

SEPTEMBER 23, 1963

An Adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Wednesday, September 23, 1963 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Cafferky,
Clark, Drummond, Harper, Kalyk,
MacSorley and Wells

ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS:

The B. C. Hydro and Power Authority wrote giving an explanation for reduction in the emergency trouble crew coverage for the Lower Mainland area in response to the Council's request following receipt of a complaint from the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers.

Principal reasons for withdrawal were noted by the Authority as:

- (1) curtailment of the service will produce an annual saving of at least \$30,000.00
- (2) the average number of trouble calls to be handled between midnight and 6:00 a.m. is less than one per shift
- (3) service between 4:00 p.m. and midnight can be adequately handled by one crew
- (4) the workmen freed by these changes can be much more productively assigned to other duties - there will be no reduction in total staff
- (5) emergency coverage provided in Vancouver will be equal to the best provided by other large centres in the Pacific Northwest.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the letter from B. C. Hydro and Power Authority be received and that no action be taken on the request of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers to formally protest the reduction of the emergency crews."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Bethel #15, International Order of Job's Daughters wrote requesting permission to hold a candy drive in North Burnaby on November 18th, 19th and 20th.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:
"That permission be granted as requested."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RESOLVED INTO A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE AT 7:45 P.M.

The Manager's Report No. 52, 1963 attached to and forming a part of these Minutes was dealt with as follows:

- (1) Re: Acquisition of Easement - South 10 feet Lot 78 of Parcel "A", Explanatory Plan 11953, Block 5, D. L. 131, Plan 3052 (Oats)

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That authority be granted for the Reeve and Clerk to execute the easement agreement covering the acquisition of an easement over the south 10 feet of Lot 78 of Parcel "A", Explanatory Plan 11953, Block 5, D. L. 131, Plan 3052 for sewer purposes."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) Re: Offer of Dredge Spoil - North Fraser Harbour Board.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Re: Sprott Street - Douglas Road to Overpass.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Cafferky submitted that a suggestion had been made by Messrs. Downs and Williams, owners of land at the south-west corner of Sprott Street and Sperling Avenue from whom this Corporation had recently expropriated sufficient land for the widening of Sprott Street that an exchange of land be considered in the negotiation for the Sprott Street land to include a strip of land along the Sperling Avenue side of the property for road widening purposes.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the entire question of expropriation and negotiations for acquisition of land from the property of Messrs. Downs and Williams at the south-west corner of Sprott Street and Sperling Avenue be referred to the next Policy Committee meeting for consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A suggestion was made that some savings in barging gravel down the North Arm of the Fraser River for the Municipality's needs might be effected due to decreased carriage costs by this method. It was submitted that the Municipality of Richmond were barging gravel supplies for their needs at a saving and it was submitted that such methods might be investigated.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That the matter of barging gravel supplies down the North Arm of the Fraser River as a means of effecting savings in the supply of gravel for this Municipality be referred to the Municipal Engineer for investigation and report to the Council."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

In connection with the barging of gravel the Manager submitted that an investigation had been made and the results were that the movement of gravel by this method would not be economic in view of the short haul and the fact that the material would be subject to re-haul to site locations from stockpiling.

(4) Re: Rezoning Applications

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the rezoning applications be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship, the Reeve introduced the matter of paving Beresford Street between Cassie Avenue and Willingdon Avenue pointing out that a Local Improvement was to be installed on Kathleen Avenue - being the centre street between these two Avenues - and that all other roads were paved in the area except the subject portions of Beresford Street and it was recommended that these portions be installed at Municipal expense in order to complete the pavements within this area.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Reeve be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The rezonings per Item #4 of the Manager's Report were dealt with as follows:

(1) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 4, Block 5, Parcel "D" Except Explanatory Plan 13661, Plan 10578 - From Residential Single Family to Commercial.

Location: The subject property is located on the north-west corner of Loughheed Highway and Bell Avenue.

Recommendation: We would re-affirm our earlier recommendation which was adopted by Council and recommend that this application for spot rezoning not be favourably considered for the reasons noted in the Planning Department's report dated September 20, 1963. It is further recommended that no zoning changes be entertained within the University - Burnaby Mountain study area which is to be examined by a consultant.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 40, Block 1, Lot 1, Plan 3040 - From Residential Single Family to M1 Industrial.

Location: The subject property is located on the southwest corner of Government Street and Brighton Avenue. The rail right-of-way borders the property on the south.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the rezoning of Lot 1, Block 1, D. L. 40, Plan 3040 save and except the north 150' from Residential Single Family to M1 Industrial be advanced to a public hearing, further consideration of the rezoning to be subject to the applicant:-

- (a) subdividing the property into two parcels, the north 150 feet which will retain the residential zoning and the remainder which is slated for M1 zoning;
- (b) the dedication of the south 66' of the property for road.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Application for the rezoning of Lot 17 Except Ref. Plan 17221 and Lot 1C Except Sketch 9639, Blocks 1/4/6, D. L. 125, Plan 3520 - From Auto Court to Commercial.

It was reported that Mr. R. Edwards, Solicitor for the applicant had requested that this application be deferred for one week to September 30th Council meeting.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:
"That this application be tabled to the Council meeting of September 30th, 1963."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (4) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 206, Block 2, S.D. 4/5, Lot 1, Plan 19158 - From Local Commercial to Commercial

Location: The subject property is located on the north-east corner of Kensington Avenue and Curtis Street.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the rezoning of Lot 1, S.D. 4/5, Block 2, D. L. 206, Plan 19158 from Local Commercial to Commercial be advanced to a public hearing and that a suitable plan of development be submitted before an amendment by-law is finally passed.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS BLAIR &
CLARK - OPPOSED

- (5) Application for the Rezoning of D. L. 127, Block 86, Lots 4 and 5, Plan 4953 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

Location: The subject properties are located on the north side of Hastings Street 198 feet east of Springer Avenue.

Recommendation: We would re-affirm our recommendation made on a similar application involving the two adjacent properties to the east. That this application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered and that no zoning changes be entertained on the Hastings Street frontage between Delta and Howard until:

- (1) Hastings Street widening takes place.
- (2) Secondary access is available to all properties in order that the efficiency and safety of the improved street is not reduced.
- (3) Sanitary sewer and storm drainage is available.
- (4) Land assembly takes place to round out small or irregular shaped parcels, and that once those problems have been resolved, rezoning be considered on a block by block basis as the problems unique to the particular block are overcome.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (6) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 127, Block "P", Lot 1 Except N 20' and Except S 15', Plan 1254 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

Location: The property involved is located on the south-east corner of Hastings Street and Springer Avenue.

Recommendation: The requested rezoning is in accord with our thoughts on the ultimate land use for this block and we would therefore recommend that the application go forward for further consideration subject to the applicant constructing the lane allowance across the rear of the property. No further applications should be considered in the block until the stipulations earlier set down by Council have been met.

Some discussion ensued on the existing regulations for provision of parking areas in apartment zones as contained in the Town Planning By-law and it was felt that one parking space for each apartment unit should be stipulated in the regulations; it being understood that such regulation applied only to one of the apartment zones at the present time.

The Acting Planning Director confirmed that the Multiple Family Type III zone only provided for parking space on the basis of 100% of the apartment units allowable in such zones.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Acting Planning Director be instructed to apprise the applicant in Item #6 of the rezoning applications of the concern of the Council regarding the ratio of parking spaces to apartment units and that he be asked to provide parking spaces on a 100% basis."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:
"That the Manager be instructed to bring down an Amendment to Burnaby Town Planning By-law 1948 to provide a minimum of one parking space for each apartment unit to be made applicable to all Multiple Family zone categories."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (7) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 120, Lot 36, Plan 25111 - From Cemetery to High Rise Apartments and D. L. 33, Blocks 55/85, Lots 8, 9, 10 and 11, Plan 1825 - From Residential Two-Family to High Rise apartments.

Council is aware that High Rise zoning does not exist in the present by-law but that provision has been made for such development in the new draft zoning by-law distributed to Council on September 3rd.

As Council has not as yet considered the new regulations and as it is not feasible nor desirable to amend the existing by-law to permit High Rise development when the adoption of a completely new zoning by-law is imminent, we must recommend that the two applications involving the above described properties be rejected and that the applicants be advised when Council is in a position to consider applications for High Rise zoning.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (8) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 70W $\frac{1}{2}$ of E $\frac{1}{2}$, Block 7, Lot 15, Plan 1399 - From Residential Two-Family to MI Industrial.

Location: The subject property is located on the north side of the Grandview Highway, 462 feet east of Willingdon.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this application for spot rezoning not be favourably considered for the reasons noted above. It is further recommended that consideration be given to rounding out the Corporation holdings in this area while the privately owned land is still vacant or poorly improved.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That the recommendation of the Planner that this application for spot rezoning not be favourably considered be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner that consideration be given to rounding out the Corporation's holdings in this area while the privately owned land is still vacant or poorly improved be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS KALYK &
DRUMMOND - OPPOSED

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY WITHDREW FROM THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

- (9) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 60N, Lot "B" Sketch 10145, Plan 5295 - From Residential Two-Family to Commercial

Location: This is the Rio Vista Inn property located on the north side of Grandview-Douglas Highway, the southerly portion being opposite the intersection of Spruce Street.

Recommendation: It is recommended again as in the past that this application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered for the reasons noted in the Planning Department's Report dated September 20, 1963.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND -
OPPOSED

(10) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 79S, Block 7, Lot 10, Plan 2547 - From Small Holdings to Commercial.

Location: The subject property is located on the south side of the Grandview-Douglas Highway, immediately west of the intersection of Gilpin Street.

Recommendation: It is recommended that the negotiations currently being carried on with the owner of this property be continued and that the property be acquired as originally directed by Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY RETURNED TO THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

(11) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 20S, Block 3, S.D. 1/10 and 21/33, Lots 1, 2, 3, Plan 2105 - From Residential Two-Family to Gasoline Service Station.

Location: The subject property is located on the northeast corner of Grandview-Douglas Highway and Tenth Avenue.

Recommendation: Following an extensive review of this intersection and the surrounding area, we would reaffirm the recommendation made on an identical application in 1960 that this application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the rezoning of properties within the subject application be approved for further consideration and be submitted to Public Hearing."

MOTION LOST
IN FAVOUR - COUNCILLORS
DRUMMOND, WELLS,
MacSORLEY & KALYK
AGAINST - REEVE EMMOTT,
COUNCILLORS BLAIR,
CLARK, HARPER AND
CAFFERKY

Some discussion followed on the traffic situation at this location and the difficulties which would be encountered if a service station were permitted on this corner due to the variation in the width of the Highway on the Westminster side of Tenth Avenue. The traffic situation generally between Tenth

Avenue and Edmonds Street was noted and it was felt a survey should be made to determine whether or not the Highway was of adequate width in view of its link between two major roads (Tenth Avenue and Edmonds Street). The best use aspect of the properties under application was also discussed.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Acting Planning Director be asked to conduct a study of the subject properties from the viewpoint of the highest and best use of the land and the study to include the general adequacy of the Grandview-Douglas Highway between Edmonds Street and Tenth Avenue to handle existing volumes of traffic."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY -
OPPOSED

- (12) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 29, Block 10, Lot 11, Plan 10745 - From Multiple Family Type II to Multiple Family Type I.

Location: The subject property is located on the north side of 13th Avenue 200 feet west of Mary Avenue.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this application not be favourably considered but that the applicant be directed to the Zoning Board of Appeal for relaxation of the Residential Multiple Family Type II minimum frontage requirement on this property.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR BLAIR LEFT THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

- (13) Application for rezoning of D. L. 30, Block 31, Lot 7, Plan 3036 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

Location: The above mentioned property is located on the south side of 17th Avenue, 396' east of Mary Avenue,

Recommendation: We would recommend on this application as we did on the similar application on 18th Avenue that the application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR BLAIR RETURNED TO THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

- (14) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 95N, Block 1/3, S.D. 277, Lot "B", Plan 1035 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

Location: The above property is located on the north side of Elwell Street 198' west of Salisbury Avenue.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered for the reasons noted.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (15) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, R.S.D. "S", Lot B, Plan 14335
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, R.S.D. 146/149, Lot A1 Except East 55'
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, Lots 137/138, Plan 1056
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, Lots 135/136, Plan 1056
D. L. 95N, Lot 154, Plan 22004
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, R.S.D. 146/149, Lot A1 East 55', Plan 16435
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, Lots 139 and 140, Pl. 1056
D. L. 95N, S.D. 277, Lots 152 and 153 exc. pt. on By-law #50949, Plan 1056
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, Lot 143, Plan 1056
D. L. 95N, Blocks 1/3, S.D. 277, Lots "F" and "E", Plan 11754 and 1056
From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family

Location: All of the properties are located in the block bounded by Elwell, Walker, McBride Streets and Salisbury Avenue. Parcels of land within this block have frontages varying from 32' to a maximum of 96 feet.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this application for rezoning not be favourably considered for the reasons noted, there being land better suited for this use in the immediate vicinity.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (16) Application for the rezoning of Lot "A", Block 1C, Block 13 N 210', D. L. 97 - From Light Industrial to Residential Multiple Family Type II.

Location: The properties are located on the east side of Buller Avenue approximately 90 feet north of Beresford Street.

Recommendation: As on the initial application, it is recommended that this application for "spot rezoning" to allow the apartment use of this property in the heart of the industrial area not be favourably considered. As we have earlier reported, a broader zoning change to Residential Two-Family, the limits of which must be determined in conjunction with the apartment zoning of the subject property, could be defended as a reasonable zoning pattern. If Council wishes to consider the broader rezoning, as discussed in September 1962, the various land use maps and other pertinent data collected will be updated and submitted in a detailed report which will include a definite recommendation on the extent of the Residential Two-Family area.

Correspondence received from the applicant on the subject application comprized of three letters dated August 3th, September 18th and September 21st, 1963 were presented to the Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That the rezoning of the subject property to Residential Multiple Family Type II be approved for further consideration on the basis of the original conditions laid down by the Council on the prior application dealt with in September 1962."

IN FAVOUR -COUNCILLORS
WELLS & MacSORLEY

AGAINST - COUNCILLORS
CLARK, HARPER, KALYK,
BLAIR, CAFFERKY &
DRUMMOND

MOTION LOST

Some discussion ensued on the effect of the existing regulations imposed on homeowners located in industrial and commercial areas and the suggestion was made that such regulations be reviewed and possibly revised so that restrictions in effect limiting homeowners in regard to building improvements might be altered. Reference was made to the area surrounding the property under application which had been recommended previously for rezoning back from industrial to residential two-family zoning and it was suggested that this area might be looked upon as a model for the subject regulation review.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the area previously laid out by the Planning Department in relation to the subject application be referred to the Advisory Planning Commission for review of the restrictions on the area under existing zoning and how such restrictions affects the properties within the said area, and such study be a criteria for other similar effects on land owners in industrial areas generally."

CARRIED

IN FAVOUR -COUNCILLORS
DRUMMOND, KALYK, WELLS,
BLAIR & CLARK

AGAINST - REEVE EMMOTT,
COUNCILLORS HARPER
CAFFERKY & MacSORLEY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendations of the Planner on the subject application brought before the Council in September 1962 be referred to the Advisory Planning Commission for further study."

IN FAVOUR -REEVE EMMOTT,
COUNCILLORS BLAIR,
DRUMMOND & HARPER
AGAINST - COUNCILLORS
CLARK, KALYK, MacSORLEY,
CAFFERKY & WELLS

MOTION LOST

- (17) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 90, Block 42 Except N 33' Except S 130.5', Plan 573 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family Type I.

Location: The subject property is located on the south side of Sidley Street approximately 230 feet west of Royal Oak

Recommendation: The rezoning of this property in isolation is undefendable and the creation of a larger zone has been examined but cannot be recommended. It is therefore recommended that this application for "spot rezoning" not be favourably considered.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (18) Application for the rezoning of D. L. 90, Block 10, Lot 1 Exc. Pcl. "D" expl. pl. 11325, Plan 0104 - From Commercial to Residential Multiple Family Type I.

Location: The subject property is located on the south side of Imperial Street approximately 92 feet east of Nelson.

Recommendation: It is recommended that Council re-affirm its previous decision made on this application in December, 1962 - that the rezoning not be entertained.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLORS WELLS &
CLARK - OPPOSED

- (19) Application for the rezoning of
(a) D.L. 99, Block 2, Lot 1, Plan 1700
(b) D.L. 99, Block 2 E pt., Lot 2, Plan 1700
(c) D.L. 99, Block 2 E pt., Lot 3, Plan 1708
(d) D.L. 99, Block 2 W pt., Lot 1 W $\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 W $\frac{1}{2}$, Pl. 1579
From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family

Location: The subject properties are all located on the south side of Imperial Street, the first three between Frederick Avenue and the lane to the west, the fourth on the southeast corner of Dow and Imperial.

Recommendation: As noted in our previous reports on similar applications, it is felt that the zoning pattern in the area south of Imperial Street is well suited to the existing development and we are unable to recommend favourable consideration of this application for "spot rezoning".

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the recommendation of the Planner be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That rezoning applications under Items 20, 21, 22 and 23 be
tabled for a period of one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:
"That "BURNADY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1940, AMENDMENT BY-LAW
NO. 11, 1963" be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR KALYK:
"That "BURNADY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1940, AMENDMENT BY-LAW
NO. 11, 1963" be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and
Clerk and the Corporate Seal be affixed thereto."

CARRIED
REEVE EMMOTT,
COUNCILLORS BLAIR &
HARPER - OPPOSED

COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY WITHDREW FROM THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
AT 11:15 P.M.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:
"That Councillors Kalyk and Cafferky act with His Worship the
Reeve as a Court of Revision to revise and correct the 1963-64
Municipal List of Electors and that the first sitting of the
Court be held at 12:00 o'clock Noon, November 1st, 1963."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That leave be given to introduce "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT
CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 7, 1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1959,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 11, 1962,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 13, 1960,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE-TAX BY-LAW NO. 2, 1962,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE-TAX BY-LAW 1963,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1963"
"BURNADY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1963"
"BURNADY WATERWORKS CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW, 1963"

and that they now be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the By-Laws be now read a Second Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Council do now resolve into Committee of the Whole
to consider the By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the Committee do now rise and report the By-laws
complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:
"That "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 7,
1961, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 5, 1959,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 11, 1962,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 13, 1960,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE-TAX BY-LAW NO. 2, 1962,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FRONTAGE-TAX BY-LAW 1963,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1963"
"BURNADY ROAD ACQUISITION & DEDICATION BY-LAW NO. 6, 1963"
"BURNADY WATERWORKS CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW 1963"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 9, 1963"
BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 10, 1963"
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CAFFERKY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WELLS:
"That "BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 9, 1963"
"BURNADY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION BY-LAW NO. 10, 1963"
be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the
Corporate Seal be affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY