

FEBRUARY 26, 1962

A Regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway on Monday, February 26, 1962 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Clark,
Edwards, Harper, Hicks, Kalyk,
MacSorley and Prittie.

Reverend D. Forbes led in Opening Prayer.

Councillor Clark drew attention to a Motion passed at the meeting on February 12th relative to the referral of a report of Councillor Kalyk to the Policy Committee, pointing out that he had also voted against this Motion. He asked that the Minutes be changed to record his negative vote on the subject Motion.

Reeve Emmott instructed that the Motion in question be amended in accordance with the request of Councillor Clark.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Minutes of the meeting held
February 12, 1962 be adopted, as amended,
above."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Edwards, Edwards and Edwards, Barristers and Solicitors, wrote requesting the opportunity of appearing before Council to further an application to rezone Lots 8 and 9, R.S.D. 1, S.D.23, Block "A", D. L. 68, Plan 11702 from Residential Multiple Family Type II to Residential Multiple Family Type I.

It was reported to Council that this legal firm wished a one week deferment on its appearance.

It was agreed that the matter of a representative of the legal firm appearing before Council be laid over until next Monday evening.

Mr. D. N. Guenther wrote requesting permission to address Council on the matter of zoning on property at 4842 Royal Oak Avenue.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That Mr. Guenther be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Guenther appeared and advised that he has thrice applied for rezoning of the subject property from Small Holdings to Residential Two-Family, Gasoline Service Station, or Commercial, but in all cases, his application has been rejected because of a poor drainage situation.

Mr. Guenther requested that the matter of rezoning his property

to either Residential or Commercial be reconsidered. He stated that his reason for requesting rezoning is to enable him to recover the capital outlay which he has invested in the subject property by erecting a structure that will yield a financial return.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That a report be submitted at the next meeting of Council on the application of Mr. Guenther for rezoning of property at 4842 Royal Oak Avenue."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. J. D. Johnston, Exhibition Committee, Burnaby Art Society, wrote seeking approval to use the Municipal Hall between March 20th and March 31st, 1962 for the purpose of exhibiting Art Works collected by the B. C. Society of Artists under the sponsorship of the Canada Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That permission be granted to the Society to use the Municipal Hall for the purpose mentioned during the period stated."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

President, The Industrial First Aid Attendants Association of B. C., submitted a letter urging that Council enact legislation whereby any agency which supplies ambulance service to the community be required to provide an accompanying attendant in possession of a valid First Aid Certificate as issued by the Workmen's Compensation Board.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the proposal of The Industrial First Aid Attendants Association of B. C. be referred to the Municipal Manager for consideration and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Reeve Emmott submitted a report recommending that Councillor R. E. Hicks be appointed Acting Reeve for the months of March and April, 1962.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Reeve
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Council now resolve itself
into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT OF POLICY COMMITTEE

(1) Supplementary Social Assistance.

Your Committee met to discuss the changes recently implemented
by the Provincial Government in:

- (a) the prerequisites for eligibility for supplementary Social Assistance;
- (b) the method of computing such Assistance.

The following points were established in regard to the subject
matter:

- (a) At the present time, the maximum supplementary Assistance one may receive is \$24.00 per person per month.
- (b) The maximum allowable income for Old-Age supplementary Assistance up to December 31, 1961 was \$1,020.00 for a single person and \$1,800.00 for a married couple.
- (c) As of the same date, there was a basic cash exemption of \$1,000.00 for a single person and \$2,000.00 for a married couple.
- (d) As of January 1, 1962, the method of computing the supplementary Social Assistance was entirely altered so that such Assistance is now being granted on the basis of need.
- (e) Where there was formerly a cash exemption of \$1,000.00 and \$2,000.00 for single and married persons respectively, the new regulation has reduced the cash exemption to \$500.00 and \$1,000.00 respectively; therefore, any amount in excess of these figures automatically precludes the granting of any portion of the supplementary Social Assistance.
- (f) The allowable income is now \$1,020.00 annually for a single person and \$1,896.00 annually for a married couple.
- (g) A budget guide, which is itemized to include living basics, is now being used to govern the Assistance to be granted.
- (h) Whereas the former regulation provided that 5% of the assessed value of a home could be calculated as income, this is no longer considered for purposes of the supplementary Social Assistance.

- (i) The new regulations apply only to new applicants or where new and previously unknown information comes to light on current cases in pay.
- (j) The reduction by 50% in the cash exemption will obviously reduce the number of new applicants but it will not affect those already on Assistance who have larger cash exemptions under the present regulations.

In general, your Committee feels that no hardship should be experienced under this new system of calculating supplementary Social Assistance because the amount granted is based on a person's requirements. It is our view that this "needs" type of budget is a sound one since it is more realistic and flexible by permitting the adjustment of items within the budget guide. The pre-determined levels established for each item in the budget guide are not interchangeable; in other words, a "deficit" in one category could not be offset by an overage in another.

Your Committee is of the opinion that with the increase in the Federal Pension from \$55.00 to \$65.00 per month, the ceiling on the amount presently allowed by the Provincial Government (e.g. \$1,896.00 annually - married couple) should correspondingly be increased for, if not, many who might otherwise be qualified for supplementary Social Assistance would be precluded.

In conclusion, your Committee would recommend that in view of the general rise in living costs (especially those of shelter), the Provincial Government be urged to ensure that the increase in the basic Federal Pension from \$55.00 to \$65.00 per month accrue to the benefit of the affected persons.

We would further recommend that the Provincial Government be requested to raise the ceiling on the maximum allowable income of those applying for supplementary Social Assistance so that a person may receive a monthly maximum of \$95.00 for a single person and \$178.00 for a married person and still qualify for the said supplementary Social Assistance.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That the recommendations of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 10, 1962.

- (1) Lot "E", S.D. 24/25, Block 8, D. L. 121, Plan 20817
(4147 Hastings Street - Old McGill Branch Library).

The Manager submitted a report advising that one tender had been received for the purchase of the above described property, the amount of the tender being \$17,000.00.

He advised that this offer is \$7,500.00 less than the value placed on the subject property by the Land Agent.

The Manager recommended that the offer of Eagle Motors (1958) Limited for the purchase of the property in question be rejected and the deposit cheque of the Company returned.

He further recommended that the Lands Department be authorized to place the property in a sale position at a price of \$24,500.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Federal Civil Defence Course No. 589.

The Manager submitted a report recommending that Fire Chief W. N. Menzies be authorized to attend the above noted Course which is being held at the Civil Defence College in Arnprior, Ontario between March 26th and March 30th, 1962.

He added that all expenses in connection with this Course would be paid by the Federal Government.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR HICKS-AGAINST

(3) Agreement - Sign-0-Lite Plastics Limited (Lot 2 North 16.5 feet, S.D. 6/8, Block "D", D. L. 96, Plan 1740).

The Manager reported that the owner of the balance of the above described property, Mr. Clinton G. Freeman, has applied for permission to erect a sign on the north 16.5 feet of the subject lot. He advised that this 16.5 feet has been retained by the Corporation for the future widening of Kingsway.

The Manager added that the sign would be erected and maintained and remain the property of the applicant Company and that the agreement into which this Company would enter would contain a 90-day "removal" clause plus the usual indemnification requirements.

The Manager recommended that permission be granted to Sign-0-Lite Plastics Limited to erect the sign mentioned on the property in question and further, that authority be granted to execute the agreement with the Company.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS PRITTIE,
HICKS AND CLARK-AGAINST.

(4) Easement - Westerly 10 feet of Lot 5, S.D. 17, Block 2, D. L. 25, Plan 4542 (Imperial Oil Limited).

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement over the above described property, which is required for storm drainage purposes, for a consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of the necessary documents required in connection with this acquisition.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (5) Easement - Southerly 15 feet of Parcel "A" Explanatory Plan 19097, S.D. 2/3, Block 13, D. L. 156, Plan 1387 (Blanchflower).

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement over the above described property for a consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of the necessary documents required in connection with this acquisition.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (6) Cliff Avenue and Halifax Street.

The Manager submitted a report advising as to why "yield" signs were installed on Halifax Street at Cliff Avenue to control traffic on the former street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (7) The Manager submitted a report of the Medical Health Officer covering the activities of his Department for the month of January, 1962.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That this report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:

"That a meeting of the Policy Committee be held with representatives of the Health Department to discuss the operations of that Department and further, that members of the press be invited to this meeting as well."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Estimates.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$8,700.00 recommending that they be approved.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Estimates.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$92,774.00 recommending that they be approved.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Council resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 7, 1962".

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-Law provides for the following rezoning:

FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO AUTO COURT.

Lots 8 E $\frac{1}{2}$ and 8 W $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 3, D. L. 206,
Plan 1071.

The owner of Lot 8 E $\frac{1}{2}$ as above described, Mr. W. Larionyk, submitted a letter advising that he wished to change his application from Auto Court rezoning to Commercial.

Planning Director submitted a report on the subject rezoning advising that the matter has been reviewed, with the result that his Department is prepared to recommend that the subject two lots be rezoned to Commercial together with those to the east and west between Kensington Avenue and Grove Avenue.

The Planning Director suggested the following two alternative methods of effecting this rezoning:

- (a) Proceed with the current rezoning and assure the property owners that a recommendation for Commercial zoning will be advanced to a Public Hearing with the next group of rezoning applications;
- (b) Leave the property zoned Light Industrial until the next zoning session.

The Planning Director advised that he felt the first alternative was the most desirable since it would not adversely affect the existing land use on the two subject lots whereas if the second course of action was taken, abutting property owners might be apprehensive over the ultimate zoning pattern.

The Planning Director recommended that the subject By-law be passed, adding that the owners should then be advised that consideration will be given the rezoning of their properties to Commercial at the next Public Hearing.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Committee rise and report
the By-Law complete."

IN FAVOUR - COUNCILLOR
PRITTIE
AGAINST - COUNCILLORS
BLAIR, CLARK, EDWARDS,
HARPER, HICKS, KALYK,
& MacSORLEY.

MOTION LOST.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the Council resolve into Committee
of the Whole to consider "BURNABY TOWN
PLANNING BY-LAW 1948, AMENDMENT BY-LAW
NO. 9, 1962"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

This By-Law provides for the following rezoning:

FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY TYPE I

Lot "B", Block 44, D. L. 151/3, Plan 14243.

The following wrote expressing opposition to this proposed rezoning:

- (a) Mr. J. Loukes, 609i Cassie Avenue
- (b) Mr. J. B. Robieson, 6526 Sussex Avenue
- (c) Mrs. L. M. Eakin, R.R. #1, Richard Road, Mission City
- (d) Western Furniture Limited, 6450 Telford Avenue.

Planning Director submitted a report advising that a certified cheque covering the cost of constructing a lane and a portion

of Beresford Street has been deposited with the Corporation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee rise and report
the By-Law complete."

CARRIED
AGAINST - COUNCILLORS
BLAIR, MacSORLEY, KALYK,
& CLARK

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED
AGAINST - COUNCILLORS
BLAIR, MacSORLEY, KALYK
& CLARK

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 9, 1962" be now
read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 5, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 6, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 8, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1962"
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION
BY-LAW NO. 2, 1962"
"BURNABY ROAD LOSING BY-LAW, 1962"

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (a) "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 5, 1962" provides for the rezoning of Lot 6, and Lots "A" and "B", S.D. 7, all of Block 3, D. L. 206, Plan 1071 from Light Industrial to Commercial.
- (b) "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 6, 1962" provides for the rezoning of Lots 9 E $\frac{1}{2}$ and 9 W $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 3, D. L. 206, Plan 1071 from Light Industrial to Commercial.

- (c) "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 8, 1962" provides for the rezoning of Parcel "B", Explanatory Plan 14655, S.D. 1, Block 4, D. L. 59/136/137, Plan 3050 from Local Commercial to Commercial and, Lots "A" and "B", R.S.D. 29, S.D. 5, Blocks 1 and 2, D. L. 207, Plan 15274 from Gasoline Service Station to Residential Two Family.
- (d) "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 10, 1962" provides for the rezoning of those lots abutting the south side of Imperial Street between Nelson Avenue and Dunblane Avenue including Lot 2 Except North 115 feet, Block 10, D. L. 98, Plan 8184 from Light Industrial to Commercial.
- (e) "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 12, 1962" amends Sub-section 69 of Section 12E of Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, and all amendments thereto, by deleting the words: "not over 40,000 square feet" therefrom.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That "BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 5, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 8, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1962"
"BURNABY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1962"
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION
BY-LAW NO. 2, 1962"
"BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW, 1962"

be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal be affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That "Burnaby Town Planning By-Law 1948, Amendment By-Law No. 6, 1962" be tabled for a period of one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council directed that advice be submitted next Monday evening as to whether auto body repairs, conducted in conjunction with the operation of a garage, would be permitted in a Commercial zone.

The Council also directed that a meeting of the Policy Committee be held with the Library Board on Tuesday, March 6, 1962, at 3:30 p.m., and with the Parks and Recreation Commission on the same day at 4:00 p.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That "BURNABY SEWER FINANCING BY-LAW
1962" be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY SEWER FINANCING BY-LAW
1962" be now finally adopted, signed by
the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal
be affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting then adjourned.

Confirmed:



REEVE



CLERK