

7

APRIL 8, 1969

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. on Tuesday, April 8, 1969 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: His Worship, Mayor Prittle in the Chair;
Aldermen Blair, Clark, Dailly, Drummond,
Herd, Ladner, Mercier and McLean;

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That all of the below listed Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, North Burnaby Lions Service Club, North Burnaby Lions Charitable Society, submitted a letter requesting permission to hold Mother's Day Carnation Tagging on May 9th and 10, 1969.

Deputy Municipal Clerk pointed out that there had been conflict between the North Burnaby Lions Club and the North Burnaby Little League for the May 10th date and, as a result, he wrote to the Lions Club to draw their attention to this situation.

He added that a letter was then received from the North Burnaby Little League indicating they were prepared to conduct their Decal Day on May 17th rather than May 10th, as was originally planned, in order to avoid the conflict.

Secretary, North Burnaby Little League, submitted a letter indicating that, in view of the request from the North Burnaby Lions Service Club to hold Mother's Day Carnation Tagging on May 9th and 10, 1969, the Little League Organization was prepared to accept May 17, 1969 for its Annual Decal Day instead of May 10th.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That permission be granted to the:

- (a) North Burnaby Lions Service Club to conduct its Mother's Day Carnation Tagging on May 9th and 10, 1969.
- (b) North Burnaby Little League to hold its Annual Decal Day on May 17, 1969; and, appreciation be expressed to the North Burnaby Little League for relinquishing the permission it received for the Decal Day on May 10th to the North Burnaby Lions Service Club."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

April 8/1969

Co-Ordinator, Resources for Native Progress Association, submitted letters requesting permission to hold a Walkathon to be known as:

- (a) "Moccasin Miles" along Kingsway between April 25th and 27, 1969.
- (b) Tanas Kiatowa along the route outlined on the plan accompanying one of the letters.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That permission be granted to the Association to conduct the Walkathon mentioned along the routes indicated, subject to:

- (1) The approval of the R.C.M.P.
- (2) The Provincial Department of Highways having no objection to the use of any arterial highways which may be involved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman, Junior Amateur Sports Stadium Society, wrote requesting that the municipality contribute an additional \$15,000.00 toward the cost of providing floodlights for the Junior Amateur Sports Stadium in Central Park.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the request of the Junior Amateur Sports Stadium Society for \$15,000.00 be approved."

During debate on the foregoing motion, the following points were raised in Council:

- (a) Perhaps the Society should consider means of collecting revenue from the use of the Stadium so as to enable it to install additional facilities, such as the floodlights.
- (b) The fee structure for the use of the Stadium could perhaps be re-examined, especially when professional sports are using it.
- (c) The Council should invite the Chairman of the Society, Mr. Erwin M. Swangard, to attend a meeting for the purpose of answering questions relating to the Stadium and its use.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That the request of the Junior Amateur Sports Stadium Society be tabled pending:

- (a) Receipt of information from the Society concerning the points made this evening plus any other pertinent data, or
- (b) The appearance of Mr. Swangard before Council to answer questions."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN CLARK, LADNER,
AND DAILY

AGAINST -- MAYOR PRITTIE, ALDERMEN
BLAIR, McLEAN, MERCIER
HERD AND DRUMMOND.

MOTION LOST

April 8/1969

A vote was then taken on the original motion, and it was carried with Aldermen Clark and Ladner against.

Mr. W. M. Westervelt submitted a letter requesthg that the street light which was next to the ravine in the 5800 Block Ewart Street be reinstalled.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That Mr. Westervelt be advised that Council will be reviewing the street lighting situation on his street in two months time and will, after that, reconsider his request."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A. C. & D. England wrote to express opposition to the moving of two old homes from sites on Imperial Street to two lots next to the Anglican Church on Royal Oak Avenue.

Assistant Municipal Manager stated that the homes which are to be moved from Imperial Street to the Royal Oak Avenue locations are considered compatible with existing development in the area.

He added that the Corporation has no legal grounds on which to refuse the issuance of permits to move the homes and rehabilitate them in accordance with the plans which have been submitted to the Building Department.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That no action be taken on the complaint from the Englund's because it appears no adverse situation will develop as a result of the homes in question being moved to the new locations."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. T. Nuutinen and others submitted a petition expressing concern regarding the manner in which the playground in the 5400 Block Laurel Street is being developed.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the petition be referred to the Parks and Recreation Commission for an explanation of the situation concerning the petitioners."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman, Greater Vancouver Regional District Special Committee on Transit wrote requesting that Council appoint the Municipal Engineer, Mr. E. E. Olson, to serve on a Technical Sub Committee which has been established by the Special Committee to collect basic data and suggest certain criteria for use in a survey that is being made of the transit situation in the area embraced by the Regional District.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the Municipal Engineer, Mr. E. E. Olson, be authorized the participate as a member of the Technical Sub Committee mentioned in the letter from the Special Committee on Transit."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

April/8/1969

Mr. Thomas Farrington submitted a letter in which he offered views on the subject of Burnaby amalgamating with the City of Vancouver.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the letter from Mr. Farrington be referred to the Special Committee of Council dealing with the amalgamation proposal in question for its attention."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TABLED MATTERS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

(a) Meeting times of Council

His Worship, Mayor Prittle, mentioned that it would be necessary for Council to hold Special Meetings to deal with the Capital Works Programme.

After a discussion on this matter, it was agreed by the members of Council that they would meet at 2:00 p.m. on April 16th and at the same time on April 23rd to deal with the item mentioned by His Worship.

The following motion, which was introduced at the March 31st meeting of Council, was then considered:

"That adjourned meetings of Council commence at 2:00 p.m. on those Mondays when there is not a regular meeting, to adjourn at approximately 5:00 p.m. and to reconvene at 7:00 p.m."

Alderman Mercier then delivered an address in connection with the subject at hand in which he made the following points:

- (a) Council meetings should not be extended or revised until other procedural matters have been reviewed.
- (b) The Council should expect reports submitted to it to be complete as to information and content, and to be decisive in nature so that Council can be in a position to make a decision following its debate on the subjects.
- (c) Items not on an Agenda should not be discussed unless emergency situations arise, and then only at the discretion of the Mayor.
- (d) Supplementary Agendas received on the evening of a Council meeting should be eliminated unless there is an emergency.

This should be enforced whether the items are complex or simple. Too many times the simple items become the subject of lengthy debate largely because members have not had the opportunity to study them in advance.

April/8/1969

- (e) Any involved matter should be placed in the hands of Council members at the meeting one week before the one at which it is to be discussed.

The present method of placing an item on the Agenda and then having it tabled would be acceptable if debate did not result prior to the motion to table.

The Mayor, at his discretion, would be in the position to ask the Municipal Clerk to advise Council of such matters the suggested one week in advance.

- (f) Council members must recognize that the onus is on them to request information from staff on any Agenda item before the Council meeting. A meeting should not be delayed because a member has not done his "homework".

If questions were asked of staff prior to the meeting, the staff would have the opportunity to provide the necessary information in proper form at the meeting.

- (g) Department reports could more frequently be reviewed with Council liaison members prior to meetings, particularly if there is any doubt on the part of staff as to the nature and intention of the report.

If a Council member, by motion, requests a report, then staff may be well advised to discuss the report with the member who made the motion - before, during and after its preparation and prior to its presentation to Council.

- (h) Occasional special meetings should be arranged, when necessary. The present system provides adequately for such meetings. An example of this are the meetings which have been arranged to deal with the Capital Works Programme.

- (i) Inadequate advance preparation often leads to lengthy discussions when more time is spent by Council in eliciting detailed information relative to the subject than in debating the actual merits of the matter.

- (j) The length of Council meetings in other municipalities should not necessarily be a criteria for the Burnaby Council to follow.

- (k) As suggested by the Mayor in his earlier report, it is extremely desirable that a major part of Council business be conducted at night so that citizens can attend the meetings. It is also of equal importance to recognize that possible membership on Council would be restricted to certain types of occupations if meetings were held during the day.

- (l) An early meeting of selected members of Council and senior staff should be arranged to discuss the question of Council procedures. It may be that, if this results in certain changes occurring, less time may be required for Council meetings and this might establish a trend for Councils in other municipalities.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the question of establishing meeting times for Council be tabled until after the subject of Council procedures is deliberated and a policy is established."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN MERCIER, HERD,
LADNER, DAILLY AND BLAIR
AGAINST -- MAYOR PRITTIE, ALDERMEN
CLARK, McLEAN AND DRUMMOND
MOTION CARRIED

April 8/1969

(b) Stride Avenue Area

Municipal Manager submitted a report in connection with this subject in which he provided the following summary of major events that have occurred in connection with the matter:

- (a) October 10, 1967 - The Policy/Planning Committee received a report from the Planning Department, which was referred to the Advisory Planning Commission for study and comment.

The Committee recommended that a meeting be arranged with the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority in order that the report could be discussed.

- (b) October 23, 1967 - It was agreed that such a meeting with the Authority be held.

- (c) November 9, 1967 - The Advisory Planning Commission met and arranged a meeting for November 12th at the Stride Avenue Pit.

- (d) November 20, 1967 - The Policy/Planning Committee met with representatives from the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority.

The ultimate decision was that the report of the Planning Department be approved in principle, with it being tacitly recognized that:

- (i) there are certain aspects of the plan which require explorative treatment.
 - (ii) details of the plan will be available to all persons owning property, or having an interest, in the area.
 - (iii) the plan may not be rigidly followed because it might be necessary to reflect or embrace any valid viewpoint that may be presented to Council for consideration.
- (e) November 27, 1967 - The foregoing decision of the Committee was adopted by Council and instructions were issued to proceed with the implementation of the plan for the Stride Avenue Area in co-operation with the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority.
- (f) December 11, 1967 - Following the appearance of a delegation before Council, it was decided that a public meeting should be held in the area to acquaint the residents with the plan Council was considering.
- (g) December 18, 1967 - The Council received a request from the Advisory Planning Commission that a joint meeting be held to discuss the report.

This meeting was held on February 8, 1968.

- (h) February 15, 1968 - A public meeting was held in the Stride Avenue School to discuss and answer questions raised by the residents of the area.
- (i) February 26, 1968 - The Council reviewed the Stride Avenue Report and the recommendations of the Advisory Planning Commission. Following that, the Planning Department was authorized to initiate a study:

April/8/1969

(i) of soil conditions in the Stride Avenue Area.

(ii) relating to the future of the Gravel Pit in the area;

and the Department was instructed, during the continuing consideration given the question of future land use for the subject area, to bear in mind the matter of encouraging industrial development of the area in stages.

- (j) March 7, 1968 - The Engineering Department advised that a soil consultant, Mr. Paul Cook, had been named to undertake a study of the area.
- (k) June 4, 1968 - Copies of Mr. Cook's report were made available to the Planning Department. This report indicated that the amount and quality of gravel were not as good as had been anticipated.

One of the main conclusions in the Stride Avenue Area study report insofar as soil conditions in the area between Mission Avenue and Marine Drive were concerned, was that the economic feasibility of developing the area industrially was dependent upon:

- (i) the existence of gravel suitable for terracing the whole hill-side and in sufficient usable quantities for municipal purposes, thus permitting excavation at very little cost.
- (ii) a sufficient market for gravel to allow terracing to proceed economically and within a period of ten to fifteen years.

The Planning Department conclusion was that the soil survey implied that, while the foundation conditions are suitable for industrial buildings and terracing of the slope would be physically possible, the economic feasibility of industrial development depended, to a considerable degree upon the cost involved in site preparation.

Viewed in this light, the results of the soil survey did not appear favourable for the future industrial development of this portion of the area.

- (l) August 20, 1968 - Officials from the Engineering Department met with representatives from the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority to discuss the contents of the soil report and its effect upon the preliminary feasibility studies carried out in the area by the Authority's consultants.

It was concluded that further economic examination of the Stride Avenue Area would not take place until basic elevations were established for regrading and further design on the matters of rail spurs, road access, etc.

It was understood that the Authority's consultant would carry on with this work and that the results would be forwarded to the Engineering Department in order that further economic analysis could be undertaken.

It was prerequisite to any further studies of balancing cuts and fills, including the economics of the pit development, that certain basic elevations be established.

Since that date, there has been an exchange of correspondence between the Planning and Engineering Departments, and meetings have been held to discuss the matter further.

