

JUNE 16, 1969

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, June 16, 1969, at 7 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Prittle in the Chair;
Aldermen Blair, Clark, Dailly,
Drummond, Herd, Ladner, Mercier and
McLean

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Minutes of the meetings of January 27th, February 3rd,
10th, 17th and 24, 1969, be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

D E L E G A T I O N

A delegation was present from the Burnaby Civic Opera Association with regard to an application for a grant.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the delegation be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. Kirby, representing the Burnaby Civic Opera, presented a Brief outlining the activities of the Opera Society over the past year and advising that two performances were to be given in the James Cowan Centre during the current year. One during 1968 had been presented with the audience seated in cabaret style and it had been very successful and it was intended to repeat one of the performances in this fashion during the 1969 Season.

His Worship, the Mayor, advised that the grant was a little late in coming since the Grants budget was pretty well depleted; however, it may be looked at as a part grant for 1969 and a second part for 1970 since part of the programme would take place in that year.

Mrs. Kirby advised that about \$1,000.00, or half the amount needed, would be required during this year. About 50% of the people who perform in the Operatic Society were residents of Burnaby.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the application for a grant from the Burnaby Civic Opera be referred to the Grants Committee for consideration and recommendation."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the Original Communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

British Columbia-Japan Cultural Athletic Exchange Programme wrote expressing appreciation to the Council for the grant made toward their programme. The money received would be put toward the plane fare for the exchange students.

General Manager, Vancouver Sea Festival Society, wrote expressing appreciation to the Council for the grant of \$500.00 toward the 1969 Vancouver Sea Festival.

North Vancouver Anti-Coal Petitioners submitted a Brief to the Council objecting to the proposal to install a coal bulk loading facility in North Vancouver because of the deleterious effects such unloading facility would have upon the immediate surrounding area. The petitioners noted that it was contended there would be no pollution problem from coal dust outside a three-quarter mile radius of the facility and petitioners noted the several public facilities located within a three-quarter mile radius including a modern elementary school, a boat marina, the North Shore Winter Club, etc. The petitioners also deplored the unsightliness of the bulk loading facilities and pointed to the new Roberts Bank Super Port which was being constructed to handle such facilities.

The petitioners requested the support of this Council to their opposition of the location of these facilities in North Vancouver and asked that the objection be so indicated by the return of the signed petition form.

During the discussion, the Council noted the following points:

- (i) The matter should be considered in conjunction with the new Air Pollution By-law.
- (ii) The Members of Parliament for this area should be asked to encourage where at all possible the control of such facilities to ensure that dust-free unloading with the use of modern methods be carried out.
- (iii) The petition presented by the North Vancouver group does not directly affect Burnaby and this Council should not go on record as opposing the facility. The matter should be referred to the Regional District to take some action in its wisdom.
- (iv) The dust from the operation will float on the water and will reach Burnaby and thus it is of interest to this municipality. The sulphur residue from a similar operation in Port Moody already reaches the shores of this municipality.
- (v) Full support should be given the anti-coal petitioners as this is a regional matter and should be supported by all the components of the regional area.

- (vi) The generation of rail traffic which must go through this municipality gave a further reason why the petitioners' proposal should be supported. The Council should also support a move to the Roberts Bank facility. Bulk loading facilities there are to be very large and it may very possibly occur that, because of the volumes to be handled at this location, Burnaby could be affected.

The matter of air pollution was to be dealt with further in the Agenda in conjunction with a report of the Air Pollution Committee.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the North Vancouver Anti-Coal Petition be referred to the considerations to be given to the Air Pollution Control Committee report later in this Agenda."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Greater Vancouver Regional District wrote with reference to a prohibition on the sale and explosion of fireworks and submitted that this question had been considered by the Regional District recently with the result that a proposal had come forward that the Minister of Municipal Affairs be requested to recommend to the Lieutenant Governor-in-Council that supplementary letters patent be issued giving the Greater Vancouver Regional District authority to pass the necessary by-law to prohibit the sale and explosion of firecrackers.

The District submitted that, if this Council wished the District to pass the by-law prohibiting the sale and discharge of firecrackers, it would be necessary to pass a resolution somewhat along the following lines:

"WHEREAS it has been proposed that the function of the control of the sale and discharge of firecrackers pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act would be best carried out by the Greater Vancouver Regional District, the Council of The Corporation of the District of Burnaby hereby consents to participate in the function of firecracker control; agrees to waive its right to firecracker control as set forth in the Municipal Act and agrees to a request being made to the Lieutenant Governor-in-Council for Supplementary Letters Patent being issued which will enable the Greater Vancouver Regional District to exercise the function heretofore referred to and to carry out the purpose of this resolution."

During discussion, it was submitted that the Council should not relinquish its right to control the use of firecrackers immediately and should wait from two to five years before relinquishing its control.

The Manager read from a report as a result of complaints received from the Parent-Teacher's Council in 1968 relative to the use of firecrackers in the municipality and also referred to the previous confusion which occurred in 1968 between the Fire Prevention By-Law and the other Fire Prevention Regulations.

It was felt that the aim of the municipalities should be towards uniformity in this matter.

June/16/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Council go on record as being in favour of the intent of this resolution."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN McLEAN,
MERCIER, HERD &
CLARK

Greater Vancouver Regional District forwarded a copy of a report dated May 28th, 1969, prepared by the Air Pollution Committee of their Board. The report was approved and accepted by the Board at its last meeting.

The Committee's report indicated that a review had been made of a model by-law for the control of air pollution prepared by the Public Health Engineering Department of the Provincial Government and had studied the Air Pollution Control By-law of the City of Vancouver. As there appeared to be little likelihood air pollution legislation would be forthcoming from the Provincial Government in the near future and, inasmuch as there was need to control air pollution on a regional basis, the following recommendations were made:

- "(i) That the Board of this District endorse the concept of air pollution control as a function.
- (ii) That an air pollution control by-law substantially in accordance with the draft by-law attached, be sent to all member municipalities for their consideration.
- (iii) That the Regional District act as the enforcement agency for the area.
- (iv) That the Provincial Government be requested to make a grant of one-third of the cost of operating the function of air pollution control."

An Air Pollution Control By-Law was presented and it was submitted by the Regional District that there may be changes necessary according to the individual circumstances applicable to the various municipalities. The draft by-law did not cover outdoor burning as this was controlled by a separate by-law.

The Committee suggested that each municipality within the District should study the by-law, particularly as it would apply to local problems and, if in the opinion of the Council it was desirable, comments on the draft could be invited from local industry. The Board asked that any observations or criticisms which the Council would like to present in regard to the draft by-law be presented by the end of August.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the Air Pollution Control By-Law presented by the Greater Vancouver Regional District for comment be referred to the Air Pollution Committee for their study and report to Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

June/16/1969

In passing, Alderman Ladner, Chairman of the Air Pollution Committee, advised that his Committee already had an Air Pollution By-Law under consideration. However, it was agreed that the problem was better dealt with as a regional problem, but that the Provincial Government or Federal Government should take an active part in the enactment of legislation to control air pollution and that if either of the senior Governments refused, it was considered in his view to be an appalling situation.

The Council then reverted to the item under (c) of original communications being the petition from the North Vancouver Anti-Coal Petitioners and their objection to the coal handling facilities proposed at North Vancouver.

During discussion, it was suggested that the Council advise the petitioners that Burnaby has received a Regional Pollution By-Law for study which would cover the problems referred to by the petitioners.

Reference was also made again to the Roberts Bank Development where it was proposed that 200,000 tons of coal would be deposited in two piles, and that if there were strong winds blowing, problems would certainly be created. If a stock pile were established in North Vancouver, there would definitely be an affect, not only in North Vancouver but in other municipalities as well.

The opinion was expressed that the expression received from the Petitioners was purely a local group and that this Council should not become involved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That this Council support the North Vancouver Anti-Coal Petitioners in their complaint against the proposed bulk loading facilities for handling of coal in North Vancouver."

IN FAVOUR -- ALDERMEN McLEAN
& DAILLY

AGAINST -- ALDERMEN BLAIR,
CLARK, DRUMMOND,
HERD, LADNER &
McLEAN

MOTION LOST

The Municipal Clerk advised the Council that, since the setting of a Public Hearing for Tuesday, June 24, 1969 at the last meeting of the Council, information had come to hand that the Comprehensive Development Plans for the area in the vicinity of Lougheed Highway, Keswick Avenue and Government Road, were not ready for presentation to the Public Hearing and that it is possible that the one remaining zoning application to be heard regarding Comprehensive Development in the McMurray-McKercher area North of Kingsway could be handled in conjunction with the Council meeting on June 23rd at 7 p.m.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the date for the next Public Hearing into rezoning applications be revised from 7:30 p.m., June 24, 1969, TO 7 P.M., JUNE 23, 1969."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RESOLVED INTO A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEALING WITH THE "REPORTS" SECTION OF THE AGENDA.

R E P O R T S

ALDERMAN HERD recommended that Mr. A. L. Parr, Municipal Planner, be authorized to attend the forthcoming Conference in Seattle on the subject of "General Government-Pacific Rim (Urban and Regional Development)", since the programme was essentially centred on planning of the "Pacific Rim" extending from Alaska to California.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the Municipal Planner be authorized to attend the forthcoming Conference on General Government-Pacific Rim (Urban and Regional Development) being held in Seattle on June 22nd, 23rd and 24th."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

AIR POLLUTION COMMITTEE reported as a result of the Manager's Special Report No. 6, 1969, concerning "Backyard Burning". The Committee reported having considered this report and obtained further information as to what action ought to be taken on the matter. The answers to several questions were sought and the Committee reported as follows:

1. Q. Is the contribution of backyard burning to air pollution significant in Burnaby?

A. The Committee considered that backyard burning of all categories did contribute significantly to the level of air pollution, although it was not considered a major factor. The onset of improved weather conditions generated numerous complaints concerning smoke, fly-ash and odour from backyard fires and although these nuisances were significant, the more acute problem appeared to be accompanying intense and distasteful odour emitting when garbage consisting of organic matter was burned.

The use of outdoor barbecues contributed in a small way to the total problem of air pollution.
2. Q. Is the present legislation sufficient and is it enforceable?

A. Under the present legislation requiring permits for all outdoor burning, adequate requirements exist respecting properly constructed incinerators and adequate screening. The burning of organic matter is unlawful.

The Fire Department^{would} prefer a complete ban as present controls are most difficult, and it is considered approximately 10% of an estimated 20,000 households actually using incinerators comply with present regulations concerning permits and the construction and screening of incinerators.

3. Q. Is it possible to enforce a partial ban on backyard burning?

A. Partial banning would compound the enforcement problems; however, the Committee did give consideration and has noted that the City of Vancouver recently relaxed its ban to permit burning of garden refuse without a permit on two specified weekends in the Spring and two in the Fall.

4. Q. What would the impact of a ban on backyard burning be upon garbage disposal?

A. There would be a major impact on the garbage disposal situation and would result in difficulties to the residents, particularly if there was simultaneous closure of the Stride Avenue Dump with a total ban of backyard burning unless the municipality was prepared to pick up all refuse left by the residents.

The Committee emphasized that, in their opinion, having access to the Stride Avenue Dump is a great convenience to many residents. If the Dump was closed and the refuse the residents would otherwise dump themselves was left for pick up, a substantial amount of refuse could be left in individual yards for as long as a week and could be a potential fire hazard.

Costs for keeping the dump open for casual non-commercial dumping is estimated at \$20,000.00 per year. If an unlimited refuse pickup were approved, it is estimated the cost of disposing of the refuse that would otherwise be privately burned would be approximately \$65,000.00 per year.

The Committee recommended:

(i) That all open air burning within the municipality be banned except as follows:

- (a) necessary municipal burning;
- (b) upon the issuance of a special permit by the Fire Chief;
- (c) fires required in the preparation of food, i.e., barbecues;
- (d) garden refuse in a properly constructed and screened incinerator except in fire hazard areas to be determined:

(i) on the last Saturday of March;
the following day,
and the following Saturday and Sunday;

(ii) on the last Saturday of October;
the following day,
and the following Saturday and Sunday.

These excepted days conform with the excepted days in the City of Vancouver. They are acceptable to the Administrator of the Parks and Recreation Commission and apparently to gardeners. It is also the belief that there is almost no chance of inversion at these times of the year.

June/16/1969

- (ii) The Corporation in its negotiations with B.C. Power and Hydro Authority stipulate that the Stride Avenue dump is to remain open for eighteen months to two years following its general closure, for private non-commercial dumping by residents of Burnaby regulated to ensure that such dumping is only by residents of Burnaby.
- (iii) Specific legislation be introduced to combat littering and indiscriminate dumping providing heavy penalties for those persons convicted, with instruction to the Health Department and the R.C.M.P. to prosecute all those found to be violating the By-laws.

It must be emphasized, however, that any attempt, by this municipality or any other, to combat air pollution is dealing with the problem on a piecemeal basis and that the ultimate answer can only be found in Provincial and Federal legislation. Municipal or regional legislation is only second best.

The suggestion was made in discussion that the Council might consider refuse disposal centres similar to a plan followed in Seattle where residents are able to bring their refuse to disposal boxes situated at different locations throughout the City with an attendant. It was suggested this method should cost less than the \$65,000.00 mentioned in the report of the Air Pollution Committee.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendations of the report be adopted."

AN AMENDMENT WAS MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:
"That recommendation No. 2 be altered by eliminating the words "18 months to 2 years" and substituting the words "less than 2 years"."

The mover of the original motion accepted the amendment as moved. Since it was a change in the text of the report, there was no need for an amending motion in view of the acceptance by the mover and seconder of the original motion.

An objection was raised to the inclusion of recommendation No. 2 in its entirety as it was felt the control of the Dump should remain with the Corporation in spite of any negotiations with the B.C. Hydro and Power Authority.

The Engineer reported with regard to the proposal by the Corporation to use the Terra Nova Development for refuse disposal in the vicinity of the Crown Zellerbach Mill on the Fraser River in the Fraser Mills area advising that a report would be presented to Council in the near future. There was a draft contract available and this was now being formalized.

A VOTE WAS TAKEN ON THE MOTION -- CARRIED --

ALDERMAN CLARK voting AGAINST on the basis that the second recommendation was being retained in the recommendations submitted by the Committee.

REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

(1) Truck traffic - Canada Way

The Committee reported that a delegation of approximately 40 residents of Canada Way from Sperling Avenue to Imperial Street attended and complained of the truck traffic along this Highway, particularly from the view of noise levels and house vibrations caused by trucks ascending and descending the hill.

The delegation was reminded of the Provincial Jurisdiction over the Highway and that the completion of the Stormont Interchange would relieve the situation when the Provincial Government decides to complete this Interchange. Furthermore, the Burnaby Truck Routing By-law would be of no assistance as it would not be applicable to the Provincial Highways.

The delegation was advised to lay its complaint before the Minister of Highways and ask for an early start on the Stormont Interchange.

The delegation was advised that a meeting would be arranged with the Automotive Transport Association and other interested organizations in an effort to have the volume of heavy trucks reduced on Canada Way, especially during the evening and early morning hours and on Sundays.

The Committee made the following recommendations:

- (1) That Council again bring to the attention of Provincial authorities the immediate requirement for an early commencement of the Stormont Interchange project.
- (2) That the possibility of instituting a Municipal By-law to deal with noise pollution in all its phases be considered by Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That the Council go on record that the Provincial Government be requested to complete the Stormont Interchange in Burnaby as quickly as possible."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That recommendation No. 2 of the Committee regarding the institution of a Municipal By-law to deal with Noise Pollution be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR:

"That a resolution be submitted to the Union of B.C. Municipalities Convention re the reduction of air and noise pollution by means of the installation of a mechanical unit to be installed on motor vehicles following an inquiry of the Motor Vehicle Branch in Victoria as to what progress is being made in this direction at the present time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

June/16/1969

(2) Burnaby Safety Council

The Committee recommended the appointment of Mr. Jeff Woolley as representative of the Burnaby Safety Council on the Traffic Safety Committee.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILY:
"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Willingdon Avenue from Maywood Street to Imperial Street

The Committee recommended that no action be taken on a request for removal of the "No Parking" restriction at Willingdon Avenue from Maywood Street to Imperial Street.

(4) McBride Boulevard and 10th Avenue

The Committee recommended that a request for the removal of the "Form Single Line" sign on McBride Boulevard at 10th Avenue on the New Westminster side be not granted as the parking restriction on the Burnaby side of 10th Avenue is effective only during certain hours of the day.

(6) Lane South of Brentlawn Drive between Beta and Delta Avenues

The Committee reported on a survey conducted to ascertain whether a proposal to confine traffic in this lane to an Eastbound movement only had been completed. The majority of residents abutting the lane were not in favour of the proposal.

The Committee recommended that the present function of the lane remain unchanged and the existing turn restriction signs at the exit from Brentwood Parking Lot remain, and further that police enforcement of the traffic control measures continue as in the past.

(7) Canada Way-Gilmore Diversion

The Committee reported that an overhead traffic signal was installed at this intersection during the construction of the Willingdon Overpass and that subsequent to completion of the Overpass, volumes had been taken to determine the need for a traffic signal at this location and the warrants were not met, so the signal had been put into "flash" operation. The Department of Highways were consulted and advised of the situation and it was agreed that the signal standards be removed but all underground work be left intact as the warrants could change in two or three years.

The Committee recommended that the Council authorize the traffic control device in question be removed until such time as signal warrants are established.

(8) Sussex Avenue from Kingsway to Beresford Street

The Committee reported that radar checks were made on separate occasions and police accident files were checked showing no reported accidents during 1968 and it was therefore felt that a request for a 15 m.p.h. speed limit on this portion of Sussex Avenue was not warranted and it was recommended that Council take no action on the request.

(9) Hastings Street from Boundary Road to Springer Avenue

The Committee reported on a request received for a parking restriction on the above portion of Hastings Street during the evening rush hours (4-6 p.m.) to expedite the movement of B.C. Hydro buses during this period.

The Committee outlined the results of an investigation and recommended that no action be taken on this request as there did not appear to be any difficulty with B.C. Hydro with the movement of their buses on these portions of Hastings Street during the evening rush hours.

(10) Grimmer Street Adjacent to Branch #83 of the Royal Canadian Legion

The Committee recommended that a "No Parking" restriction on the North side of Grimmer Street adjacent to Branch #83 of the Royal Canadian Legion be not instituted since the gravel shoulder on this side of Grimmer Street was wide enough so that parking would not obstruct the flow of traffic on Grimmer. Furthermore, the Legion had installed on its parking lot an asphalt curb parallel to and a good three feet from the complainant's East fence, the sole purpose of which is to protect the fence.

(11) Edmonds Street and Sixth Street

The Committee reported on a request for installation of a traffic signal and pedestrian crosswalk at this location and a one-hour parking ban on both sides of Edmonds Street from Canada Way to Sixth Street. The Committee submitted the results of investigations and made observations indicating that traffic patterns covering a parking lot on the North-Westerly corner of the intersection had altered somewhat and there was ample parking space available at the curbs. Further, the traffic signal at a pedestrian crosswalk at the intersection was covered at some length in the Committee's report to Council in August 1968 and circumstances had not changed. The Committee reiterated its recommendation that no action be taken at this time.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CLARK:

"That the recommendations of the Committee as contained in Items (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) and (11) be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Buckingham Avenue and Sperling Avenue

The Committee submitted that on May 26, 1969, a recommendation was made that a survey be conducted whereby all residents in this immediate area be circularized outlining alternative methods of traffic control considered for the intersection to ascertain their wishes and that the "No Left Turn" sign at Sperling and Buckingham be removed pending completion of the survey.

The Council referred the matter back to the Committee for clarification of the intent of the recommendation.

The Committee now recommended that the Council approve the immediate removal of the "No Left Turn" signs at this intersection and that the survey as outlined in May be proceeded with immediately.

June/16/1969

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED

ALDERMEN HERD & BLAIR
-- AGAINST

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the matter of parking in Apartment zoned areas be returned to the Traffic Safety Committee to study further the existing 3-hour parking restriction and evening parking problems in Apartment zoned areas to determine how best the parking controls can be properly policed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

HIS WORSHIP, THE MAYOR, submitted a recommendation of the GRANTS COMMITTEE that the amount of \$325.00 be granted to the Young Voyageur Programme to be expended toward a reception for a number of students visiting Burnaby from Sarnia, Ontario, in connection with the Voyageur exchange programme.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CLARK, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Grants Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 42, 1969

(1) 1968 Financial Report

The Manager submitted a copy of the 1968 Financial Report for the information of the Council.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Sanitary Sewer Service to Subdivision No. 220/68

In order to provide sanitary sewer service to the above mentioned subdivision of a 3.37 acre portion of part of Block 6, D.L. 79S, Plan 536, it is necessary for the Corporation to construct approximately 450 feet of sewer on Iris Avenue.

The sewer extension would also provide service to an additional 25 lots as subdivision occurs.

The estimated cost is \$9,500.00.

June/16/1969

The Manager recommended that approximately 450 feet of sanitary sewer be constructed on Iris Avenue at an estimated cost of \$9,500.00.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be concurred in."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Estimates

The Manager recommended that Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$9,460.50.00 be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN BLAIR, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DAILLY raised the question of a complaint he had received concerning the failure of the Engineering Department to install a dust palliative along a gravel road leading off the Barnet Highway in the vicinity of the old Kask Camp.

The Engineer reported that dust palliatives were laid for approximately 10¢ per foot per resident and when this amount is received in the Department covering each of the residents, the work is usually done in three or four days. The Engineer undertook to look into the reasons why the work has not been carried out on this road.

(4) Street Lights

The Engineer submitted a recommendation that one 300-Watt Mercury Vapour Lamp be installed at the North-West corner of Duthie Avenue and Gibson Street, and one 300-Watt Mercury Vapour Lamp be installed at the intersection of Cliff Avenue and Blaine Place.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Fire Department

The Fire Chief submitted a report covering activities in his Department for the month of May 1969.

(6) R.C.M.P.

The Officer-in-Charge, Burnaby R.C.M.P., submitted a report covering policing of the municipality for the month of May 1969.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the reports of the Fire Department and R.C.M.P. be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

June/16/1969

Upon a query being raised in Council on progress being made toward the equipping of the Council Chamber with new seating, the Assistant Municipal Manager advised that, as soon as the seating equipment was received from the Manufacturer, the installation would be made.

HIS WORSHIP, THE MAYOR, DECLARED A RECESS AT 8:50 P.M.
THE COMMITTEE RECONVENED AT 9:00 P.M.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

B Y - L A W S

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1968" (#5367)
a n d

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 32, 1968" (#5365)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Zoning Amendment By-Law No. 34, 1968 (#5367) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #30/68

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5)
TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lots 11 and 12, Block 8, D.L. 151, Plan 2155 (5842 - 5858
Olive Avenue)

Zoning Amendment By-Law No. 32, 1968 (#5365) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #40/68

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4)
TO COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P5)

Portion of Lot "B" except Sketch 10145, D.L. 80, Plan 5296
(4915 Canada Way)

June/16/1969

THE CLERK reported that the Planning Director had forwarded a memo. dated June 10th indicating that the prerequisites had been met with regard to By-Law No. 5367, and a memo. from the Planning Director dated June 13th was read indicating that the prerequisites to the rezoning had been met with regard to By-Law No. 5365, and that these two By-Laws could be laid before Council for Third and Final Readings.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LADNER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 34, 1968" and "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 32, 1968" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That:

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1969" (#5529)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 28, 1969" (#5525)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 29, 1969" (#5526)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 30, 1969" (#5527)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 31, 1969" (#5528)

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LADNER:

"That:

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1969" (#5529)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 28, 1969" (#5525)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 29, 1969" (#5526)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 30, 1969" (#5527)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 31, 1969" (#5528)

be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk, and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *