

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF BURNABY

20 March 1964.

REPORT NO. 21, 1964.

His Worship, the Reeve,
and Members of the Council.

Gentlemen:

Your Manager reports as follows:

1. Re: Superannuation - Mrs. Maxine Fish.

Mrs. Fish is employed as a Clerk-Stenographer I in the Land Department and commenced contributions to the Superannuation Pension plan on March 9, 1964.

Due to an age factor, the following resolution is required:

"RESOLVED that Maxine Fish be included as an employee under the provisions of Section 3(2) of the Municipal Superannuation Act."

It is recommended that Council adopt the Resolution.

2. Re: Benches in Council Chambers.

At the direction of Council, cost figures have been obtained for three methods of providing upholstery for the Council Chamber benches.

This information is:

1. Nine cushions (three per bench) reversible.
Hard-wearing nylon fabric, sample attached.
2" Foam rubber 6' long x 14 1/2" wide

Cost	-	\$ 270.00
Plus applicable taxes.		

2. To upholster the seat with 2" foam rubber covered with the same fabric.
To be permanently attached to the benches.

Cost	-	\$ 290.00
Plus Applicable taxes.		

3. To upholster the seat and the back of the seat.
The seat to be the same as in item 2.
The back - the same nylon fabric but with felt padding.
Permanently attached to the benches.

Cost	-	\$ 375.00
Plus applicable taxes.		

Alternative number I has the following advantages:

1. The cushions are reversible for longer wear.
2. They can be factory made and delivered complete.
3. They will not detract from the natural beauty of the wooden benches and yet be quite comfortable.
4. They are cheaper.

(.....2)

Page 2
 REPORT NO. 21, 1964.
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER
 20 March 1964.

3 Re: Acquisition of Easement - Westerly ten feet of Lot 128 of Subdivision of Lot 59, remainder of Lot 59 and Lot 60, D. L. 33.

An easement is required for drainage purposes over the westerly ten feet of Lot 128 of Subdivision of Lot 59, Remainder of Lot 59 and Lot 60, D. L. 33, from Saratoga Investment Ltd., 5686 Victoria Drive, Vancouver, B. C. The property on which the easement is located fronts on Willingdon Avenue, approximately 300 feet south of Burke Street. There is no consideration payable by the Corporation.

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire this easement and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to sign the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

4 Re: Acquisition of Easement - Portion of Lot 179 and 180 of S.D. of Part of Block 1, and of Parcel "N" (Ref. Plan 8884) of Block 2 of Centre Portion D. L. 91, Plan 535.

An easement is required for drainage purposes over a portion of Lot 179 and 180 of Subdivision of part of Block 1, and of Parcel "N" (Ref. Plan 8884) of Block 2, of Centre Portion D. L. 91, Plan 535, from K. and I. Bridges, 6175 6th Street, Burnaby, B. C. The property on which the easement is located fronts on Imperial Street approximately 132 feet west of Grandview Douglas Highway. There is no consideration payable by the Corporation.

It is recommended that authority be granted to acquire this easement and that the Reeve and Clerk be authorized to sign the easement documents on behalf of the Corporation.

5 Re: 5964 Royal Oak Avenue.

The Corporation owns the property located at 5964 Royal Oak Avenue. The dwelling on the property was rented until the latter part of January 1964. Since that time the building has been badly damaged by vandals.

The Building Department considers the building should be demolished.

It is recommended that the building be demolished and that it be included in the next demolition tender.

6 Re: Chemical Treatment of Weeds.

The 1963 Council directed the Municipal Engineer to investigate results of others in the use of Chemicals to control weeds in ditches and to advise Council of his findings.

The Municipal Engineer now reports:

"Several months ago Council requested that I submit a report regarding the methods that can be employed to control the growth of weeds, particularly in our ditches and the concern with the Brushing and Ditching Account.

The work that the Department of Highways has conducted in this respect is of no real significance to us as I am given to understand that their use of chemicals has been very limited and was directed at the control of growth on banks some distance away from the road and did not concern the treatment of weeds in ditches.

We did, however, find that the B. C. Hydro Rail Division have used a chemical known as Atrazine and that there appears to be sufficient merit to carrying their investigations a little further, and this they are planning to do. Our investigation of the possible use of Atrazine has indicated to us that an expenditure of approximately \$113,500. would be required every second year to control

(.....3)

Page 3,
REPORT NO. 21, 1964.
MUNICIPAL MANAGER
20 March 1964.

(Item 6...re Chemical Treatment of Weeds.....continued)

growth of weeds in our ditches; this amount is approximately 40% of the present cost of Brushing and Ditching for two years.

It would appear that the use of Atrazine is economical, but, of course, this presumption is not valid because we do maintenance work on our ditches not just for the purpose of cutting weeds, but also for cleaning out gravel, dirt and other objects which have accumulated at the bottom of the ditch, thus preventing through flow of the water. It would appear that it is necessary to clean our ditches for this purpose alone and at the same time we are getting our necessary control of weed growth and thus the expenditure of the sum of \$113,500. over two years does not appear to be an economical one.

There may be a limited use for chemical treatment of those ditches where there is no appreciable problem of settlement of material and debris, that is, those that we would clean for weed growth only. I would recommend that we spend approximately a sum of \$100. on Atrazine treatment of ditches in lanes only this year and thus get some determination through our own experience of its possible effectiveness."

7. Re: Water Supply - Simon Fraser University.

On 10th February 1964, Council adopted a Report of the Policy Committee of the same date which set out terms and conditions attached to an offer of \$60,000. by the Corporation to the Simon Fraser University for the construction of a reservoir on the Mountain to be part of the water supply system to the University.

Dated 3rd March 1964, the President of Simon Fraser University advises that the University confirms complete approval of the Resolution passed by Council on 10th February 1964, concerning the financial degree of participation by the Municipality in providing ground storage facilities at the summit of Burnaby Mountain for the use of Simon Fraser University. The letter also stated that the University is prepared to purchase this water from the Municipality at a special rate to be negotiated.

8. Re: Fire Prevention By-law.

In 1952, a Fire Prevention By-law was presented to Council. Included in this By-law was a Schedule of Fees for certain Permits and Inspections.

Council did not adopt the proposed Fire Prevention By-law.

Subsequently, all but three of the Permit and Inspection fees were incorporated in other by-laws of the Corporation.

The Fire Chief now advises that his Department has been collecting these fees at all times but has just now discovered that there is no by-law support.

The Solicitor has been asked to prepare an amendment to the existing Fire Prevention By-law to incorporate permit fees for (a) the installation and inspection of gasoline tanks and pumps; (b) for the installation and inspection of a compressed gas system.

It is recommended the amending By-law be passed.

(.....4)

Page 4
 REPORT NO. 21,1964.
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER
 20 March 1964.

9. Re: Application of Benevolent Subdivision Policy
- S.D. Ref. #153/63 - Dowad and Clary.

The Subdivision proposed by Dowad and Clary in the Deer Lake Place area would affect two Municipal lots at Atlee Street.

The total servicing cost for paved streets, curb sidewalks and water is \$4,570.00. Municipal frontage is 140 feet and the rate per foot for servicing is \$20.58. The Municipal share would then be $140 \times \frac{20.58}{2} = \$1,440.60$.

It is recommended that Council authorize the sum of \$1,440.60 from the Revolving Fund set up for this purpose.

Messrs. Dowad and Clary have accepted this division of costs and advise your Municipal Manager that they will have a cheque for \$3,129.40 in the Treasurer's hands as soon as Council approves and the subdivision can then proceed.

10. Re: Local Improvement - Pender Street from Ingleton Avenue to McDonald Avenue.

A project for 36' pavement with curbs both sides, with allowance to South side for local improvement walk tax presently in force was defeated when initiated by Council.

The residents have subsequently reconsidered and since the project cannot be re-initiated until expiry of one year they submitted a petition for the work.

The Clerk has given his Certificate of Sufficiency for the Petition.

Submitted herewith is the Cost Report required by Section 601 of the Municipal Act.

It is recommended the Petition be approved and authority given for the preparation of the necessary By-law.

11. Re: 1963 Final Recast Budget.

Submitted herewith for the consideration of Council is the 1963 Final Recast Budget.

The surplus for 1963 is \$72,618.00 including Parks Surplus.

It is recommended the Recast be approved and instructions given for the surplus to be brought forward into the 1964 budget.

12. Re: Street Lights.

Submitted herewith is the Municipal Engineer's report covering suggested street light installations.


It is recommended the installations suggested be approved.

13. Re: Estimates.

Submitted herewith is the Municipal Engineer's report covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$5,000.

It is recommended the estimates as submitted be approved.

Respectfully submitted,



H. W. Balfour,
 MUNICIPAL MANAGER.