

FEBRUARY 3, 1964

An Adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Monday, February 3, 1964 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Cafferky,
Dailly, Edwards, Herd, Hicks
and Wells

ABSENT: Councillor MacSorley

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following submitted letters indicating their opposition to any rezoning of property in the Buller - Beresford area:

- (1) Mrs. Anita M. Pickard
- (2) Mrs. Eileen Hicks
- (3) S. and D. Dean
- (4) Mrs. M. L. Ashworth
- (5) Mr. & Mrs. I. W. Rustin
- (6) 49 members of the South Burnaby Property Owners Association

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the five letters and one petition from the Association mentioned be referred to the Advisory Planning Commission for attention since the area referred to by the communicants is being studied by that body."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Executive Director, Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board,
wrote requesting that the Municipality remit its share (\$4,687.00) of the cost of operating the Board for the current year.

It was directed by Council that this matter be deferred until consideration later in the evening of a report from Councillor Hicks concerning the activities of the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board.

Mrs. E. Nourse submitted a letter applying for a refund of a sum deposited by her in 1959 for the construction of a lane and attendant drainage works that were required in conjunction with the subdivision of her property at 3303 Douglas Road. It was mentioned in her letter that the amount in question was \$1,140.00.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the request be tabled for a period of two weeks to allow all involved the opportunity to further study the matter, with it being understood that a list will be supplied Council indicating the monies which the Corporation has received for the construction of lanes since the policy governing this matter was introduced in 1958."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS WELLS
& HICKS - AGAINST

Secretary-Treasurer, Burnaby School Board, wrote submitting the annual budget of the Board for the current year in the total amount of \$9,689,371.00. He also suggested that Council meet with the Board to discuss the budget.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the invitation for the joint meeting mentioned in the letter be accepted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following matter was then lifted from the table:

1963 Local Improvement Initiative Ornamental Street Lighting Programme.

Mrs. P. Erwin wrote requesting permission for a spokesman from the "Government Park" area to address Council in connection with the subject matter.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the delegation be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. S. C. Irving appeared and presented a Brief elaborating on those points made in the earlier submission made by the people concerned as a result of Council initiating the proposal in question. The first point made by Mr. Irving was that Council should treat the "Government Park" area differently than the "Willows" area in the matter of Local Improvements because the two developments were established under completely different concepts.

Mr. Irving also stated that the majority of those in the "Government Park" area are opposed to the street lighting proposal because:

- (a) The utility poles to which the lights would be affixed border the pavement on the streets, with the result that electric wires are strung across the roads. Though Council plans to instal the wiring for the ornamental street lights

underground, this would be pointless when the area is already festooned with service and telephone wires. The addition of another pole adjacent the present one would only add to the ugliness of the area and further spoil it.

- (b) The current proposal is to instal 250 watt mercury vapour lamps at 130 foot spacings, a standard that will produce an intensity of illumination that is common on many main thoroughfares in Burnaby. This standard should be varied according to the nature of the street(s) or area to be served.
- (c) The mercury vapour type is a cold harsh light and adds nothing to the beauty of the surroundings.
- (d) Each property will be required to pay \$16.00 plus \$3.00 per annum for the work. If one central light mounted on an existing pole was added only three street lights would be needed on each street and the cost of them would be approximately \$204.00 per annum. Though the owners involved are required to pay the amount mentioned, it is not proper that the other ratepayers in Burnaby should be required to absorb the balance of the cost.

In conclusion, Mr. Irving requested that Council recognize the request of the petitioners in the "Government Park" area or, failing that, appoint a Special Committee to study the entire ornamental street lighting issue.

Mr. Donald Ross and a number of others in the "Deer Lake Place" area submitted a petition requesting that consideration be given to placing the light standards, proposed for installation as a result of a recent Local Improvement ornamental street lighting proposal, alternately on each side of the streets in the area mentioned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS;
"That the entire question of ornamental street lights be referred to the Policy Committee for further study to determine whether there might be a possibility that the standards of street lighting can be varied according to the nature of the street(s) or area to be served."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Hicks, as the representative on the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board, submitted a report reviewing the activities of the Board during the past year. He also made reference to the budget difficulties being experienced by the Board and emphasized the need for all member municipalities to unflinchingly contribute toward the cost of operating the Board according to the formularized apportionment established.

Councillor Hicks also advised that, in his opinion, the Provincial Government should assume its full share of the cost of participating in the operation of the Board since much of the land studied by the Board is owned by that Government.

In summation, Councillor Hicks recommended:

- (1) That Council approve payment of its share of the cost of operating the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board for the current year, the amount being \$4,687.00.
- (2) That the Provincial Government be reminded of its responsibility in connection with the matter of sharing in the cost of operating the Board.

In his report, Councillor Hicks also listed the 1964 Executive of the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the report of Councillor Hicks be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the first recommendation in the report be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the second recommendation in the report be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 6, 1964

Report No. 6, 1964 of the Municipal Manager, attached to and forming part of these Minutes, was dealt with as follows:

- (1) Easement - Westerly 20 feet of Parcel "A", Explanatory Plan 8801, S.D. 23/24, Blocks 1/3, D. L. 43, Plan 3227 (Conrad).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) Application for Welfare Institutions Licence - St. Michael's Kindergarten (9387 Holmes Street).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAILLY:
"That the recommendation of the Investigating Committee, as set out in the report of the Municipal Manager, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Encroachment Agreement - Lots 1 and 4, Block 13, D. L. 79, Plan 2814.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Water Lot 5870.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Easement - Portion of Lot 72, Block 16, D. L. 60, Plan 11902 (Friesen).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(6) Easement - North side of B. C. Hydro and Power Authority Right-of-Way between Royal Oak Avenue and Westminster Avenue south of Regent Street.

Some concern was expressed that the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority should ask for a consideration greater than the usual nominal one. It was felt that Council should lodge a protest with the Provincial Government against the demand of the Authority for a consideration of \$800.00 for the easement, principally because it is required for purposes of containing a sanitary sewer to serve the B. C. Institute of Technology - a Provincial Government agency.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:
"That the report of the Manager be received and the demand of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority for a consideration of \$800.00 for the easement in question be appealed to the Provincial Government for the reasons set out above."

It was suggested that, before such action was taken, answers be supplied to the following questions:

- (1) Under what authority does the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority ask for a consideration of \$800.00 for the easement in question?
- (2) What is the criteria for establishing this amount?
- (3) What was the date the right-of-way involved was acquired?
- (4) What is the width of this right-of-way?

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the proposal described in the resolution above be tabled for one week."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS CAFFERKY
& DAILLY - AGAINST

(7) Easements - (a) East 10 feet of Lot 16, Blocks 23/24, D. L. 34, Plan 1355 (Tulloch).

(b) South 16.5 feet of Block 31 East $\frac{1}{2}$, D. L. 35, Plan 799 (Simpson).

(c) South 16.5 feet of Lot "B", Block 2, D. L. 35, Plan 7643 (Oben).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) (a) Kensington Avenue from the site of the C. G. Brown Memorial Swimming Pool to Laurel Street.

(b) Laurel Street from Kensington Avenue to Sperling Avenue.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Street Lights.

(10) Estimates.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the recommendations of the Manager on the above two items be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) 3930 Hastings Street (Bruce).

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:
"That the report of the Manager be received and Mr. Bruce be advised that Council is not prepared to pay him the sum of \$5,000.00 as a consideration for vacating his premises at 3930 Hastings Street on the basis of the information presently at hand."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Street Lighting - 6th Street between Edmonds Street and 10th Avenue.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.


MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."


CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting then adjourned.

Confirmed:

Certified Correct:


REEVE


CLERK