

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

2 July, 1965.

REPORT NO. 50, 1965.His Worship, the Reeve,
and Members of the Council

Gentlemen:

Your Manager reports as follows:

1. Re: Lighting of Gilpin Street -
Royal Oak to Willingdon

This report is submitted as a result of an enquiry in Council on 14th June regarding any proposals for lighting this road.

The Engineer had prepared an estimated cost figure of \$25,000 to provide ornamental street lighting on Gilpin between Royal Oak and Moscrop. Ornamental lighting seems to be the only feasible way to provide street lighting along this stretch of road since there are no poles whatever along it. In view of the oft-stated desire to get Hydro and Telephone poles off the streets, it would be contradictory to consider the placement of such poles for street lighting purposes.

Because of certain indefiniteness about other roads connecting to the present road and the size of the bill for ornamental lighting, the cost of Ornamental Lighting was not included in the 1965 Budget. Unfortunately, there does not appear to be any reasonable and feasible way of providing interim lighting pending final standard.

2. Re: Boundary Road Street Lighting

The contract has now been let for the construction of Boundary Road on the east side from the Government Road Tracks to Hastings Street.

It is proposed to install ornamental lighting in this section and there are two problems which arise.

1) A requisition has been raised with the B. C. Hydro to relocate their poles in order to facilitate the road construction. To accomplish this B. C. Hydro has taken the first step of removing the former street lighting and the lighting circuits from the poles on the street. They are now proceeding further in the relocation of the poles but do not propose to reinstall the lighting fixtures on the circuits.

As a result, there will be no lighting on this portion of Boundary Road on the Burnaby side until the Ornamental Lighting is put in later in the year, probably September or October. It is not considered that the inconvenience caused would justify the expense of reinstalling the old fixtures.

2) The experience on Willingdon from Grandview to Moscrop where a working arrangement was made with Norburn Electric to co-ordinate the installation of Ornamental Lighting with the road contract work was very favourable and resulted in an actual saving of \$2,343.00 over the estimate for the lighting. This figure would have been higher had it not been for Hydro's new policy on service points, and a bad rainstorm experienced.

The Engineer proposes, and your Municipal Manager concurs, that a similar arrangement be made with Norburn Electric for the Boundary Road lighting. A saving of some \$3,000.00 seems possible.

Page 2
 REPORT NO. 50, 1965
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER
 2 July, 1965.

(Item #2- Re: Boundary Road Street Lighting Cont.)

Norburn Electric are regarded as the most dependable firm who have done work of this nature for the Corporation. They are completely familiar with the detailed work required and are the Corporation's maintenance contractor. In almost all cases they have been low bidder on Burnaby's competitive contracts.

It is recommended that Council approve of a working arrangement being made with Norburn Electric for the installation of Ornamental Street Lighting on Boundary Road similar to that made for Willingdon Avenue so that the work may be co-ordinated with that of the road construction.

3. Re: Lane Acquisition #59, Sussex-Forglen Sewer Area #9

A 10 foot lane allowance was required being the East 10 ft. of Lot 11, Blk. 7, D.L. 32, Plan 1229 in connection with the Sussex-Forglen Sewer Area #9.

This is the rear 10 feet of a lot 33' x 129' in dimension, known as 6194 McMurray Avenue. It is owned by Marian and Emma Greenfield of 4341 Rumble Street, Burnaby. The assessed value of the lot is \$2,415. made up of Land \$1,355.00 and Improvements \$1,060.00

The owners have agreed to give the 10' lane allowance for \$1.00 plus \$24.75 for a fence located on it. There is also an 8" diameter cherry tree which will be lost and the owners have asked that flowers be transplanted and saved if possible.

These conditions have been agreed to and the Deed of Land has been executed by the owners.

It is recommended that Council approve the acquisition of the Easterly 10' of Lot 11, Blk. 7, D.L. 32, Plan 1229 for \$1.00 plus \$24.75 compensation for loss of a fence.

4. Re: Tenders for the Construction of Sapperton Street

An advertized tender call was issued for this work on 19th June, 1965.

The scope of the work to be executed consists of the construction of Sapperton Street from Holmes Street north for 842 feet. The specifications are for 36 feet width pavement with concrete curbs and gutters, and boulevard grading.

Alternate prices were called on the following types of construction:

- A - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
 36 ft. width curb to curb, Portland cement concrete pavement with a total pavement thickness as follows:
 6½ inches of Portland Cement concrete
 3 inches of clean gravelly sand sub-base
- B - Deep Strength Asphalt Concrete
 36 ft. width curb to curb, asphaltic concrete pavement with a total pavement thickness as follows:
 1½ inch asphalt concrete surface course
 6 inch asphalt concrete base course
 3 inch clean gravelly sand sub-base
- C - Aggregate Base with Asphalt Concrete Surface
 36 ft. width curb to curb asphalt concrete pavement with a total pavement thickness as follows:
 3 inch asphaltic concrete surface course
 5 inch crushed aggregate base course
 6 inch clean gravelly sand sub-base

Page 3
 REPORT NO. 50, 1965
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER
 2 July 1965

(Item #4 - Re: Tenders for the Construction of Sapperton Street - Cont.)

Three tenders were received and opened by the Purchasing Agent in the presence of Mr. L. Staples, Mr. R. Constable, and representatives of the firms tendering.

A tabulation of the bids received is submitted.

It is recommended that the low tender being that of Jack Cewe Ltd. for alternative "B" in the amount of \$17,458.85 be accepted.

It is considered that the additional expenditure of \$545. over alternative "C" will produce a pavement of longer life.

5. Re: Tender for Street Lighting System - Kensington Ave. and Laurel St.

An advertized tender request 19th June, 1965 for this installation resulted in the receipt of only one bid.

The tender submitted by Norburn Electric Ltd. was opened by the Purchasing Agent in the presence of Mr. L. Staples and Mr. R. Constable.

It is recommended that the tender of Norburn Electric Ltd. in the sum of \$5,414.00 be accepted.

6. Re: B. C. Hydro Grant in Lieu of Taxes

Since the B. C. Hydro took over the B. C. Electric this Corporation has been in the unfortunate position of having to include a substantial Revenue Item in its annual budget without any assurance that it could collect. In addition, the amount was frozen at the last amount paid by the B. C. Electric Company.

It must be stated that the budget sum was always paid by B. C. Hydro.

Order-in-Council 1218 was approved on 27th April 1965 which defines the basis for calculating grants to be made by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.

Basically the Grant is calculated as the total of the following:

- (a) 1% of gross revenue from sales of the year preceding the year in respect of which payment is made.
- (b) General and Debt mill rate on 100% of land and 75% of improvements using the mill rate of the preceding year.

The Municipality's Grant for 1965 will be \$167,921.62 compared with the budgeted revenue item of \$124,290.00 including Local Improvement charges.

Article V of the Order-in-Council indicates that the Grant is of a continuing nature. Article IV provides that the B. C. Hydro advise the Municipality by 31st March each year of the Grant payable in that year and payment is to be made by 30th November in each year.

An audit is being made of the detailed calculation sheets which accompanied the advice.

7. Re: Estimates

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Engineer's report covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$5,400.

It is recommended that the estimates be approved as submitted.

H. W. Balfour
 H. W. Balfour,
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER

HB:ib

Page 1 - Supplementary
REPORT NO. 50, 1965.
MUNICIPAL MANAGER
5 July, 1965.

8. Re: Sussex-Forglen Sewer Area #9
Lane Acquisition #57

A 10' lane allowance has been negotiated with the owners of this property, Kenneth Frank and Doris Ruth Fidler, 4622 Burke Street, and Eric Wilson, 6025 Carnarvon Street, Vancouver.

The titleholders are Harris Lumber Sales Limited, 1161 Melville Street, Vancouver.

The property is located at 6172 McMurray Avenue and is legally described as Easterly 10' of Lots 12 & 13, Block 7, D.L. 32, Plan 1229. It is proposed to acquire the easterly 10 feet being an area 10' x 66'. It is zoned Residential - 2 Family and the 1965 assessment is:

Land	\$ 2,175.
Improvements	1,390.

The acquisition for lane is in lieu of a sewer easement.

The property owners are prepared to grant the land for the consideration of \$49.50 being the cost of a fence.

It is recommended that Council approve this acquisition.

Respectfully submitted,



H. W. Balfour
MUNICIPAL MANAGER

HWB:gr