

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

OCTOBER 2, 1967

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chamber of the Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, October 2, 1967, at 3 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Corsbie,
Dailly, Drummond, Herd (3:15 p.m.),
Hicks, Lorimer (4:40 p.m.), and
McLean

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That the Council resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider those items on the Agenda scheduled for the Policy/Planning Committee."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TAG DAY POLICY

The Clerk read a resumé of letters received from various municipalities of the Lower Mainland which had been canvassed for the purpose of obtaining information regarding their respective policies with regard to the granting of permission for tag days in their municipalities.

The resumé is attached to and forms a part of these Minutes.

COUNCILLOR HERD ARRIVED AT 3:15 P.M.

It was considered that the policies of other Lower Mainland municipalities was not too dissimilar to those enforced within the District of Burnaby and that perhaps there was no need for any change at the present time.

The Manager submitted that the growing number of tag days taking place in the Lower Mainland could very well have an impact on those member organizations within the United Community Services. The U.C.S. was a collection of organizations to raise funds and one of the purposes of the formation of U.C.S. was to do away with numerous tag days and financial campaigns. If one member organization feels that it can do better by going it alone and if, in fact, such an attitude was allowed to grow, the function of the U.C.S. would be seriously undermined from a financial point of view and from the viewpoint of duplication of campaigns.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That no action be taken at this time to change the Tag Day Policy for Burnaby and that the resumé prepared be forwarded to United Community Services with advice that, if their organization wished, representations could be made on an area basis for a more formal policy on the matter of tag days within the Lower Mainland area."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE REEVE WITHDREW AND ACTING REEVE DAILLY ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

SCREENING REQUIREMENTS FOR AUTO WRECKING AND JUNK YARDS

The report of the Planning Director dated September 8th on this subject was read.

The report referred to a decision of the Zoning Board of Appeal to permit screen fencing of a material lighter than masonry fencing along Mandeville Street where a new auto wrecking yard was proposed and, in this instance, an aluminium panel screen fence was allowed.

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

The Planning Department reviewed the background of the current regulation requiring that a masonry wall screen be installed for all auto wrecking and junk yards along the side of the property fronting upon a street. Originally, the by-law contained alternatives whereby closed wood fence, masonry wall or compact evergreen hedge would be included in the types which could be provided. The Planning Committee had decided that the permitting of alternative types of screening for outside storage areas should be provided; however, the requirement for complete masonry screening was retained in the case of auto wrecking and junk yards. Subsequently, the Planning Department had recommended that auto wrecking and junk yards be screened from surrounding properties by a uniformly painted tightboard fence of 8 feet in height where this type of use fronts upon a street. The use of sheet steel, iron or aluminium fencing with metal posts was proposed as a permitted alternative to masonry.

In considering this recommendation, the Council agreed with the use of board fencing to screen auto wrecking or junk yards from adjoining properties but decided to retain the requirement for masonry fencing on any side of a lot which faces or fronts on an adjoining street.

In conclusion, the Planning Director noted the argument that masonry screening presented problems of stability in peat areas and it was suggested that, while this applied to the M3(a) zone in the Dig Bend Area, the peat sections were of the "readily treatable" type and with proper treatment, fences as well as buildings could be successfully constructed and maintained.

The additional cost was not considered so burdensome that it would create a hardship when the low capital costs involved in an auto wrecking or junk yard business was taken into account.

It was recommended by the Planning Director that Section 403.1 (15)(a) of the Zoning By-Law, which requires masonry screen fencing for those portions of an auto wrecking or junk yard which fronts upon or adjoins a public street, be maintained in its present form.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

It was suggested that the reasons advanced by the Zoning Board of Appeal at the time the permit was granted for the Mandeville fence in August of 1967 should be made known to the Council.

A further suggestion was advanced that the Zoning By-Laws should provide for an authority to be vested in an official whose responsibility would be to determine which type of fence should be used depending upon the type of terrain and topography of soil on which the auto wrecking or junk yard would be located.

The Manager read a letter over the signature of the Chairman of the Zoning Board of Appeal in regard to a decision made by the Board re Lots 4/5, Block 14, D.L. 166A, Plan 1751 (Mandeville Street) which referred to an appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeal by E. W. Tyers with regard to permission being sought to erect an 8-foot aluminium panel screen fence along the Mandeville Street property line to screen a proposed auto wrecker's yard on the above property. The Board referred to the appropriate section of the Burnaby Zoning By-Law and the fact that the property was located in a peat soil belt making the construction of a masonry wall difficult and expensive. The Board advised that a relaxation of Section 403.1 (15)(a) to allow the construction of the aluminium panel fence had been approved.

The Board also recommended that the said section of the Burnaby Zoning By-Law be amended to permit the erection of screen fencing of a lighter construction.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the motion of adoption be tabled pending further consideration of the screening requirements and viewing of the fence which had been approved by the Zoning Board of Appeal on Mandeville Street."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

The Planning Director was asked to consider various requirements which might be introduced in connection with the screening of these operations and bring down a report including the methods by which the imposition of the various screening requirements could be enforced.

MUNICIPAL SHARING OF COST OF CURB SIDEWALKS IN SUBDIVISION

The Municipal Manager submitted a report on this subject, a copy of which is attached to and forms a part of these Minutes.

As an adjunct, the Manager submitted that the policy as suggested in the report would generate certain complications:

- (1) Should combined curb and sidewalks be installed in all instances, or should there be separate sidewalks in some instances?
- (2) Should sidewalks always be installed?
- (3) Location of sidewalk crossings created problems. In some subdivisions the Engineering Department does not have the opportunity to determine where a sidewalk crossing should go. There may be instances where portions of sidewalks would have to be ripped out and be replaced by crossings.

A sum of \$25,000.00 would be the probable sum to be included in the 1968 Budget to cover the municipal share of a sidewalk programme under the new policy.

It was submitted that the effect of the construction of a sidewalk on the cost of property would be light, when related to the cost of the raw property at today's market prices.

Other questions to be considered were:

- (1) should the policy apply to the installation of sidewalks in cul-de-sacs?
- (2) should the pattern follow a combined curb and sidewalk in all cases, or a separate sidewalk and curb?

It was pointed out in the case of No. 2 that the separation of a sidewalk from the curb created problems of boulevard maintenance in that the property owner generally feels responsible for the maintenance of his boulevard up to the edge of the sidewalk leaving the portion between the sidewalk and curb in an untidy condition.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That the following be enunciated as a policy with respect to the development of sidewalks within new subdivisions:

- (1) 20% of the cost of a sidewalk to be chargeable to the developer of the subdivision.
- (2) The designation of sidewalk crossings carried out at the time of subdivision and the actual construction of the crossing be undertaken in conjunction with the subdivision development.
- (3) As a general policy, curb sidewalks be installed except within cul-de-sacs.
- (4) This policy to apply to the subdivision application presently before the Manager as well as to future subdivisions in general."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND -- AGAINST

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

UNITED COMMUNITY SERVICES' BRIEF (AUGUST 1967) - SOCIAL ALLOWANCES

The Manager submitted a report on the subject Brief presented by the United Community Services. Reference was also made to a Brief by U.C.S. dated November, 1966, entitled "Adequacy of Social Assistance".

The Manager noted the last paragraph of the U.C.S. letter of August 28, 1967, which accompanied the Brief indicating that, while the latest study was confined to the City of Vancouver, it was believed that the contents would be of interest to Burnaby and support of the recommendations which were of general applicability was requested.

Attention to this was necessary since there were comments within the Brief which applied to the City of Vancouver but not to Burnaby. This, it was considered quite possible because administration and organization were still a matter of local prerogative and there can be major differences in philosophy of Social Allowance between administrations as well as differences in organization.

The following comments dealt specifically with the sections of the U.C.S. Brief:

'Section 1

(a) Shelter (rent):

It was recommended in the 14th July 1967 Special Report that rental allowances should be increased as below:

Group 1	-	to a maximum of	-	\$	45.00
Groups 2 and 3	-	to a maximum of	-		95.00
Group 4 and over	-	to a maximum of			120.00

This recommendation is repeated. Special "Overages" could be approved by either the local administrator or the Provincial authority. The Provincial authority has been found to render an efficient, speedy, and sympathetic service at all times.

It is considered that establishment of the above maximums with machinery for "overages" should adequately meet this need.

(b) Food:

The food allowance as outlined in Table 2 of the U.C.S. November Brief is endorsed. The last adjustment of food allowances took place in 1965.

(c) Clothing:

Your officials consider that since clothing may be granted "as needed", no change is necessary or desirable.

(d) Staff:

There is no question that a point rating weighing of case loads is necessary, to be followed with provision of staff based on this measurement. This is one of the key factors in being able to render a desirable and satisfactory service.

(e) Medical and Drugs:

Unemployed persons are presently eligible for medical care and drugs. It is considered that this should be extended to Employables who have been excluded to date.

...

1967
m.)

- 5 -

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

Section II

There is no quarrel with the desirability of preserving the dignity, et cetera, of those requiring Social Assistance.

A great deal depends upon the particular administration. Burnaby's philosophy has been stated before and is now repeated:

"Burnaby's Social Service Department is a case service department, not merely here to grant monies and allowances, but designed to meet the client's total needs, both physical and emotional.

Thus on first coming to this Agency, there is not a strict "eligibility" study taken to precisely place a person in a certain category, but rather to obtain information to meet the total need, be it financial, counselling or guidance, or a combination of all."

It is not considered that an eligibility study is demeaning, but rather is a means of determining the client's total needs. If used in a professional manner, it becomes a useful tool for this assessment. In Burnaby, a professional Social Worker with a Master's Degree is used for interviewing at the intake level.

Possibly it is not so much the taking of an eligibility study as the manner in which it is taken. The client should understand its purpose and be a willing and sharing element in its successful completion.

It is a fact that the financial status of the applicant is ascertained, but just as importantly, information is obtained as to the individual's (or family's) education, training, health, and any social problems. This information on the "all-over" need is necessary for the making of an early assessment and professional evaluation. Burnaby's intake worker completes the initial report and also recommends the allowance issuance.

An initial home visit is not a prerequisite to the granting of social assistance, but discretion is used in cases where intake considers that a prior visit before issuance is desirable. To the greatest extent, such visits are not so much for investigation purposes as they are for discussing and resolving attendant social problems.

Burnaby considers that all this is necessary and desirable with a philosophy of treating the "whole man" and not just a part of him.

Also, there is no quarrel with the U.C.S's recommendation that a co-ordinated attack by social agencies is desirable, rather than a fragmented approach.

This is being done and has been done in Burnaby for 15 years, whereby the Social Service Department embraces under a single administration all financial, institutional, and child welfare services.

In addition, the Burnaby Social Welfare department includes a Family Division which cares for all Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act cases (mostly desertion) whether in receipt of Social Assistance, or whether there are assets, if help is required for a family problem.

This is considered a good sound structure on which to build. Certainly, fragmentation of services tends to produce bewilderment and dissatisfaction, but this is not considered to be applicable to Burnaby."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That the report of the Manager be received and tabled for a period of two weeks pending a review by the Council of the report in relation to the United Community Services' report on this subject."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1957
(3 p.m.)

TRAILER COURTS IN BURNABY

The Manager submitted a report on this subject, a copy of which is attached to and forms a part of these Minutes.

Councillor Lorimer commented verbally on the trailer court situation in Burnaby and referred historically to the beginnings of trailers by Gypsies who used caravans to move about the country. About fifty years ago, the use of trailers or mobile homes began on the North American continent either for permanent or transient living. The modern mobile home has all conveniences necessary for modern living and such homes are equal to apartments in terms of amenities and comfort. The need for high standard trailer courts was stressed and it was submitted that there was not a trailer court in the Province of British Columbia which was of this standard. It was indicated there were many in the United States which were of a high calibre.

The following additional points were made by Councillor Lorimer:

- (1) Burnaby, at the present time, has no location where tourist trailers can be placed, in spite of the fact that the tourist industry is considered to be the largest industry within the Lower Mainland. Because of this situation, Burnaby is not reaping as much of the "tourist dollar" as it should.
- (2) The use of mobile homes as permanent homes should receive the attention of Council. This is not intended to refer to seasonal workers who move about the Province and look for trailer locations for short periods of time.
- (3) Properly operated trailer courts are considered to be the answer to at least part of the housing shortage. Municipally operated trailer courts which would rent out space for ten to twenty years were envisaged. Such courts would be plied by the Municipality to make sure that slum areas would not develop. The courts would be operated municipally or leased by the Municipality subject to the establishment of strict rules and regulations and rentals would reflect school costs, water and sewer services and other municipal services necessary to the proper functioning of such courts.
- (4) A 36-foot trailer would provide as many requisites as an apartment. Trailer courts are not considered to be poor places for children; however, care should be taken to locate a trailer court close to a school and play areas for children should be provided within the court area.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That Councillor Lorimer be delegated as a Committee of One to work with the Planning Director on a study embracing all the requisites for the establishment of trailer courts within the municipality with a view to a report being presented for Council consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1967
m.)

- 7 -

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1967" (#5209) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 15, 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1967" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 56, 1967" (#5200),
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 57, 1967" (#5201),
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 58, 1967" (#5202),
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 61, 1967" (#5205),
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 63, 1967" (#5207) and
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 64, 1967" (#5208),

and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 56, 1967" (#5200) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #84/67

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR (R4) TO NEIGHBOURHOOD INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

South 1.62 acres of E½ of 12-acre portion, D.L. 355, Plan 944
(4075 Hoscrop Street - Located at the N.W. corner of Carlton Avenue and Hoscrop Street)

OCL/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

Mr. D. L. Carson, Chairman, Building Committee, Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, wrote adding additional comment to that given at the Public Hearing and made particular reference to the watercourse along the North side of the property under application. It was submitted that since this watercourse ran as an open creek through the park land to the South, the enclosure of it would appear to be necessary only so that a lane could be established along the North property line which would not be required by the applicant.

Reference was made to objections to the lane and it was submitted that the Church organization would be willing to dedicate 10 feet of the property to facilitate installation of a future lane. However, it was felt that other properties behind the Church site should be required to donate a like amount.

Mr. Cecil Perkins wrote objecting to:

- (i) the deposit of money to cover the cost of piping the watercourse through or around the property;
- (ii) the dedication and construction of a 20-foot lane along the North boundary of the Church site.

Mr. Perkins submitted a detailed account of certain historical happenings over a considerable period of time relating to the open watercourse which runs through the property.

In Council discussion, it was considered that the request for 36½ feet for the widening of Moscrop Street was unfair, particularly in view of the fact that the Council can only demand on subdivision sufficient land for a 66-foot road allowance. Under these circumstances, it was considered that only 16½ feet should be requested from the applicants on this rezoning.

Reference was also made to the lane allowance on the North side and the fact that 20 feet from the Church property would seriously affect the property when considering the other road allowance demands upon the property. It was considered that the North 10/20 feet of the property only should be demanded on this rezoning. Such dedication would provide for the future provision of a lane from 10 feet at the Westerly end ranging to 20 feet at the Easterly end, with 10 feet being required from the unsubdivided property to the North of the subject property.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the prerequisites necessary to the rezoning of the subject property be as follows:

- (i) Dedication of a 10/20-foot lane allowance from the North side of the property.
- (ii) Dedication of 16½ feet from the South side of the property for the widening of Moscrop Street.
- (iii) Enclosure of the drain traversing the property.
- (iv) Dedication of 33 feet for the widening of Carlton Avenue.
- (v) The deposit of monies to cover the cost of constructing Carlton Avenue to the normal subdivision standard.
- (vi) The removal of all existing structures from the subject property within six months.
- (vii) The Corporation enter into negotiations for the purchase of an additional 20 feet from the South side of the property for the future widening of Moscrop Street."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR LORIMER -- AGAINST

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 57, 1967" (#5201) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #75/67

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

- (a) Lot 6, R.S.D.1, S.D. 11/13, Blocks 1 & 3, D.L. 95N, Plan 1796
- (b) Lot 5, Block 1, D.L. 95, Plan 1796
- (Located on the North-West corner of Dalmoral Street and Walker Avenue)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 58, 1967" (#5202) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #86/67

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

- (a) Lot 3 Expl. Plan 13071, Block 34, D.L. 34, Plan 1355
- (b) Lot 3 except Pcl. 1, Expl. Plan 13071, Block 34, D.L. 34, Plan 1355
- (c) Lot 4S $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 34, D.L. 34, Plan 1355
- (d) Lot 2, except North 60 feet, Block 34, D.L. 34, Plan 1355

(Located on the North-East corner of Grange Street and Barker Avenue)

A letter was received from Block Brothers Realty Limited advising that their firm was agreeable to the prerequisites established for rezoning of Lot 5S $\frac{1}{2}$ in addition to the original Lots 2 to 4 (Lot 5S $\frac{1}{2}$ was considered as an addition by the Council and approval has been granted for submission of Lot 5S $\frac{1}{2}$ for a Public Hearing at a later date).

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 61, 1967" (#5205) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #90/67

FROM GASOLINE SERVICE STATION DISTRICT (C6)
TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT SIX (R6) (ROW HOUSING)

Lots 6 and 7, Ex. Ref. Plan 30432, Block 29, D.L. 117E $\frac{1}{2}$, Plan 1222
(Located on the North corner of Douglas Road and Gilmore Avenue,
Grant Street being on the Northern boundary)

Mr. F. D. Court wrote with reference to the rezoning covered by this By-law advising that, in his view, the property was not suitable for this type of development. The suggestion was made that the Council take in the Block 28 close off Grant Street from Gilmore to Douglas and establish a Row Housing development on the larger site. It was suggested that four units of the property under application would not serve as a good Row Housing test.

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 63, 1967" (#5207) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #92/67(a)

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR OR FIVE (R4 OR R5), OR SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (R2)

The area bounded by that portion of Goodlad Street between the Grandview-Douglas Highway and 4th Street; the Grandview-Douglas Highway West to Stanley Street; Stanley Street from the Grandview-Douglas Highway to 6th Street thence connecting with Nursery Street; along Nursery Street from 6th Street to the right-of-way of the Trans Canada Highway; then following the line of the right-of-way in an Easterly direction to a point in line with 4th Street; thence along 4th Street connecting with Goodlad Street to the

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

South-East; (with the exception of that area contained therein being zoned "Park and Public Use District (P3)").

Some comment was made in Council about the request made at the Public Hearing to extend the boundary of the area under application to the line South of Goodlad Street. A developer in the area was interested in constructing some homes and the extension of the boundary met with favour of the Planning Director.

A letter from Mr. T. W. Mason was received and dealt with by Council.

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 64, 1967" (#5208) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #52/67 (b)

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FOUR OR FIVE (R4 OR R5), OR SMALL HOLDINGS DISTRICT (A2) TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (R2)

The area bounded by Loughheed Highway on the South and Broadway on the North, between a line following the Eagle Creek ravine on the East and a line approximately 940 feet West of Phillips Avenue on the West.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Committee do now rise and report progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That leave be given to introduce:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1967" (#5203) and
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1967" (#5206)

and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1967" (#5203) provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #77/67

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO ADMINISTRATION AND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT (P2)

Lots 32 to 31/ inclusive, Block 6, D.L.'s 151/3, Plan 1763
(South Burnaby Post Office - Located on the South-West corner of Sussex Avenue and Grange Street)

Oct/2/1967
(3 p.m.)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1967" (#5206) provides for the rezoning of:

- (i) existing Church sites to NEIGHBOURHOOD INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1);
 - (ii) Lot "D", Block 14, D.L. 116, Plan 31102 (formerly Lots 19 & 20) (Air Intake Structure for C.N.R. Tunnel) to ADMINISTRATION AND ASSEMBLY DISTRICT (P2);
 - (iii) Lot 49, D.L. 29, Plan 30976 (formerly Lot "E", Ex. Plan 15907) (Rest Home) to COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P5);
- AND Lot 91, D.L. 83, Plan 28684 (private school)
to COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P5); -- RZ #91/67 --

to comply with recent Institutional District text amendments to the Zoning By-Law.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1967" and
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1967" be now read
a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting adjourned at 5:55 p.m.

Confirmed:

REEVE

ACTING REEVE

Certified correct:

CLERK

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

OCTOBER 2, 1967

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, October 2, 1967, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Corsbie,
Dailly, Drummond, Herd,
Hicks, Lorimer and McLean

Reverend James Roberts led in Opening Prayer.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, recognized the presence of the members of the Third Burnaby West Onaway Cub Pack.

For their benefit, and the other members of the public who were present, His Worship explained the function of government at all levels. In doing so, he emphasized the responsibility of the public toward its government, stating in this connection that it is most important that people vote to elect their governments, or to express their opinion on any referenda that may be submitted to them.

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, proclaimed the period between October 8th and October 14, 1967 "FIRE PREVENTION WEEK", as follows:

" C A N A D A

ELIZADETH THE SECOND, by the Grace of God of the
United Kingdom, Canada and Her other Realms
and Territories QUEEN, Head of the Commonwealth,
Defender of the Faith.

ROLAND HICHERER:

TO ALL TO WHOM these Presents shall come or whom the
same may in anywise concern,

A P R O C L A M A T I O N

GREETING:

WHEREAS authoritative statistics compiled and published
by the Dominion Fire Commissioner show that during the past
ten years, eight hundred and twelve thousand fires in Canada
have taken five thousand eight hundred lives, seriously
injured at least nineteen thousand four hundred other persons
and destroyed property valued at one billion four hundred and
seven million dollars:

AND WHEREAS such losses are still increasing year by year;

AND WHEREAS humanitarian and economic considerations
imperatively demand that improved fire prevention measures
be undertaken to prevent this economic waste and tragic loss
of life in order that the nation's prosperity may be maintained;

AND WHEREAS it is desirable that the attention of the public be
directed to the extent and underlying causes of the preventable
loss of life and property by fire and to the best and most
practicable means for its control and that a specific period of the
year be set apart and properly designated for the dissemination of
such information;

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

NOWKNOW YE THAT WE, by and with the advice of Our Privy Council for Canada, have thought fit to designate and do designate the week commencing SUNDAY, THE EIGHTH OF OCTOBER, AND ENDING ON SATURDAY, THE FOURTEENTH OF OCTOBER, in the present year as "FIRE PREVENTION WEEK".

and we do recommend to all Our Loving Subjects that at some time during that week as may be found most practicable:

1. All dwellings, public buildings, stores, warehouses, factories, farms, mines and forests be carefully inspected by their occupants and all conditions likely to cause or promote the spread of fire be removed.
2. All theatres, hotels, hospitals, institutional and assembly buildings be inspected and provision made for all changes necessary to protect the occupants in case of fire.
3. Fire drills be held for the children in all schools, for the inmates of all institutions, and for the employees in all factories, in order that a greater degree of safety be ensured by acquainting the occupants with the best and most expeditious mode of exit in time of danger.
4. Special instruction on the subject of fire prevention be given by the teachers and by municipal officials in the schools and that such appropriate literature as may be available be distributed to the pupils.
5. Federal, Provincial and Municipal authorities endeavour, by means of public meetings, the press, television, radio broadcasts, specially prepared motion pictures or otherwise as may to them seem most fit, to impress upon the citizens the national importance of protecting life, property and natural resources from loss by fire.

OF ALL WHICH Our Loving Subjects and all others whom these Presents may concern are hereby required to take notice and to govern themselves accordingly.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, We have caused these Our Letters to be made Patent and the Great Seal of Canada to be hereunto affixed.

WITNESS: Our Right Trusty and Well-beloved Counsellor,
ROLAND MICHEMER, Chancellor and Principal
Companion of Our Order of Canada, Governor
General and Commander-in-Chief of Canada.

AT OUR GOVERNMENT HOUSE, in Our City of Ottawa, this twenty-first day of July in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-seven and in the sixteenth year of Our Reign.

BY COMMAND,

D. H. V. HENRY
ACTING DEPUTY REGISTRAR GENERAL OF CANADA

"

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE ENHOTT, also declared, as Chairman of the Burnaby Centennial Committee, the Gymnasium of the Burnaby Central High School as the Grand Ballroom for the Diamond Jubilee Ball to be held on Friday, October 13, 1967.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

Mr. H. Mangles submitted a letter:

- (a) expressing appreciation for the action taken by the Municipality to prepare the boulevards in the 4300 Block Charles Street for seeding by the abutting owners;
- (b) offering to serve on a citizen's committee.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the offer of Mr. Mangles concerning a citizen's committee be referred to His Worship, Reeve Emmott, for attention."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Executive Secretary, Burnaby Safety Council, submitted a letter:

- (a) relating the results of the temporary Mobile Motor Vehicle Inspection Station that was located in Burnaby last May;
- (b) requesting that Council make overtures to the Attorney-General for the Province plus the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles with a view to obtaining a permanent compulsory Motor Vehicle Testing Station in the municipality.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That Council endorse the request of the Burnaby Safety Council, as detailed under (b) above."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Communications Officer, Metro 11 Metre Club, wrote advising that the Club is desirous of establishing a 24-hour monitoring station on the top of Burnaby Mountain.

He requested that Council render his organization financial and other assistance to achieve this goal.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the request from the Metro 11 Metre Club be referred to the Parks and Recreation Commission for appropriate action, with it being understood that the Commission will also include in its considerations the question of some of the property under the jurisdiction of Simon Fraser University being utilized for the purpose desired by the Club."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mrs. Joan S. Wainwright and others submitted a petition addressed to the Burnaby Traffic Safety Committee requesting that Newcombe Street be extended through to the Freeway in order that a great volume of the traffic on Cariboo Road can have an alternate facility when travelling to and from the Freeway.

The Secretary of the Traffic Safety Committee advised Council that the request of the petitioners was in his hands and would be dealt with by the Committee at its next meeting.

In view of this remark by the Secretary of the Traffic Safety Committee, the Council indicated that it would await the report of the Committee on the matter of concern to the petitioners before taking action on their request.

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

Vice-President & Refinery Manager, Chevron Canada Limited, wrote requesting permission to construct:

- (a) a gate across Willingdon Avenue at a point 105 feet South of the South-West corner of Lot 1, Block "A", D.L. 183, Plan 4169,
- (b) a fence between the aforementioned South-West corner of Lot 1 along the Easterly boundary of Willingdon Avenue to the gate mentioned,

all as more particularly shown on an attached plan.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That consideration of these requests be deferred until receipt of Item 6 of the Municipal Manager's report later this evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILY:

"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The question of imposing a parking restriction on Beresford Street from Mission Avenue to Gilley Avenue was then lifted from the table.

During consideration of this item, it was particularly noted from the report of the Traffic Safety Committee that impending improvements to both the subject portion of Beresford Street plus the part on Prenter Street mentioned in the report were to be made and that, when this work was completed, it is expected the parking problems outlined in the report, which are alleged to exist, will be resolved.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That the matter of instituting a parking prohibition on Beresford Street from Mission Avenue to Gilley Avenue be deferred until the road improvements mentioned in the report of the Traffic Safety Committee have occurred and there has been an opportunity to examine the traffic situation in the area."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

MUNICIPAL CLERK submitted his Certificates of Sufficiency relating to Local Improvement work on Beresford Street and Prenter Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILY:

"That these certificates be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE ENHOTT, requested that he be given authority to attend a meeting of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities that is being held in St. John's, Newfoundland, this week.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That His Worship, Reeve Emmott, be granted authority to attend the meeting mentioned."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 63, 1967

Report No. 63, 1967 of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming a part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

(1) Lane between Frances and Georgia Streets from Delta to Springer Avenues
(5181 Georgia Street - LETENDRE)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That authority be granted to expropriate a portion of the property known as 5181 Georgia Street for lane purposes, with it being understood that the acquisition of the portions of the remaining nine properties lying between Springer Avenue and the E.P.L. of Lot "D", S.D. 3, Blocks "H", D.L. 12743/4, Plan 12997, for the same purpose will be undertaken prior to the expropriation but that the owners of these nine properties be acquainted with the expropriation situation involving the "Letendre" property."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Supplementary Business Tax Assessment Roll

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That this report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Lot Sizes for Terraced (Row) Housing - Section 106.3 of "Burnaby Zoning
By-Law 1965"

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, suggested that the requirements of the Zoning By-Law pertaining to lot sizes in two-family zones should be reviewed.

He pointed out that sometimes current regulations imposed unrealistic requirements when an application to build on properties zoned for Residential Two-family purposes is made.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That the matter raised by His Worship, Reeve Emmott, be referred to the Policy/Planning Committee for review and both the Planning Director and Chief Building Inspector submit reports to the Committee containing their views on the subject."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Easement - Portion of Block 29, D.L. 98, Plan 573
SUBDIVISION REFERENCE #30/65

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Section 712 (A) of the Land Registry Act - Subdivision Reference #154/67

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the owner be exempt from Section 712 of the Municipal Act, R.S.B.C. 1960, Chapter 255 in respect to the subdivision of D.L. 131, Block 1, S.D. 1, Lot 2, Plan 7011 as shown on plan of survey of Ken K. Wong & Associates sworn the 22nd day of September, 1967."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

(6) Willingdon Avenue (Chevron Canada Limited)

The letter from Chevron Canada Limited, which was received earlier in the evening, was brought forward.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted and the permission thereby granted be subject to Chevron Canada Limited saving the Corporation harmless from any damages or claims that may arise as a result of the installations and their presence."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR LORIMER -- AGAINST

It was drawn to the attention of Council that the permission just granted can only be effected by By-law.

It was understood that such a By-law would be prepared for the consideration of Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That, because the gate and fence in question will be adjacent to a portion of Confederation Park, the Parks and Recreation Commission be made aware of the foregoing action."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Stride Avenue Area Study

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That this report on the captioned matter be referred to the Policy/Planning Committee for consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Local Improvements - Beresford Street and Prenter Street (Weiser Lock Company of Canada Limited)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Estimates

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Allowances

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Street Lights

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

The Municipal Engineer was asked to consider the matter of having a street light installed at Pine Street and Darwin Avenue.

- (12) Monthly Report of Chief Building Inspector
- (13) Monthly Report of Medical Health Officer

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAH:
"That the above two reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (14) Easements - Portion of Lot 1, D.L. 148, Plan 3075

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (15) Manufacture of Matches

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAH:
"That the Provincial Fire Marshal be requested to indicate the feasibility of his Office conducting regular inspections of match manufacturing plants with the view in mind of minimizing as much as possible the incidence of faulty matches being made."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, suggested that, since it is quite unlikely the proposed public housing development for property on Kingsway and 19th Avenue (the Marshall Holdings Limited site) would be approved for that use because the land is privately owned, the following proposal involving the former Municipal Hall site should be earnestly considered:

- (i) In view of the approach which Edmonds Branch #10 of the Senior Citizens' Association of British Columbia and the Old Age Pensioners' Organization made to Council on September 18th concerning their desire for a public meeting hall in the Kingsway-Edmonds area, perhaps the municipality could consider the erection of a high-rise building on the site presently occupied by the R.C.M.P. and Court Building to accommodate a Senior Citizens' housing unit complete with public meeting and recreational facilities.
- (ii) It would be opportune to consider such a proposal in as much as the R.C.M.P. and the Courts will soon be moving to the new Justice Building.
- (iii) Apparently the present Justice Building is not economically conducive to renovation.
- (iv) If the present Justice Building and the dog pound are removed from the site, ample area would be created to accommodate a high-rise structure and off-street parking facilities for both these people and the Library. If the high-rise building is to be used by elderly people, an abundance of parking facilities will not be required as few of them operate motor vehicles.

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

- (v) The site has all the qualities desired by Old Age Pensioners inasmuch as it has excellent public transit facilities, shopping opportunities, and the Library is in close proximity.
- (vi) The New Vista Society, which represents a segment of the elderly people, has some funds available with which it can participate in sharing the cost of constructing a high-rise building.
- (vii) If such a development was undertaken pursuant to the provisions of the National Housing Act where 90% of the cost is borne by Governments other than local, the net cost to the municipality and New Vista Society (and possibly others) should be quite minimal because the Municipality would be contributing the land which, per se, would represent a substantial part of the total value of the developed site. If the Municipality teamed with the New Vista Society, it is possible that the application for development under the National Housing Act would meet with greater favour, because both are non-profit agencies.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That, because it is felt the foregoing proposal deserves exploration, it be referred to the Housing Committee for consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR LORIMER advised that he had been informed that the occupants of some trailers at the Grandview Motel and Trailer Court had been given eviction Notices. He explained that this apparently occurred as a result of the Chief Licence Inspector informing the operator of the Trailer Court that he had to decrease the number of trailers that were being allowed on the premises because of the limitations of the permit which the operator has from the Municipality.

Councillor Lorimer suggested that, in view of the general housing crisis, Council should consider the temporary waiving of this order to the operator of the Trailer Court as a means of easing the housing situation for those who have been given Notices to vacate the property in question.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That the subject matter of the presentation from Councillor Lorimer be tabled for one week and the Municipal Manager submit a report then relating to the situation involving the Grandview Motel and Trailer Court."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, DECLARED A RECESS AT 8:50 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:05 P.M.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That leave be given to introduce "DURHAM POOL ROOMS REGULATION BY-LAW 1967" (#5217) and "DURHAM LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1967" (#5187), and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the by-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the Committee now rise and report the by-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:
"That 'BURNABY POOL ROOMS REGULATION BY-LAW 1967' and
'BURNABY LEASE AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1967' be now read a
Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the Council now resolve into a Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on 'BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1967'
(#5127)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1967" (#5127)
provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #152/66

FROM COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2)
TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (RM2)

Lots 3 and 4 except Plan 15900 AND Lot 5, all of S.D. "A" and "D",
Block 1, D.L. 207, Plan 13300

(Located at the South-East corner of Pandora Street and Inlet Drive)

Councillor Corsbie stated that he had ascertained that R. E. Elliott Construction Limited has the finances necessary to undertake the construction of apartments on the subject property but the Company would need to avail itself of this opportunity within a short time otherwise the funds may be withdrawn.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Oct/2/1967
(7:30 p.m.)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:
"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 33, 1967" (#5127)
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That:

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1967,
REPEAL BY-LAW 1967" (#5212), and

"BURNABY TAX SALE MONIES EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967" (#5213)

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was drawn to the attention of Council that a new Road Acquisition and Dedication By-Law would be prepared to dedicate only that portion of the property referred to in "BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1967, REPEAL BY-LAW 1967" that is intended to be used for road purposes.

Municipal Clerk stated that the Minister of Municipal Affairs had approved "BURNABY TAX SALE MONIES EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967" (#5213) on September 28, 1967.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That:

"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 16, 1967,
REPEAL BY-LAW 1967", and

"BURNABY TAX SALE MONIES EXPENDITURE BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967"

be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole "In Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY