

OCTOBER 9, 1962

A Regular meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Tuesday, October 9, 1962 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott In the Chair;
Councillors Blair, Clark, Edwards,
Harper, Hicks and MacSorley

ABSENT: Councillors Kalyk and Prittie

Reverend P. O. Hauge led in Opening Prayer.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That Councillors Kalyk and Prittie be granted leave of absence from this meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council was informed that a representative of the Burnaby Chamber of Commerce was present to address them on the matter of developing a brochure publicizing the Municipality.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That a representative of the Chamber be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. H. Lawrie of the Chamber appeared and displayed a "blue line" of the brochure and explained the contents of it. He pointed out that this "blue line" could be altered, if necessary.

While describing the contents of the brochure two suggestions were made by Council, as follows:

- (1) That the new nomenclatures assigned to schools, as a result of the "Chant" report, be used in the brochure.
- (2) That the word "typical" be used to describe the housing development shown in the brochure instead of the word "new".

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

General Secretary, Local 23, Burnaby Civic Employees' Union, submitted a letter serving notice upon Council that the Union

wishes to reopen all of its agreements with the Corporation and indicating that the matters for negotiation would be submitted in due course.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the letter be referred to the Municipal Manager for attention and the necessary action."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Municipal Chapter, I.O.D.E., submitted a letter enquiring as to why a Cenotaph has not been erected in this Municipality.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the letter be referred to Reeve Emmott for reply."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Lozells Ratepayers Association, submitted a letter forwarding a resolution requesting that consideration be given to the matter of electing a Parks and Recreation Commission rather than appointing one, as is done at present.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Association be informed of the history in connection with the subject matter and further, that this matter be referred to the Policy Committee for further consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Robert W. Prittle, Member of Parliament, Burnaby-Richmond, submitted a letter tendering his resignation as a Member of Council, effective October 31, 1962.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the resignation of Mr. Prittle be accepted, with sincere regret."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Miss Flora M. Macdonald wrote expressing her appreciation to Council for recognizing her services as a member of the Victorian Order of Nurses and presenting her with a "Meritorious Achievement" award in commemoration of this service.

REPORT OF POLICY COMMITTEE

(1) Block 7, D. L. 92, Plan 1146 (Mariacher).

The Committee advised that the Municipal Manager had submitted a report on a letter received from the above noted person expressing an interest in selling his property (described in caption) and requesting information on plans of the Municipality for the extension of services into his area, adding that he was endeavouring to sell his lot for the purpose of raising some needed capital. The Committee added that Mr. Mariacher had also requested that consideration be given the matter of exchanging his property for serviced lots of equal value.

The Committee pointed out that the report of the Manager indicated there was no justification of the usual sort (i.e., road, park, or school) for this Corporation to acquire the subject property since there are no plans at the present time which require Municipal ownership of the land, although two major street alignments, which would have encroached on the parcel, had earlier been contemplated.

The Committee further reported that the Manager had advised that consideration was also given the question of whether or not the Corporation had any other reason to justify the purchase of the property, the rationalization supporting this approach being as follows:

- (a) The Corporation owns parcels of equivalent value on both sides of the subject lot, and Municipal ownership of this property would facilitate subdivision to a limited degree.
- (b) It is possible a somewhat better reconciliation of the location for the Oakland Diversion to further subdivision would result if the parcel was in Municipal ownership.
- (c) It was possible a more economical sub trunk of the sewer system could be devised at some future date if the property in question was owned by the Corporation.

The Committee added that the Manager had indicated that from the knowledge of the Municipal Departments, the asking price of \$14,000.00 was considered quite excessive for the parcel in question.

The Committee recommended that Mr. Philip Mariacher, owner of the subject Block 7, be informed that there is no normal justification for the purchase by this Corporation of his property but that consideration of his offer might be given again if a more realistic purchase price could be negotiated.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That all of the words after the word
"Corporation" in the last paragraph of
the report be deleted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Committee,
as amended, be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) B. C. Wrecking Company Ltd. (7450 Meadow Avenue).

The Committee reported that it had received a letter from the President of the above noted Company requesting a review of his earlier application for permission to use land at 7450 Meadow Avenue for the purpose of selling used building material salvaged from the business of wrecking homes and buildings.

The Committee pointed out that the Company had, in its letter, guaranteed to keep the property in a neat condition in accordance with sanitary and fire regulations and it had also suggested that if the land should eventually be required for some other purpose, a limiting clause be inserted in the agreement making it possible to review the operation after a five year term and also, that there be an option to renew.

The Committee reported that the previous application of the Company had been refused on the grounds that this would be one of the first industries in the portion of the Fraser River Flats industrial area and that it was considered important that a first industry be of a good standard. It added that during inspection it was noted that the property was flanked by a heavy equipment operation on one side and a similar use on the other.

The Committee recommended that the application of B. C. Wrecking Company Ltd. for permission under Section 13 of Burnaby Town Planning By-law to use land legally described as Lot 4, D. L. 155A, Plan 1249, located at 7450 Meadow Avenue, as a used building material sales yard for a period of five years, with an option to renew, be approved in principle, and that final approval be contingent upon the possibility of a proper legal agreement being drawn embodying the terms outlined herein.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the Council now resolve itself
into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Parks and Recreation Commission submitted a report in connection with an enquiry by the Lower Mainland Parks Advisory Association as to whether this Municipality favours the establishment of a Regional Park Authority advising that it feels that:

- (a) There is undoubted merit in the proposal that it would be advantageous to the development of major parks within the metropolitan area if such an Authority were established.
- (b) There is not sufficient information relative to the implications involved in the establishment of such an Authority, such as the relinquishment of local autonomies and the financial structure of the Authority.
- (c) The Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board of B. C., in its report "Land for Leisure" made an excellent case in support of the need for park planning and stated its ability to do such planning if the necessary funds were made available.

In view of this last mentioned point, the Commission reported that it considers the proper approach to the problem (which admittedly exists) would be to commission the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board of B. C. to conduct a study of park planning (as referred to under Part III of Section 3 of the report "Land for Leisure", including a comprehensive examination of the matter of properly organizing a Regional Park Authority.

The Commission added that it was aware the above proposal would involve a cost to those municipalities represented on the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board of B. C., but it is considered this would be money well spent in attacking a vital problem in a manner which should have the best opportunity of producing a solution.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the views of the Parks and Recreation Commission be endorsed and further, that the matters of delegating authority to a Regional Parks organization and the financial ramifications involved in establishing such a body, be considered in detail in the study which it is proposed be made by the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board of B. C."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The following matters were then lifted from the table:

- (1) An application to rezone Lot "A", Block 18 and also a 210 foot portion of Block 13 both of D. L. 97 from Light Industrial to Residential Multiple Family Type II.
- (2) An application to operate a junk yard at 2222 Alpha Avenue.
- (1) Mr. W. A. Street, Barrister and Solicitor was present to address Council on this application. He displayed an Architect's conception of the development proposed and also distributed a brochure illustrating the current development situation. Included in this brochure was a map outlining an area which Mr. Street suggested could be rezoned to residential in conjunction with the rezoning of the subject property to Multiple Family Type II.

He stressed that the amenities shown on the Architect's plan are in accordance with the proposed development, and added that

taxes on the property after it has been developed for Multiple Family purposes would be approximately \$15,000.00 per annum. Mr. Street stated that he appreciated the servicing problems relative to the development of the property for Multiple Family use and he also mentioned that he was aware of the petition submitted favouring the development.

Mr. Street also advised that he was in accord with the views of the Planning Department relative to the disintegration of the area into an industrial slum and he drew attention to a number of pictures in the brochure which prove that this condition has begun to manifest itself.

Mr. Street stated that he disagreed with the opinion of the Planning Department that Council should examine the entire area if it is to consider the rezoning at all since such action is both impractical and unrealistic. In support of this statement, Mr. Street advised that to rezone an area of the kind outlined by the Planning Department would result in many industries becoming non-conforming.

He hastened to add that Council, however, would likely not want the proposed apartment to be developed in isolation. He suggested that, if this were true, Council should advance for Public Hearing the area shown on the map in the brochure for rezoning to residential in conjunction with his application and, if this failed, the application at hand could then stand alone.

Mr. Street also stated that it is proposed to construct an apartment consisting of 70% two bedroom suites, 25% three bedroom suites and 5% one bedroom suites.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the Planning Department examine the feasibility of rezoning that tract bounded by the southern edge of "Kingsway" commercial zone, Gilley Avenue, the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority Right-of-Way, and Merritt Avenue, except the subject property, to Residential Two Family."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council also suggested that, during consideration of this matter, the Planning Department obtain the views of the School Board on the adequacy of school facilities in this area if the total rezoning proposal was effected.

(2) MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That this matter be tabled for a further period of one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE REEVE DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:15 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:25 P.M.

Municipal Manager reported verbally on the following two applications for rezoning, which were dealt with by Council at its meeting on September 24th:

Item #7 - Lots 18 and 19, Block 37, D. L.'s 151/3, Plan 2069 From Residential Two Family to Residential Multiple Family.

The Manager advised that the Planning Department had submitted a further report in connection with this proposed rezoning, pointing out that the three suggestions made by the applicant on September 24th could not be accepted. He added that a second, though less desirable, alternative would be a dedicated lane along the rear of the properties between Cassie Avenue and Willingdon Avenue. On this point, he mentioned that the applicant is prepared to dedicate the lane allowance over the first lot but is reluctant to dedicate an allowance over the interior lot since it would mean the loss of area and therefore suites; further, the owner of the third lot at the north-east corner of Maywood Street and Willingdon Avenue is not prepared to dedicate land for lane purposes.

The Manager advised that, following its review of the problem of secondary access, the Planning Department was reaffirming its earlier recommendation that the lots be consolidated and that final approval of the rezoning not be considered until this has been done.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the report be received and the information conveyed duly noted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item #17 - Lots 1 and 2, Block 85, D. L. 127, Plan 4953 From Residential Two Family to Residential Multiple Family Type 1.

The Manager advised that the Planning Department had discussed the development proposed for the subject lots with the applicant and he has been unable to provide any rough sketches or description of the type of development being considered at this time. It was added that the applicant is also giving consideration to expanding the area under application to include more property. In view of the situation, the Manager advised that the Planning Department was unable to supply Council with additional information and it was therefore recommending that its earlier report be adopted, thus giving the property owners in the block an indication of Council's feeling with respect to the future land use for the block.

It was added that when further information is available on the lots covered by the current application, it will be assessed by the Planning Department and a report submitted.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department on the subject rezoning be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 53, 1962.

- (1) Building No. 12 - Barnet Village (Lot "B", Block 8, D. L. 214).

The Manager recommended that the Fire Chief be authorized to demolish the building on the above described property, which is owned by the Corporation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER;

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) 1963 Court of Revision.

The Manager reported that advice has been received from the Assessment Commissioner that, by Order-in-Council No. 2223, the dates, place and time of the 1963 Court of Revision has been set. He added that the first Sitting of the Court in Burnaby would be on February 4, 1963 at 10:00 a.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the report of the Manager be
received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Easement - Westerly 15 feet of Lots 50/52, S.D. 28, Block 5, D. L. 207 (Karras and Gail Construction Co. Limited).

The Manager submitted a report recommending that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement, which is required for drainage purposes, over the above described property.

He added that there is no consideration payable by the Corporation for this easement.

He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of the necessary documents.

- (4) Easement - West 8 feet of Lot 21, Blocks 30/34, D. L. 98, Plan 2066 (Kelly).

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement for storm sewer purposes over the above described property for a consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area. He added that the owners have consented to permit the use of additional land during the construction period.

The Manager also recommended that Council authorize the execution of the necessary documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendations of the Manager
respecting Items (3) and (4) be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Special Survey - D. L. 28

The Manager submitted a report in connection with a Special Survey which was undertaken in Blocks 1, 2, 5, and 6 of D. L. 28 reviewing the origin of the need for the Survey.

He pointed out that the costs of the Survey must be first paid by the Municipality under the Special Surveys Act and subsequently assessed by By-law against the properties affected on an area basis. He submitted a statement of the costs in this regard which indicated that the total was \$5,149.50.

The Manager also explained that compensation forms part of the cost and is payable or receivable according to the increasing or decreasing effect of the Survey on dimensions of the properties involved. He pointed out that compensation has been set at \$60.00 per front foot and the costs payable by those owners whose lots have been increased in size by the Survey ranges from \$5.30 to \$301.93.

He also cited Section 37 (1) of the Special Surveys Act which sets out the procedure to be followed in connection with the charging of costs of a Special Survey carried out under the Act.

The Manager pointed out that the Surveyor had recommended that all buildings which encroach over the lot boundaries, as fixed by the Special Survey, on adjoining property be authorized to remain in their present position during their lifetime. He added that the Surveyor had also recommended that the fences not constructed on the boundaries fixed by the Special Survey be permitted to remain in their present location for a maximum period of two years or any other period mutually satisfactory to the owners involved.

The Manager recommended:

- (a) That the necessary Assessment By-law be passed to assess the costs and compensation against the respective property owners in accordance with the Order-in-Council, and to provide for payment to those owners whose lot boundaries have been decreased.
- (b) That the assessments be levied at a rate of \$50.00 per annum in respect of those properties where more than \$50.00 is assessable and that the first of such payments be due with the next annual taxes. He added that the annual payment would be subject to the usual rate of interest and the owners would have the opportunity to pay cash prior to the due date for taxes in 1963, if desired.
- (c) That all other assessments less than \$50.00 be levied in one year and carry the same cash privileges.

- (d) That the property owners be notified of the provisions of the Order-in-Council, as recommended by the Surveyor, pertaining to fences and encroachments at the same time as notice of the assessment is mailed.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the first recommendation be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the second recommendation be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the third and fourth recommendations
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (6) Lot "B", Block 44, D. L. 153, Plan 14243 (Middlegate Apartments Limited).

The Manager reported that a subdivision of the above described lot, which is located on the west side of Dow Avenue south of the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority right of way, involves the construction by the owner of a 33 foot road (Beresford Street) from Dow Avenue west a distance of 231 feet and also a 20 foot lane along the west side of the property.

He advised that the owner (Middlegate Apartments Limited) has signed the usual subdivision servicing agreement to construct the road and lane in accordance with the specifications, instructions, and design of the Municipal Engineer, and to complete the work on or before March 5, 1963.

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the execution of the agreement.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (7) Sewer Trunk Programme.

The Manager submitted a report indicating the results of the efforts made by the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage

District to obtain a loan under Part VI (b) of the National Housing Act to construct the Central Valley Interceptor, the Grassmere Trunk, and the Sperling Avenue Trunk. In this regard, he advised that the District had informed him that the application for a loan had been rejected by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation on the basis that the proposed works could not be regarded as main collection sewers.

The Manager pointed out that since our application was to enable the sewer trunk construction programme to be advanced and in view of the situation related in the first paragraph, the Sewerage District has made an enquiry as to the action this Corporation desires on the subject matter. He added that the District had mentioned that if the work proceeded this winter, it should be eligible for assistance under the Winter Works Incentive Programme.

The Manager further advised that, while studying the situation, it was ascertained that Runk C.10 was also rejected by the Mortgage Corporation for financing, and it appears that these applications are at least marginal in nature.

He stressed that the financial benefit under the pertinent part of the National Housing Act is so beneficial it is difficult to yield without a further presentation. In this connection, he suggested that personal representation be made by this Corporation to the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation in Ottawa.

Reeve Emmott recommended that both the Sewers Engineer, Mr. V. Kennedy, and himself be authorized to attend upon the officers of the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation in Ottawa for the purpose mentioned by the Manager in his report.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Reeve be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Claim - 5635 Halifax Street.

The Manager reported that the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority had submitted a claim in the amount of \$144.05 for damage to a gas service at the above noted address.

He advised that the Municipal Engineer had reported that the service was damaged by a hired operator of a G.1000 Gradall while widening the street.

He recommended that the claim be paid.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted and an attempt be made to recover the cost of the claim from the Company which insured the machine that did the damage."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (9) The Manager submitted a report of the Fire Chief covering the activities of his Department during the month of September 1962.
- (10) The Manager submitted a report of the Medical Health Officer covering the operations of his Department during the month of August 1962.
- (11) The Manager submitted a report of the Chief Licence Inspector covering the activities of his Department during the month of September 1962.
- (12) The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Construction Progress during the month of September 1962.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the above four reports be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(13) Allowances.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Treasurer covering applications for allowance of percentage addition charges under Section 411 of the Municipal Act, as follows, in the total amount of \$402.85 recommending that these allowances be granted:

62-22	D.L. 187, Blk. 27 Lot 28, Plan 1282 Code 7001215	E. Smith, 4335 Eton St. Burnaby 2, B.C. 1962 Penalty	\$ 8.11
62-23	D.L. 92, Lot 31N½, Plan 1146 Code 3020376	Wm. H. Hill, 6708 Colborne Ave. Burnaby 1 1960 Penalty 1961 Arrears Interest 1962 Delinquent Interest	\$ 14.48 7.98 <u>5.87</u> \$ 28.33
62-24	D.L. 151/3, Blk. 24, Lot 25, Pl. 2001 Code 5045118	E. Dakin, 6591 Lily Ave. Burnaby 1 1960 Penalty 1961 Arrears Interest 1962 Delinquent Interest 1961 Penalty 1962 Arrears Interest	13.30 7.21 5.45 18.41 <u>8.49</u> \$52.86
62-25	D.L. 27, Blk. 11 Lot 20, Plan 697 Code 1015049	A. McDonell, 8127 -13th Ave. Burnaby 3 1960 Penalty 1961 Arrears Interest	12.68 <u>.76</u> \$13.44

62-26 D.L. 216, Blk. 2 Lot 108, Pl. 11656 Code 7122628	Anne M. Duller, 8 7064 Sierra Dr. Burnaby 2		
	1959 Penalty	\$ 29.74	
	1960 Arrears Interest	15.01	
	1961 Delinquent Interest	15.91	
	1962 Delinquent Interest	12.06	
	1960 Penalty	27.83	
	1961 Arrears Interest	18.37	
	1962 Delinquent Interest	13.92	
	1961 Penalty	28.99	
	1962 Arrears Interest	12.27	
1962 Penalty	<u>16.63</u>	\$ 190.73	
62-27 D. L. 28C, Blk. 14 Lot 2, Plan 4278 Code 1017238	M. E. Aitchison, 7571 - 6th St. Burnaby 3		
	1960 Penalty	21.85	
	1961 Arrears Interest	14.40	
	1962 Delinquent Interest	<u>10.98</u>	\$ 47.23
62-28 D.L. 127E $\frac{1}{2}$, Blk. 3 Lot 6, Plan 1342	M. A. Kedward, 521 Holdom Avenue, Burnaby 2		
	1960 Penalty	14.98	
	1961 Arrears Interest	8.32	
	1962 Delinquent Interest	3.94	
	1961 Penalty	16.05	
1962 Arrears Interest	6.45		
1962 Penalty	<u>7.66</u>	\$ 57.40	
62-29 D.L. 27, Blk. 12 Lot 21, Plan 697 Code 1015097	J. Wiseman, 8093 -13th Ave. Burnaby 3		
	1962 Penalty	<u>4.75</u>	\$ 402.85

MOVED BY COUNC'LLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNC'LLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(14) Street Lights.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering the installation of the following street lights, recommending that these installations be approved:

- (a) Marlborough Ave. and Bennett Street (400 M.V.)
- (b) Marlborough Avenue and Grimmer (South Intersection)
- (c) Norland Avenue west side of 2757
- (d) Norland Avenue west side of 2829
- (e) Norland Avenue east side of 3015
- (f) Norland Avenue and Darnley Street
- (g) Auckland Avenue and Sprout Street

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(15) Burnaby Highway Expropriation By-Law No. 3, 1962.

The Manager reported that this By-law covers the expropriation of portions of fourteen lots on the section of Hastings Street east of Kensington Avenue.

He recommended that Council pass a resolution to file the plans and specifications of the work proposed under this By-law with the Municipal Clerk, as is required pursuant to Section 483 of the Municipal Act.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Municipal
Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(16) Winter Works.

The Manager reported that there is no change from the 1961-62 Winter Works Programme with respect to participation by the Senior Government in this programme.

He advised that a programme is being developed which includes sewers, waterworks, sidewalks, road construction, swimming pools and park work. In the case of parks, he pointed out that the following programme has been approved by the Commission:

- (a) The clearing of trails and the cleaning of the forest on Burnaby Mountain.

It has been estimated that 40 men would be employed 51 days at a cost of \$42,240.00 and that the cost to the Municipality would be approximately \$3,600.00.

- (b) Clearing a Survey line around the proposed golf course site in D. L. 137.

It has been estimated that 10 men would be employed 51 days at a cost of \$11,134.86 and that the cost to the Municipality would be approximately \$1,475.00.

The Manager pointed out that the above two projects would utilize unemployed employables on the Social Assistance roll

and that an appropriation from the Contingency Account would be necessary for the "Burnaby Mountain" project while the second one could be charged to the Capital portion of the Parks Budget.

The Manager recommended that:

- (a) The two projects mentioned, which would use unemployed employables on Social Assistance, be approved as a Winter Works Incentive Item.
- (b) Council authorize an application under the Winter Works incentive programme for those projects considered to be eligible, on the understanding that a report containing details of the applications made would be submitted later.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendations of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(17) Rest Home Application - Lot 4, Block 19A, D. L. 97
(Best).

The Manager reported that the Investigating Committee had considered an application for a Rest Home on the above described property, known as 7258 Curragh Avenue, and was recommending approval of the building on this property for not more than four persons, providing:

- (a) A double sink is installed in the kitchen.
- (b) The front door opens out.
- (c) All aged persons are housed on the main floor.
- (d) The basement wiring is redone up to and including the first outlet on the main floor.
- (e) The unapproved added wiring from the basement is removed.
- (f) The kitchen receptacles are wired as appliance outlets and the two gang switch in the kitchen is installed in accordance with requirements of the Electrical Code.
- (g) The main floor bathroom switch and lighting outlet are grounded.

The Manager added that the Planning Department had not recommended favourably consideration of the application because the property is located in the "Kingsway" Light Industrial zone and one of the large industries in the area is located across the street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the report be tabled pending receipt of a report from the Planning Department on the rezoning proposal for the area bounded by the southern edge of the "Kingsway" commercial zone, Gilley Avenue, the B. C. Hydro and Power Authority right-of-way, and Merritt Avenue, as more particularly mentioned earlier in this meeting."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the report be received and referred
to the Policy Committee for further
consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That a Public Hearing be held on Tuesday,
November 6th, 1962 at 7:30 p.m. in the
Municipal Hall to deal with those rezoning
applications recently approved for further
consideration."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1962"
"BURNABY SPECIAL SURVEY ASSESSMENT BY-LAW, 1962"
and that they be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the By-laws be now read a Second
Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the Council do now resolve into
Committee of the Whole to consider the
By-laws."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the Committee rise and report
the By-laws complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR BLAIR:

"That "BURNABY EXPROPRIATION BY-LAW NO. 3, 1962"
"BURNABY SPECIAL SURVEY ASSESSMENT BY-LAW, 1962"
be now read a Third Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 3, 1962"
be now reconsidered."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That "BURNABY ROAD CLOSING BY-LAW NO. 3, 1962"
be now finally adopted, signed by the Reeve
and Clerk and the Corporate Seal be affixed
thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That plans and specifications of the work
or undertaking pursuant to "Burnaby Highway
Expropriation By-law No. 3, 1962" being
By-law No. 4398, be filed with the Municipal
Clerk as required under Section 483 of the
Municipal Act."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting then adjourned until Monday, October 15, 1962
at 7:30 p.m.

Confirmed:

Certified Correct:


REEVE


CLERK