APRIL 24, 1967

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, April 24, 1967, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT:

Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Blair, Corsbie, Dailly, Herd, Hicks and

Lorimer

ABSENT:

Councillors Drummond and McLean

The Minutes of April 3, 1967 were presented for adoption and Councillor Corsbie noted that on Page 5 the mover of a motion by Councillor "McDonald" was shown and it was submitted that this, in all likelihood, should read "McLean". The Municipal Clerk was asked to check the change noted by Councillor Corsbie.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
'That the Minutes of the Council meeting of April 3, 1967, be amended
by altering the Seconder of the motion shown on Page 5 from Councillor
'McDonald' to Councillor McLean and that the Minutes as amended be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the Council resolve into a Committee of the Whole at 7:35 p.m."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TABLED ITEMS:

(a) The Clerk reported that Mr. Gordon Dowding, Solicitor for Nr. Shisken, applicant for RZ #34/67 and #49/66, had indicated a desire to appear before the Council to present further information with regard to this application for rezoning.

Mr. Dowding was not present and it was decided to leave this item over pending the appearance of Mr. Dowding.

(b) Paving Contract - Jack Cewe Limited

It was reported that further information had been presented to the Engineering Department concerning paving costs and the Engineer would be reviewing this information. It was suggested this item should be retabled.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That this item be tabled for a further period of one week,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(c) 1966 Financial Statements

Councillor Corsbie made reference to previous comments concerning the proper action by the Council on receipt and approval of the Financial Statements and pointed to the statutory obligation of the Council to appoint auditors and to receive a report from such auditors. The statutory procedures following the appointment and reporting by the auditors was not clear, however. Councillor Corsbie advised having obtained professional opinion from different sources on the proper procedure and submitted that, in his opinion, the Council should adopt

the report of the Treasurer as contained in the Financial Statements, and should accept the report of the auditors as a satisfactory indication that the accounts of the Municipality had been found in order.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Financial Statements be received and that the report of the
Hunicipal Treasurer contained therein be adopted and further, that the
report of the auditors be accepted as a satisfactory indication that the
accounts of the Hunicipality were in order."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council expressed the special word of commendation to the Municipal Treasurer for his work in preparing the 1966 Annual Reports.

REPORTS:

HIS WORSHIP, THE REEVE, submitted the recommendation that Councillor R. Hicks be appointed Acting Reeve for the months of May and June, 1967.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the recommendation of the Reeve be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ASSISTANT MUNICIPAL MANAGER submitted a report on behalf of the PUBLICITY COMMITTEE as follows:

(1) Parade Float Design

The Committee has planned a competition amongst the art students attending Burnaby schools with a view to developing a design for the 1967 Parade Float. Rules governing the competition were developed by a Committee of Teachers appointed by the District Superintendent of Schools and the competition would close on the 19th of May.

Eleven awards were proposed as follows:

- 5 Five Dollar awards for entries from the Elementary Schools
- 5 Five Dollar awards for entries from the Secondary Schools
- 1 One Hundred Dollar award for the best entry from a Senior High School

It was recommended that the proposed competition be approved.

It was planned that the Float would be ready for July 1st celebrations. A further report would be submitted to the Council after judging of the competition.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Publicity Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

In adopting this resolution, it was stipulated that the winner of the competition should not gain the understanding that the winning concept would necessarily form the complete design of the Parade Float. It may be that the winning concept would be combined with other designs or portions of designs submitted.

(2) Parade Float Chassis

The Committee referred to the cost of production and display of a float each year and of the fact that in 1964 and 1965 the float had been prepared on a four-wheel trailer with a tractor providing the mobile power. This created difficulty in towing the unit long distances. The 1966 float was mounted on a tractor stellined from the parks had partment and this machine had to be removed and raplaced each time the first had used.

The Committee advised that the P.N.E. had a chassis and main frame produced from the chassis of a second-hand Volkswagon truck chassis. Advantages to this type of unit were that the motive power was built in and it could be easily towed from one place to another. The unit would be serviceable for a long time (P.N.E. - 11 years). The estimated cost of producing a similar unit for this Corporation was \$1,500.00 and it was recommended that a float chassis and frame similar to the one owned by the P.N.E. be acquired for an estimated cost of \$1,500.00.

in discussion, the following points were made:

- (i) There would be a maintenance cost to the mobile unit.
- (ii) The former trailer was equipped with undersized wheels which made it somewhat unsuitable.
- (iii) A large trailer with farm type wheels might be more suitable.
 - (iv) Even though the proposed unit contained its own mobile power, it still must be towed.
 - (v) Depreciation of the unit should be taken into consideration.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Publicity Committee be adopted subject to
a review being made by the Municipal Manager in the light of the points
raised during discussion."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) P.N.E. Exhibit

The Committee suggested that the Parks and Recreation Department develop a horticultural display for the Pacific National Exhibition in place of the usual type presented in former years at an estimated cost of \$3,550.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That the proposal that the Parks and Recreation Department develop a horticultural display for the P.N.E. at an estimated cost of \$3,550.00 be approved."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TABLED ITEM:

(a) Rezoning References RZ 34/67 and 49/66 ware returned to the Council table

Mr. Dowding, Solicitor for the applicant, presented a Brief in which the following points were made:

- (i) Out of 26 trailer units and 8 motel cabins (34 current guests and their families), there are 6 children in the local primary schools and 3 high school students.
- (ii) Most of the guests are transients who remain for fairly short periods of time, e.g. farmers from the Prairies or workers temporarily posted to the area. Due to the emergency housing crisis, several families have stayed on longer than usual.
- (iii) There are no cases on Social Assistance living at the Motel or Trailer Court.
- (iv) The Minister of Tourism has indicated recently that unless private enterprise provides thousands of spaces in trailer camps close to Vancouver for tourists and transients, the Provincial Government will be impelled to intervene by public measures to fill the urgent need for such facilities.

- (v) In the past, the Solicitor advised having applied to several local Councils for operators to build tourists' facilities and had found a reluctance on the part of municipal officials to grant such permits.
- (vi) The area concerned is basically a major arterial route about to be widened and repayed. The Motel has been kept in good condition and is no eye-sore from the Grandview Highway.
- (vii) The owner has completed trailer spaces as required by the Municipality in accordance with its permits, and after due inspection for plumbing, wiring and sewering, at a cost of \$7,000.00.
- (viii) The applicant has an investment of \$103,000.00 plus the \$7,000.00 previously mentioned. The present owner owns the property through a bank which was acting as Receiver and Manager, the former owner having got into financial difficulties.
 - (ix) It was understood from municipal officials that when sewers came into the area more trailer spaces would be allowed.
 - (x) The vital matter is some means of carrying on with the spaces already approved and it was urged that the present use be confirmed as now built by rezoning of the property.

The Planning Director submitted a report dated April 24, 1966, in which three separate aspects of the problem were laid out involving building permits, licences and zoning. The Planner submitted comments by the Euilding Inspector on the issuance of permits for sewer and electrical work. The applicant had been duly notified both verbally and in writing by the Chief Building Inspector and the Planning Department that the necessary approval of Council had not been obtained pursuant to the Municipal By-Law to undertake extension of the Trailer Court and also that the service permits he had obtained, sewer and electrical, did not constitute use permits. The applicant was also warned that keeping of more than eight trailer coaches would be deemed an infraction of the Zoning By-Law and that action to enforce the By-Law would be taken if infractions occurred.

Infractions were noted subsequently, and a charge was laid before the Courts and the charge was still before the Magistrate.

On October 31, 1966, twenty trailer coaches or mobile homes were observed parked on the property and six trailer coach services were vacant. The total of twenty-six trailer coach services is eleven more than could be recognized under the Auto Court By-Law had proper authority been obtained for expansion.

The Chief Licence Inspector's report listing the results of several inspections made of the property between the period August 31st, 1964 and September 8th, 1966, was relayed in the report.

The Planning Department referred to reports submitted to Council on three separate applications for rezoning of this property dating back to 1959 when several of the trailer courts applied for rezoning and five of the applications on the Grandview-Douglas Highway were grouped into one report. At that time, the following recommendation was made:

"In conclusion, it is our view that the five existing sites on the Grandview-Douglas Highway should not be zoned for Auto Court use since they are not well located to serve this function, since more effective control can be exercised with respect to the use of existing premises, because there is every prospect that the existing and further accommodation will be used for semi-permanent and low standard housing, and because retention of the sites as they exist will prejudice hormal subdivision of adjacent lands."

The Planning Department submitted a resume of the action of the Department and the Council to date on this property, together with some comment on the observations of Mr. Dowding.

In conclusion, the Planner reiterated that the changing land use patterns have made the present non-conforming use which has never been recognized by zoning, incompatible, and recommended that the present zoning is appropriate and should be maintained.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

CARRIED

IN FAVOUR -- REEVE EMMOTT
COUNCILLORS BLAIR, HERD
AND HICKS

AGAINST -- COUNCILLORS LORIMER, CORSBIE AND DAILLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 24, 1967

Report No. 24, 1967, of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming part of these Minutes, was then dealt with as follows:

(1) Uses in Institutional Districts

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD: "That this item be tabled to the Policy/Planning portion of the Agenda."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) <u>Subdivision of part of Lot 47, D.L. 126, Plan 26898</u> (KRANZ)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Entertainment Accounts

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, the Reeve, submitted to the Council that he would be attending a meeting of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities in Ottawa as a member of the Executive of that organization and referred to previous announcements made of the necessity to attend such meetings. Specific authority was requested from the Council to attend this meeting on Monday, May 1st; at the same time, the Reeve advised he would be travelling to Ottawa in time to attend the opening of Expo' in Montreal on the 27th of April.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That authority be granted to His Worship, the Reeve, to travel to Ottawa
for the purpose of attending the opening of Expo'67 and the meeting of the
Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities to be held on Monday, May 1st."

CARRIED UMANIMOUSLY

- (4) Acquisition of Easement D.L. 23N (PUGH)
- (5) Land Acquisition for Lane Purposes Oakalla Sanitary Sewer Area #21
 (GUY AND FORSETH)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That the recommendations contained in Items (4) and (5) of the Manager's Report be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Lloyd Costley, Director of Adult Education, Burnaby School Board, wrote with reference to the Youth Travel Programme shared by the Federal, Provincial and Local Authorities involving the exchange of some 17,000 Canadian students Purposes of the Programme were outlined and it was submitted that, under the plan, a group of 48 students of Grades 11 and 12 and their four escorts would be visiting Burnaby from Montreal, Quebec, and Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, between July 1st and July 13th next.

Mr. Costley advised of the establishment of a municipal reception committee and asked for a representative from this Corporation to attend the meeting of such committee to be held in the Conference Room, Burnaby School Board offices on Kincaid Street on Thursday, April 27th, at 8:00 p.m.

Councillor Blair was delegated by the Reeve to attend this meeting and to represent this Corporation.

HIS WORSHIP, THE REEVE, reported verbally on the triangle of land formed by Boundary Road, the Grandview-Douglas Highway and Schou Street, which had been the subject of discussion previously, centering on improvements to this land due to its landing presently is private ownership and contains a large advertizing sign, and the original considerations were to purchase the land and improve the same by landscaping.

His Worship, the Reeve, reviewed the prior considerations and advised having been in touch with the Seaboard Advertizing owners of the large signs. The Company had been co-operative and the Reeve presented a rendering of what it was hoped would be achieved, through the co-operation of the Company, in improving the area. It was proposed that the existing sign would be removed and re-arranged and the grounds would be improved. Burnaby would provide the lawns and would ins all a concrete sidewalk around the area.

No action was taken on the proposal by the Council.

A query was raised in Council concerning the dust nuisance on Dawson Street created by large trucks hauling excavation material from the C.N.R. tunnel project.

Complaints had been received from Black Motors and a boat sales firm in the general area who were finding erasing of dust a problem on their sales lots.

This matter was left in the hands of the Municipal Manager.

A further request was made regarding a dust problem on the lane North of Hastings between Warwick and Fell Avenues. A request was made that, in line with the Corporation's progressive lane improvement policy, this lane be considered for early pavement.

A further dust problem was discussed involving a section of Marine Drive between Gilley and Royal Oak Avenue where trucks were hauling fill material to a site at the foot of Royal Oak Avenue.

These two dust problems were left in the hands of the Municipal Engineer to investigate and take remedial action.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY BUDGET AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW 1967"
(By-Law No. 5108) and "BURNABY RATING BY-LAW 1967", and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CORSBIE spoke to the Budget advising that the By-Law would effect 2.7 mill increase of which 2.3 mills was accountable by the increase in school costs. It was suggested that there was need to press for the removal for school costs from levies on land and improvements.

The average home in Burnaby was considered to be valued at \$14,000.00, and this would mean a raise of \$30.00 in taxes during 1967; \$20.00 for school purposes and \$10.00 for general purposes.

It was pointed out that the Council had to pare some of the services in order to keep the Budget to a .4 mill increase over last year. Costs generally are rising and the Council must continue to provide a good standard of services and the only hope rested in the Council's pressing for some relief in the matter of school costs.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the Committee do now rise and report the By-Lawscomplete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That "BURNABY BUDGET AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW 1967" and "BURNABY RATING BY-LAW 1967" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1967"
(By-Law No. 5110) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That "BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1967" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 75, 1966"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 75, 1966" (B/L #5033) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #127/66

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5)
TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Parcel "E", Explanatory Plan 15907, S.D. "A", and "B", Block 12, D.L. 29, Plan 6224

(Located at the North-West corner of Grandview-Douglas Highway and lith Avenue)

Municipal Clerk reported that information had been received from the Planning Department that the stipulations laid down concerning this rezoning had been met and that it was in order to proceed with further readings of the By-Law.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 75, 1966" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY: "That:

"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE TAX BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967. AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1967" (B/L #5107).

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NC. 5, 1967" (B/L #5097), "BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1967" (B/L #5098), and "BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 10, 1967" (B/L #5102)

be now reconsidered."

١

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That:

"BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE TAX BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967. AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1967",

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1967",

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1967", and

"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 10, 1967".

be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER: "That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 21, 1965" (B/L #4817) be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 21, 1965" provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #71/64

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lots 4, 5 and East part of Lot 6, Block 86, D.L. 127, Plan 4953

(Located on the North side of Hastings Street approximately 198 feet East of Springer Avenue while the Lot 6 described is located on the South side of Capitol Drive from Springer Avenue Eastward a distance of approximately 318 feet)

The Planning Director explained the background of this application advising that there was a triangle of land facing on Capitol Drive immediately to the North of the property under application in this Zoning By-Law and the two lots immediately to the West of the property under application.

The Planning Director had recommended that this property to the North should be consolidated with the property under application and with the two adjoining properties in order to create two apartment sites. The owner of the property under application had acquired the full property and was prepared to consolidate the portion with the two lots which he owned and was asking an exhorbitant price for the small sliver to the West making it difficult for the land owners to the West to have their property rezoned because of the stipulation laid down.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE: "That the By-Law be tabled for a period of one month."

MOTION DEFEATED

IN FAVOUR -- COUNCILLORS LORIMER, CORSBIE AND DAILLY

AGAINST -- REEVE EMMOTT. COUNCILLORS HICKS, HERD & BLAIR MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR: "That the By-Law be tabled for a period of two weeks."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECESSED AT 9:15 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.N.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD: "That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council then considered the report of the Planner dated April 21, 1967 on the subject of "Uses in Institutional Districts" referred to in Item (1) of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 24, 1967.

The report was read and duly discussed.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the proposals outlined in the report be approved for further consideration
and referred to a Public Hearing with a view to incorporating the provisions in
the Zoning By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY REFUSE BY-LAW 1967" was then brought forward for subjective consideration by the Council.

Municipal Manager advised that the definitions contained in the By-Law had been the subject of consideration previously and had been approved by the Council.

The Manager further advised that there was an expansion of municipal service in that large commercial type containers would be supplied to people who required the use of such containers.

A question was raised re the use of plastic bags by the public generally and the Manager reported that one firm was beginning to produce such bags as an experiment. However, at the moment, the cost was considered to be too high to be attractive to the general public.

Following the definitions sections, the Council moved to Page 4 of the By-law and the Manager read clause-by-clause Sections 3 to 20. There were no changes in this By-Law over the former By-Law except for the introduction of the provision of municipal receptacles and other general refinements of existing practices.

Section 21 - Reference was made to the period of "every 7 days" in the second line of this Section, and it was felt that this should refer to working days only and, furthermore, the term should be made more specific with the reference "not less than" added so that the Section would then read: "21. The Corporation shall collect once from every dwelling without charge during each period of not less than 7 working days one, two or three household receptacles. The owner or occupier of a dwelling shall pay to the Corporation for every household receptacle over three collected by the remover the sum set out in Schedule "A" hereto."

<u>Section 22</u> - It was decided that the same amendment with regard to the removal of refuse during a period of not less than 7 working days should apply to this Section in the same manner as Section 21.

Section 25 - The actual naming of the disposal area in the By-Law was questioned. It was felt that every time a disposal area was changed an emendment would be needed to the By-Law. Reasons for including the site of the disposal area were that the By-Law was a Regulatory by-Law and this Section gave notice to new

people coming into an area who may receive a copy of the By-Law. It was suggested that the Engineer should be empowered to establish disposal areas and this provision be contained in the By-Law in place of the existing wording of Section 25.

Other comments made concerning the By-Law were as follows:

- The By-Law does not mention the times of operation of the disposal area for those wishing to avail themselves of the dump facilities.
- (2) Reverting to Section 21, the question was raised that winter conditions may sometimes not allow collections to be made within a 7-day or any defined period. It was suggested that the Solicitor be asked to comment on the wording of Section 21 in view of this possibility.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That amendments as made to the text of the By-Law at this meeting be
incorporated in the By-Law and presented to the Council for formal
consideration and passage."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Manager pointed out that the containerization features of the By-Law would mean that an expenditure of up to \$100,000.00 would be made to purchase the receptacles and asked if the Council's action in informally adopting the By-Law should be interpreted that he had received Council's authority to proceed with the preparation of design for the containers. Financing of the expenditure could be undertaken through the rotary account. This is a depreciation type account and means that the cost would be gradually replaced over a period of time.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Manager be asked to bring down a report on costs to the Municipality
of containerization and methods of financing such costs."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

In this connection, the Engineer advised that there were approximately 100 places where containers have already been asked for, pointing to fairly heavy general demand.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS: "That the report of the Committee be adopted."