

AUGUST 5, 1968

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B.C. on Monday, August 5, 1968 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

Acting Mayor Blair in the Chair;
Aldermen Corsbie, Dailly, Drummond,
Herd, Lorimer, Mercier and McLean.

ABSENT:

Mayor A. H. Emmott

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:

"That the Minutes of the meeting held on July 8, 1968 be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That all of the below listed communications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following is a synopsis of:

- (a) those letters embraced by the foregoing resolution:
- (b) the decisions of Council regarding these items and other matters that arose during consideration of the correspondence.

Mrs. E. Godman submitted a letter expressing appreciation for the action taken by Council in authorizing the construction of a concrete sidewalk on the North side of Canada Way between Clayton Avenue and Hayfield Street.

* * *

The following submitted letters in connection with a proposal to construct a lane between Rosewood and Wedgewood Streets from Canada Way to Sixth Street:

- (a) Mr. and Mrs. A. Domstead and others
- (b) Mrs. Len Vakenti
- (c) Mrs. Roxanne Erwin
- (d) Mr. W. Eenkhorn
- (e) Aubrey D. and Daphne L. Harris

Aug/5/1968

All of these persons expressed opposition to the proposal.

Mr. Eenkhorn also related an incident which occurred on July 18th involving the efforts of a representative of the municipality in connection with the lane proposal.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That, because:

- (a) His Worship, Mayor Emmott was the one who revived the question of developing the lane and he is absent from this meeting,
- (b) the Municipal Manager is expected to be submitting a report on the matter within two weeks,

consideration of the subject be deferred until the August 19th Council meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

Secretary, The North Fraser Harbour Commissioners, wrote advising that the term of Commissioner W. J. Cook, representative of Burnaby, Vancouver and Richmond on the Commission, will expire on August 11, 1968.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That action on the subject of the letter from the North Fraser Harbour Commissioners be deferred until the August 19, 1968 Council meeting because it is felt His Worship, Mayor Emmott, should be present when a decision is rendered in regard to the matter."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

Mr. O. H. Porteous submitted a letter urging that Council proceed with the rezoning of:

REFERENCE REZONING #64/68

- (a) Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, D.L. 91, Plan 2297
- (b) Lots 19 and 20, Block 2, D.L. 91, Plan 534
(6785 and 6745 Canada Way - Located on the Southerly side of Canada Way between Formby Street and Ulster Street)

to Gasoline Service Station District (C6).

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE:

"That action on the matter outlined in the letter from Mr. Porteous be deferred until consideration of Item 17 of the Municipal Manager's Report later this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

President, Burnaby Chamber of Commerce, wrote:

- (a) advising that the Chamber believes the advantages of amalgamating the City of Vancouver with the District of Burnaby will outweigh the disadvantages, and that feasibility studies in connection with the proposal should be given top priority.

Aug/5/1968

- (b) urging that all reports on the matter be released to the public now.

Municipal Manager stated that he expected the administration of both municipalities will have reports on the question of amalgamating Burnaby with Vancouver available for the Special Committees of the Councils of the two municipalities within approximately one month's time.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:
"That the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce be advised:

- (a) of that just mentioned by the Municipal Manager.
- (b) that information pertaining to the subject matter will be released to the Chamber, and the public, after the Councils of the two municipal jurisdictions have received the reports from their respective Special Committees."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * * * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TABLED MATTERS

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

- (1) Report of Alderman Mercier Re: Administration Principles of a Regional District Board

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that this item had been placed on the Agenda in error because it had been tabled until the August 19th meeting.

The Council directed that this matter be withdrawn from the Agenda.

* * *

- (2) (a) Drainage requirements on subdivisions
(b) Block 24, D.L. 83, Plan 1267 (Fisher)

Item #34 of Report No. 47, 1968 of the Municipal Manager, which pertains to the above two subjects and the relationship between them, was read.

Alderman Lorimer then made the following points in connection with the subject at hand:

- (a) all subdividers of land should only be required to pay the cost of providing storm drainage facilities for their own property,
- (b) when the Fisher's granted the municipality an easement over their property, the Corporation should have installed a culvert in the easement territory to enclose the drainage course, rather than just leaving an open ditch,
- (c) the reference in the report of the Manager to the price paid by the Fisher's for the property is immaterial,

Aug/5/1968

- (d) when Gilpin Street was improved a few years ago, it was raised by three feet and therefore the watercourse passing beneath it and flowing onto the Fisher property cannot be considered a natural one.

Municipal Manager pointed out that this work on Gilpin Street in no way altered the direction of the watercourse.

- (e) in view of the foregoing points, the Municipality should assume the difference in the cost between that required at this time for storm drainage facilities on the Fisher property and what would normally be needed for draining that property alone.

Municipal Manager pointed out that, as yet, the Approving Officer has never received an application to subdivide the Fisher property, although at one time the property was involved with adjacent land in such an application, but it did not proceed.

He added that the Approving Officer has stated that the Fisher property should not be subdivided in isolation.

He also mentioned that a comprehensive report on the general subject of drainage was being prepared for Council and that the decision rendered as a result of considering that report would likely have a strong bearing on the Fisher situation.

The Manager further stated that, even though that report is not complete, he knows it will be expensive if the municipality is to assume any costs in connection with the draining of private property. He added that an expenditure of this sort would need to be included in the Five-Year Capital Works Programme that is being prepared. He also remarked that, once this programme is adopted, the Council is estopped from embarking on any other Capital Works.

As regards the Fisher situation, the Manager commented that the ditch on that property existed before the municipality obtained an easement in the location of that ditch. He pointed out that this was done because the municipality had been asked to clean the ditch and it was felt that an easement should be obtained to protect the right of municipal workers to enter the property for the purpose of cleaning the ditch.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That further consideration of the situation involving the Fisher property be deferred until the comprehensive report mentioned by the Municipal Manager is submitted, unless and until the Fishers apply to subdivide their property; in which case, the question of storm drainage requirements in connection with the Fisher property is to be returned for further consideration;

AND FURTHER, the Manager include in the said comprehensive report;

- (a) the full the text of the motion that was passed a few years ago relative to the question of subdividers being required to provide the full cost of installing storm drainage facilities on their properties,
- (b) an indication as to the amount that would need to be included in the budget each year if the Corporation was to assume any part of the cost of providing storm drainage facilities to properties that are subdivided."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN LORIMER AND
McLEAN

Aug/5/1968

Alderman Dailly stated that he had been informed there is a mosquito problem on the Fisher property at 5718 Gilpin Street.

The Health Department was instructed by Council to investigate this claim and report the results, with it being implicit that remedial action is to be taken in connection with the matter if this is deemed imperative.

* * *

A question was asked as to the effectiveness of the Mosquito Control Programme in the Fraser Valley and Lower Mainland this year.

ALDERMAN LORIMER LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That a report answering the question in connection with the Mosquito Control Programme be submitted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

(3) Application to Rezone:

REZONING APPLICATION #63/68

(a) Lots "A" and "B", Block 2, D.L.'s 44/78/131/136, Plan 6835

(b) Lot 1, Blocks 1/2, D.L.'s 44/78/131/136, Plan 3049

(c) Lot 25, D.L. 78, Plan 26566

to COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (CD)

Burrard Brokerage Co. Ltd., the applicant, submitted a letter on this matter urging that Council proceed with the rezoning of the property to the category desired.

The Company also pointed out that it was nearing the completion of its first terrace housing development at Gilmore Avenue and Douglas Road, and was now anxious to initiate a condominium project under the Strata Titles Act on the tract that is the subject of the application now at hand.

ALDERMAN LORIMER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That Burrard Brokerage Company Ltd. be advised that Council is adhering to the concept envisaged for:

(a) Lots "A" and "B", Block 2, D.L.'s 44/78/131/136, Plan 6835

(b) Lot 1, Blocks 1/2, D.L.'s 44/78/131/136, Plan 3049

(c) Lot 25, D.L. 78, Plan 26566,

which is:

- (1) that the power line right-of-way through the property be maintained as the Southerly boundary of the single family area to the North.
- (2) that any form of multiple family development be confined to the area South of the said power line right-of-way East of Ellerslie Avenue, as more particularized in the report entitled "Apartment Study" that Council adopted approximately two years ago."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN CORSDIE, HERD
AND DRUMMOND

* * *

R E P O R T S

TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE submitted reports on the matters listed below as items (1) and (2), recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons provided:

(1) Kingsway Parking Regulations

In May 1965, the Council authorized the institution of a number of parking restrictions for Kingsway.

In early 1966, as a result of representations by some of the merchants on Kingsway, the Council rescinded the regulation prohibiting parking between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. on the North side of Kingsway from:

(a) Smith Avenue to Inman Avenue,

(b) Patterson Avenue to Edmonds Street

It was understood, when Council did this, that the municipality would give the merchants two years to obtain off-street parking facilities. When that was done, the original parking restriction was to be reinstituted.

Information has now been received that no effort of any kind has been made by the merchants involved to secure land for off-street parking facilities, despite the fact they have had more than the time which was allowed. It is felt now, as it was two years ago, that the restriction is justified because of the need to provide lanes for moving traffic.

It was therefore recommended that:

(a) Council re-institute the parking regulation that was originally proposed; viz. No Stopping between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. on the North side of Kingsway from:

(1) Smith Avenue to Inman Avenue

(2) Patterson Avenue to Edmonds Street

(b) this be done on October 1, 1968 but, in order that all people can be aware of this regulation, a Public Notice be inserted in the newspapers indicating this intention.

During consideration of the proposal recommended by the Committee, a suggestion was made in Council that the restriction should only apply between Monday and Friday inclusive.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the recommendations of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the suggestion concerning the restriction applying between Monday and Friday inclusive be referred to the Traffic Safety Committee for an opinion."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The general question of off-street parking facilities received cursory consideration following the foregoing decision to re-impose the parking regulations on the portion of Kingsway mentioned.

Aug/5/1960

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the Municipal Manager investigate and report on the economics of public off-street parking facilities being developed on Kingsway."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN LORIMER

ALDERMAN DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

(2) Canada Way

The question of parking on Canada Way was considered at the last meeting.

It was concluded that, because the road has been developed almost exclusively to accommodate moving traffic and since there is quite a lot of property that could be used by business establishments on the highway for off-street parking purposes, parking should be prohibited on both sides of the entire length of Canada Way.

It is recognized that there would be some inconvenience if this was done now on the portion of Canada Way between Smith Avenue and Boundary Road so it was being recommended that parking be prohibited:

- (a) immediately on both sides of Canada Way from Smith Avenue to 10th Avenue
- (b) within six months on Canada Way from Smith Avenue to Boundary Road but that, immediately, this restriction be instituted between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. and also between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. on both sides of the street.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted Report No. 49, 1968 on the matters listed below as Items (1) to (24), either providing the information shown or recommending the courses of action indicated for the reasons given:

(1) Water Lot Leases - Goodwin Johnson (1960) Ltd.

The Corporation leases from the National Harbours Board a Water Lot (No. B 40) of approximately 226,154 square feet in area opposite the North-East corner of Confederation Park and fronting Block "D", D.L. 188. The municipality pays \$25.00 per annum for renting this Water Lot.

There is a second Water Lot at the foot of Glasgow Avenue. It is an extension of this Avenue and is 66 feet wide and approximately 400 feet long. This Water Lot is leased to the municipality for \$1.00 per year.

Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Ltd. has applied to the Corporation to sub-let these two Water Lots. The Company is prepared to pay the going rate for this privilege and is also willing to accept an annual lease arrangement with a thirty-day cancellation clause.

Aug/5/1968

It has been ascertained from the National Harbours Board that the proposal of Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Ltd. is consistent with the policy of the Board in making the best use of the harbour water at all times, while still protecting the area for better use in the long term by means of leases of shortduration with termination clauses such as is proposed by the Company.

The Parks and Recreation Commission has also indicated it has no objection to the proposal respecting Water Lot B40.

The going rate for Water Lot leases is up to two cents per square foot per annum and the Board would expect this rate to be paid, so there is no opportunity for the Corporation to make money on a sub-lease to the Company. The only question is whether the Water Lots should be used more intensively than they are now.

Because it is felt that this should be done, it was being recommended that Council authorize negotiations between the National Harbours Board, Goodwin-Johnson (1960) Ltd., and the municipality to effect a sub-leasing arrangement that would best benefit all concerned. If Council concurs, an attempt will be made to have the sub-lease rental collectible by the National Harbours Board.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Canada Way from Morley Street To Imperial Street

In response to a request of Council, the Municipal Engineer provided an estimate of \$1,700.00 for the construction of a sidewalk on the South side of Canada Way from Morley Street to Imperial Street.

In examining this matter, it was felt that a sidewalk was necessary and, because the Contractor constructing sidewalks on other portions of Canada Way was in the area, His Worship, the Acting Mayor, directed that the work be undertaken.

It was recommended that Council ratify this action.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) 6212 Dufferin Avenue

At the request of the Parks and Recreation Commission, the Council authorized the sale or demolition of the buildings on the above property.

Tenders were invited and one bid was received.

It was recommended that this bid, which is from Mr. R. L. Collingwood and is in the amount of \$1,155.00, be accepted for the purchase of the buildings on the property.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAH:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

Aug/5/1968

(4) Inter-Urban Tram - Kingsway and Edmonds Street

With respect to the above subject, the Parks and Recreation Commission is recommending that a Committee comprising members of Council, the Commission, and the Burnaby Historical Society, be established to examine the question of establishing a "heritage park" where various historical items, such as the Inter-Urban Tram on the site of the former Municipal Hall, could be located.

The Commission is also suggesting that the Tram be stored in a safe place, such as the Parks Nursery.

Municipal Clerk pointed out that the following motion respecting the Tram, which was tabled at the June 17, 1968 meeting, was back before Council:

"That an amount not to exceed \$1,000.00 be spent on the provision of a chain-link fence of adequate dimensions to protect the historic Tram"

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That Council concur with the opinion of the Parks and Recreation Commission expressed in the report of the Manager to establish the Special Committee mentioned for the purpose indicated, but not with the suggestion regarding the relocation of the Tram to the Parks Nursery because it is felt this is a matter that should be decided after the question of a "heritage park" is resolved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The foregoing resolution supersedes the proposal that was the subject of the motion of June 17, 1968 that is recited above.

ALDERMAN HERD LEFT THE MEETING.

(5) Lots 8 and 9, Block 1, D.L. 171, Plan 2859

At its meeting on July 22nd, the Council authorized the placing of portions of the above described properties in a sale position, subject to a number of conditions.

One of these was that parts of the two lots be dedicated for road purposes, the particular width of this territory being 75.75 feet at the Southerly end and increasing to 86 feet at the Northerly end.

This condition has been re-examined and it is now felt the road allowance should be 66 feet wide from one end to the other.

It was recommended that the right-of-way to be taken from Lots 8 and 9 be only 66 feet wide instead of the former dimensions.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Parks and Engineering Service Centre

At the time the Parks and Engineering Service Centres were established (September, 1967), it was pointed out that the then existing facilities would not be adequate for very long.

Aug/5/1968

The site requirements have been kept under surveillance since that time and it is now considered that certain renovations should be made to best accommodate the needs of the Service Centre.

There are several unknown factors which could have an impact on the future of the Service Centre and it is felt desirable at this time to recognize them. They are:

- (a) The question of amalgamation.
- (b) The extent of assumption of regional functions by the Regional District.
- (c) The question of ultimate land use in the area where the Service Centre is located.
- (d) The possibility of producing further economies and improved efficiency by including other public bodies (e.g. School Board) in the operation for vehicle servicing.

With this in mind and with a particular view of restraining immediate heavy capital commitments, the following programme was being recommended for the Service Centre:

- (a) The addition to the garage of a 40 foot building, which would include accommodation for garage stores.
- (b) The moving of the minor equipment depot to the existing garage stores after the new area is constructed.
- (c) The building of a shop stores area to the West of the present building to give space and improve the availability of stores to the Carpenter and Paint Shops.
- (d) The building of a covered area in front of, and to the East of, the Carpenter Shop.
- (e) The enclosing of one bay beside the present Soils Testing area and remodelling the enlarged space for Parks Offices.
- (f) Paving the remainder of the area in the main stores yard.

all of which would cost an estimated \$56,500.00. This sum would be allocated from the Capital Works Reserve.

ALDERMAN HERD RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That authority be granted to prepare a Work Order covering the subject of the Manager's Report, with it being understood that consideration of the matter of actually approving the Programme will be given when the Work Order is presented."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN LORIMER

- (7) Lots 260, 261, 273, 274, 275 and 291 of a subdivision of The North 73.63 feet of Lot 41, Lot 42 (ex. part included in Pl. 22056), Lots 43, 44 and 45, Plan 3473, Portion of Lot 247, Plan 32082 of D.L. 126; Block 9 of the East $\frac{1}{2}$ of D.L. 127, Plan 1342, Lot 175, Plan 30932 and Lots "B" and "C", (Expt. Pl. 32762), of D.L. 126 and 127, Group 1, N.W.D.
- SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 56/57

It was recommended that the requirement of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act, as it applies to the above described properties, be waived.

Aug/5/1968

- (9) Lot 209 of a subdivision of (a) Lots "C" and "D" of Lot 1, D.L. 57 and 58, Plan 10117; (b) Lot "G" of Lot 1, Block 3, D.L. 57 and 58, Plan 21317
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #294/67

It was recommended that the requirement of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act, as it applies to the above described property, be waived.

- (10) Lot 75, D.L. 4
REZONING REFERENCE #109/67

It was recommended that the requirement of Section 712(1), as it applies to the creation of the above described property, be waived.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That the owner of Lots 260, 261, 273, 274, 275 and 291 of a subdivision of the North 73.63 feet of Lot 41, Lot 42 (ex. Part included in Plan 22056), Lots 43, 44 and 45, Plan 3473, Portion of Lot 247, Plan 32082 of D.L. 126,; Block 9 of the East $\frac{1}{4}$ of D.L. 127, Plan 1342, Lot 175, Plan 30932 and Lots "D" and "C", (Expl. Plan 32762), of D.L. 126 and 127, Group 1, AND be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by J. E. Hermon and sworn the 7th day of May, 1968."

"That the owner of Lot 209 of a subdivision of Lots "C" and "D", Lot 1, Plan 10117 and Lot "G", Plan 21317 of Lot 1, Block 3, D.L. 57 and 58, be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by Alan J. Tolliday and sworn the 4th day of June, 1968"

"That the owner of Lot 76, D.L. 4, be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by J.E. Hermon and sworn the 25th day of July, 1968."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (8) Lot 176, D.L. 175
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE NO. 158/67

It was recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of the above described property, which is required for park and drainage purposes, for a consideration of \$1.00.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (11) 6238 and 6340 Dufferin Avenue

It was recommended that Council accept the tender of Johnson's Trucking Ltd. in the amount of \$680.00 for the demolition of the buildings situated on the above properties.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Aug/5/1968

(12) Estimates

It was recommended that the Municipal Engineer's Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$30,075.00 be approved.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN MERCIER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(13) Capitol Hill Pumping Station

It was recommended that Council authorize the execution of an agreement with the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority covering the provision of electric energy to the Capitol Hill Pumping Station in the 4900 Block Cambridge Street.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(14) Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, D.L. 127 E₁, Plan 1342
(HASTINGS STREET BETWEEN SPRINGER AVENUE AND HOLDOM AVENUE)

It was recommended that the South 20 feet of the above described properties be expropriated for lane purposes to accommodate electric and telephone services.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(15) Approving Officer

It was recommended that the following employees be appointed to act as Approving Officers during the periods indicated, while Mr. Parr is absent on annual vacation:

Mr. L. A. Armstrong - August 12th to 16th, 1968 inclusive
Mr. R. B. Chilton - August 19th to 23rd, 1968 inclusive

MOVED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED

AGAINST -- ALDERMAN DRUMMOND

(16) Lot 73, D.L. 4
REZONING REFERENCE NO. 16/68

It was recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement, for walkway purposes, through a portion of the above described property.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(17) Rezoning Reference No. 64/68

(a) Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, D.L. 91, Plan 2297

(b) Lots 19 and 20, Block 2, D.L. 91, Plan 534

(6705 and 6745 Canada Way - Located on the Southerly side of Canada Way between Formby Street and Ulster Street)

In the report which the Planning Department submitted on the application to rezone the above described property to Gasoline Service Station District (C6), no reference was made to any prerequisites because the Department did not support the rezoning.

Now that Council has decided to proceed with the rezoning, the Planning Department has re-examined the matter and is now recommending that the following prerequisites be established in connection with the rezoning proposal:

- (a) that the four lots be consolidated into one parcel.
- (b) that the Easterly 7 feet of the properties be dedicated for the widening of Canada Way.
- (c) that the North 17 feet of Lot 19 be dedicated for the widening of Formby Street.
- (d) that the Westerly 20 feet of Lots 19 and 20 be dedicated for lane purposes.
- (e) that a sum be deposited to cover the cost of constructing, to an approved standard, the lane between Formby Street and Ulster Street.
- (f) that the dwelling on the Northern-most lot and the one within the existing service station both be removed within six months of the rezoning being effected.

The letter from Mr. O. H. Porteous, which was received earlier in the evening, was brought forward.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN FLORINER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the subject of the report from the Manager be tabled until the August 19th meeting in order to permit all members of Council an opportunity to thoroughly consider the proposal and to inspect the property in question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(18) Proposed Land Sale - Sapperton and Wilberforce Streets plus Monroe Avenue

The Corporation owns 14 lots on Monroe Avenue between Cariboo Road and Cascade Street. There are also five privately-owned properties on that Avenue.

In examining a proposal to construct that portion of Monroe Avenue to permit the sale of the Corporation-owned land, it has been noted that it would be necessary to construct a sewer along Wilberforce Street from Sapperton Street to Monroe Avenue. In order to obtain maximum use of this sanitary sewer, other Corporation-owned land on Wilberforce Street between Elford Street and Sapperton Street, plus land on the North-West side of Sapperton Street, would be placed in a sale position.

The estimated cost of providing complete services to these areas is:

- (a) Roads and Lanes (pavement 28 feet wide with curbs and gutters) \$ 68,330.00
- (b) Storm and Sanitary Sewers 47,840.00

Aug/5/1968

(c) Water	\$ 14,070.00
(d) Underground Wiring	<u>13,400.00</u>
TOTAL	<u>148,640.00</u>

The foregoing proposal would produce 39 Corporation owned lots which would have an estimated market value of \$300,000.00.

Because some privately-owned land would benefit by the servicing, the owners of those parcels would be expected to contribute toward the costs.

In order that maximum use of the "Servicing Municipal Lands" Fund can be made, it is planned that the proposed development proceed in stages.

It was recommended that the proposal outlined above involving land on Sapperton and Wilberforce Streets plus Monroe Avenue, as shown more particularly on an attached plan, be approved in principle.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (19) Lots 18 and 19, 11½ S.D. 4, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plan 4032
WESTRIDGE SCHOOL/PARK SITE

It was recommended that Council waive the requirement of Section 712(1) of the Municipal Act, as it pertains to a consolidation of the above described properties.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the owner of 11½ of Lot 19, and Lot 18, Block 4 of Lots 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plan 4032 be exempted from the provisions of Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect of a subdivision of the property described as shown on a survey plan prepared by Gordon H. Thomson and sworn the 9th day of April, 1968."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (20) Lot 20, Block 4, D.L. 42, Plan 23102

It was recommended that Council authorize the expropriation of the above described property, which is located on Eagle Creek at the South-East corner of Kerrywood Crescent and Kentwood Street, for park purposes.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

- (21) Reference Rezoning #59/68

- (a) Lot 11, S.D. 1, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L. 207, Plan 4032
 - (b) Parcel "A", Ref. Plan 11756 of Parcel 1 of Lot "A", Plan 4141 and of Lot "D", Plan 5923, Block 1, D.L. 207
- (7021 - 7031 Hastings Street - Located on the North side of Hastings Street from a point 195 feet East of Inlet Drive Eastward a distance of approximately 150 feet)

The Planning Department has submitted a further report in connection with a proposal to rezone the above described property to MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (RM2), as requested by Council.

The following is the substance of the report:

- (a) This proposal is to rezone the two lots described, which are located on either side of a redundant lane allowance. This lane allowance was to be cancelled as part of the overall plan.
- (b) At the Public Hearing, the Standard Oil Company, which owns the land to the West of Lot 11, asked that the rezoning not take place because the Company wishes to consolidate the adjacent Lot 11 with its site.
- (c) The Planning Department has previously recommended, when dealing with rezoning applications in the area, that land be assembled into as large a site as possible.
- (d) If consolidation of the two subject lots and the lane allowance is not undertaken, the Easterly lot (Parcel "A") and the lane allowance would form a site with approximately 99 feet of frontage on Hastings Street and a width at the back of 53 feet. The area of the site would be approximately 11,500 square feet.
- (e) In the zoning category being sought (RM2), the By-law prescribes a minimum frontage of 80 feet and an area of 9,600 square feet for a two storey building.
- (f) A site of the size mentioned (11,500 square feet) would not be of a size which would be consistent with surrounding sites.
- (g) Conversely, if Council insists on the assembly of both lots and the lane allowance prior to the rezoning being effected, the Standard Oil Company could consolidate the Westerly of the two lots with its site in the interim because that lot is presently zoned for Gasoline Service Station use. If, however, Council wishes to preclude the Company from using that lot, it would be necessary to proceed with the rezoning at hand forthwith.
- (h) Both the Service Station site and the apartment site that is proposed are small. The Service Station has just been rebuilt and is operable on the existing lot.
- (i) Although the Planning Department can appreciate the argument of the Oil Company, it tends to favour the rezoning of the subject lots 11 and "A", including the cancellation of the intervening lane.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the report from the Planning Department be referred for consideration at the time the By-law covering the rezoning in question is being dealt with."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(22) North end of Lakedale Avenue (SUCCESSION REFERENCE #292/67 and 267/67)

It was recommended that Council concur with a proposal to:

- (a) close that portion of Lakedale Avenue South from Loughheed Highway shown on an attached sketch,
- (b) create the cul-de-sac shown on the same sketch,

by means of an exchange.

Aug/5/1968

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSDIE:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN DRUMMOND RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

(23) (VERBAL)

Application to Rezone:

- (a) Lot 3, S.D. "D", Block 2/3, D.L. 2, Plan 11564
 - (b) Lot 9, D.L. 2, Plan 26955
- REZONING REFERENCE NO. 53/68

The Municipal Manager presented a further report from the Planning Department in connection with an application to rezone the above described properties to Service Commercial District (C4).

The report of the Planning Department provided the following information:

- (a) the first report on this application, which Council received on June 17, 1968, suggested that a portion of Lot 3 could be rezoned to permit an extension of the service station use on adjacent property; however, rezoning to permit the establishment of a drive-in restaurant on the property West of the service station site could not be supported.
- (b) since that time, the Planning Department has examined the area in detail and undertaken basic work preparatory to road and site layout.
- (c) there are several parties interested in assembling land in the area for apartment purposes and discussions have been held with them as well as with those desiring the drive-in restaurant.
- (d) the conclusion has been reached, following this study and consultation, that there should be no extension of the Commercial zoning on the South side of Loughheed Highway because it is felt there is adequate land available for Commercial purposes North of the Highway and that the area South of the Highway should be used for apartment purposes.
- (e) the Department is not yet in a position to advance a detailed plan and report on the development concept for the area at this time but, because the applicant for the drive-in restaurant is pressing for an early decision on his application, the Department is at this time offering the following recommendations:
 - (i) that Council agree in principle to the rezoning of a small triangular portion of land adjacent to that owned by the Home Oil Company on Loughheed Highway at North Road because of the loss of land the Company suffered as a result of some of its property being taken for the widening of North Road.
 - (ii) that Council agree with the proposal to not extend the existing Commercial zoning on North Road.
 - (iii) that Council instead agree to the use of land in the area for apartment purposes.
 - (iv) that Council reject the application that has been made to rezone the subject properties to permit the development of a drive-in restaurant on them.

Aug/5/1968

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department respecting the rezoning of the small triangular portion of land adjacent to that owned by the Home Oil Company, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERCIER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:

"That the remaining recommendations of the Planning Department be tabled for two weeks."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(24) VERDAL

Application to Rezone Lots 7 to 9 inclusive, Block 7, D.L.'s 116/186,
Plan 1236
REZONING REFERENCE NO. 54/68

The Municipal Manager submitted a further report from the Planning Department in connection with an application to rezone the above described properties to Multiple Family Residential District Three (RM3).

The report of the Planning Department indicated that Council, on June 17, 1968, had referred back to the Department the question of possibly including Lot 10 with the other properties in the development proposal. This consideration has been given and the following conclusions have been reached as a result:

- (a) the inclusion of Lot 10 would produce a site with a total frontage of 200 feet. This is a preferable arrangement because no single lot would be left isolated.
- (b) if Lot 9 was excluded, this property would be left in isolation because Lot 10 is occupied by a fraternal organization and is not the subject of a rezoning application. The development of Lot 9 for apartment purposes would therefore need to await the availability of Lot 10 for the same type of development.
- (c) if Lot 10 itself is excluded, the remaining properties (Lots 7 to 9 inclusive) could be developed for apartment purposes but Lot 10 could never experience such development since it only has a frontage of fifty feet.
- (d) it would appear that the rezoning and consolidation of all four lots, as earlier proposed, is the best solution. If this is not to be the case, it is better that Lot 10 be the one left in isolation because it is on the corner and could possibly be used at some time in the future for parking purposes even though this is not considered an efficient use of the land due to the dimensions of the site.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:

"That the report of the Planning Department be tabled for two weeks."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

ALDERMAN HERD pointed out that the Burnaby Senior Little League Baseball Team had won in Edmonton and had now an opportunity to win the Canadian Championship in Fort William, Ontario. He added that, if the team won there, it would go to Indiana for the North American Continent Championship.

Aug/5/1968

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:

"That a telegram be dispatched to the Burnaby Senior Little League Baseball Team congratulating it on its victory in Edmon on and wishing it good luck in subsequent games that are being played for both the Canadian and North American Championships."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted a report in connection with the apprehension and impoundment of dogs.

The following is the substance of his report:

- (a) there appears to be considerable concern regarding the enforcement of the By-law dealing with the taxing and licencing of dogs and the establishment of a Pound.
- (b) complaints about enforcement generally depend upon whether the complainer has a dog or does not.
- (c) the majority of the complaints come from people who are annoyed by dogs running at large, destroying flower beds, soiling lawns, kicking over garbage cans, biting children, etc. These complainants feel quite strongly that the municipality should rigidly enforce its By-law.
- (d) another type of complaint from those who own dogs which are legally picked up by the Poundkeeper. These complaints range from direct accusation of entering private property to apprehend the animal, the use of inhumane methods to catch the dogs, and "bounty-hunting" by the Poundkeeper and his aides.
- (e) it is extremely unlikely that any system of enforcement will create an ideal situation which would remove complaints because of the diverse points of view of the complainants.
- (f) the last instruction from Council was that its By-law be strictly enforced but this in itself is a real problem in an area of some 44,000 acres unless the municipality is prepared to spend a very large sum of money for the apprehension of dogs running at large. Greater co-operation by the owners of dogs would greatly assist but this is unlikely to happen.
- (g) a policy of strict enforcement within reasonable costs seems to be the best which can be done; however, there are improvements which the municipality can and should make to complement this policy.
- (h) the present pound:
 - (i) is not of a high standard,
 - (ii) is located desirably from the matter of access but very poorly from the point of surrounding land use,
 - (iii) is occupying extremely valuable land.
- (i) over the past several years, a sum has been set aside annually for a new pound. This sum has now accumulated to an amount that is considered sufficient for the construction of a building to the most modern standards of appearance and equipment.
- (j) the location for such a pound becomes a problem, although the Manager favours a location on Sperling Avenue South of the Sewage Pumping Station.

Aug/5/1968

- (k) this proposal is anathema to some because of the eventual use of that area.
- (l) the Planning Department has suggested an alternative site on Horland Avenue immediately South of Darnley Street.
- (m) the major requirements for determining a site are:
 - (i) a location not in conflict with future development plans or proposals.
 - (ii) compatibility with existing development in the area.
 - (iii) a central location which is presently accessible.
 - (iv) availability of sewer and water services.

The Manager concluded by recommending that:

- (1) a site of approximately one acre be selected for the Dog Pound.
- (2) plans be prepared for a suitable building that would be related to the site selected.
- (3) the construction of the building proceed as soon as the plans have been accepted.
- (4) consideration be given by Council to the engaging of staff as poundkeepers and supplying municipal vehicles for them.

This could prove costly, depending upon the degree of service to be provided.

It is estimated that the operation of a Municipal Pound with a staff of three men plus a female office attendant, with three vehicles, would cost approximately \$50,000.00 per year. The estimated revenue from dogs in 1968 is \$35,000.00 including recovered pound fees. The average cost of the present method of operating the Pound is about \$25,000.00 per year.

Costs of operating the Pound by municipal staff would depend also on collection hours and open hours for the pound.

The adoption of a "Leash Law" would be helpful in removing arguments as to whether an animal is or is not under the control of a competent person.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That the report of the Manager dealing with the question of dog apprehension and impoundment be tabled for two weeks."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Aug/5/1968

MOVED BY ALDERMAN DAILY, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the meeting continue past the hour of 10:00 p.m."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN McLEAN AND MERCIER LEFT THE MEETING.

*

BY - LAWS

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION BY-LAW 1963, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW 1968"

(#5385)

"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1968"

(#5386)

"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXCHANGE BY-LAW NO. 3, 1968"

(#5387)

and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the By-laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to
consider and report on the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN McLEAN RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That:

"BURNABY ADVISORY PLANNING COMMISSION BY-LAW 1963, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW 1968"

(#5385)

"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1968"

(#5386)

"BURNABY HIGHWAY EXCHANGE BY-LAW NO. 3, 1968"

(#5387)

be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILY:

"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to
consider and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW NO. 46, 1968."

This by-law provides for the following
reasoning:

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Reference RZ #33/68

(a) FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO PARKING DISTRICT (P8)

(b) FROM SERVICE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C4) TO COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL
DISTRICT (C2)

Aug/5/1968

(a) Lot 8, Block 6, D.L. 29, Plan 3035 (From R5 to P8)

(b) Lots 9, 10 and 11 Ex. E16 $\frac{1}{4}$ ft., Block 6, D.L. 29,
Plan 3035 (From C4 to C2)

(Vacant - Located on the South-West corner of Twelfth Avenue
and Kingsway)

Freeman, Freeman, Silvers and Koffman, Barristers and Solicitors,
submitted a letter requesting an opportunity to speak on this rezoning
proposal.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN LORIMER:

"That the Legal Firm mentioned be allowed to speak on the rezoning
proposal in question."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. D. A. Freeman appeared and stated that the only point of concern
to the intending developer of the property is the requirement of
the Planning Department that off-street parking facilities be provided
at the rear of the site.

Mr. Freeman indicated that his client wished to provide such
facilities in front of the building because it was intended to
build a drive-in Shoe Store..

He added that land at the rear of the building would be landscaped
so as to make it more compatible with adjacent residential development.

The Architect for the developer, Mr. W. Lort, was also present
and, with the leave of Council, displayed plans illustrating the
project desired by the applicant.

It was drawn to the attention of Council, during consideration of
the submission by Messrs. Freeman and Lort, that the rezoning of
Lot 8 to Parking District (P8) would preclude the use of this property
for any purpose other than off-street parking.

ALDERMAN LORIMER LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:

"That Council abandon the proposal to rezone Lot 8, Block 6, D.L.
29, Plan 3035 to Parking District (P8) and not proceed with the
Amendment By-law at hand."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CONSIDER, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DRUMMOND:

"That the rezoning of:

(a) Lot 8, Block 6, D.L. 29, Plan 3035
to Community Commercial District (C2)

and
(b) Lots 9, 10 and 11, except E. 16.5 feet, Block 6,
D.L. 29, Plan 3035
to Community Commercial District (C2)

be approved for further consideration and advanced to a Public Hearing
to be held on Monday, August 19, 1968 in the Burnaby Municipal Hall,
4949 Canada Way, Burnaby 2, B. C. commencing at 6:30 p.m."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Aug/5/1968

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That the Committee do now rise and report Progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the Council do now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on:

- "BURNABY LITTER PROHIBITION BY-LAW 1968" (#5316)
- "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1968" (#5300)
- "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 20, 1968" (#5308)"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ALDERMAN LORIMER RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

Municipal Clerk advised that the Municipal Solicitor had submitted the following comments on the Litter Prohibition By-law:

- (a) the word "free" could cause some confusion and should be deleted from the definition section of the By-law.
- (b) the word "deliberately" could be inserted in front of "deposit" in paragraph (a) of Section 3 of the By-law, and paragraph (b) of the same section could be left.
- (c) the definition of "public place" could be:

"A 'public place' includes every road, square, lane, bridge, highway, park or other places which the public has access to as a right or by invitation, express or implied"

The Clerk also distributed a sheet containing a comparison between the first draft of the By-law and the final one.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN DAILLY:
"That the proposals of the Solicitor, as enumerated above by the Municipal Clerk, be accepted and the By-law amended to reflect these changes."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

Municipal Clerk advised that the Planning Department has reported as follows in connection with "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1968": (RZ #9/68)

- (a) a plan of development for this property has been submitted recently but has not yet been completely checked. It does, however, reflect the concept envisaged for the property. An attempt will be made within the next two weeks to bring the plan into conformity.
- (b) a deposit is forthcoming to satisfy the servicing requirements.
- (c) the matter of a lane exchange is proceeding.
- (d) the consolidation plan will follow the satisfactory completion of the exchange mentioned under (c).

Aug/5/1968

- (e) in order that the applicant for the rezoning is not unduly delayed, it was being recommended that the By-law receive its third readings this evening.

* * *

Municipal Clerk stated that the Planning Department had reported that the satisfaction of all the prerequisites established by Council in connection with the proposal covered by "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 20, 1968" (RZ #16/68) was proceeding and, although the arrangements in this regard are not complete, it would be in order to give the By-law its third reading. The Planning Director added that final reading of the By-law should await the complete satisfaction of the prerequisites.

* * *

During discussion on the proposal covered by "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1968" (RZ #9/68), a question was raised as to whether the applicant was required to pay for the paving of the lane that is to be established in connection with this rezoning proposal.

The Planning Director was instructed to provide Council with an answer to this question.

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN McLEAN:
"That:

"BURNABY LITTER PROHIBITION BY-LAW 1968"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 12, 1968" (RZ #9/68)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 20, 1968" (RZ #16/68)
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

* * *

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That:

"BURNABY FIRE PREVENTION BY-LAW 1968" (#5096)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 40, 1968" (#5373)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 42, 1968" (#5375)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1968" (#5380)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 50, 1968" (#5383)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 51, 1968" (#5384)

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY ALDERMAN HERD, SECONDED BY ALDERMAN CORSBIE:
"That:

"BURNABY FIRE PREVENTION BY-LAW 1968"

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 40, 1968" (RZ #43/68)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 42, 1968" (RZ #47/68)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 47, 1968" (RZ #68/68)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 50, 1968" (RZ #71/68)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 51, 1968" (RZ #74/68)

be now finally adopted, signed by the Mayor and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY