

NOVEMBER 14, 1966

A regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby 2, B.C., on Monday, November 14, 1966, at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Acting Reeve Drummond in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Cafferky, Corsbie,
Dailly, Herd, Hicks and McLean

ABSENT: Reeve Emmott

Reverend R. de Coccola led in Opening Prayer.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Minutes of the meeting held October 31, 1966, be adopted as written and confirmed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following wrote requesting an audience with Council:

- (1) Mr. Fraser Wilson re apartments
- (2) Mr. F. J. Vulliamy, M.L.A. for Burnaby-Willington re apartments
- (3) Mrs. A. Boal re sewers in the "Hunter Street" area

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the above delegations be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (1) Mr. Wilson first appeared and presented a Brief describing the plight of those residing in an apartment block known as "Sussex Villa" and suggesting the means by which Council could assist in eradicating this general problem confronting apartment dwellers.

Mr. Wilson also submitted a petition signed by 33 residents of "Sussex Villa" supporting his contention.

In addition, he presented a submission reciting a number of proposals which he felt could form the basis for legislation which would remedy the problem outlined in his Brief.

In response to a question, Mr. Wilson stated that he did not favour rent controls because this leads to price controls and then wage "freezes".

When asked what Council could do in connection with the subject matter of his Brief, Mr. Wilson replied that Council could endorse the proposals in his submission and forward a resolution to the U.D.C.M. giving effect to that action, and could also take the position of aiding the three Members of the Legislative Assembly for Burnaby obtain the legislation desired by the contention in the Brief.

A statement was made in Council that the implementation of a low-cost housing scheme by the Municipality might ease the problems described in the submission of Mr. Wilson because this type of accommodation would be designed principally for the benefit of those not able to afford much for rent.

Nov/14/1966

- (2) Mr. F. J. Vulliamy then spoke and indicated that his letter adequately explained his position with respect to the question of increases in rent charged by an owner of an apartment building at 6069-6171 Willingdon Avenue.

Mr. Vulliamy also remarked that he realized the problem described by Mr. Wilson concerning rent increases was due basically to a shortage of accommodation. He added that, when this situation occurs, landlords are apt to increase rents because of the demand for housing accommodation. He remarked that this situation mostly affects the low-income group, particularly those with families.

Mr. Vulliamy concluded by expressing the hope that Council would institute some form of public housing in the Municipality.

The Chairman of the Special Committee established to consider the question of low-cost housing in the Municipality, Councillor Dailly, stated that he hoped to have a report to Council within two weeks' time on the matter capturing the attention of the Committee. He also commented that he felt this matter was really alienated from the submission made by Mr. Wilson.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That His Worship, Reeve Emmott, appoint a Committee of Three Members of Council to study every facet of the subject involving rental rates for apartments and other dwellings, with this study to include:

- (a) a determination of the extent of the jurisdiction of the Municipality in the matter;
- (b) the rent structure for single and two-family houses plus apartments;
- (c) an examination of the relationship between apartment accommodation and zoning regulations in the Municipality;
- (d) cost factors relating to the construction and maintenance of apartment buildings."

CARRIED

COUNCILLORS CAFFERKY
AND McLEAN -- AGAINST

- (3) Before hearing Mrs. Goal, the Council directed that Item 3 of the Municipal Manager's Report No. 65, 1966 (which relates to the subject of financing sanitary sewer construction) be brought forward.

A copy of this report will be found attached to, and will form part of, these Minutes.

The Assistant Municipal Manager read portions of a report which he had received from the Health Department dealing with the subject matter.

Mr. G. W. Goal, husband of Mrs. A. Goal, then appeared and emphasized the seriousness of the sanitary problem caused by the absence of sewers on Hunter Street.

He urged that such sewers be installed as soon as possible because of this problem.

A petition signed by Mr. M. V. Oakes and others requesting the installation of sewers, and the paving of roads, in the "Hunter Street" area was submitted.

Letters were also received from Mrs. Eric Reid and Mr. R. Delshaw regarding the same matter.

Nov/14/1966

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:

"That the Health Department continue its investigations of the sewage control problem outlined in the report of the Manager and submit a report indicating the results of the investigations to Council on November 20, 1966."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR BLAIR -- AGAINST

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

COUNCILLOR DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

Mrs. W. E. D'Altroy submitted a letter suggesting that Council review its decision to not provide an opening in the fence at the corner of Grandview-Douglas Highway and the overpass road to the Freeway for horses.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That a copy of the letter from Mrs. D'Altroy be forwarded to the Parks and Recreation Commission for its information."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chief Executive Officer, Labour Relations Branch, Department of Labour, submitted a letter enclosing a copy of an Order which had been made to amend the procedure contained in the collective agreements between the Corporation and the Burnaby Civic Employees Union respecting the handling of grievances.

Secretary, Parks and Recreation Commission, wrote advising that the Commission had established a "Burnaby Rhododendron" competition and is offering a prize of \$500.00 for the development of a new variety of rhododendron which will bear the name "Burnaby".

Secretary, Christmas Cheer and Welfare Board, the Salvation Army, wrote requesting permission to place the Annual Christmas Cheer Fund Voluntary Contribution Kettles on public sidewalk areas in the Municipality during business hours on December 9th, 10th, 12th to 17th inclusive, and 19th to 24, 1966 inclusive.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:

"That permission be granted to the Salvation Army to undertake the activity mentioned in its letter at the times and in the locations indicated."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Convenor, the Volvo Car Club of B.C., wrote requesting permission to use the parking lot of the Middlegate Shopping Centre for a Gymkana on November 20th between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That Council express no objection to the use planned by the Volvo Car Club of B.C., provided the Club obtains permission from the R.C.M.P. for its proposed activity."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

THE ACTING REEVE DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:15 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.M.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That: "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 60, 1966" (C/L#5013),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 61, 1966" (C/L#5019),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1966" (C/L#5020),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 63, 1966" (C/L#5026),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 69, 1966" (C/L#5027),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 70, 1966" (C/L#5028),
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 71, 1966" (C/L#5029) and
"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 80, 1966" (C/L#5033),

be dealt with now."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CORSDIE LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That leave be given to introduce "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 60, 1966" (C/L#5018) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 60, 1966 (C/L#5018)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (R1) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Reference RZ 88/66

Lot "B" except East 180 feet, Block "A", D.L.'s 57/58,
Plan 6239

(Located on the East side of Piper Avenue approximately 270
feet South of Loughheed Highway)

Executive Secretary, British Columbia Conference Council for Church Extension, United Church of Canada, submitted a letter which he indicated was written as a result of objections lodged at the Public Hearing held in connection with this proposed rezoning.

With respect to this matter of concern (the possible devaluation of residential property values), the Executive Secretary pointed out that across the street from the subject property is a Municipal Works Yard and a Public School, both of which are public institutions.

As regards a point made concerning a possible parking problem on the street serving the property in question, he advised that the owner of the property has sufficient land for its future parking requirements and, in the event this was not found to be adequate, street parking could be confined to the side which is not used for residential purposes.

The Executive Secretary also drew attention to the fact that the building on the subject property is being used at the present time as a small daily kindergarten, and it is being operated at a loss to the owner of the property, although this is not of concern because the United Church is pleased to provide this service to the community because of the benefit that is rendered.

The Executive Secretary also provided his reasons for supporting the principle of taxing Church properties.

V. A. and D. I. J. Clark submitted a letter expressing support of the rezoning proposal.

They also made reference to the points made in the submission from the Executive Secretary for the United Church of Canada.

E. and R.K. Ross and P. Kosick submitted a letter indicating that they supported the rezoning proposal.

Mrs. S.E.C. Thrussell wrote advising that she favoured the rezoning as well.

The Planning Director stated that there were no prerequisites to the proposed rezoning at hand and Council could therefore proceed to give the by-law its Third Reading this evening.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That "CURMADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 60, 1966" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CORSDIE RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That leave be given to introduce "CURMADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 61, 1966" (C/L#5019) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

"DURHAM ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 61, 1966" (S/L#5019)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT ONE (R1) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Reference RZ 125/66

Lot "F" except the North 98 feet and the West 100 feet, and
except explanatory Plan 14612, S.D. "A", Block 4, D.L. 42, Plan 3055

(Located on the West side of Piper Avenue between Government
Street and Winston Street)

Mr. and Mrs. D. Bjarnason and others submitted a petition expressing opposition to the proposed rezoning.

Mr. and Mrs. D. Bjarnason also wrote separately in regard to the subject matter and reiterated the views expressed in the petition.

Mrs. Hazel Brown also wrote to register her objection to the proposed rezoning for the reason that it would detrimentally affect the value of her property.

Mr. and Mrs. J. Haddon submitted a letter registering their opposition to the proposed rezoning because they felt an Institutional District permits too many uses which they considered would adversely affect the residential amenities of the area.

Mr. J. Destree wrote expressing his views in connection with the rezoning proposal at hand.

The Solicitor for the applicant, Mr. J. Edwards, Barrister and Solicitor, was present and requested an audience in connection with the application at hand.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That Mr. Edwards be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Edwards stated that he did not expect the By-Law to advance beyond Second Reading this evening because the prerequisites which have been established by Council in connection with the rezoning have not yet been satisfied. In this latter regard, Mr. Edwards advised that he would be meeting with the Planning Department shortly to discuss these prerequisites.

Mr. Edwards also mentioned that he was unaware of the petition which was received this evening opposing the rezoning.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A suggestion was made that churches and similar uses should be deleted from Institutional Districts and either a special zone created for these uses or they should be permitted in another existing zone.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the proposal just outlined concerning churches and similar uses be advanced to a Public Hearing."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That leave be given to introduce "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1966" (G/L#5020) and that it be now read
a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 62, 1966" (G/L#5020)
provides for the following rezoning;

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TWO (R2) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Reference RZ 117/66

Lots 14 to 17 inclusive, S.D. 10, Block "K", D.L. 34, Plan 14245

(Located on the West side of Willingdon Avenue between Wildwood
Crescent and Briarwood Crescent)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That Council not proceed with this Amendment By-Law."

CARRIED

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY -- AGAINST

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That leave be given to introduce "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT
BY-LAW NO. 63, 1966" (G/L#5026) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

"DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 68, 1966" (C/L 5026)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) AND SERVICE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C4)
TO TOURIST COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C5)

Reference RZ 114/66

Lot 28, Block 3, D.L. 29, Plan 9775

(Located at the North-West corner of Kingsway and 13th Avenue)

A report of the Planning Director dated October 24, 1966 relating to the subject rezoning was read.

In his report, the Planning Director advised that the owner-applicant has written and offered to dedicate a road through the property that would result in the auto court use being separated from the Westerly portion of the property, which portion would ultimately be subdivided and used residentially. He pointed out that the location of the road relative to the existing improvements is not definite because the site plan of development is not sufficiently accurate but, if the portion of the property lying East of this proposed road was rezoned to Tourist Commercial District, the applicant could construct the additions desired.

The Planning Director also reported that the basic objection of his Department to this application was the extension of the incompatible use on the property further into the residential zone. He pointed out that, with the road proposal outlined above, the motel use of the property would be terminated logically at a street and additional units would be placed in the vacant spots which were left for septic tanks and disposal fields.

The Planning Director suggested that, if Council favourably considers the application, the rezoning only cover that portion lying East of the extension of 13th Street, which is what the applicant has offered to do.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCLEAN:

"That the views expressed by the Planning Director in his report be endorsed."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee now rise and report progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That leave be given to introduce "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 69, 1966" (C/L # 5027) and "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1966, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 70, 1966" (C/L # 5028) and that they be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:

"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"DURNADY ZONING AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 69, 1966" (C/L#5027)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (PI)

Reference RZ 90/66

Lot 1, Block 37, D.L. 159, Plan 2585

(Located at the South-East corner of Rumble
Street and Curragh Avenue)

"DURNADY ZONING AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 70, 1966" (C/L#5028)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (PI)

Reference RZ 100/66

Lot 2 Sketch 7931, R.S.D.1, S.D.45/46, Blocks 1 and 3,
D.L. 95N, Plan 3702

(Located at the North-West corner of Edmonds Street
and Salisbury Avenue)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 69, 1966" (C/L#5027)
and "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 70, 1966" (C/L#5028)
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That leave be given to introduce "DURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 71, 1966" (C/L#5029) and that it be now read
a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider
and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

"CURHASY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 71, 1966" (C/L #5029)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Reference RZ 102/66

Lots 19 and 20, Block 14, D.L's 116/186, Plan 1236

(Located at the North-East corner of Frances Street
and Ingleton Avenue)

It was mentioned that one of the abutting owners, Mr. R. I. Opocensky, President of Robertson's Investments Limited, was present and desired an audience.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That Mr. Opocensky be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Opocensky appeared and advised that he was endeavouring to determine the nature of the development planned on the subject property.

He repeated the points which he made in his submission at the Public Hearing.

It was understood that Mr. Opocensky would be advised that, thus far, Council is satisfied that the C.N.R. will fulfill the terms which were established by Council as prerequisites to the rezoning proposal.

When Mr. Opocensky enquired as to the speed that the air would travel when entering the intake of the structure planned by the C.N.R., the Council indicated that the Municipal Engineer could probably furnish this answer after examining the plans of the C.N.R.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That, in addition to the three prerequisites which Council has established in connection with the rezoning proposal at hand, the following be added as the fourth one:

"That the development plans to be submitted include an indication as to the manner the property is to be landscaped and a commitment be obtained that will ensure the owner of the property maintain it in such a way that it will be perpetually attractive."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Committee now rise and report progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That leave be given to introduce "CURHASY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 80, 1966" (C/L #5038) and that it be now read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the By-Law be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on the By-Law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

"CURNADY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 30, 1966" (C/L #5030)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL DISTRICT (C2) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Reference RZ 112/66

Lot 1 Explanatory Plan 10989 Except Plan 15900 and Reference Plan 11756,
R.S.D."A" and "D", S.D.1, Blocks 1 & 2, D.L. 207, Plans 414) and 5923

(Located within the tract of land bounded by Garnet Road, Inlet Drive
and the lane South of Pandora Street)

Mr. J. H. Wyman, 7169 Maureen Crescent, submitted a letter expressing
perplexity as to why the application was being considered at all by
Council in view of past resistance to it by residents of the area.

He also drew attention to the fact that the Planning Department had
recommended RM2 zoning, and not RM3, and enquired as to why Council had
decided to advance this latter rezoning proposition.

Mr. Wyman pointed out that the subject property is far enough removed
from his own that whatever is built on it will not directly affect him
but he felt that, once apartments were built on the property under appli-
cation, an extension of apartments in the Westridge area could occur, and
this might affect him.

He also drew attention to the lack of adequate school facilities in
the Westridge area and suggested that the construction of apartments would
therefore severely overtax these facilities.

Mr. Wyman concluded by suggesting that the subject property be developed
for single family or two-family purposes, or possibly townhouses or garden
apartments.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That Council ensure that the development plans relating to the subject
property which are presented to, and accepted by, it are the same as those
which will be submitted to the Building Department after the rezoning is
effected and, if not, then the possibility of withholding the building permit
be considered at that time."

CARRIED

COUNCILLORS HICKS, DAILLY & DRUMMOND - AGAINST

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the Committee now rise and report progress."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DAILLY LEFT THE MEETING.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 65, 1966

Report No. 65, 1966 of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming a part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

(10) City Status

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the answers to each question enumerated in the report of the Manager be read immediately after each of the questions."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was noted while examining the report of the Manager that, in the event the Municipality became a City, it would obtain matching grants for arterial roads that are yet to be constructed.

One example of such a road was the extension of Gagliardi Way and Newcombe Street to the Stormont Interchange of the Freeway plus its various proliferations.

Councillor Cafferky pointed out that this road system alone would likely cost the Municipality at least \$1,000,000.00 in construction costs.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That Council not consider the matter of presenting to the electorate on December 10, 1966 the question of whether or not the Municipality should become a City because of the information provided above concerning the cost-sharing arrangement which would occur for arterial roads that are to be constructed but the subject of City Status be referred for consideration as a Policy/Planning Committee item next Monday evening."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY AND COUNCILLOR HICKS LEFT THE MEETING.

COUNCILLOR CLAIR submitted a report covering Burnaby Hospital Board activities.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:

"That this report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A report of the Planning Director concerning R14 Density Standards was lifted from the table.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:

"That this item be tabled for one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 65, 1966

(1) Street Naming

(9) Street Naming

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:

"That the above two reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

- (2) Portion of Lot 7, Block 29, D.L. 117E¹, Plan 1222
(DOUGLAS ROAD WIDENING)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Financing of Sanitary Sewer Construction, including reference to the
"Hunter Street" situation

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting).

COUNCILLOR HICKS AND COUNCILLOR DAILLY RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

- (4) Estimates

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (5) Expenditures

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (6) Monthly Report of Chief Building Inspector

- (7) Monthly Report of Chief Licence Inspector

- (8) Monthly Report of R.C.M.P.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the above three reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (9) Street Naming

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting).

- (10) City Status

(This item was dealt with previously in the meeting).

- (11) Maintenance of Centennial Project Property

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (12) Federal Centennial Caravan Tour 1967

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HERD, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSCIE:
"That the rest of the Zoning Amendment By-Laws which were shown on the Agenda this evening for initial readings be tabled for one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That:

"BURNABY STREET & TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1966" (D/L#4999),
"BURNABY BUDGET AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW 1966, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1966" (D/L#5005),
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 18, 1966" (D/L#5002) and
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 19, 1966" (D/L#5003),

be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Municipal Engineer submitted a report in connection with "BURNABY STREET AND TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1966", which deals with the subject of prohibiting parking adjacent to school properties, advising that:

There are only two locations at the present time where parking adjacent to school properties will be permitted, they being:

- (a) The North side of Marine Drive from Gilley Avenue West to the most Westerly side of the school site there.
- (b) Willingdon Avenue adjacent to the Vocational and Technical Institutes.

He pointed out that signs would be erected at these two locations to indicate that parallel parking is permitted.

He added that requests would likely be received in future for further exemptions from the By-Law.

With regard to the effective date of the regulation covered by the By-Law, the Engineer recommended that December 5, 1966 be that date.

He also mentioned that the regulation would be publicized in all news media.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McLEAN, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HERD:
"That:

"BURNABY STREET & TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 2, 1966" (D/L#4999),
"BURNABY BUDGET AUTHORIZATION BY-LAW 1966, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1966" (D/L#5005),
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 18, 1966" (D/L#5002) and
"BURNABY ROAD ACQUISITION AND DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 19, 1966" (D/L#5003)

be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the Corporate Seal affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the Council now resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider and report on:

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1966" (D/L#4903) and
"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 40, 1966" (D/L#4968)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Nov/14/1966

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1966" (B/L#4903)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (P1)

Reference 76/65

Lots 24 and 30, Block 6, D.L. 28, Plan 24032

(Lot 24 is located on the North side of 12th Avenue approximately 297 feet West of 4th Street. Lot 30 is located on 13th Avenue immediately North-East of and adjoining Lot 24)

"BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 40, 1966" (B/L#4963)
provides for the following rezoning:

FROM RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT FIVE (R5) TO MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT THREE (RM3)

Reference 88/65

Lots 12 to 14 inclusive, Blocks 55/53, D.L. 33, Plan 1025

(Located at the North-East corner of Grange Street and Booth Avenue)

The Municipal Clerk stated that the prerequisites established by Council in connection with these two rezoning proposals have now been satisfied.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That the Committee now rise and report the By-Laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CORSDIE:

"That "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 10, 1966" and "BURNABY ZONING BY-LAW 1965, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 40, 1966" be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY