14, 1963

A Regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Monday, January 14, 1963 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair
Councillors Blair, Cafferky,
Clark, Drummond, Harper, Kalyk,
MacSorley and Wells

Lieutenant L. F. McNeilly of The Salvation Army led in Opening Prayer.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:

"That the Minutes of the meeting held December 31, 1962 be amended by recording Councillor Kalyk as being opposed to "BURNABY WATERWORKS FINANCING BY-LAW, 1962", and that these Minutes, as amended, plus those of the meeting held January 7, 1963, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Street, Wollen & Promislow submitted a letter requesting the opportunity to address Council on an application to rezone Lot "A", Block 18 and the North 210 feet of Block 13, D. L. 97 to Residential Multiple Family Type II.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That a representative of this firm be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. W. A. Street appeared on behalf of Westcott Apartments Ltd., the Company which proposes to erect apartments on the subject property, applying for the rezoning of this property from Light Industrial to Residential Multiple Family Type II.

Mr. Street recounted the previous attempts to rezone the property in question, mentioning that during the deliberations which took place at that time, no objection was expressed by property owners adjacent the land under application to the rezoning of it to Multiple Family use.

Mr. Street concluded by requesting that the rezoning be approved for further consideration and a Public Hearing held on it.

Reeve Emmott drew attention to the policy of Council governing the procedure to be followed in connection with rezoning applications, stating in this regard that no application can be considered that has been the subject of a similar application within the past six months.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY;

"That the application at hand not be given consideration at this time because of the Council policy just enunciated by Reeve Emmott."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY -
AGAINST.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY;

"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman, Mothers March Committee, the Kinsmen Club of South Burnaby, wrote seeking permission to hold a "Mothers March on Polio" Drive on February 2, 1963 by soliciting donations from in front of the Provincial Government Liquor Stores on Kingsway during business hours and by means of a house to house canvass commencing at 6:30 p.m.

Campaign Chairman, North Burnaby Kinsmen Club, also submitted an application to hold a "Mothers March on Polio" Campaign on the same date between the hours of 6:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR;

"That permission be granted to the two Kinsmen Clubs to conduct their respective campaigns at the times mentioned in their letters and further, that Council signify its recognition of the valuable service rendered to the public by the campaign in question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Senior Traffic Engineer, Department of Highways, submitted a letter in connection with the traffic islands on Lougheed Highway advising that his Department is currently engaging in a programme of replacing the low mounted flashers on the ends of the Islands with higher mounted more visible flashers. He added that the curbing consists of white cement with light reflecting ribs and the Islands are illuminated with high intensity mercury vapour lighting.

It was suggested by Council that the installation of "cat's eyes" similar to those in place on the centre line markings on

the Loughheed Highway would do much to improve the visibility of the Islands for motorists. Another suggestion was that the Islands themselves be cleaned or repainted frequently so that they will be more easily discernible. It was pointed out that these Islands quickly become darkened by vehicles splashing dirt on them or by running their tires along them.

Secretary, Fraser North Shore Highway Association, submitted a circular notice requesting that Council appoint a representative to attend a meeting being held to consider ways and means of obtaining the approval of the Provincial Government on the matter of completing the Ruby Creek to Haig link of the Loughheed Highway.

Councillor MacSorley was delegated to attend this meeting on behalf of Council.

Mr. M. Timms submitted a letter expressing his appreciation to the members of the Fire Department who attended a fire at his house on December 29, 1962 and managed to contain the blaze without too much damage being caused.

The Council directed that a copy of this letter be sent to the Fire Department for the attention of those firemen who were involved in combating the fire at the home of Mr. M. Timms.

The following submitted letters in connection with the matters indicated:

Mr. F. Buckley re sewer programming

O. and L. Magnone re claim for expropriation of a portion of Lot 6, Block 9, D. L. 159, Plan 2103

Hemlock Realty Ltd. re complaint concerning an application for a Private Hospital on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. F. Kirkpatrick

Mr. F. Kranz re complaint regarding an application to subdivide Tand In D, L. 126.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the above four letters be tabled for consideration under the appropriate items of the Municipal Manager's Report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT OF TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

(1) Ingleton Avenue and Hastings Street.

The Committee reported that a request was received for a loading zone adjacent the premises at 3895 Hastings Street.

It advised that information was received that a one hour parking restriction exists on Hastings Street at the present time and the property of the applicant has lane access which can be used to facilitate the loading and unloading of vehicles.

The Committee reported that it felt if the request was granted, it is likely other merchants in the area would expect to receive the same treatment.

The Committee recommended that the request for a loading zone adjacent the store at 3895 Hastings Street be not entertained for the reasons set out.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Tenth Avenue and Cumberland Street.

The Committee reported that it had received a request for a 20 m.p.h. speed limit on the Burnaby side of 10th Avenue west from Cumberland Street to complement the speed limit on the New Westminster side of 10th Avenue. It pointed out that a school is located at the southwest corner of the subject intersection and it is felt by the applicant that a speed limit should be established on 10th Avenue as a means of affording protection for those attending the school.

The Committee advised that normally the only measure that would be considered appropriate at the subject location is a school crosswalk but, since the school catchment area between the City of New Westminster and Burnaby makes it unnecessary for children to cross 10th Avenue, crosswalk protection is not needed.

It added that technical warrants governing the establishment of school crosswalks indicate that a reduced speed zone is unnecessarily restrictive on through streets, such as 10th Avenue, in view of the heavy flow of traffic.

Because of this technical warrant and particularly since no pupils attending the school in New Westminster would be crossing 10th Avenue, the Committee recommended that the request for a 20 m.p.h. speed limit on the Burnaby portion of 10th Avenue west from Cumberland Street be not entertained.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Dow Avenue and Imperial Street.

The Committee reported that a request was received for a school crosswalk on Dow Avenue north of Imperial Street. The Committee advised that it was felt a crosswalk by itself would be of little value unless it was patrolled and a letter was therefore sent to the Principal of the school whose pupils would be using the crosswalk (Maywood School) to ascertain whether he would be prepared to arrange for the institution of a school patrol.

The Committee advised that a reply was received indicating that since the senior pupils of the school are only in Grade Four, it would not be possible for the school to institute a patrol.

The Committee recommended against the establishment of a school crosswalk at the subject location because of the inability to provide patrol service.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Arcola Street and Griffiths Avenue.

The Committee reported that an investigation of a request for measures at the above noted intersection to improve the efficiency of traffic movements thereat disclosed that there is:

- (a) a view obstruction
- (b) a reasonably heavy flow of traffic
- (c) a fairly high accident rate.

The Committee advised that while it felt the removal of the view obstruction might reduce accidents, the total elimination of accidents would not occur unless some controls were instituted since volumes on both streets are reasonably high.

The Committee recommended that "Yield" signs be installed on Griffiths Avenue at Arcola Street because the warrants for their application are satisfied.

It added that the matter of removing the view obstruction will be pursued by the Engineering Department.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Grandview-Douglas Highway and Mayfield Street.

The Committee reported that a request was received for the installation of a pedestrian actuated traffic signal or,

alternatively, an overhead flashing amber device with appropriate signing to indicate more positively the presence of the school crosswalk at the above noted location.

The Committee advised that it felt though such devices might serve to attract the attention of motorists to the school crosswalk, such treatment would be a departure from the usual policy governing the establishment of crosswalks, especially when present conditions do not justify special treatment.

The Committee added that the school crosswalk has had no accident history when in use during school hours and, if pedestrian actuated signals were installed, it is almost a certainty (from past experience at other locations) that rear end vehicular collisions would occur.

It also reported that observations of the performance of school patrols and traffic reveals that certain drivers travelling in one direction will stop for the crosswalk and thus hold the stream of traffic behind but, in the opposite direction, traffic continues to proceed. The Committee advised that it was of the opinion the patrolling pupils might be trained to stop traffic without standing in the flow of it and, although it was not advocating that this practice be adopted as a standard one, it felt a police officer could do much to assist and educate the patrolmen in the conduct of their duties.

In any event, the Committee reported that a request is being made of the Department of Highways to attach a "No Passing" tab on the school sign erected for southbound traffic as a means of improving the situation.

The Committee further reported that it was also observed during investigation that the movements of pupils were orderly, although 15 or 20 children crossed before the patrol arrived.

It advised that the children who attend Mayfield School and use the crosswalk should be instructed to leave later and the patrol arrive for duty earlier to ensure that a larger percentage of the pupils wishing to cross are supervised when doing so.

The Committee concluded by advising that it felt this would be a first step toward achieving better and safer conditions at the subject crosswalk.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the views expressed by the
Committee in its report be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Forglen Drive and Maitland Street.

The Committee reported that an investigation of a request that a view obstruction at the northwest corner of the above noted intersection be removed to improve visibility for motorists revealed that a partial obstruction existed, although it is not felt the condition created is too serious. It added that no reported accidents occurred during 1962 and the intersection is a "T" one, which makes it less susceptible to accidents

than a cross intersection. In addition, the Committee pointed out that it would be necessary to reduce the height of the boulevard in order to improve vision for the motorists appreciably.

The Committee recommended that no action be taken in respect of the view obstruction at the location in question.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Winch Street behind Parkcrest School.

The Committee reported that a request was received to investigate a hazardous condition at the above noted location with a view to implementing measures designed to protect the children crossing Winch Street while travelling to and from Parkcrest School.

It advised that investigation revealed measures were required and, as a consequence, school pentagon signs were installed on Winch Street east and west of the park adjacent the school.

The Committee recommended that this action be ratified.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) McKay Avenue at each of Southwood and Portland Streets.

The Committee reported that a complaint was received that view obstructions existed at the above two locations. It advised that these two intersections were originally investigated in November of 1959 and, since then, there have been no reported accidents at either of the two corners. It added that note was taken during the last examination that conditions have been improved at the northeast corner of Southwood Street and McKay Avenue.

The Committee recommended that no action be taken at this time in respect of the complaint.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Kensington Avenue and Kitchener Street.

The Committee reported that a suggestion was made that there was a need for either a stop or a yield sign at the above noted intersection.

It advised that investigation of conditions at the location in question resulted in the conclusion being reached that the majority of accidents which occurred within the last few years are directly attributable to view obstructions on the northwest and southwest corners. It pointed out that the two property owners involved have reflected a high degree of co-operation and, as a result, approximately sixty percent of the view obstructions have now been removed, with a further twenty percent anticipated in the near future.

Though it was determined that the intersection warrants minimal traffic controls, such as "Yield" signs, the Committee suggested that a period of three or four months elapse in order to determine whether the removal of the view obstructions has any positive bearing on the reduction in the number of accidents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the view expressed by the Committee
in its report be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Kitchener Street and Cliff Avenue.

The Committee reported that the above noted intersection has been kept under surveillance during the past year to determine whether stop controls might be required. The Committee advised that the following things have occurred which it is felt contribute to traffic safety:

- (a) The level of the intersection has been altered in the course of paving Cliff Avenue, thus removing a vertical view obstruction.
- (b) The property at the north-east corner has been subdivided and the front yard levelled.
- (c) The property at the north-west corner is in the process of being subdivided.
- (d) No accidents have been reported to the R.C.M.P. in 1962.

The Committee recommended that no action be taken to institute traffic controls at the subject intersection.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Eton Street and Gilmore Avenue.

The Committee reported that the above noted intersection is currently controlled by a four-way stop, which arrangement has been in force for five years. It advised that a check of traffic volumes through the intersection discloses it to be extremely low.

In view of this situation, the Committee recommended that the stop signs be removed from Gilmore Avenue and that they remain on Eton Street to discourage its use by traffic other than the local variety.

The Committee added that full view obstructions were observed at all corners except the north-east one, and an attempt will be made to pursue the matter of removing these obstructions.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Delta Avenue in the vicinity of Brentwood School and Holy Cross School.

The Committee reported that it had received a request from a number of people for measures on the above portion of Delta Avenue to protect the children attending the two schools noted in caption.

It advised that inspection by the R.C.M.P. disclosed that the children are very orderly while walking along Delta Avenue, and no complaints have been received regarding excessive speeding of traffic. It pointed out that the bulk of traffic at the hours when children are on the street is created by parents bringing their children to school, with many of them stopping on the street and backing up on it when turning around. The Committee advised that this situation is a hazardous one and an attempt should be made to eliminate it.

The Committee further reported that the Traffic Engineering Division had noted that the prime concern was the lack of walking facilities along Delta Avenue and, although the lack of such facilities is not unique in this Municipality, the street has special features which make it rather unusual; they being:

- (a) Almost no road shoulders are available.
- (b) Because of embankments, boulevards are rough and difficult to form into footpaths.
- (c) Steep road grades make it difficult for drivers to control their speeds.

The Committee advised that a suggestion was made that cross-walks be provided but it felt such devices were not warranted since traffic volumes are sufficiently low to provide abundant safe crossing gaps when most of the children cross.

The Committee added that all attempts by Council to initiate the construction of sidewalks during the last four years have been defeated by abutting property owners. The Committee suggested that the obvious solution to the problem lies in the provision of pedestrian walking facilities, which could take the form of either a gravel chip walk or widening of the road shoulders; in both instances, the easterly side of Delta Avenue would be more economical and useful.

In the case of a chip walk, the Committee reported that the cost of providing it would be approximately \$3,000.00 but, should further subdivision occur along the east side of Delta Avenue and sidewalks are built, the entire expenditure on the chip walk would be lost since it could not be integrated with the future sidewalk.

As regards the matter of widening the road shoulders, the Committee reported that the cost would be approximately \$7,000.00, and the result would be the provision of a four to five foot wide gravel shoulder. Also, the widening of the shoulders could be used in the future to accommodate black-topping arrangements and, as a result, the expenditure would not result in the loss of all the work.

The Committee advised that a third suggestion, and a more complete one, would be the construction of a four foot concrete sidewalk, the estimated cost of which is approximately \$11,000.00.

The Committee concluded by advising that it felt some action should be taken to improve conditions on the subject portion of Delta Avenue and it accordingly expressed approval in principle to any measures that might be taken in this regard.

It requested that Council give consideration to the three alternatives set out above.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALÝK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the report of the Committee be received and referred to the Policy Committee for further consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 1, 1963.

- (1) Easements - (a) Phase 3 of the South Slope Sewer
(b) A drainage project on Aubrey Street
(c) A drainage project between Adair Street
and Broadway.

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of the following easements for the considerations noted, adding that they are required in connection with the projects mentioned in caption:

- (a) (1) That portion of Lot 2 outlined in Red on Plan No. 24805, Block 1, D. L. 149 South half of Southwest quarter, Plan 1351 (Curran) - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

- (11) The west 15 feet of Lot 21 plus a triangular portion outlined on Right of Way Plan No. 24805, Block 1, D. L. 149 South half of Southwest quarter, Plan 1351 (Lowe) - \$185.00 plus a free sewer connection and restoration of the easement area.
- (b) The west five feet of Lot 22 South half, Blocks 1/36, D. L. 132, Plan 2640 (Rice and Godoy) - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (c) That portion of Lot 4 shown outlined in Red on Plan No. 24952, S.D. "A", Block 1, D. L. 131, Plan 6982 (Lane) - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

The Manager also recommended that Council authorize the execution of any necessary documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Manager respecting easements required in connection with Phase 3 of the South Slope Sewer Project be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY -
AGAINST.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager in connection with the easement for a drainage project on Aubrey Street be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Manager respecting the easement required for a drainage project between Adair Street and Broadway, plus his recommendation regarding authorization to execute documents, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) Easement - North 10 feet of Lot "B", Block "C", D. L. 150 South half of North half of Northwest quarter, Plan 8581, Save and Except the East 35 feet thereof (Lutz).

The Manager reported that on October 1, 1962 Council authorized the acquisition of an easement over the above described property for a consideration of \$152.00.

He advised that the owners have now requested that the Corporation also provide a free sewer connection, the main

reason being that the sewer in the easement will service three other properties.

The Manager explained that this situation exists in many instances throughout the Municipality and, in addition, the Land Agent considers that the compensation awarded (\$152.00) is fair and reasonable.

The Manager reported that the request for a free sewer connection as additional compensation for the easement cannot be recommended.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the view expressed by the Municipal Manager be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Easement - Portion of Block 4, D. L. 77, Plan 3051.

The Manager reported that the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District has requested an easement over the above described property, which is owned by the Municipality, for the route of the Sperling Avenue to Springer Avenue section of the Burnaby Lake North Interceptor Sewer.

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the granting of the easement for a consideration of \$1.00.

He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of any necessary documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Portion of the North half of Lot 18 South 341 feet, Blocks 173, D. L. 43, Plan 3227 AND Lot 2, S.D. 18, Blocks 173, D. L. 43, Plan 13131 (McQuittan and Astleson).

The Manager reported that on June 11, 1962, Council authorized the acquisition of the above described property, which is required in connection with the diversion of Winston Street.

He advised that the portion acquired represents approximately 65% of the total area of the property and the 1962 taxes on the land were \$251.48. He added that the portion of the taxes relating to the property acquired by the Corporation would be 65% of one-half year, or \$81.73.

He recommended that a tax adjustment of \$81.73 be made to the owners, the above noted.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Expropriation - West 15 feet of Lot 6, Block 9, D. L. 159, Plan 2103 (Magnone).

The Manager submitted a report in response to a letter from the above noted (reference to which is made earlier in these Minutes).

The letter from Mr. and Mrs. Magnone advised that they had sent two letters regarding the sewer easement in question asking that they be read to Council, but this was not done.

They added that Mr. G. Isherwood has visited them on several occasions but has never made a reasonable offer of compensation for the easement. Since no agreement could be reached on this matter, the Magnones stated that it was decided to go to arbitration but, after reconsidering the matter, they felt it would be better for both parties to settle the claim in a more amicable fashion.

Mr. and Mrs. Magnone advised that the easement encumbers fifteen feet of their lot and, since no improvements are located thereon other than landscaping, the resulting loss is four feet of building area and, as they consider their property is valued at \$75.00 per front foot, the total loss to them would be \$300.00. They added that, to date, accounts for professional services in connection with the subject matter amount to \$50.00, and there is also their own time; therefore, they feel that \$500.00 would be reasonable compensation for the easement.

They concluded by requesting that Council give the subject matter earnest consideration.

The Manager reported that the chronology of the matter is as follows:

- (a) Following a breakdown in negotiations for a settlement of compensation for the sewer easement, Council passed an Expropriation By-law and succeeding formalities regarding the publication of the By-law and the filing of it were undertaken.
- (b) A letter was received from Mr. and Mrs. Magnone on January 31, 1962, containing a claim for compensation in the amount of \$5,000.00. This letter was referred to the Municipal Solicitor for handling as a claim under the legal procedures involved in expropriations.
- (c) A further letter was received on June 20, 1962 from Mr. and Mrs. Magnone again requesting that the matter be submitted to Council.
- (d) The Municipal Solicitor replied to this letter on July 11, 1962, advising that formal notice of Intent to proceed with the work was forthcoming and that the letter of January 29th would be accepted as the claim.
- (e) Since then, the Lands Department has continued negotiations with Mr. and Mrs. Magnone without success.

The Manager advised that the Municipal Clerk has explained that the former letters were not submitted to Council since he felt that Council had, by passage of the Expropriation By-law, decreed that the easement be acquired and, from that point onwards the formalities are legal prior to a recommendation being submitted to Council for settlement of the claim.

The Manager pointed out that this latest letter from Mr. and Mrs. Magnone is in effect a new claim and it should be referred to the Solicitor for recommendation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the view expressed by the Manager
in the last paragraph of his report be
endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Street Lighting - Silver Avenue between Maywood Street
and Beresford Street.

The Manager reported that the above portion of Silver Avenue has one street light at each intersection plus one mid-block.

He added that this is in accordance with the current policy and additional street lights are only considered in conjunction with an ornamental street lighting programme or, in the case of selected arterial streets where mercury vapour lights replace incandescent ones.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the report of the Manager be
received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(7) Burriss Street and Buckingham Avenue.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer as to the cost of constructing a culvert at the above noted location. In this regard, the Engineer advised that to date the costs are \$17,767.00 for a work estimated at \$16,500.00, the main reason for the increase being rain.

He added that, as near as can be determined, the winter works recovery will more than offset the present overage and no further major amounts are to be charged against the Work Order.

The Manager reported verbally that the information contained in his report was based on data at hand as of December 24, 1962 and, since then, it has been ascertained that the costs of the project might be 140% higher than the estimate.

Councillor Kalyk stated that Municipal workmen, in the course of constructing the subject culvert, had trespassed on private property, and that she therefore wished a report on this matter.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacCORLEY:

"That the report of the Municipal Manager
be tabled for a period of one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (8) Easements - That portion of Lot 163 shown in red on Plan No. 24951, D.L. 86, Plan 24948 (Janzen) AND That portion of Lots 166 and 167 shown outlined in red on Plan No. 24951, D.L. 86, Plan 24948 (Ralston)

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of easements over the above described properties, which are required in connection with a land exchange, for a consideration of \$1.00 each.

He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of any necessary documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (9) Water Lots 5770 and 5772.

The Manager reported that the above noted water lots are located in the north arm of the Fraser River and are sub-let to J. Harold Bumby Limited for a period of ten years from October 15, 1952, at an annual rental of \$250.00.

He advised that the Company wishes to renew its lease of these water lots for a five-year period and it is prepared to pay the following rents:

Water Lot 5770 - \$120.00 per annum.

Water Lot 5772 - \$210.00 per annum.

The Manager recommended that the subject two water lots be sub-let to J. Harold Bumby Limited for a five-year period as from October 15, 1962 at the rates indicated and that Council also authorize the execution of any necessary documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (10) Enquiry of Mr. F. Buckley regarding sewer programming.

The Manager submitted a report in response to an enquiry from the above noted as to when sewer service could be expected at property located on the south side of Hastings Street between Delta Avenue and Springer Avenue.

In his letter, Mr. Buckley advised that he had received information which indicated that the provision of the service would be delayed.

Mr. Buckley advised that since it was impossible to make tangible plans without knowing of the availability of sewers, he would appreciate being informed as to the proposed

schedule of sewer construction.

The Manager reported that the area in which the property of Mr. Buckley is located is immediately north of a large sparsely settled region and it is tributary to a Sewer Board trunk on the Loughheed Highway. He advised that this trunk passes the "Parkcrest" area, which has been selected by Council as its next project.

The Manager further reported that Phase 3 of the South Slope Sewer is now under construction, Parkcrest is designed, and design is in progress for the Garden Village area; all of which represents the 1963 programme with available funds.

He added that it will soon become desirable for Council to give consideration to the 1964 Sewer Construction Programme so that design work can proceed well in advance. He added that the entire unsewered area to which trunk sewer service is available should be reviewed by Council at that time.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the report of the Municipal Manager be received and the information contained in it be transmitted to Mr. Buckley."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Complaint of F. Kranz regarding subdivision in D.L.126.

The Manager submitted a report prepared by the Municipal Planner and Chief Sanitary Inspector relative to the above noted complaint.

The letter from Mr. F. Kranz complained that the Planning Department had treated his application to subdivide certain lands in D.L. 126 with discrimination. In his letter, Mr. Kranz advised that on November 24, 1961, he made an application to subdivide portions of Lots 31, 32, and 37 of D.L. 126, but his application was rejected since sewers were not available and it was not deemed prudent to permit a "multiple" subdivision.

Mr. Kranz added that in October, 1962, the owner of Lot 32 received approval to subdivide this parcel. He contended that in view of this he had the right to demand approval of his earlier application or, failing that, that no approvals be given to anyone.

Mr. Kranz concluded by asking that Council not condone the action taken by the Planning Department.

The joint report of the Approving Officer and Chief Sanitary Inspector advised that at a meeting attended by a number of administrative officials on August 9th, a guide subdivision plan was presented. They added that the area covered by this plan was bounded by Parker Street, Holdom Avenue, Broadway, and Delta Avenue, and it was prepared as a result of receiving three preliminary subdivision applications covering a total of 18 parcels having a subdivision potential of approximately 150 lots. They added that one application was from an agent of Sinsler Realty and the other two by separate agents of Block Bros. Realty.

The two officials further reported that after the meeting, it was concluded that subdivision of the three tracts concerned was premature and should not be approved since the creation of the number of lots mentioned with generally unsatisfactory soil, topographic and drainage conditions, would result in numerous malfunctioning disposal systems. It was added that Mr. Smith of Sinsler Realty and Mr. Arthur Block of Block Bros. Realty were subsequently advised by the Approving Officer that the subdivision applications could not be granted preliminary approval.

The two officials advised that on August 2, 1962, a preliminary application was submitted by the owner of Lot 2, Block 2, D.L. 126 and, in due course, the application received tentative approval of the Health Department. Servicing requirements were indicated by the Engineering Department, and tentative approval was granted by the Approving Officer on October 24, 1962. They stressed that from the point of view of obtaining satisfactory control of the tile disposal fields, a total of only seven building lots were involved and each was to be drained on its lower end, with drainage from the up-hill region being intercepted by proper storm drainage facilities.

As to the other points mentioned in the letter from Mr. Kranz, the Approving Officer and Chief Sanitary Inspector reported that:

- (a) Mr. Broomfield of the Sanitation Department denies having encouraged Mr. Kranz in his belief that satisfactory sewage control could be achieved by means of septic tanks and tile disposal fields.
- (b) Mr. Arthur Block was contacted by the Approving Officer on the assumption that both agents of Block Bros. were acting in the capacity of employees of the firm.
- (c) Mr. Lee of the Planning Department does not recall the conversation cited by Mr. Kranz but states that he cannot imagine giving Mr. Kranz such information as he is well aware of the timing of the applications.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the report of the Approving Officer and Chief Sanitary Inspector be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the subject complaint be referred to those Councillors acting as liaisons with the Planning Department and Sanitation Department for investigation and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Civil Defence Course - Welfare Administrator.

The Manager recommended that Mr. E. Coughlin, Social Welfare Administrator be authorized to attend a Civil Defence Course being held on January 14th to 16th, 1963.

He added that the costs of this course are to be borne by the Provincial Government.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(13) Complaint of R. J. Jackson of Hemlock Realty Limited.
regarding an application for a private hospital site.

The Manager submitted a copy of a letter addressed to Mr. Jackson relative to the complaint lodged concerning the approval by Council to establish a private hospital on property located on Grange Street west of Willingdon Avenue.

The letter from Mr. Jackson advised that he was acting as an agent for Mr. and Mrs. Kirkpatrick and, in that capacity, many locations were examined to determine their suitability as private hospital sites. Mr. Jackson added that a list of locations was subsequently submitted to the Planning Department and, in a later interview with Mr. Armstrong of that Department, he was informed that the site on the north side of Grange Street west of Willingdon Avenue could not be approved for the purpose requested until the Municipality determined the amount of land it required for park and school purposes. He further advised that Mr. Armstrong had indicated that when this matter was resolved by the Municipality, it was possible the existing parcels would be shortened to a depth of 120 feet, thus making it impossible to construct a 75 bed hospital since Provincial Hospital regulations require a minimum of two acres for a private hospital site.

Mr. Jackson continued by advising that application was then made for approval to use property in the 6500 Block Kingsway as a private hospital and, on December 7, 1962, Mr. E. A. Fountain replied indicating that the application could not be considered since the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District would not allow a temporary connection to the existing sewer which terminates on Kingsway a short distance away from the property under application.

Mr. Jackson advised that Mr. and Mrs. Kirkpatrick were very perturbed when a news item in the December 20th edition of The Burnaby Courier indicated that approval had been granted by Council to locate a 75 bed private hospital on the property on Grange Street west of Willingdon Avenue.

He indicated that it is felt this approval is unfair to the Kirkpatricks, particularly since their current operation of a private hospital is beyond reproach and also because the site on Grange Street is in close proximity to the one which they currently operate. He added that the thought of a competitive syndicate adjacent the present operation of the Kirkpatricks, on a site earlier desired by them, places him in an embarrassing position and creates a disappointment to the Kirkpatricks.

Mr. Jackson concluded by advising that it is intended to take the matter to the Department of Health and Welfare but, before doing so, he would appreciate a letter of explanation

from the Corporation.

The copy of the letter addressed to Mr. Jackson from the Municipal Manager under date of January 11, 1963, made reference to a discussion between Mr. Jackson, Messrs. Blakely and Armstrong of the Planning Department, and the Manager on January 10th regarding the matter under complaint, and indicated that Mr. Jackson was satisfied with the explanation provided him at that meeting.

It was further indicated in the letter from the Manager that Mr. Jackson was seeking a two-acre site, whereas the gross area of the two properties of Grange Street was only approximately 1.93 acres, and it was made known to Mr. Jackson in his first discussions that the Corporation had an interest in acquiring some of the property for park purposes. He added that with the acquisition of the rear portion of the parcels in question, the remnant would be approximately 1.15 acres which, in the opinion of Mr. Jackson, was far too small to meet the area requirements of the Provincial Government.

The Manager further advised that it was suggested to Mr. Jackson that the site area could be bolstered by acquiring additional land on either side of the two lots in question but it was subsequently found by Mr. Jackson to be not economically feasible. The Manager stressed in his letter to Mr. Jackson that Mr. Cook, in his quest for a site, did not confine himself to a two-acre minimum area.

The Manager further advised that in the discussion on January 10th, he had pointed out the increasing concern of the Corporation to have available more beds for welfare patients and, in fact, the Social Welfare administration has urged that Municipal approval of private hospital sites be expedited before the accommodation picture becomes more critical. He pointed out that Mr. Cook, in his consideration of the subject site, adopted a very positive and aggressive approach and has worked in association with architects, an engineer and a land agent.

The Manager pointed out that the Planning Department often receives several inquiries on a particular piece of property for a variety of uses and such inquiries do not give the individual making them any prior rights.

In conclusion, the Manager advised that he felt the actions of the Planning Department were appropriate and no favoritism was shown.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the report of the Municipal
Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the subject matter of Mr. Jackson's
letter be referred to the Councillor acting
as the liaison with the Planning Department
for further investigation and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Reeve declared a recess at 9:30 p.m.

The Council reconvened at 9:40 p.m.

(14) Street Lighting - Tenth Avenue between Cumberland Street and 6th Street.

The Manager reported that Council had authorized the installation of ornamental street on Tenth Avenue between Cumberland Street and 4th Street but tenders were called for an installation between Cumberland Street and 6th Street in the expectation that the tender price might permit this length to be undertaken.

The Manager submitted a tabulation of the tenders received in connection with this matter, as follows, recommending that the tender of Norburn Electric Ltd. in the amount of \$5,321.00 be accepted:

1.	HUME AND RUMBLE LTD.	\$ 6,913.00
2.	J. H. McRAE CO. LTD.	5,975.00
3.	RICKETTS - SEWELL ELECTRIC LTD.	5,527.25
4.	MOTT ELECTRIC LTD.	5,950.00
5.	NORBURN ELECTRIC LTD.	5,321.00
6.	THE TIDE CO. (B.C.) LTD. and THE BAY CO. (B.C.) LTD.	12,058.00
7.	PETERSON ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION CO. LTD.	8,243.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(15) The Manager submitted a report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department for the period between December 3rd and December 31st, 1962.

(16) The Manager submitted a report of the R.C.M.P. covering policing activities during the month of December, 1962.

(17) The Manager submitted a report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department for the month of December, 1962.

(18) The Manager submitted a report of the Chief Licence Inspector covering the operations of his Department during the month of December, 1962.

(19) The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering construction progress during the month of December, 1962.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the above five reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A question was raised as to whether members of Council were covered under the Workmens' Compensation Act when Investigating matters of concern to Council in the field.

It was directed that this question be explored with a view to determining whether coverage under this Act, or by means of insurance, can be obtained.

(20) Street Lights

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering the installation of the following street lights recommending that they be approved:

1. One at Cassle Avenue and Beresford Street.
2. One at Gilpin Street and Gatenby Avenue.
3. One midblock on Hardwick Street at 5041.
4. One at dead-end of Greenall Avenue south of Scott Street.
5. One at dead-end of Keith Street west of Buller Avenue at 5816.
6. One at dead-end of Keith Street east of McPherson Avenue at 5691.
7. One at dead-end of Patrick Street west of Buller Avenue at 5811.
8. One at dead-end of Patrick Street east of McPherson Avenue at 5668.
9. One at dead-end of Carson Street west of Buller Avenue at 5812
10. One at dead-end of Ewart Street west of Buller Avenue at 5816.
11. One midblock on Armstrong Avenue (Coquitlam Street to Coldicut Street).
12. One at Endersby Street and Sixteenth Avenue.
13. One at midblock on Waverley Avenue between Imperial Street and Victory Street.
14. One at midblock on Frederick Avenue between Imperial Street and Victory Street.
15. One midblock on Keith Street (Royal Oak Avenue to McPherson Avenue) at 5409.

A suggestion was made that it be ascertained whether those lights proposed for the dead-end streets can be installed a short distance from the end of the street. It was stated that the object in doing this would be to permit better observation of acts of vandalism involving the lights.

A further suggestion was made that a street light might be necessary at the corner of Nelson Avenue and Grafton Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted on the understanding that the suggestion regarding the installation of street lights on dead-end streets be first explored and further, if investigation discloses that a street light installation at Nelson Avenue and Grafton Street is warranted, that authority be granted to make such an installation."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(21) Works Appropriations

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Works Appropriations for the period between January 1, 1963 and March 31, 1963 in the total amount of \$528,650.00, recommending that they be approved.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the appointments of Councillor Drummond as the liaison with the Engineering Department and Councillor Cafferky as the liaison with the Treasury Department be rescinded and Councillor Drummond be appointed as the liaison with the Treasury Department and Councillor Cafferky be appointed as the liaison with the Engineering Department."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Drummond then spoke on the matter of the information contained on Page 1 of the 1963 Budget presentation, pointing out that it indicates the total anticipated revenue for this year is \$11,156,921. He stated that by means of double entry and transfer of monies this amount was overstated by \$602,849.00.

Councillor Drummond expressed his objection to this method of portraying financial information respecting this Municipality.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the Municipal Treasurer be instructed to take steps to simplify Page 1 of the 1963 Annual Budget so that the information contained therein is presented in a more correct and clear fashion."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the report of the Committee be now
adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION BY-LAW
NO. 12, 1962"
and that it be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Council do now resolve into
Committee of the Whole to consider the
By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Committee do now rise and
report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That "BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION
BY-LAW NO. 12, 1962"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY TAX ABATEMENT BY-LAW, 1962"
and that it be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Council do now resolve into
Committee of the Whole to consider the
By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Committee do now rise and
report the By-law complete."

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY TAX ABATEMENT BY-LAW, 1962"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1963"
and that it be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the By-law be now read a Second
Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR;

"That the Council do now resolve into
Committee of the Whole to consider the
By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-law provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE
FAMILY TYPE I

(a) Lot 1, Block 47, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 11295.
(Located at the south-east corner of Maywood Street and
Willingdon Avenue)

(b) Lot 2, Block 9, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 2702.
(Located on the west side of Wilson Avenue approximately
225 feet south of Kingsway)

(c) Block 54 except Plan 9220 and except the west
10 feet, D.L. 33, Plan 944.
(Located at the north-west corner of Grange Street and
Elsom Avenue)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Committee do now rise and
report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1963"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1963"
and that it be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the Council do now resolve into
Committee of the Whole to consider the
By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-law provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO-FAMILY AND COMMERCIAL TO RESIDENTIAL
MULTIPLE FAMILY TYPE III

Lots 2 to 5 inclusive and also Lots 7 and 8, Block
2, D.L. 205, Plan 3328.

(Lots 2 to 5 inclusive, as aforesaid, are located on the
south side of Hastings Street between a point approximately
133 feet east of Holdom Avenue and a point approximately
660 feet east of Holdom Avenue)

(Lots 7 and 8, as aforesaid, are located at the south-
west corner of Hastings Street and Fell Avenue and extend
westward a distance of approximately 262 feet from Fell
Avenue)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Committee do now rise and
report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW
1948, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1963"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Kalyk reported verbally that she had
obtained information from the Parks and Recreation
Commission in respect of its operations and, upon
scrutinizing this data, she discovered a number of
items that alarmed her.

She stated that the Commission does not possess the

authority to spend money outside of the Municipality, yet it has arranged for skiing classes on Mount Seymour and it has also conducted a summer camp at Cultus Lake. She cited the amounts which it cost the Commission in holding the summer camp mentioned, pointing out that the figures conflict with those which the Treasury Office has on record.

Councillor Kalyk further advised that the Commission had made other expenditures for articles and purposes that seemed entirely unwarranted. She added that the Commissioners were being paid \$25.00 per month as well.

Councillor Kalyk introduced a motion to establish a special committee of Council to investigate the expenditures of the Parks and Recreation Commission, suggesting that Councillors Harper, Drummond, Cafferky, and herself be appointed to this Committee.

Councillor Drummond stated that he would second the Motion presented by Councillor Kalyk if he had assurance that Council had the power to appoint a Committee of the kind suggested by Councillor Kalyk.

Reeve Emmott refused to accept the resolution of Councillor Kalyk because it concerned a matter that should come before the Parks and Recreation Commission.

Councillor Drummond suggested that the proposal of Councillor Kalyk be held in abeyance until the matter of appointing Park Commissioners is dealt with by Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the Municipal Solicitor be asked for an opinion as to the validity of the action proposed by Councillor Kalyk regarding the appointment of a special committee."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY