

JANUARY 16, 1967

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, January 16, 1967, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Corsbie,
Dailly, Drummond, Herd, Hicks,
Lorimer and McLean

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Council now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT OF THE CENTENNIAL COMMITTEE RE CENTENNIAL PROJECT

A report of the Centennial Committee dealing with the captioned subject was submitted.

A copy of this report will be found attached to, and will form part of, these Minutes.

The Architect engaged for the Centennial Project, Mr. F. Musson, was present and displayed plans illustrating the development proposals envisaged. He also explained them in general terms and elaborated on some of the facets.

He pointed out, when attention was drawn to a reference on the plan, that the parking lot is not to be paved initially nor is the pedestrian crossing arrangement of Gilpin Street planned to be provided in the first stage.

Mr. Musson added that there is to be an ultimate road system for the area which will be integrated with the Centennial Project site.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Corsbie stated that he had been given to understand that the Centennial Co-ordinator, Mr. G. Patey, was leaving shortly for Japan and would be away approximately three weeks.

He enquired as to whether Mr. Patey would be paid while he was absent and also whether his absence would in any way impair planning for the Centennial celebrations.

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, explained that Mr. Patey had been invited to Japan at the expense of persons involved in the field of Import-exports, which is one of the normal vocations of Mr. Patey. He added that, when this was brought to the attention of the Centennial Committee, it was felt that Mr. Patey could visit Kushiro, Burnaby's Sister City, as a goodwill ambassador.

His Worship also advised that the time spent by Mr. Patey away from his duties as the Centennial Co-ordinator would be added to the term of his contract with the Corporation.

He also indicated that Mr. Patey's absence would in no way adversely affect arrangements in connection with the Centennial celebrations because the Assistant Co-ordinator, Mr. R. Hughes, would be carrying on in the stead of Mr. Patey.

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 3, 1967

Report No. 3, 1967 of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming a part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

- (1) (a) Lot "A" 5 1/2, Block B, D.L. 80S, Plan 4954
- (b) Block 7E 1/2, except Sketch 12133 and except Reference Plan 17074,
 D.L. 80S, Plan 792
 (EGLINTON STREET WALKWAY)

Receipt of this report occasioned a review by Council of the matter of creating the walkway that was the subject of the report.

It was drawn to the attention of Council that perhaps the walkway should not be constructed because of the:

- (a) apparent imminence of subdivision of the land from which the easements would be acquired;
- (b) proposed construction of a concrete sidewalk on Gilpin Street from Royal Oak Avenue Eastward shortly;
- (c) attitude of the owners of the properties from which the easements would be obtained.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That the matter of acquiring easements for the construction of a walkway from the Easterly end of Eglinton Street to Gilpin School be postponed indefinitely because of the three reasons cited above."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Tenders for One 2400-Gallon Flusher Tank and One Sanding Body

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, reminded the Municipal Engineer that he had asked him to consider the cost of attaching an arm to the blade used by graders and other snow removal equipment so that when the machine approached a driveway that had been cleared of snow, the blade scraping the snow off the road and onto the side did not deposit it at the mouth of the driveway.

It was pointed out that many members of the public became quite annoyed, after having cleared their driveways of snow, to have the municipal snow removal equipment block it while clearing the snow from the travelled portion of the roadway.

It was understood that the Municipal Engineer would be submitting an estimate of the cost of providing the attachment mentioned above.

(3) "Burnaby Local Improvement Debenture By-Law 1967 (By-Law No. 5054)

(4) "Burnaby Local Improvement Debenture By-Law No. 2, 1967" (By-Law No. 5057)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendations contained in the above two reports be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Shops Closing Hours - Hairdressers and Barber Shops

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DLAIR:
"That a copy of the report be forwarded to the Barbers' and Hairdressers' Associations for their views on the proposal outlined in the report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Miscellaneous Easements

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(7) Watercourse between McDonald and Carleton Avenues from North of Pine Street to South of Kincaid Street

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Easement Cancellation - Portion of Lot 3, Block 11, D.L. 129, Plan 21782

(9) Easement - Portions of Lots 91 to 99 inclusive, Block 38, D.L. 189, Plan 4953 (ARTHUR)

(10) Easement - Portion of Lots 198 to 205 inclusive, Blocks 147 and 148, D.L. 135, Plan 25679 (YORSTON)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendations of the Manager covering the above three items be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Brighton Avenue from Loughheed Highway to Government Street

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the request to rename the captioned portion of Brighton Avenue to Production Way not be entertained because:

- (a) Though there perhaps may be no objection to naming the existing part of Brighton Avenue North of Loughheed Highway plus the proposed road extending North and East from it "Production Way", this street name should not be extended beyond the limits of the Lake City Industrial Development;
- (b) The street names which have been assigned, plus those which will be designated in the future, to roads in the Lake City Development should be used exclusively in that development to connote the industrial use of the property and the kinship with the various types of development in the Lake City area;
- (c) The extension of these names outside the confines of the Lake City Development might detract from the significance of the names which are being used for streets in the Lake City area."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Jan/16/1967

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, AND COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND jointly advised that there were 290 copies of George Green's "History of Burnaby" which were available for purchase by the Corporation.

It was mentioned that the price would be \$1.25 each plus tax, whereas the normal cost was \$3.00 each.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That authority be granted to purchase the 290 copies of George Green's "History of Durnaby" mentioned by His Worship, Reeve Emmott, and Councillor Drummond at a cost of \$1.25 each plus tax."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, stated that the publisher of the magazine "Profile" had written to offer a quantity of the February issue of the magazine for distribution by the Municipality.

He indicated that the cost of purchasing 1,000 copies of this magazine would be \$260.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That authority be granted to purchase 1,000 copies of the February issue of "Profile" at a cost of \$260.00."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

HIS WORSHIP, REEVE EMMOTT, introduced the matter of the Corporation reserving a coach in the special train in Montreal at Expo'67 for the purpose of the Burnaby delegates to the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities' Convention hosting foreign business men.

It was understood by Council that His Worship would return this matter at the next meeting of Council for further consideration.

COUNCILLOR CORSBIE enquired as to what progress had been made in respect of a request that the Municipality provide a suitably inscribed ceremonial mace for use in the Simon Fraser University Model Parliament.

His Worship, Reeve Emmott, stated that the University was to offer suggestions as to the form the mace should take because they would be the most knowledgeable about the composition of a mace for their purposes.

It was understood that His Worship would pursue the matter of the Corporation providing a mace for the Simon Fraser University Model Parliament further with the students.

COUNCILLOR DAILLY drew attention to a number of complaints which he had received concerning truck traffic on Dominion Street.

He indicated that he was aware of the fact the Municipality was in the process of preparing a truck route by-law and that this should ultimately resolve the problem confronting those on Dominion Street and others in the same area.

Councillor Dailly enquired as to whether anything could be done in the meantime to alleviate the situation.

The Municipal Engineer advised that Gill Interprovincial Lines Limited had been approached regarding their trucks using the streets and the Company had been very understanding and co-operative in attempting to ease the problem. He added that there are, however, other trucks that use the streets over which Gill Interprovincial Lines has no control.

The Municipal Engineer also mentioned that municipal vehicles use Laurel Street frequently.

It was suggested that, if truck traffic was to be prohibited from using the streets alluded to above, the Municipality should advise those who are sent copies of the Truck Route By-Law that the signs connoting this prohibition are to be removed after the By-Law is made effective even though the restriction may still be in force.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That "No Trucks" signs be posted on all East-West streets lying between the Freeway and Grandview-Douglas Highway - Sprott Street from Westminster Avenue to Douglas Road."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DEBENTURE BY-LAW 1967" (By-Law No. 5054),
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DEBENTURE BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967" (By-Law No. 5057),
"BURNADY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967" (By-Law No. 5055) and
"BURNADY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967" (By-Law No. 5056), and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DEBENTURE BY-LAW 1967",
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DEBENTURE BY-LAW NO. 2, 1967",
"BURNADY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967", and
"BURNADY HIGHWAY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 1, 1967" be now read a Third Time."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That "DURNADY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW 1967" (By-Law No. 5053) and
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1966" (By-Law No. 4997)
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1966" (By-Law No. 4997)
provides for the following rezoning:

^{RZ}
Reference #1/66 and #60/66

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lots 1 and 2, R.S.D. 1, S.D. 11/13, Blocks 1 and 3, D.L. 95N,
Plan 1796

(Located within that area bounded by Elwell Street, Walker
Avenue, the lane South of Elwell Street and Hall Avenue)

Municipal Clerk stated that the prerequisites which had been established in
connection with this rezoning proposal had been satisfied.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That "DURNADY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW 1967" (By-Law No. 5053) and
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 59, 1966" (By-Law No. 4997)
be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal
affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and
report on "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 80, 1966" (D/L#5038)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 80, 1966" (D/L #5038)
provides for the following rezoning:

Reference RZ #112/66

FROM COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Lot 1 Explanatory Plan 10989, Except Pl. 15900 and Reference
Plan 11756, R.S.D. "A", and "D", S.D. 1, Blocks 1 and 2,
D.L. 207, Plans 4141 and 5923

(Located within the tract of land bounded by Barnet Road,
Inlet Drive and the lane South of Pandora Street)

Municipal Clerk provided a resume of that which had transpired in connection
with this rezoning, which was as follows:

October 24, 1966

Council approved for further consideration the rezoning
of the property to RM3 and stipulated that, as prerequisites
to the rezoning:

- (a) a plan of development suitable to the Municipality
be submitted;
- (b) an undertaking be given that all existing buildings
on the property will be removed within 6 months of
the rezoning being effected.

November 8, 1966 The Public Hearing on the rezoning proposal was held. Representations were received at the Hearing, two of them from Ratepayers' Associations. 16 expressed opposition to the rezoning, and 6 spoke in support. However, some of those who were opposed indicated an objection to the rezoning of the property for "high-rise" apartment purposes.

November 14, 1966 The Amendment Dy-Law covering the rezoning was introduced by Council. It received two Readings. The Council also added another prerequisite, it being:

"That the plan of development for the property which is submitted to, and accepted by, Council be the same as that which is presented subsequently to the Building Department and, if it is not, then the issuance of a building permit for the construction of the apartment development be withheld."

December 19, 1966 The Planning Director submitted a report indicating that a plan of development had been submitted to him but it could not be supported. (NOTE: For his reasons, see the attachment to Item 3 of the Municipal Manager's Report #74, 1966). The Planner sought direction from Council as to the density of development which Council would likely support.

A motion that Council re-affirm its support of RM3 rezoning and ask the applicant to present a more suitable plan of development, was LOST. A further motion to approve for further consideration the rezoning of the property to RM2, was also LOST. The Solicitor was, by resolution, requested to offer his opinion on the question of whether or not it was legally possible, after Council held a Public Hearing on a proposal to rezone property to one Multiple Family category, to consider rezoning the property to a lower density Multiple Family category without holding another Public Hearing.

January 9, 1967 The Manager submitted the Solicitor's report on this question in which it was indicated that Council could rezone property to RM2 even though the Public Hearing was on the basis of an RM3 rezoning, provided representations of that nature were made at the Public Hearing.

January 16, 1967 The Dy-Law is on the Agenda for consideration and Third Reading.

COUNCILLOR DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

It was mentioned that the applicant, Mr. J. R. Logan, was present and perhaps would appreciate being given the opportunity to address Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That Mr. Logan be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Logan stated that he was desirous of producing a design plan of the proposed development which would be acceptable to the Municipality. He mentioned that, as a result of Council receiving a report from the Planning Director on December 19, 1966, in which he sought direction as to the density of development which Council would likely support for the property, he felt perplexed because an appointment had been arranged some time before that for him to meet with the Planning Director on December 20, 1966 in regard to the proposed plan of development.

Mr. Logan emphasized that he was confronted with a mortgage on the property expiring and was naturally anxious to have the rezoning issue resolved as

soon as possible in order that he could determine the best course of action in regard to the mortgage.

He pointed out that the scheme proposed for the property involved an expenditure of \$1,000,000.00 and that, given an opportunity, an RM2 density scheme could probably be prepared as a development plan for the property.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That Council reaffirm the decision made by it on November 14, 1966 concerning the addition of the following prerequisite:

"That the plan of development for the property which is submitted to, and accepted by, Council be the same as that which is presented subsequently to the Building Department and, if it is not, then the issuance of a building permit for the construction of the apartment development be withheld."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the Committee now rise and report progress.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSBIE:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR HERD LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR LORIMER:

"That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole "in Camera"."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY