14 October, 1966.

.

÷

REPORT NO. 57, 1966

His Worship, the Reeve, and Members of the Council.

Gentlemen:

Your Manager reports as follows:

1. Re: Complaint from Mr. J.W. Kristmanson

Council is in receipt of a letter from Mr. J.W. Kristmanson, 3758 Cambridge Street, complaining in connection with the impounding of his German Shepherd dog.

The statement in the letter that "your pound keeper picked up my German Shepherd off his own front yard" is denied.

The dog has been impounded four times this year being picked up:

- a) In the 500 Block Boundary Road in March at which time it was unlicenced.
- b) In the 3800 Block Eton Street in April.
- c) In the 3700 Block Cambridge Street in July.
- d) In the middle of the road 3700 Block Cambridge Street in September.

In each incident the dog was on public property and was not under the control of a responsible and competent person as required by the by-law.

Many complaints have been received from citizens in this area regarding dogs running at large, particularly complaints concerning German Shepherd dogs.

2. Re: Complaint of Mrs. G.L. Mahhe

Council is in receipt of a letter from Mrs. G.L. Mahhe, 4976 Smith Ave. complaining that the new sidewalk being constructed in front of her property will be $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet higher than the level of the property.

The sidewalk when completed will be approximately $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet higher than the level of the property and steps will be constructed from the sidewalk to the property.

The situation complained of was carefully assessed following original complaints received in the Engineering Department from Mrs. Mahhe. It is considered that the sidewalk elevation will not injuriously affect the property.

The reason for the elevation of the sidewalk is to effect a major economy in the construction of this project making use of existing road base to the maximum extent possible and further to avoid additional cost in lowering the underground services.

The situation, when construction is completed, will be similar to many other situations where abutting properties are either higher or lower than the sidewalks.

.... Cont. Page 2.

Page 2. REPORT NO. 57, 1966. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 14 October, 1966.

3. Re: Civic Union - Grievance Procedure

Council is aware that the Minister of Labour issued an order dated August 18th, 1966 which provided that an employee, covered by the agreement with the Burnaby Firefighters Union Local 323, who was suspended and/or dismissed from the service had the right to grievance and arbitration procedures.

On October 11th, 1966 Council agreed that employees should have these rights.

It is therefore recommended that the same procedure be extended to employees covered by agreements with The Burnaby Civic Employees Local Union $\frac{1}{2}$ 23 for the Inside Worker's, Outside Worker's and Foremen's Divisions.

4. Re: Estimates

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Engineer's report covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$21,743.00.

It is recommended that the estimates be approved as submitted.

5. Re: Expenditures

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Treasurer's report covering Expenditures for the 4-Week Period Ended 2 October 1966 in the total amount of \$2,181,734.00.

It is recommended that the expenditures be approved as submitted.

6. Re: Allowances

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Treasurer's report covering applications received under Section 411 of the Municipal Act in the total amount of \$223.44.

It is recommended that the allowances as applied for be granted.

7. Re: Street Lights

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Engineer's report covering suggested street light installations.

It is recommended that the installations be approved.

- 8. Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department for the period September 12th to October 7th, 1966.
- Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department for the month of September 1966.
- Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Officer in Charge, Burnaby Detachment, R.C.M.P., covering the policing of the Municipality for the month of September, 1966.

Respectively submitted. 1 manuer

E. A. Fountain ASSISTANT MUNICIPAL MANAGER

. 7

Page 1 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 57, 1966. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 17 October, 1966.

11. Re: Proposed Road - North of Kingsway, between Sussex and Nelson Avenues

Item "1 of the Manager's Report No. 56, 1966 submitted to Council on October llth provided information relative to the above mentioned proposed road.

Council requested copies of sketches outlining the various proposals which are submitted herewith.

12. Re: Oakalla Sanitary Sewer Area #21

The Corporation requires the east 10 feet of Lot 2, Block 2, D.L. 94, Group 1, Plan 1117 for a lane in connection with the above mentioned project. The property is owned by Valentine Earnest Wilson Forshaw and Jeanne Helen Marie Forshaw and is located at 6230 Pearl Avenue.

The consideration is \$50.00 which includes compensation for replacement of a fence.

It is recommended that the east 10 feet of the Lot be acquired, the total consideration to be \$50.00.

13. Re: Burnaby Zoning By-law 1965

Submitted herewith is a report from the Planning Director outlining certain amendments to the above mentioned by-law.

Submitted for the consideration of Council.

14. Re: Sanitary Sever Utility

On October 11th the Municipal Manager recommended that a sanitary sever lateral be constructed on Springer Ave. from the existing main at Halifax and Springer a distance of approximately 569 feet at an estimated cost of \$7,000.00 to provide a sanitary sever service to a sub-division of Lot 14, N 91. 2 feet of Lot 14, Lots 15 N $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ D.L. 126 - Reference 146/66. The subdivision will create 14 lots. The report item also advised that the lateral would also serve two other properties (approximately 4 acres).

Council wished to be advised whether or not some of the \$7,000.00 cost could be apportioned against the two other properties.

The suggestion is not legally possible.

Therefore the lateral should be provided by the sever utility or the amount of \$7,000.00 should be part of the subdivision servicing costs.

Council also questioned the width of Springer Ave. whether widening was being required of this subdivision for the eventual widening of the Avenue.

On September 26th, 1966 Council confirmed a proposal to provide for the diversion of Springer Ave. through this area. Therefore no road widening is required from the subdivision.

Respectfully submitted, Funtur

E. A. Fountain, ASSISTANT MUNICIPAL MANAGER

EAF: at