More detailed examination is, however, contingent upon decisions being made on the elevations of the terraces, the elevations and grades of the rail spurs, and various other physical criteria based upon detailed Engineering studies. Certain basic Engineering decisions must be made before further analysis of the economics of the Stride Pit can be undertaken. These decisions are based on certain factors which are known, such as the availability of gravel and certain fixed criteria related to features surrounding the pit, such as rail grade, etc. Other factors also must be considered in the detailed Engineering studies and these include the real extent of refuse and the depth of refuse. As dumping is still being carried out in the Pit, and as gravel is still being removed, these are constantly changing factors. The question of alternative means of refuse disposal therefore becomes very significant to the further study of the Stride Pit Area.

The Municipal Manager then suggested the following insofar as future action was concerned with respect to the Stride Avenue Area:

- (1) Once the terracing elevations have been established (and this must be related to the extent of the refuse fill, the rail grades and the availability of gravel), then a re-examination of the economics of developing the entire area can be undertaken. This analysis could result in either an uneconomical development proposal, in which case the whole concept would need to be reconsidered, or an economic development proposal.
- (2) Assuming that the latter will be the case, the municipality would then need to establish certain basic Engineering design criteria and commence detailed site planning, land negotiation, powerline relocation and servicing negotiation.
- (3) Following these steps, the municipality could then establish certain priorities for staging of development and enter various legal agreements, entertain rezonings, and undertake other mechanics of implementation that would be required.
- (4) Consideration would also need to be given the provision of major road connections to the area.
- (5) Decisions must also be made at that time on whether the Corporation wishes to lease or sell land or whether there should be a single industrial authority to administer the land, or whether the land should simply be offered for sale by public tender.
- (6) Consideration could also be given the matter of establishing some form of partnership between the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority and the municipality to administer the land.
- (7) Another alternative is simply to invite development proposals from concerns who would provide the necessary services and administer the municipal lands whether they were sold or leased.

April/8/1969

- (8) The foregoing aspects follow the detailed economic review of the entire concept which, in turn, must follow the basic design criteria which the municipality is awaiting from the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority consultant.
- (9) A representative from the Authority indicated that funds for further studies of the Stride Avenue Area are available but policy decisions regarding collaboration between the Authority and the municipality must be made first. This is predicated on the cessation of refuse disposal in the Stride Avenue Area and terminating the removal of granular material until the excess, if any, of gravel and sand can be determined. Decisions are also needed on the future major road pattern, especially the Edmonds Underpass and its extension to the relocated Marine Drive.
- (10) The municipality has understood that, to the Authority, the garbage disposal matter was a key to the whole question of developing the Stride Avenue Area. Notwithstanding this, it was also understood that the Authority was, in the meantime, to have studied problems of adjusting development elevations in order to balance quantities of granular material and to maximize the area capable of being served by rail. It was further understood that this was an essential study on the part of the Authority to determine whether or not the main rail line should be adjusted and/or relocated.
- (11) The Authority has now injected a new demand, it being that the removal of granular material cease while further studies are being carried out.
- (12) It is essential that elevations be studied before a meaningful economic analysis can be produced. This, in turn, should precede policy discussions with the Authority concerning collaboration. Had it been known that the Authority was not going to do any further work, a recommendation would have been made to Council regarding an alternative method of procuring the information needed. This would not be a wise approach because rail elevations are such an important part of the total elevation consideration, and the Authority should be a party to the study.
- (13) The latest staff discussions on garbage disposal were on April 1st when it was considered that, subject to the appearance of any further problem such as the need for a site if outdoor burning is prohibited, it should now be possible to plan on discontinuing the Stride Avenue Disposal Area about June 1, 1969.

During consideration of the foregoing report from the Manager, a brief discussion took place in Council regarding the future of the Garbage Dump and the Gravel Pit in the Stride Avenue Area.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That a further report be submitted providing an indication as to the desired basic outline for the progressive industrial development of the Stride Avenue Area, with the report to include reference to the situations relating to the future of the Garbage Dump and Gravel Pit in the area."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, MAYOR PRITTIE, DECLARED A RECESS AT 8:55 P.M.

THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 9:05 P.M.

April/8/1969

(c) In-Law Accommodation

Mr. A. Ritchie submitted an unsigned letter in which he offered a number of remarks concerning the attempts by Council to resolve the question of allowing housing accommodation for in-laws.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the letter from Mr. Ritchie be withdrawn due to the nature of its contents."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN HERD

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That item 8 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 24, 1969, which deals with the subject at hand, be brought forward for consideration at this time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) In-Law Suites and Additional Accommodation in Residential Areas

The Manager submitted a report from the Planning Department on this matter, which indicated the following:

- (a) The Legal Department has advised that the Board of Variance does not have the jurisdiction to deal with requests for in-law suites.
- (b) R1, R2 and R3 zones only allow Single Family dwellings.
- (c) R4 and R5 zones allow the same but also a second dwelling unit provided the properties meet certain minimum frontage and area requirements.
- (d) 55.6% of that part of the municipality zoned for residential use permits the establishment of a second dwelling unit, which can be used to accommodate in-laws or any other group of persons living as a family. This amount of land is greater than that normally provided in a balanced community structure.
- (e) Authority does not exist to exercise discretion in the matter of allowing additional dwelling units for in-laws.
- (f) The Department is unable to support a suggestion that a new zone be created to allow triplex dwelling units because the Multiple Family zones currently allow this form of accommodation.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That:

- (a) The Planning Department develop a new definition for "family" and "dwelling unit" in the Zoning By-law that will allow for the provision of in-law accommodation.
- (b) The Planning Department also offer its views on other ramifications of the in-law accommodation matter, such as the sociological effect and the by-law enforcement situation which might result.

April/8/1969

- (c) The Solicitor indicate the nature of the amendment to the Municipal Act which would be required to enable Council to exercise discretion in the matter of granting permits for the type of accommodation in question, and he prepare an appropriate resolution for the U.B.C.M. Convention this year to achieve the end desired if it is determined that an amendment to the Act should be sought."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN BLAIR
AND LADNER

*

ALDERMAN McLEAN stated that the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District had asked the representatives of the Burnaby Council (Mayor Pri. t e and himself) to bring Council's attention to the matter of Federal financial assistance for sewerage treatment projects.

Alderman McLean submitted Notice that he proposes to introduce the following Motion at the April 14th Council meeting in connection with this matter:

"WHEREAS provisions of the National Housing Act permit Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation to assist a municipality in financing the construction or expansion of a sewage treatment project and sewer trunks, by a loan not exceeding in amount, two-thirds of the cost of the project, as determined by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation;

AND WHEREAS this provision is scheduled to expire on the 31st of March, 1970;

AND WHEREAS the encouragement to Municipalities to install treatment plants is assisted by the provisions of the National Housing Act;

AND WHEREAS it is felt that, in order to further assist in the abatement of pollution of both land and water, the Federal Government should extend the National Housing Act to provide for greater assistance to Municipalities in order to accomplish these objectives;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Federal Government be requested to amend the National Housing Act to provide for an extension to March 31, 1975, of the date for the completion of projects "as defined" qualifying for loan forgiveness; and further, that the amount to be loaned by the Federal Government be increased to 100% of the cost of the project, as defined, and further to increase the forgiveness feature from the current 25% to 50% of principal and accrued interest."

He also mentioned that the Chairman of the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District had written to the Honourable Paul C. Hellyer, Minister of Transport, to urge implementation of the above resolution.

He also suggested that, if Council endorses this motion, advice of its action in that regard be conveyed to the Members of Parliament representing Burnaby in addition to the Minister of Transport.

Alderman McLuan stated that one of the last acts performed by the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board was to criticize a Selection Committee of the Greater Vancouver Regional District for having, as one of its members, a person who had declared his position as being opposed to the transfer of staff from the Board to the Regional

District because, in his opinion, the past failings of the Board would be perpetuated when the Regional Planning function was assumed by the District.

He added that concern was also expressed by the Executive Committee of the Board that a person with the declared hostile position mentioned was sitting on a Committee involved with screening applications for the positions being created by the Regional District associated with the planning function, especially the one of Planning Director.

Alderman McLean indicated that he had been informed Mr. Parr, the Planning Director for Burnaby, had applied for the Planning Director's position with the Greater Vancouver Regional District and that, because of his position on the Selection Committee, he had a conflict of interest in scrutinizing applications which are being received for the position.

Alderman McLean concluded by requesting clarification on the questions of:

- (a) Mr. Parr's status with the Selection Committee insofar as it pertains to the screening of applications for the Planning Director's position with the Regional District.
- (b) Whether Mr. Parr has, in fact, applied for that position.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That consideration of the submission from Alderman McLean be deferred until a meeting when Mr. Parr is present so that Mr. Parr can have the opportunity to explain his position."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

ALDERMAN LADNER submitted Notice that he proposes to introduce the following motion at the April 14th Council meeting relating to the question of air pollution:

"WHEREAS air pollution is a danger to the present and future health and welfare of all people;

AND WHEREAS pollution of the air, water and soil is increasing and will continue to increase until remedies for it are found and implemented;

AND WHEREAS the Corporation of the District of Burnaby is chiefly concerned with the pollution of the air, because the pollution of the Fraser River and Burrard Inlet and other bodies of water within its jurisdiction by persons and corporations within Burnaby is under the jurisdiction of the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District, and the pollution of the soil of Burnaby is being remedied by the sewage system;

AND WHEREAS to find and implement remedies for air pollution will not be easy nor cheap and will require the work and money of the Government of the Province of British Columbia and all of the Municipalities of British Columbia and of every part of the community;

AND WHEREAS industry, to the extent which it is polluting the air, should be encouraged to stop doing so voluntarily;

AND WHEREAS the Corporation of the District of Burnaby can be useful in co-ordinating the efforts of organizations concerned about air pollution and in making those responsible for pollution on the one hand and those who are the victims of it on the other (who are sometimes the same) understand the causes of air pollution, the harm done by it, the remedies for it and the economic effects of those remedies, all with a view to Burnaby passing, if necessary,

April 8/1969

an Air Pollution Control By-law with teeth in it.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that a standing committee of three be struck to be known as the Air Pollution Committee."

*

R E P O R T

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 24, 1969 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (9), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Review of Assessed Values

At various times, the Assessment Department has received enquiries about the relative level of assessed values of different types of properties. Answers which have been supplied have reviewed assessments either of three or four of the indicated types or the total valuations of residential properties compared with industrial and commercial lands.

Alderman Dailly recently asked for some comparative assessments on specific properties over a period of time to indicate the changes. A number of organizations have also indicated concern about assessments.

As a result of all this, a report has been produced by the Municipal Assessor covering a variety of properties over the past fourteen years.

This report was being submitted herewith.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the report of the Assessor be tabled for one week to allow all members of Council an opportunity to thoroughly review it; and further, the Assessor be present at that meeting on April 14, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Portions of Lots "O" and "P", D.L. 90, Plan 10599 SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #60/69

It was being recommended that the requirements of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act be waived insofar as they apply to the above subdivision.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the owner of Portions of Lots "O" and "P", D.L. 90, Plan 10599 be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by H. B. Cotton and sworn the 9th day of December, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

April 8/1969

(3) Easement - Portion of Lot 1 St, Block 2, D.L. 206, Plan 1071
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 304/68

It was being recommended that Council authorize the:

- (a) acquisition of an easement, which is required for drainage purposes as a prerequisite to finalizing the subdivision, over a portion of the captioned property.
- (b) execution of the documents pertaining to the matter.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the recommendations of the Manager covering item (3) be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Lane in the 3700 Block Frances Street

The Municipal Engineer has reported as follows on a request that the lane at the rear of property known as 3710 Frances Street be constructed:

- (a) There are a number of physical problems which would need to be overcome before the lane could be built. These are:
 - (i) The cost of acquiring land to widen the lane allowance to twenty feet. This cost would be considerable because of the close proximity of garages and houses to the existing property line, which means it would probably be necessary to relocate these buildings.
 - (ii) The cost of relocating the utility poles which are situated on the land that would need to be acquired for lane purposes.
- (b) It is not the policy to open lane allowances which are only ten feet wide when there are difficulties in constructing and maintaining them.
- (c) It is considered undesirable to create another lane opening onto Boundary Road because of that street's traffic function.
- (d) An adequate lane is already constructed and serves all but 3710 Frances Street, which has access from that street.
- (e) The registered letter to which Mrs. McHardy referred in her letter on the subject matter was received by the Engineering Department on August 23, 1965 and, after that, Mr. J. J. Kaller of that Department interviewed her on September 22, 1965. The decision made then was to take no action on the question of constructing the lane allowance.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the information submitted by the Municipal Engineer be accepted and the position taken by him in regard to the request for the opening of the subject lane be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

April/8/69

(5) Estimates

It was being recommended that the Special Estimates of Work of the Municipal Engineer, which total \$72,037.47, be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Monthly Report of the Building Department

A report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department for the period between March 3rd and 28, 1969 was being submitted.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Canadian Building Officials Conference

It was being recommended that Mr. S. Gortsman, Deputy Chief Building Inspector, be authorized to attend the above Conference in Winnipeg, Manitoba between April 23rd and 25, 1969.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) In-Law Suites and Additional Accommodation in Residential Areas

(This Item was dealt with previously in the meeting)

(9) Revenue and Expenditures

It was being recommended that the expenditures listed in the accompanying report of the Municipal Treasurer for the period between January 1, 1969 and March 16, 1969 be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

Assistant Municipal Manager advised that the Parks and Recreation Commission had requested that four of its members be allowed to attend the following conferences:

(a) National Recreation and Parks Association Conference -
In Couer D'Alene, Idaho, U.S.A. between April 20th and 23, 1969.

(b) British Columbia Recreation Association Conference -
In Trail, B. C. between May 7th and 10, 1969.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the request of the Parks and Recreation Commission be granted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

April 8/1969

Alderman Dailly enquired as to the disposition of an application from the Vancouver Sea Festival for a grant.

He was informed that the Grants Committee had the matter in hand.

*

Assistant Municipal Manager stated that the Municipal Engineer had reported on a complaint that Council received a short time ago regarding the use being made of the pedestrian walk in the 6500 Block Georgia Street by students of Kensington Junior Secondary School.

The report of the Engineer indicated the following:

- (a) In examining the petition which was submitted to Council, an assessment was made to determine which of the property owners who signed the petition could actually derive a benefit from the walkway.
- (b) The walkway serves as an outlet to peripheral areas only for the residents of Georgia Street.
- (c) Only one petitioner was a Georgia Street resident so it can be concluded that, except for this one, the residents of Georgia Street are not sympathetic to the walkway closure proposal.
- (d) Eight of the petitioners who reside on the South side of Union Street are in no way affected by the walkway and therefore their views should not be taken into account.

The Municipal Engineer concluded by recommending that no action be taken on the request of the petitioners because of the foregoing.

He also indicated that the Engineering Department could not support the closure of the one walkway without the other North-South one immediately to the North. He added that both these walkways are well used and functional for the residents of Georgia Street.

It appeared to Council, during its consideration of the subject of the report from the Engineer, that the problem confronting the property owners abutting the walkway in question caused by students using this facility could perhaps be resolved if action was taken by the Principal of the School involved to reprimand the students causing the problem.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That a letter be sent to the Burnaby School Board:

- (a) expressing the deep concern of Council about the problem confronting the two property owners abutting the walkway in question and indicating the urgent desire of Council to see the problem remedied.
- (b) requesting the Board to investigate the situation with a view to taking action immediately to correct it.
- (c) Also asking the Board to indicate the extent of its jurisdiction in coping with problems emanating from students lingering in areas and causing a nuisance, including the responsibilities of Principals of the schools whose students cause the problems."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

April/8/1969

Municipal Manager submitted a Special Report on the subject of Outdoor Burning.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That this report be tabled until after Council deals with the motion introduced by Alderman Ladner earlier this evening on the subject of Air Pollution at its meeting on April 14, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILY:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LADNER LEFT THE MEETING.

*

B Y - L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY ROAD DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1969" #5501 and that it now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the By-law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY ROAD DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1969" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

*

April 18/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That "BURNABY TAX SALES MONEYS EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 1, 1969"
#5500 be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That "BURNABY TAX SALES MONEYS EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 1, 1969"
be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the
Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the
Whole "in Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY