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Gontlemen:

## REPORT OF RAE TEMARIC SAFETY COLITMTEE

Your comajttee voulc report as foilows:
(1) Dousas-3ilmore-Gravelay Intersaction

In the early part of this year, ve subuitted a renort on the question of repainting the schocl crosshali: on Douglas focis at GiImore Avenue.

To indicated then that the Principal of hitchener Scheol was contacted to determine whether he would provide a school petrol at this Iocation. Fis reply was that he was reluctant to estaicish the patrol but thei some type of varining device, in the form of flashing lights, should be installed there.

Fe recomanded that:
(a) the crosswalk not be renainted bacause warrants for ane did not exist and the schocl involved rould not; provide a patrol.
(b) no other traffic control devices be provided at Douilas Road and Gilmore Avenue due to the Iack of varrants.

The Principal of the school (Kitchener school) recentiy wrote to jour comittee reactivating his request for a traffic licht at the intersection in question.

Invesidication to establish whether warrants now exist for additional vehicular and pedestrian control devices at the intersection incluried consideration of the questions of instituting:
(a) a five-roy stop.
(b) a podestrian-actuated trarric sicnal.
(c) pétrollec school crosswalic.
respect to the first matter, three of the five legs of this intersection presently have stop signs. Those that do not are the t:\% less of Dougles Rosd.

In order to deteraine whether stop signs on all legs of the
intersestion could be justified, wexemined the factors which aro al:rajs considerea in a matter of this dind. Thess are:

## the ecciciont siturtion

is orily one minow accident has been reeorded at this interisectior since Doufias Road was inprowel upproximately ono year $a_{G} 0$, this does not constitute a werrant for stop sieins.
(ii) undue di=laj to veticules

Fielc checl:s failed to incicate the existence of any problea involrine traific on anj of the legs of the interseztion.

Regardins a padestrian-actuated twafic signal, it is necessarg that the followirs voluaes of both pedestrian and vericular trainic be in existence to constitute a rarrant for such a device:
"During each of eny eight hours of an averase day, the volume of vehicles on the major street shall be at least 500 per hour, while during the same eight hours, the pedestrian volume should be at least 150 per inour".

During the time : H en velincle volumes were recorcied, the highest volume for one hour on an averaja veek day was 362 vehicles. The eighth highest hourly volu:e :mas 210 venicles. 3edestrians crossin§ numbe:ed under 30 per hour.

In view of this, warrants for a pedestrian-actuated traffic signal are not met.

To ascartain whether a warrant existed for a patrolled school crossualls at the intersection, crossing movenents by pupils were observed to determinc if anj were experiencing difficulty, This revealed that at no time was it felt these children rere in any Ereat danger if they walked across Dounlas Ronc jo Graveloy Strest and then across this Street. The crossine distance from the Northrest corner of Douglas Road and Gilmore fver.ue to the same corner o: Douglas Rcad and Graveley Stjeet is about 60 feet. The distence from the liorth side of Dcuglas Roas lirectiy to the Southrest corner of Greveley Street and Gilnore ivenue is about 135 feet.

The morning, noon and afternoon crossine times were checked with radar in an effort to establish the degree oin gaps availatie in the stream of traficic on Douglas Road. Phis indicated that there is no problem in crossing the street.

It uas noted, hoteven, that some of the children molled throuzh the middle of the intersection (this is the 135-foot distance meationed sarlier). mhis is, or course, hazardous at any intersection but, at the subject one, it is even more dangerous because of the nature of it. The children should, ithen travelline from school, cross Dousizs Road at the Test sice of the intersestion to Graveiey Street, and then across this Street, and Follo:i the reverse patiern when jcirj to school.

We reached the conclusion that varrants were not met for schocl crosswailes at tine intersection.

To did observehowevor, that there were no siens on either ieg of bouslas Road indicating the proxinity of a schocl site.
in ordor thet moterists may be amare of the schocl. in tite area, urrangements \#ore made to post the standard advance school waminat sf.jns on iougias Road, one just East of Gilmore ivenue and the cther Zest of lijtchener Streot.

- In conclusion, we would recomend that, otiner then these two sigrs, no other action be taken with ragari to the provision of traffic control devices at the suisiect intersection.


## (2) 1600 Block Cliff Avenue

\#e received a recquest ior "Siov - Children crossing" signs on Clifí frenue at both apprcaches to the wonderland co-operative Iinderjarten there.

It is the policy of the corporation to not provide signing of any sort for kinderjerten areas becsuse:
(a) chilciren of pre-school age should be brought to the kindergarten by some competent elder who should instruct them in the proper method of walking alone and crossinf streets. This is one of the facets of the pinilosophy relating to the use of kindergartens; namely, that of preparing children for the responsibilities wich they will need to assume later then traveljing on public thoroughtares used by vehicular traffic.
(b) as a ruls, :indorgartens have an attendance of between 30 and 30 children, many of whom arrive and depart by automobile. Kinderejartens jenerally operaنe betmeen 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 noen, and the children spend practically all of these invor nours indoors. it the most, chjildren frone the cineercarten wouid not be using the streets for more than 30 mirutes per day.
(c) if it was felt that that litile use of the streets warrented signing, then there should be equal justificetion for erecting similar signing on most residential streets there many children frequently play unattended within the road allowance for up to eight and ten hours a ciay.

He rould recomend that, in yiew of the foresoing, no action be teken on the request for the signing desired.

## (3) Irperial Streat and Cilley Avanue

Over the past fer years, the committee and Council have received complaints regerding a hazard at the liontheast corner of the captioned intorsection caised, in part, by the fect that Soutibound treffic on Gilley ivenue approschins Imperial Street has difficuily discerning :Vstbound trarfic on Imperini streat tecause oí a fopressicn in filloy ivenue at the approach to Jmerial street.

The Council hsis nover rojarded the proilem as beine sueln to tarmani rミmedisl action.
i suģestion was recently received that in "Slow" sign, ou some similar raming device, be installad on Ir:perial Stroet Rast of Gilley dyenuo so that the listbound movencmit on tho Strabtwill. be alorted to the ract that there is a possibility of Southomal vehicular traffic on Gilley Avonuo ontering tie interscetion aitor having first stopped.

Investigetion disclossid thet, hecause there is a jog in the alizment, oí Inperial Sirast at Gilley $\therefore$ vonu*, there is a viau problem for Scuthcounc filleg ivenue motorists attemptins to oostroe Hestbound Iriperisl sireet traffic. It :as siso noted that the sight distance fror the other approsches varied fron fair to very gook.

In exaninine tho accident history at this intersection, it was found that five rijht-angled collisions, one head-on collision and five rear-end collisions have occurred since 1961. In none of these cases did the accident involve a vehicle that was Southbound on Gilley ivenue approaching inperial Street.

It appears that, though there is somerinat of a vie: preblem at the Northeas: quacrant of the intersection, motorists are avare of that and observe extreme caution then approaching the intorsection on the two luss that form pari of this quedrant.

In yiev of the foregoing, we would recomend against the installation of the requested device or the institution of any similar measdres.

## (4) Cameron Street

A request vas received that parking be prohibited on the llortin side of that portion of Cameron Street used by students attending comeron. School.

Investization reveaied that the portion of Sameron Strect betmeen Hoel Drive and Erisison Drive did present a potential haza=d to childien che to the parisins denand on both sides.
is a result, a "loo Parling between 3:00 2.m. and 4:00 p.m. lioniay Friday" pronibition was instituted on Octojer and alons the. Nortin. side of Cameron Street from iool Drive to Jrickson Drive.

This prohibition is to remain in effect until sidevalks are built on Cameron Street.

The Principal of the Iyndhursi-Cameron School was also contacted and asked to instruct the children to walk on the side of the road facine traffic and to walk no more than t:\% abreast.

The portion of Cameron Street betmeen Elicisson Drive and licrth Road تes also examined cut, as no parione was occurring there and only a small number of children use that section of tize Straet, no sotion was deemed nocessery.

We would recommend that council ratify the action taleen to institute the partirg pronibition outined above.

$\therefore$ roguest : $\because$ as recejvod for a i'orihinound bus stop on Bourdary Rond apposite the far sicie of 45 th i.vimue.

Tt was mention=d tic us that yedestuians (nostly children usinc the facilitiss in Central Peris: cioss Ecurdery Road at /5th fiverue to boarc the iorthbound Srith ivonue bus ait a stop mhich is on .45 th ivenue nearsida Boundsry Rad.

It vas also mentioned that, with the imminert construction of tho Junior intotear Sports Stadium in Central Paris, thers is liicly to te more bus patrons.
docording to the Parins Devaitment, most of the children usinf the bus are these genomatad by the existence of the swinming pool. in Central Parik, which is used only durine the summer montins.

In addition, the Parics Daparticent is not aware at this timo winere a trail or driveray aill be constructed to serve the stadi:m. It added that thero is a possibility a bus stop on Soundary Road rarside 45 th ivende micht interfere with rutiare plans and nacessitete the relocetion or the stop.

The opinton of tie B. C. Hydro and Power Authority was also soufht and, in that regard, we were advised that a stop on Boundary Foad rarside 45 th isonue would be too close to the existing one on 45 th livenue nearside Boundary Road.

In view of the foresoins, re rould rocommend that the request for a Northbound bus stop on Eoundary Roed farside 45 th livenue be deferred unitil olans for the Sports Stadium edvance to the point bhere tho pattern for pedestrian traific in the araa are lemom.
(6) Eus Stop - Cariboo road and Government Street
i.s a result of a request to relocate the Southbound bus stop on Cariboo noad farside Government Street to a position approximately 120 feet Iurther South, an investigation disclosed that the existing stop was in such a position that other vohicular traffic, somotimes ie to wait behind the bus back to the traciss of the creat irorthern Reilvay.

Ir view of this situation, the bus stop was relocated to the position requested.

We woula recommend that Council ratify this action.
(7) Bus Stop - Lougheed Fifherar and liadison ivenue

A raquest :as received for two fapside, bus stops on Longheed fighuay at Iradison ivenue. (ivin cind di:2sis)。

These locstions were checied and found to be suitable for bus stops. It was Else noted that no imrove:ients to landinfs for the stops mould be required.
th would therefore recomrend that Council approve the establish:ient ce the two zus stops.

If Council egrees, it will be necassary to mate enplication to tho Departiont of iifjnways for perwission to instil. these stops.

## (2) Batig ijoreot at vin sus:u

$\therefore$ requast was recaivad for stup signs at tins intaraction.
Investigation disclosed that trafife rolumes aro light althsugh the voluess on 6 th strost are hearier thar nomal durine the morning peri: lours because trofice that woule rormaliy foilow the Samonds-srandirier route chooses the Gth-goodied route. notifitistandira, these rush-hom volumes do not approach the variant for traific control devices at the intorsection.

A checir of the accident situation over the past $6 \frac{1}{2}$ years indicotes only iwo mizior ones have occirred.

In view of all this, fe wonid recomionci against the installation of any form of traffic control cievice at the subject intersection.

## (9) Bus Stop - Goveramisi Street and Jonsen Place

A request was reevived for i:o farside bus stops at Jensen place and Government Street (ons in each dirocticu).

The provision of bus stops there woild necessitate the construction of bus pull-off areas whicla, in turn, would require piping of the ditch on the South side on Gcverument Street and the levelinine of the road shoulder on the Irorth si.de.

In any event, :e feel there is justification for the bus stops and would therefise recommend the installation of then.

## (10) 4700 Block Hastings Street

A request was received for a one-hour parling limit on the North side of the 4700 Block jastings stroet.

The parking turnover and occupancy situation in this bloc:- wes investigated and it revealed that thero was always ariple jarkinj spaces.

The owners of two homes in the area were also contactea and both indicated they would not favour a parking restriction.

In vie: of the lack of a parking congestion problem, \%e would reconmend no action on the request for a one-hour parling limit on the North side of the 4700 Block Hastings Street.

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor J. Dailly, chairman.

Ent: m:b

