#### THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

24 September, 1965.

# REPORT NO. 66, 1965.

His Worship, the Reeve, and Members of the Council.

Gentlemen:

Your Manager reports as follows:

1. Re: Rezoning Applications - #61/64 - D.L. 151/3, Block 10, S.D.6, Lot 8, Plan 2389. #62/64 - D.L. 151/3, Block 10, S.D.6, Lot 7, Plan 2389. #63/64 - D.L. 151/3, Block 10, S.D.6, Lot 10, Plan 2389. #64/64 - D.L. 151/3, Block 10, S.D.6, Lot 9, Plan 2389.

As requested by Council, the Planning Department has re-examined the proposed width of the road required from the northern portion of the subject properties.

The need for such a road has been confirmed between the commercial development along the Kingsway frontage and the developing apartment area to the south, to provide for traffic circulation within the area. Absence of such a road will, as development intensifies, lead inevitably to an overloading of Kingsway and to serious impairment of its traffic handling efficiency.

A 66 foot width is considered necessary to properly accommodate anticipated future densities of both commercial and residential development and to provide a physical separation between these two uses. The proposed road will perform a similar function to Grange Street on the north side of Kingsway. Like Grange, a 44 foot pavement width is envisaged in order to provide for two lanes in each direction. This width permits development of an 11 foot curb sidewalk on the commercial frontage and a similar area for sidewalk and landscape treatment on the apartment side of the roadway.

Discussions are being conducted with the applicant and the owners on the rezoning requirements and Planning will be reporting further following these discussions.

# 2. Re: B. C. Hydro Gas Line Installations

Council asked to be advised on the results of discussions which were slated with B. C. Hydro on procedures to avoid the disturbance of new pavements for utility installations.

Mr. J. L. Gemmel, Construction Manager, B. C. Hydro Gas Division, and Mr. George Storey of his Department, met with Municipal Officials.

Liaison and procedure for advising has always been good with B. C. Hydro Gas Division. Referring to the two cases in question, Royal Oak and Holmes Street, which were examined in discussion, explanations were received as follows:

Royal Oak - The gas main on this street was not considered to be in as bad repair as was subsequently found to be the case and it took some time to assess accurately, the exact condition of the main.

Holmes Street - The application from the private customer involved which required the extension of the gas main, was received late by B. C. Hydro.

Page 2. REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item #2 - Re: B. C. Hydro Gas Line Installations ..... cont.)

In both cases, B. C. Hydro has been provided with running line offsets behind sidewalks which will not involve longitudinal excavation along the new pavement. Some minor excavation work will be required to effect cut-offs and tie-ins to the old main, but these will be kept to a minimum and B. C. Hydro has agreed to provide extra careful compaction of backfill and restoration of the final surface with the same carpet seal as presently exists on the two roadways.

Despite the former good liaison, these two cases did occur and the recent discussions have resulted in agreement on an improved form of advice to B. C. Hydro to make the arrangements necessary to ensure that their facilities are up to final standard before road work is proceeded with.

#### 3. Re: Fire Prevention Week

The week of October 3rd to 9th, 1965, is Fire Prevention Week. The Burnaby Fire Department conducts an intensive campaign during Fire Prevention Week to publicize the necessity and desirability of fire prevention measures.

It has been customary for Council to officially proclaim Fire Prevention Week in the past and it is recommended that the week of October 3rd to 9th, 1965, be officially proclaimed Fire Prevention Week.

### 4. Re: P.N.E. Exhibit

Submitted herewith for approval of Council, is the account of the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce in connection with the above mentioned exhibit, amounting to \$4,935.40.

Display and mechanical services	\$4,200.00
Manning expenses	500.00
Enlargement of photographs	92.54
Telephone	27.96
Parking and extra admittances	12.50
Janitor services	35.00
Miscellaneous administrative expenses	67.40
	\$ 4,935.40

5. Re: Local Improvement: 5' Concrete curb sidewalk and pavement widening
to 28' width between curb faces on
Noel Drive from Cameron Street to Still Creek Avenue

As directed, herewith is the cost report required by Section 601 Municipal Act, for the construction of the above captioned works.

Estimated total cost \$96,450.00
Estimated owners' share of the cost \$0,202.00
Estimated Corporation's share of the cost \$5,243.00
Estimated lifetime of the works
Assessed levy over fifteen years \$2¢ per taxable front foot

Page 3. REPORT NO. 66, 1965 MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item #5 - Re: Local Improvement: 5' Concrete curb sidewalk and pavement widening to 28' width between curb faces on Noel Drive from Cameron St. to Still Creek Ave. .... cont.)

All properties are residential. Details of assessment frontages are:

		Estimated	Estimated
No.of l	<u>ots</u>	Actual Frontage	Taxable Frontage
7	ranging between 133'and 222.84' in		
	frontage	1,165.20'	462.00'
11	ranging between 67' and 132' in		
	frontage	1,059.71' 88.96'	722.301
1	exempt because of other works		•
<u>63</u>	66' and under, including odd-shaped lo	ts <u>3,765.15'</u>	3,849.46'
82		6,079.021	5,033.76'

The 66' maximum frontage and related rules as already established by Council will apply. Pursuant to Section 597 of the Municipal Act, the Corporation shall bear whatever taxable portion of the cost which may cause the taxable rate per annum to exceed 62¢ per taxable front foot.

The Clerk will be submitting his Certificate of Sufficiency on the petition received.

# 6. Re: Sussex Forglen Sewer Project "9

The Corporation requires the following easements for the above mentioned project and negotiations to acquire them have not been successful

- (a) East 10 feet (Drawing B-465), Lot 17 except N6', Blocks 23/24 Pt. D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 1444, located at 6262 Nelson Avenue and owned by B. Price, 6611 Russell Avenue.
- (b) Part of Lot 14 (Drawing B-470), Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by F. W. and M.C. Noble, 5961 Miller Avenue.
- (c) East 10 feet (Drawing B-471), Lot 5, Block 7, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 1229, located at and owned by S. & H.I.Jakobsen, 6278 McMurray Ave., Burnaby 1.
- (d) West 10 feet of Lot 10 (Drawing B-471), Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by J.E. & J.M. Hall, 6061 Miller Ave., Burnaby 1.
- (e) West 10' of Lot "A" S.D.7/8 (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 10111, located at and owned by E.M.Ritson, 6105 Miller Ave., Burnaby 1.
- (f) West 10' of Lot "C" S.D.7/8 (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 10111, located at and owned by V.E. & P.M. Dunham, 6131 Miller Ave. Burnaby 1.
- (g) West 10' of Lot 6  $N_2^1$ , (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by J. & E. Beeler, 6149 Miller Ave., Burnaby 1,B.C.
- (h) West 10' of Lot 6  $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by C. F. Taylor, 6159 Miller Ave., Burnaby 1.
- (i) West 10' of Lot "D" S.D. 4/5 (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 16196, located at and owned by J.A. & M. Richardson, 6175 Miller Avenue, Burnaby 1.
- (j) West 10' of Lot  $4 S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by E. J. Wagar, 6207 Miller Avenue, Burnaby 1, B.C.

Page 4. REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item #6 - Re: Sussex Forglen Sever Project #9 .... cont.)

- (k) West 10' of Lot 3 Ex.Pcl. "A", Expl. Pl. 8250 (Drawing B-471) Block 6, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 2278, located at and owned by Lam Yeung Choi and Yim Ying Chiu, 6225 Miller Ave., Burnaby 1.
- (1) West 10' of Lot 8 (Drawing B-471) Block 46, D.L. 33, Group 1, Plan 2022, located at 6243 Miller Avenue, Burnaby 1 and owned by Suburban Developments, 1525 West Broadway, Vancouver 9, B. C.
- (m) Part of Lot 52, (Drawing B-478) Block 10, D.L. 32, Group 1, Plan 1166, located at and owned by W. Heckman, 4864 Maitland, Burnaby 1, B. C.

In order for construction of the sewer to proceed without interruption, it is recommended that the easements be expropriated. Negotiations will continue.

#### 7. Re: Land Sale

The Corporation owns the West  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 15, Block 29, D.L. 13, Group 1, which is located on the south side of Monroe Avenue, 143' West of Cariboo Road. It has a 45' frontage on Monroe Avenue and a depth of 98.93 feet.

The East  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the said Lot 15 is owned by J. & E. Williamson who desire to purchase the West  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

The cost of constructing a gravel road on Monroe Avenue and a water main to serve the whole of Lot 15 is \$3,226.00. These services would also serve Lot 2 Block 30, D.L. 13, Group 1 on the north side of Monroe Avenue owned by the Corporation. The size of Lot 2 is 90' x 98.93

It is recommended that the West  $\frac{1}{2}$  of said Lot 15 be placed in a sale position subject to the following conditions:

- (i) A minimum price of \$1,800.00
- (ii) The purchaser to pay the Corporation \$1,613.00 (50% of \$3,226.00) for the construction of the road and water main to serve the property.
- (iii) The West  $\frac{1}{2}$  and East  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the said Lot 15 to be consolidated, the costs to be paid by the purchaser.

It is further recommended that the Corporation pay \$1,613.00 (50% of \$3,226.00) for the construction of the services and that after the services have been constructed, Lot 2, Block 30, D.L. 13, Group 1 be sold by tender at a minimum price of \$4,800.00.

#### 8. Re: Land Sale

Ţ

The Corporation owns Lots 7, 8 & 9, Block 11, D.L. 161, Group 1, Plan 1742. Lot 7 is located on the north-west corner of the intersection of Brechin Street and Alfred Avenue, with a frontage of 122.74' on Brechin and 97.99' on Alfred. Lots 8 & 9 are located immediately north of Lot 7 and each have a 66' frontage on Alfred Avenue and a depth of 120 feet.

Mill and Timber Products Ltd. own Lots 5 & 6, Block 11, D.L. 161, Group 1, Plan 1742. Lot 6 is located on the north-east corner of the intersection of Brechin Street and Greenall Avenue. A 20 foot unopened lane separates Lot 5 & 6 from Lots 7, 8 & 9. The Company desires to purchase Lots 7, 8 & 9, consolidate them with Lots 5 & 6 in order to provide a site for their plant expansion.

Page 5. Report No. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item #8 - Re: Land Sale .... cont.)

It is recommended that Lots 7, 8 & 9, be placed in a sale position subject to the following conditions:

- (i) A minimum price of \$6,500.00
- (ii) The purchaser apply for the cancellation of that portion of the lane separating Lots 5 & 6 from Lots 7, 8 & 9, which the Corporation will not oppose.
- (iii) The purchaser to consolidate Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 & 9, and the portion of the lane cancelled, into one property.
- (iv) The purchaser to pay all survey and legal costs.

# 9. Re: Water Supply - Burnaby Mountain

There are 20 residents on the west side of Burnaby Mountain, who are above the level of the Gravity Supply System of the Water Utility.

Mr. & Mrs. D. J. Hopkins of 7496 E. Hastings Street, have written to Council asking for advice as to what can and will be done about the water service in this area.

This situation was thoroughly reviewed by Council in 1961. It is not feasible to permit connections to line serving Centennial Park because of the pressure of the line and its size. The subdivision pattern for the future is even more indefinite now than it was in 1961 as a result of the North-east Burnaby Study and the changes which have to be made to it.

In 1961, Council directed that two standpipes be installed and since that time two have been placed in operation each summer at the intersection of Curtis and Phillips and on Hastings Street east of Duthie.

The only other change since the 1961 Report on this subject, which could possibly affect this problem, is the construction of the water supply to Simon Fraser University.

This supply system is over a mile from this area and is designed to service a much higher elevation than the problem area. Consequently, it is quite impractical to attempt to use this as a source of supply.

### 10. Re: Mosquito Control

The Northwest Mosquito and Vector Control Association will hold its fifth annual conference at the Stockmen's Hotel, Kamloops, B. C., on 28th and 29th September, 1965.

The Association is made up of government and university research workers, mosquito control workers, sanitarians, and others concerned with problems involving biting flies and rodents in the northwestern states and British Columbia. Mosquito and vector problems in Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and B.C. have much in common, and their solutions can be greatly facilitated by co-operative effort.

The programme of the 1965 conference will include speakers of international reputation in the field, and there will be opportunities for discussion of common problems with other interested workers. Since this is the first meeting of the Association on Canadian soil, it is highly desirable that we should have a strong representation of Canadian workers present. Every organization engaged in mosquito or vector control in the province is therefore invited to send at least one delegate.

Page 6. REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item 1/10 - Re: Mosquito Control .... cont.)

This is the first meeting of this Association to be held in Canada, and the Medical Health Officer considers that it would be an advantage to Burnaby to have Mr. Armson and one of the Sanitary Inspectors attend.

It is recommended that Mr. Armson, the Chief Sanitary Inspector, and one Sanitary Inspector to be selected by the Medical Health Officer, be authorized to attend this Conference.

11. Re: Application for the Rezoning of D.L. 35, Blk. "A", S.D. 2, Lot 1 and "A", Plan 5096, from Residential Two Family to Multiple Family Type

This property and others in the block bounded by Burke, Boundary, Thurston and Smith have been the subject of applications for apartment zoning in the past five or six years and, due to a number of problems, have been rejected by Council in the past.

However, at the meeting of Council on August 9th, this rezoning application was advanced to Public Hearing.

At the Public Hearing on September 14th, a petition was presented in opposition to the proposed apartment rezoning from 31 property owners in the vicinity. Their objections were as follows:

- The proposed higher density development would overtax present school and park facilities.
- (2) The devaluation of resale values of abutting properties (now single family dwellings) would result.

In addition, the hope was expressed that the Municipality would assist in the development of the area for single family dwellings in accordance with the existing zoning.

The Planning Text would reiterate its recommendation contained in the July 29th report that Council reaffirm earlier decisions to not create an apartment zone at this location for the following reasons:

- (1) Apartment development would not be compatible with the surrounding residences and not in keeping with the residential character of the area.
- (2) The ultimate development of the entire block or a larger portion thereof for apartments at the densities proposed (RM1) would result in an estimated elementary school facility requirement equivalent to two classrooms, (70 Pupils) or an additional classroom over the requirements if the block were developed at single family residential densities (35 pupils).

While this is not considered a particularly significant addition, it should be noted that enrolments have reached capacity proportions at the Inman Avenue School and there is little possibility of expansion because of the small site area. There are possibilities for handling increased enrolments at the Chaffey-Burke School. However, requirements resulting from anticipated higher density developments along Grange Street will have to be met at this school. It may be concluded, therefore, that any extensive apartment development within the general area of this rezoning application will overtax the elementary school facilities.

(3) The further development of a portion of the block based upon the present haphazard subdivision pattern could create access problems and hamper the economic provision of necessary services and utilities. Such problems could

Page 7. REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

(Item "Il - Re: Application for the Rezoning of D.L. 35, Blk. "A", S.D. 2, Lot 1 and "A", Plan 5096, from Residential Two Family to Multiple Family Type I ... Cont.)

be overcome through the implementation of the approved plan of subdivision for single family residential development which has been prepared for the entire block, and which is shown on the attached sketch.

Also attached, is a copy of a letter dated March 3, 1965, giving preliminary approval to the subdivision of a portion of the block, in accordance with the plan prepared for the whole block.

12. Ke: Applications for Rezoning - Area No. 7 - (Moscrop-Smith) Reference No's 85/64; 30/65; 36/65.

A previous report by the Planning Department recommended against apartment development in this area for the following reasons:

- (1) The predominance of single family dwellings and the residential character of the area.
- (2) An undesirable tendency to create a strip form of apartment development along Smith Avenue and for apartments to develop in a scattered and haphazard pattern throughout the area with a resulting detrimental effect upon existing residences.
- (3) The additional traffic that would be generated on Smith Avenue would have a tendency to split the school catchment area and the neighbourhood into narrow fragments.

However, Council recommended approval of these applications and requested the Planning Department to provide individual reports on the three rezoning applications which have been shaded on the attached sketches I and B. We have made a study of the immediate area (bounded by Fir, Boundary, Price, Patterson and Carleton) and would suggest that further consideration be given to these applications in view of the Planning Department's findings, which are as follows:

- (1) Existing zoning is Two-Family Residential R4 north of Warren Street and R5 to the south. There are presently no apartments located within the area which is occupied almonst exclusively by single family dwellings.
- (2) Insofar as servicing the properties involved in the rezoning applications is concerned, (see Plan A) water is available in the area and there are sanitary sewer mains on Smith Avenue and Moscrop Street. Storm sewers are presently not available, however, since the sewer on Smith Avenue, due to grade, serves the west side of the street only.
- (3) The Cascades Heights and Inman Avenue Elementary Schools are both nearing capacity enrolments, but are considered capable of handling moderate increases based upon full development at single family residential densities. The situation is somewhat better in the area south of Moscrop Street, however, where the new Chaffey-Burke Elementary School offers possibilities for a limited expansion of its facilities.
- (4) The present haphazard subdivision pattern makes future development difficult, particularly where spot rezonings and the resulting mixture of land uses are involved. Development based upon the existing pattern could leave the interior of some of the blocks without access. Further, it could create serious problems for the Municipality in the provision of necessary services and utilities. However, this situation is gradually being improved with the continuing implementation of new single family residential subdivisions within the area.
- (5) The immediate area under consideration has experienced a significant growth in residential construction in the past few years. (See Plan A). There are presently 276 dwelling units in the area compared with 230 in 1961, representing an increase of 46 units. Much of this constructions is of recent origin with 20 new houses being built since October, 1964.

Page 8 REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September 1965.

### (Item 12....re Applications for Rezoning - Area No.7....continued)

(6) A considerable amount of subdivision activity has also taken place in the area with 10 new single family subdivisions approved since 1961, involving a total of 54 lots. (See Plan B). Seven of the subdivisions have been approved in the past year comprising a total of 46 lots. Four of these recent subdivisions, involving 31 lots, are located in that portion of the area on the north side of Moscrop Street.

In view of the above considerations, the Planning Department would confirm the suitability of single family dwelling use for this area. However, if Council wishes to proceed with this area it should be on a comprehensive basis in accordance with a general plan. A similar view was expressed at the Public Hearing by a number of persons who suggested that apartments in this area should be developed on a block basis, rather than on a lot by lot basis.

To implement such an approach, the Planning Department recommend the following for Council consideration:

- (a) The large block of land bounded by Smith, Gilpin and Inman on the south side of Moscrop Street, which includes an extensive area of vacant land, be set aside for a comprehensive development scheme of the whole block to include apartment development and public open space properly related to existing single family houses.
- (b) Multiple family development to be of the garden apartment (RM1) or row house (R6) type providing for family accommodation and maintaining densities at a level consistent with their location within a single family dwelling residential area.
- (c) The residential character of the remainder of the area to be maintained through the encouragement of the present trend towards single family subdivision and development, and the retention of the present R4 zone outside the block bounded by Moscrop, Smith, Gilpin and Inman.

#### 13. Re: Estimates

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Engineer's report covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$9,300.

It is recommended that the estimates be approved as submitted.

### 14. Re: Allowances.

Submitted herewith for your approval is the Municipal Treasurer's report covering applications received under Section 411 of the Municipal Act in the total amount of \$142.37.

It is recommended that the allowances as applied for be granted.

15. Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Chief Building Inspector covering the operations of his Department for the period from August 16th to September 10th, 1965.

Page 9. REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 24 September, 1965.

- 16. Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department for the month of August, 1965.
- 17. Submitted herewith for your information is the report of the Medical Health Officer covering the activities of his Department for the month of August, 1965.

Respectfully submitted,

H. W. Balfour

HWB: gr

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

Page 1 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 27 September, 1965.

18. Re: Acquisition of Easement - Portion of Lot 101 of Subdivision of Remainder of Lot 1, Block 9, D.L. 93, Plan 3667

An easement is required, in order to finalize a subdivision over a portion of Lot 101 of Subdivision of remainder of Lot 1, Block 9, D.L. 93, Plan 3667, from Shelah Angela Reljic, 6550 Curragh Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C. The property on which the easement is located is on Curragh Avenue approximately 150' south of Bryant Street. The easement is required for drainage purposes. There is no consideration payable by the Corporation.

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire the above easement and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to execute the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

19. Re: Acquisition of Easement - Portion of Lots 161 to 164 of Subdivision of Lots "B" and "C", Blocks 31 and 32, D.L. 33, Plan 4122.

An easement is required, in order to finalize a subdivision, over a portion of Lots 161, 162 and 163 from Thomas Alexander Ewen, 5276 Willingdon Avenue, and a portion of Lot 164 from Sand Securities Ltd., 4553 Kingsway, Burnaby 1, B.C., of subdivision of Lots "B" and "C", Blocks 31 and 32, D.L. 33, Plan 4122. The property on which the easement is located is on the east side of Willingdon Avenue, approximately 120' south of Burke Street. The easement is required for drainage purposes. There is no consideration payable by the Corporation.

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire the above easement, and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to execute the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

20. Re: Acquisition of Easement - Portion of Subdivision of Lot 2, and a portion of Lot 1, Block 3, D.L. 8, Plan 4578.

An easement is required, in order to finalize a subdivision, over the - North  $7\frac{1}{2}$  feet of Lots 40 to 43 inclusive, - South  $7\frac{1}{2}$  feet of Lots 44 to 47 inclusive,

- North 7½ feet of Lot 44,
- Westerly 10 feet of Lot 48,
- South  $7\frac{1}{2}$  feet of Lot 52,

- Westerly 10 feet of Lot 57, of a subdivision of Lot 2 and a portion of Lot 1, Block 3, D.L. 8, Plan 4578, from South Burnaby Holdings, 6955 Kingsway, Burnaby 1, B. C. and Alphonse Weber, 5811 Buckingham, Burnaby, B. C. (Joint Tenants). The property on which the easement is located is on the north side of Lyndhurst Avenue, mid point between Noel Drive and North Road. The easement is required for drainage purposes. There is no consideration payable by the Corporation.

21. Re: Rehabilitation of Grandview-Douglas Highway.

Upon being informed that the Department of Highways had plans to recap Grandview-Douglas Highway between Boundary Roski and Tenth Avenue prior to possible declassification of the road, your Municipal Manager commenced discussions with the Department of Highways toward a higher standard of rehabilitation than

Your Municipal Manager is pleased to inform Council that a letter has been received today from the Deputy Minister of Highways, advising that the Minister of Highways has approved the entire program.

..... Cont. Page 2.

Page 2 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 27 September, 1965.

(Item  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ 21 - Re: Rehabilitation of Grandview-Douglas Highway .... cont.)

With exception of that portion of Grandview-Douglas between Westminster Avenue and Douglas Road (which is to be recapped only) the program calls for the remainder of Grandview-Douglas to be completed to full 46 feet curb-to-curb standard.

The total estimated cost of this program is \$600,000.00. Burnaby is asked to provide the storm drainage requirements at an estimated cost of \$160,200.00, the work also to be undertaken by the Corporation.

The Highway Board has at this time made no decision on the declassification of the Grandview-Douglas, but it is apparent this is contemplated.

No request was made for complete rehabilitation of the Westminster Avenue-Douglas Road section, as it is still hoped that an improved alignment can be achieved in this portion.

### It is recommended that:

- (a) Council approve the program as approved by the Minister of Highways, and
- (b) the sum of \$160,200.00 be set up from the Storm Sewer Reserve for the purpose of providing Storm Drainage on the Grandview-Douglas Highway in connection with the program.

Your Municipal Manager would like to acknowledge the courtesy and understanding of all members of the Minister's staff concerned with the rather prolonged negotiations.

It is proposed, subject to Council's concurrence, to carry out the necessary underground work during this winter so road-work can be scheduled for the next construction season.

The Boundary Road-Smith Avenue section is nearing completion as a result of a previous approval given by Council.

### 22. Re: Meeting of B. C. Branch of Canadian Public Health Association

The Medical Health Officer has requested permission for Miss M. Trounce, in her capacity as Secretary of the B. C. Branch Canadian Public Health Association, to attend the clerical section of the Washington State Public Health Association meeting. This will be held jointly with the B. C. Branch of the Canadian Public Health Association at Harrison Hot Springs, October 4th, 1965. The approximate cost will be \$10.00.

The Liaison member of Council, Councillor Corsbie, agrees with this request.

It is recommended that Miss M. Trounce be authorized to attend.

Page 3 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 27 September, 1965.

# 23. Re: Royal Oak - Douglas Sanitary Sewer Area 12/13

Easements are required in connection with the above sewer project as follows:

- (a) Owner Sheryl Louise Fleming, 5229 Norfolk Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Property Easterly 10' of Lot 45, Block 23, D.L.  $74N_2^1$ , Group 1, Plan 2603, Location of Easement 5229 Norfolk Street, Burnaby 2, B.C. N.W.D. Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (b) Owner Ronald Clark and Joan Clark, 5249 Norfolk Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Property Westerly 10' of Lot 44, Block 23, D.L.  $74N_2^1$ , Broup 1, Plan 2603, Location of Easement 5249 Norfolk Street, Burnaby 2, B.C. N.W.D. Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (c) Owner Claude Kenneth Cole and Jemima Forrest Cole,
  5455 Hardwick Street, Burnaby 2, B. C.
  Property North 20' of Lot "D", Block 3, D.L. 748½, Plan 3242, Save and
  Except the following 3 portions viz: Parcel 1 (Ref. Pl. 5432);
  the W.165' on Hardwood Street and uniform depth of 264'; and
  Parcel 2 (Ex. Pl. 11217) N.W.D.
  Location of Easement 5455 Hardwick Street, Burnaby 2, B. C.
  Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (d) Owner Mary Gillis Phillips and Howard John Phillips, 5089 Manor Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Property - North 20' of Lot 21, Block 18, D.L. 74N½, Group 1, Plan 2603,N.W.D. Location of Easement - 5089 Manor Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Consideration - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (f) Owner William Gerald Switzer, 1019 Hastings Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Property - Northerly 20' of Lot 27, Block 18, D.L. 74, Group 1, Plan 2603, N.W.D. Location of Easement - 4969 Manor Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Consideration - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (g) Owner Stanley Steven Nowak and Constance Rose Nowak, 5069 Manor Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Property - North 20' of Lot 22, Block 18, D.L. 74N½, Group 1, Plan 2603,N.W.D. Location of Easement - 5069 Manor Street, Burnaby 2, B. C. Consideration - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire the above easements and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to execute the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

Page 4 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 27 September, 1965.

# 24. Re: South Slope V Sanitary Sewer Area #6

Easements are required in connection with the above sewer project as follows:

- (a) Owner David John Hunt and Myrna Loreen Hunt,
  5805 Balsam Street, Vancouver, B. C.
  Property The Northwesterly 5' being measured at right angles to the Northwest
  Boundary of Lot 12, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 3035, N.W.D.
  Location of Easement 7317 11th Avenue,
  Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (b) Owner Mary Kaltenborn, 7288 12th Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C.
  Property The Southeasterly 5' being measured at right angles to the
  Southeast boundary of Lot 5, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 3035,
  Location of Easement 7288 12th Avenue,
  Consideration \$45.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
  Amount is for 60' of fence at 75¢ per ft.
- (c) Owner Samuel Alexander Flatt and Mary Margaret Flatt, 7289 - 11th Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C. Property - The Northwesterly 5' being measured at right angles to the Northwest boundary of The Easterly 50' of Lot "A", Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 5306, being a frontage of 50' on 11th Avenue by a full depth of said Lot "A" and adjoining Lot "B", N.W.D. Location of Easement - 7289 - 11th Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C. Consideration - \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (d) Owner Melvin Webster Dunn and Phyllis Ilene Dunn,
  7278 12th Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C.
  Property The Southeasterly 5' being measured at right angles to the
  Southeast boundary of Lot 3, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 3035,
  Location of Easement 7278 12th Avenue, Burnaby 1, B. C.
  N.W.D.
  Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
- (e) Owner Frederik Johannes Kuipers and Marie Elizabeth Kuipers,
  3741 Nanaimo Street, Vancouver, B. C.
  Property The Southeasterly 5' being measured at right angles to the
  Southeast boundary of Lot 1, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1,Plan 3035,
  Location of Easement 7268 12thAvenue, Burnaby 1, B. C.
  N.W.D
  Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement Area.
  - (f) Owner Effice Peters, 7323 11th Avenue, Burnaby 3, B. C.
    Property Northwesterly 5' of Lot 11, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 3035,
    Location of Easement 7323 11th Avenue, Burnaby 3, B. C.
    Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area, and
    60' of fence estimated to cost 75¢ per foot.
  - (g) Owner Vernon Lindsay Forsythe Gill and Noreen Elizabeth Gill,
    7285 11th Avenue, Burnaby, B. C.
    Property The Northwesterly 5' being measured at right angles to the
    northwest boundary of The Easterly 126 feet of Lot "A", Block 7,
    D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 5306, N.W.D. Save and Except the Easterly 50'.
    Location of Easement 7285 11th Avenue, Burnaby, B. C.
    Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.
  - (h) Owner Aime Roland Boileau and Isabelle Rose Boileau,
    7324 12th Avenue, Burnaby, B. C.
    Property The Southeasterly 5'being measured at right angles to the Southeast
    boundary of Lot 10, Block 7, D.L. 29, Group 1, Plan 3035, N.W.D.
    Location of Easement 7324 12th Avenue, Burnaby, B. C.
    Consideration \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area.

Page 5 - Supplementary REPORT NO. 66, 1965. MUNICIPAL MANAGER 27 September, 1965.

(Item #24 - Re: South Slope V Sanitary Sewer Area #6 ..... cont.)

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire the above easements and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to execute the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

Respectfully submitted,

HWB: gr

H.W. Balfour MUNICIPAL MANAGER