

MAY 23, 1961

An Adjourned Meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Tuesday, May 23, 1961 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Reeve Emmott in the Chair;
Councillors Clark, Drummond,
Edwards, Harper, Hicks,
MacSorley and Prittie

ABSENT: Councillor Jamieson

Secretary-Treasurer, Burnaby Public Library wrote requesting permission for the Library Board to make a presentation to Council in respect of the provision of a new Library Headquarters.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That a delegation from the Library Board
be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. L. J. Costley, Chairman of the Library Board, appeared and read from a Brief which had been previously circulated to each Councillor in which a request was made that Council approve in principle the construction of a new Library Headquarters on the present site of the South Burnaby Library at an estimated cost of \$125,000.00 to \$150,000.00. Mr. Costley reviewed the salient features of the Brief and emphasized that the Board had reached the conclusion that a new building was required after thorough consideration of the problem of accommodation for Library facilities and ancillary quarters. He also requested that Council authorize the appointment of an Architect to prepare plans for the proposed building.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the delegation be thanked for its presentation and approval in principle granted to the construction of the building proposed, as more particularly described in the Brief of the Library Board."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

It was understood by Council that the Library Board would arrange for the interviewing of Architects and submit a recommendation to Council as to the choice of an Architect for the designing of the building in question.

Mr. P. P. MacCarthy submitted a letter expressing concern with Council's choice of location for the proposed "Clifton G. Brown" Memorial Swimming Pool and setting forth reasons in support of his contention that Council should have selected the site of the Municipal Hall for this recreational facility.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the letter be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor Prittie submitted a report in connection with the question of a site for the proposed indoor swimming pool requesting that Council reconsider this matter. In support of his request, Councillor Prittie emphasized that:

- (a) Council as a whole had devoted comparatively little time to the matter of a site selection
- (b) The decision had been made with certain essential information lacking.

Councillor Prittie pointed out in his report that he was not necessarily asking that Council rescind its previous decision but that they merely re-examine their choice of a site.

The Reeve declared that a Motion to reconsider the matter at hand would be out of order but that Council could, if it so felt, introduce a Motion to rescind the previous decision of Council on the subject matter.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Motion passed by Council on March 27th selecting a site in the vicinity of Sperling Avenue and Sprout Street for the location of the proposed enclosed swimming pool be rescinded."

IN FAVOUR - REEVE EMMOTT;
COUNCILLORS PRITTIE & HICKS
AGAINST - COUNCILLORS EDWARDS,
MacSURLY, DRUMMOND, HARPER &
CLARK

MOTION LOST.

The Clerk was then directed to convey the thanks of Council to Mr. MacCarthy for the interest which he has evinced in the subject matter and to assure him that the points raised in his letter regarding site location were given serious consideration by the Special Committee when it was investigating the feasibility and practicability of constructing an indoor swimming pool for use by the entire community.

The Council also directed the Chairman of the Swimming Pool Committee to consult the Chief Building Inspector in regard to the engaging of an Architect to design the proposed pool and, following this consultation, the Committee is to discuss this matter of hiring an Architect and other issues in connection with the proposed construction which are as yet unresolved. They further instructed the Committee to submit a report when it has completed its deliberations.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Council now resolve itself
into Committee of the Whole."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Grants Committee submitted a report recommending that grants be made to the following Organizations in the amounts indicated:

- (1) No. 637 "L" Squadron, RCAC - \$ 480.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR HICKS -
AGAINST.

- (2) B. C. Amateur Sports Council - \$ 100.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Burnaby Chamber of Commerce - \$2,000.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR HICKS -
AGAINST.

- (4) Burnaby "Conquer Cancer" Campaign - \$ 300.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (5) Vancouver Art Gallery - \$ 100.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT OF POLICY COMMITTEE

Your Committee met on Tuesday, May 9th, 1961 to consider two letters from the legal firm of Campney, Owen & Murphy on behalf of their clients, Brentwood Park Properties Ltd. and Lake City Industrial Corporation Ltd. The legal firm made reference to the passage of two by-laws, one imposing a frontage tax for sewer purposes upon an owner of land, and

the other imposing a sewer charge against the owner or occupier, for use of the sewerage system (By-laws No. 4229 and 4231).

The legal firm charged that the Frontage Tax By-law was discriminatory against their clients, in that the sewerage system serving the properties of their clients was constructed at no expense to the Municipality, and that the relief granted for residential properties in this category is disproportionate to that granted to their clients owing to the frontage variation between a residential site and that of their clients. The legal firm also suggested that charges imposed under the Sewer Charge By-law are similarly discriminatory against their clients. The legal firm advised their instructions were to take steps to declare the by-laws invalid unless assurance was given that steps would be taken to remedy this discrimination.

Following discussion and a report of the Municipal Manager a motion to amend the Sewer Charge By-law to relieve those properties, where sewers have been installed at no expense to this Corporation, from the capital portion of the sewer user charge, was tabled to the next Policy Committee meeting. The Committee again met on this subject on Monday, May 15th when the motion tabled from the previous meeting was lifted from the table, and was defeated by vote upon the question being put. Your Committee in effect, therefore recommends that no amendment be made to By-laws No. 4229 and 4331, and that the solicitors for Brentwood Park Properties Ltd. and Lake City Industrial Corporation Ltd. be so advised.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE REPORT

(1) Speed limit at Playgrounds.

The Committee reported that in August of last year Council had amended the Street and Traffic By-law by deleting reference to the speed limit of 15 m.p.h. in school zones but that it had not taken like action with respect to playground zones because the signs which were then in place were shortly to be taken down. The Committee pointed out that the understanding was that the By-law could be further amended in the Spring of 1961 to delete the clause which established the speed limit at playgrounds at 15 m.p.h. The Committee pointed out that signs at playgrounds indicating a speed limit of 20 m.p.h. have now been erected and, though this is consistent with the provisions of the Motor Vehicle Act, it is nevertheless repugnant to our own By-law. The Committee recommended that the Street and Traffic By-law be amended by striking out the clause which establishes the speed limit in playgrounds at 15 m.p.h.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) Bus Stops at Miscellaneous Locations.

The Committee recommended that the following new bus stop establishments and bus stop relocations, as the case may be, be approved:

- (a) a new northbound stop on Bainbridge Avenue opposite the driveway to the Northern Asbestos Plant.
- (b) a new southbound stop on Bainbridge Avenue opposite the driveway to the Delnor Building.
- (c) a new eastbound stop on Clinton Street farside Plum Avenue.
- (d) a new westbound stop on Clinton Street farside Plum Avenue.
- (e) the present nearside northbound stop on 6th Street at 12th Avenue to be relocated to a farside position.
- (f) the present nearside northbound stop on 6th Street at 15th Avenue to be relocated to a farside position.
- (g) the present nearside southbound stop on 6th Street at 15th Avenue to be relocated to a farside position.

The Committee pointed out that because of the present stage in the construction of the Delnor Plant, the presence of a bus stop would conflict with construction operations. They suggested that the B. C. Electric Company Limited be requested to defer establishment of this stop until such time as progress on the Plant has advanced to the point where construction personnel are able to park in the compound of the Plant and not on Bainbridge Avenue.

The Committee further recommended that the present westbound nearside bus stop on Rumble Street at Gilley Avenue be relocated to a farside southbound position on Gilley Avenue at Rumble Street because the road at the present location has no shoulder to accommodate a bus pull-off and thus a congestive problem has been caused by busses being required to stop partly on the travelled portion of the roadway.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the
Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) 19th - 20th Diversion and Stride Avenue.

The Committee reported that over the past year traffic in the above noted area has changed to the extent that the Diversion is now carrying a greater volume than Stride Avenue. They recommended that the stop signs on the 19th - 20th Diversion at Stride Avenue be removed and placed on Stride Avenue at the Diversion.

It was reported verbally to Council that the stop signs in question have already been reversed by the Engineering Department.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:
"That the action of the Engineering Department
in reversing the stop signs at the 19th-20th
Diversion and Stride Avenue be ratified."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Willingdon Avenue and Grassmere Street.

The Committee reported that subsequent investigation by the Engineering Department of the above noted intersection has led them to believe that the installation of a gravel footpath on the east side of Willingdon Avenue from Grassmere Street 100 feet south (as approved by Council in October of last year) would likely invite complaints and criticism as it would destroy the developed boulevards of the properties involved and further, that this short section of footpath would hardly be effective in attracting the few pupils that do jaywalk across Willingdon Avenue. The Committee also advised that the Engineering Department felt the crosswalk should be installed at the northern street line of Grassmere Street rather than at the south street line. The Committee reported that it concurred with the views of the Engineering Department and that it was accordingly recommending that Council rescind its previous approval to construct the footpath above described, and to also amend its direction respecting the establishment of the crosswalk mentioned from the "south crosswalk" of Grassmere Street to the "north crosswalk" of Grassmere Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) New Playgrounds.

The Committee recommended that playground signs be installed on:

- (a) the east side of Kensington Avenue from a point south of Sumas Street to a point north of the lane north of Sumas Street (Parkcrest Park)
- (b) the east side of McKay Avenue from a point south of Irmin Street to a point north of Watling Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Committee
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MUNICIPAL MANAGER -- REPORT NO. 25, 1961.

(1) Easements - South Slope Sewer Project - Phase #2

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of the following easements and also the execution of the easement documents:

- (a) The east 10 feet of Lot 1, Block 42 South 138.5 feet, D. L. 90, Plan 12571 (Dreger) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.
- (b) The south 10 feet of Lot 5, S.D. 2, Blocks 35/37 and 52/54, D. L. 90, Plan 1597 (Falkner and Ochodek) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.

- (c) Portion of Lot "A" Block 16, D. L. 98, Plan 2127, Sketch 4964 except Sketch 6435 (Allen) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.
- (d) That portion of Lot 3 shown outlined in red on Plan No. 22946, Block 10, D. L. 158 East half, Plan 1277 (Wight) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.
- (e) That portion of Lot "D" shown outlined by plan No. 22844 R.S.D. 3, S.D. 1, Block 4, D.L. 162, Plan 12154 (Gunther) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.
- (f) That portion of Lot 5 shown outlined on Plan Number 22946, Block 10, D. L. 158E $\frac{1}{2}$, Plan 1277 (Bankes, Martin, and Keisberg) - Consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of easement area.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(2) 1961 Local Improvement Initiative Paving Programme.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Clerk setting out objections received against works proposed under the above noted programme, as follows:

RE: 1961 LOCAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE PAVING PROGRAMME

In accordance with Section 589 of the Municipal Act, I beg to report that I have published in a newspaper circulating in the Municipality and also served upon the owners of property affected, Notice of Intention to construct asphaltic pavement of the widths indicated on the following streets, and that I have received objections against the proposed works as noted:

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO.OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO.OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|------------------------|---|--|--------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| (A) <u>TWENTY FOOT</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 1. ADAIR St. | Cliff Ave. | Sperling | 12 | 7 | 10 | \$ 31,860. | \$15,930. | \$ 20,125. |
| 2. BALMORAL St. | Griffiths Ave. | Salisbury | 24 | 13 | 1 | 27,165. | 13,583. | 1,345. |
| 3. BALMORAL St. | Sperling Ave. | Colbourne | 15 | 8 | 0 | 73,715. | 36,858. | 0 |
| 4. BETA Avenue | Pender St. | Union St. | 12 | 7 | 0 | 13,695. | 6,848. | 0 |
| 5. BROADWAY | Sperling Ave. | Kensington | 18 | 10 | 0 | 43,240. | 21,620. | 0 |
| 6. BROADWAY | WPL of Lot 51, S.D.1, Blks. 2/17, D.L. 130/131 | 27 ft. West of EPL of Lot 63, S.D. 1, Blks. 2/17, D.L. 130/131, Pl. 15413 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 70,495. | 35,248. | 0 |
| 7. BROOKLYN Ave. | Dunnedin St. | Union St. | 11 | 6 | 1 | 16,955. | 8,478. | 1,240. |
| 8. CAMBRIDGE St. | Beta Ave. | Gamma Ave. | 17 | 9 | 0 | 16,795. | 8,398. | 0 |

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|--------------------|------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| 9. CARNEGIE St. | Invergarry | WPL of Lot 15, S.D. 7, D.L. 206, Pl. 19007 | 23 | 12 | 11 | \$ 28,790. | \$14,395. | \$ 14,045. |
| 10. COLBOURNE Ave. | Kingsway | Imperial St. | 10 | 6 | 0 | 75,140. | 37,570. | 0 |
| 11. DARWIN St. | Fir St. | Pine St. | 12 | 7 | 10 | 15,975. | 7,988. | 0 |
| 12. DAWSON St. | Kensington Ave. | WPL of Lot 21, Blk. 10, D.L. 130, Pl. 15713 | 43 | 22 | 1 | 46,650. | 23,325. | 1,050. |
| 13. DOMINION St. | Smith Ave. | Boundary Rd. | 24 | 13 | 11 | 27,510. | 13,755. | 13,210. |
| 14. DUNCAN Ave. | Carnegie St. | Union St. | 4 | 3 | 0 | 5,520. | 2,760. | 0 |
| 15. DUNNEDIN St. | Cliff Ave. | WPL of Lot 33, Blk. 1, S.D. 2, D.L. 206, Plan 19729 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 17,975. | 8,988. | 0 |
| 16. DUNNEDIN St. | Kensington | Grove Ave. | 25 | 13 | 0 | 35,185. | 17,593. | 0 |
| 17. FRANCES St. | Gilmore Ave. | Madison Ave. | 68 | 35 | 9 | 55,185. | 27,593. | 6,640. |
| 18. GEORGIA St. | Gilmore Ave. | Madison Ave. | 51 | 26 | 1 | 53,690. | 26,845. | 1,345. |
| 19. GEORGIA St. | Rosser Ave. | Willingdon | 29 | 15 | 0 | 27,000. | 13,500. | 0 |
| 20. GRAFTON COURT | Forglen Dr. East | and cul-de sac | 6 | 4 | 0 | 9,185. | 4,593. | 0 |
| 21. GRAFTON St. | Forglen Dr. | Nelson Ave. | 16 | 9 | 0 | 23,600. | 11,800. | 0 |

1961

Local Improvement Initiative Paving
Programme.

Page 358

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|-------------------|--|---|------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 22. GRANT St. | WPL of Lot 2, Blk. 104, D.L. 129 | EPL of Lot 3, Blk. 103, D.L. 129, Pl. 21996 | 8 | 5 | 0 | \$ 9,955. | \$ 4,978. | \$ 0 |
| 23. GRASSMERE St. | Sussex Ave. | Willingdon | 20 | 11 | 1 | 49,370. | 24,685. | 4,725. |
| 24. GREENWOOD St. | Bainbridge Ave. West | WPL of Blk. 5, D.L.'s 44/78/131/ 136, Pl. 11087 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 164,270 | 82,135. | 0 |
| 25. GRIMMER St. | Royal Oak Ave. | WPL of Lot 17, D.L. 94 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 52,785. | 26,393. | 24,810. |
| 26. HALIFAX St. | Blaine Ave. | Duthie Ave. | 23 | 12 | 1 | 25,850. | 12,925. | 1,340. |
| 27. HOLDOM St. | Hastings St. | Pandora St. | 10 | 6 | 0 | 52,345. | 26,173. | 0 |
| 28. HUXLEY Ave. | Fir St. | Spruce St. | 8 | 5 | 0 | 258,485. | 129,243. | 0 |
| 29. INVERGARRY | Carnegie St. | Dunnedin St. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 8,405. | 4,203. | 0 |
| 30. KENSINGTON | Winch St. | Kitchener St. | 8 | 5 | 0 | 10,645. | 5,323. | 0 |
| 31. KENSINGTON | Curtis St. | Union St. | 9 | 5 | 0 | 69,460. | 34,740. | 0 |
| 32. KINCAID St. | Grandview- Douglas Hwy. | Royal Oak Avenue | 23 | 12 | 17 | 61,030. | 30,515. | 30,765. |
| 33. KITCHENER St. | Cliff Ave. | Duthie Ave. | 20 | 11 | 0 | 33,370. | 16,685. | 0 |

- 1961 Local Improvement Initiative
Paving Programme.

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 34. LAUREL St. | Douglas Rd. | Godwin St. | 9 | 5 | 0 | \$ 32,905. | \$ 16,453. | \$ 0 |
| 35. LYNTHURST St. | North Road | Noel Dr. | 20 | 11 | 0 | 54,395. | 27,198. | 0 |
| 36. McKEE St. | Strathearn | Gray Ave. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 8,075. | 4,038. | 0 |
| 37. MANDY Ave. | Imperial St. | Hurst St. | 7 | 4 | 0 | 25,630. | 12,815. | 0 |
| 38. MARTIN PLACE | Willoughby Ave. and cul-de East sac | | 10 | 6 | 1 | 10,630. | 5,415. | 1,030. |
| 39. MISSION Ave. | Kingsway | 120 ft. South of North Prop- erty Line of Lot "A", Blks. 4/5, D.L. 96, Pl. 3849 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 163,240. | 81,620. | 0 |
| 40. MORLEY St. | Walker Ave. | Malvern Ave. | 7 | 4 | 0 | 26,515. | 13,258. | 0 |
| 41. MOSCROP St. | Boundary Rd. | Smith Ave. | 20 | 11 | 0 | 27,400. | 13,700. | 0 |
| 42. MOSCROP St. | Smith Ave. | Inman Ave. | 8 | 5 | 1 | 26,510. | 13,255. | 10,440. |
| 43. MOSCROP St. | Inman Ave. | Patterson Ave. | 11 | 6 | 0 | 20,500. | 10,250. | 0 |
| 44. MYRTLE St. | Ingleton Ave. | Gilmore Ave. | 10 | 6 | 0 | 50,730. | 25,365. | 0 |
| 45. NELSON Ave. | Portland St. | SPL of Lot 44, Blk. "R", D.L. 157 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 36,075. | 18,038. | 11,680. |
| 46. NURSERY St. | Grandview Hwy. | 6th Street | 16 | 9 | 0 | 34,190. | 17,095. | 0 |

- 1961 Local Improvement Initiative
Paving Programme.

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% REQUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|---------------------|----------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| 47. PANDORA St. | Howard Ave. | Fell Ave. | 20 | 11 | 13 | 30,245. | 15,123. | 16,250. |
| 48. PHILLIPS Ave. | Curtis St. | Aubrey St. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 12,350. | 6,175. | 0 |
| 49. PHILLIPS Ave. | Government Rd. | Lougheed Hwy. | 19 | 10 | 0 | 82,085. | 41,043. | 0 |
| 50. PINE St. | Huxley Ave. | Darwin Ave. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 6,840. | 3,420. | 0 |
| 51. ROSSER Ave. | Juneau St. | Lougheed Hwy. | 11 | 6 | 0 | 46,780. | 23,390. | 0 |
| 52. SARDIS CRESCENT | Nelson Ave. | Grafton St. | 20 | 11 | 0 | 23,670. | 11,835. | 0 |
| 53. SHERLOCK Ave. | Halifax St. | Kitchener St. | 26 | 14 | 0 | 38,485. | 19,243. | 0 |
| 54. SIXTH St. | Stanley St. | Nursery St. | 8 | 5 | 0 | 21,490. | 10,745. | 0 |
| 55. SOUTHWOOD PLACE | Southwood St. | and cul-de-sac | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7,030. | 3,515. | 0 |
| 56. SPERLING AVE. | Walker Ave. | NPL of Lot "A", Blk. 1, D.L. 86 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 14,340. | 7,170. | 0 |
| 57. SPRUCE St. | Huxley Ave. | WPL of Lot 20, Blk. 2, D.L. 38, Pl. 17967 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 10,090. | 5,045. | 5,820. |
| 58. SPRUCE ST. | Royal Oak Ave. | Grandview-Douglas Hwy. | 48 | 25 | 0 | 110,025. | 55,013. | 0 |
| 59. SUSSEX AVE. | Sardis St. | Buxton Place | 7 | 4 | 0 | 9,490. | 4,745. | 0 |
| 60. THURSTON St. | Jersey Ave. | Inman Ave. | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4,585. | 2,293. | 0 |

- 1961 Local Improvement Initiative
Paving Programme.

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|---------------------|--|---|------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 61. TWELFTH Ave. | Kingsway | Mary | 5 | 3 | 0 | \$ 69,050. | \$ 34,525. | \$ 0 |
| 62. WATLING St. | Dow Ave. | Sussex Ave. | 5 | 3 | 0 | 17,870. | 8,935. | 0 |
| 63. WILLOUGHBY Ave. | Casewell St. | NPL of Lot 11, D.L. 8, Plan 18053 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 9,250. | 4,625. | 0 |
| 64. WILLOUGHBY Ave. | NPL of Lot 11, D.L. 8, Pl. 18053 | Lyndhurst | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4,645. | 2,323. | 0 |

(B) SPECIAL TWENTY FOOT PROJECTS

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|------------|----|----|---|---------|---------|---|
| 65. TENTH Ave. | Langley St. | Holmes St. | 24 | 13 | 0 | 52,845. | 26,423. | 0 |
| 66. TENTH Ave. | 18th Street | Kingsway | 45 | 23 | 0 | 49,080. | 24,540. | 0 |

(C) TWENTY-EIGHT FOOT

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|----|----|---|---------|---------|---|
| 67. BRAESIDE Dr. | Bayview Ave. | and cul-de- sac | 12 | 7 | 0 | 13,675. | 6,838. | 0 |
| 68. BUXTON St. | Royal Oak Ave. | Nelson Ave. | 22 | 12 | 0 | 12,810. | 6,405. | 0 |
| 69. COQUITLAM St. | 10th Ave. | 11th Ave. | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6,535. | 3,268. | 0 |
| 70. COQUITLAM St. | 12th Ave. | Armstrong Ave. | 7 | 4 | 0 | 8,350. | 4,175. | 0 |
| 71. DUBOIS St. | Joffre Ave. | Mandy Ave. | 17 | 9 | 0 | 21,060. | 10,530. | 0 |

- 1961 Local Improvement Initiative
Paving Programme.

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| 72. ELEVENTH Ave. | 6th St. | 8th St. | 39 | 20 | 0 | \$ 46,260. | \$ 23,130 | \$ 0 |
| 73. EMPIRE Drive | Delta Ave. | Hastings | 34 | 18 | 22 | 46,750. | 23,375. | 27,805. |
| 74. FELL Ave. | Broadway | Curtis St. | 45 | 23 | 2 | 105,030. | 52,515. | 5,005. |
| 75. FRANCES St. | Willingdon | Beta Ave. | 59 | 30 | 0 | 54,795. | 27,398. | 0 |
| 76. GLYNDE Ave. | Empire Dr. | Dundas St. | 27 | 14 | 15 | 28,445. | 14,223. | 17,170. |
| 77. HURST St. | Boundary Rd. | Joffre Ave. | 14 | 8 | 0 | 20,040. | 10,020. | 0 |
| 78. JOFFRE Ave. | Imperial St. | lane south of Hurst | 10 | 6 | 0 | 14,790. | 7,395. | 0 |
| 79. PANDORA St. | Barnet Rd. | EPL of Lot 27, Blk. 1, D.L. 216, Plan 10936 | 27 | 14 | 0 | 44,230. | 22,115. | 0 |
| 80. PANDORA St. | Hythe Ave. | lane west of Grosvenor | 17 | 9 | 3 | 69,475. | 34,738. | 5,405. |
| 81. RIDGE Drive | Ridge Dr. North | and cul-de- sac | 36 | 19 | 0 | 49,740. | 24,870. | 0 |
| 82. THIRTEENTH Ave. | Wright St. | Cumberland | 26 | 14 | 0 | 31,225. | 15,613. | 0 |
| 83. THIRTEENTH Ave. | Newcombe St. | 6th Street | 88 | 45 | 2 | 129,470. | 64,735. | 2,010. |
| 84. WOOLWICH Ave. | Broadway | Halifax St. | 20 | 11 | 1 | 26,060. | 13,030. | 1,540. |

- 1961 Local Improvement Initiative
Paving Programme.

| STREET | FROM | TO | NO. OF OWNERS | 51% RE- QUIRED TO DEFEAT PROJECT | NO. OF OBJECTIONS | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND | 50% REQUIRED | TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT |
|---|---------------|-------------|------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| (D) <u>THIRTY-SIX FOOT</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 85. BETA Ave. | Hastings St. | Pender | 4 | 3 | 0 | \$ 20,555. | \$ 10,278. | \$ 0 |
| 86. BRITTON St. | Kingsway | Edmonds | 2 | 2 | 0 | 91,695. | 45,848. | 0 |
| 87. HASTINGS St. | Cliff Ave. | Duthie Ave. | 28 | 15 | 0 | 47,755. | 23,878. | 0 |
| 88. SPRINGER Ave. | Hastings St. | Capitol Dr. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3,545. | 1,773. | 0 |
| (E) <u>FORTY-SIX FOOT WITH CONCRETE CURBS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 89. BETA Ave. | Lougheed Hwy. | Ridgelawn | 4 | 3 | 0 | 257,120. | 128,560. | 0 |
| (F) <u>FORTY-TWO FEET OF ADDITIONAL PAVING WITH CONCRETE CURBS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 90. SUNSET St. | Smith Ave. | Inglton | 11 | 6 | 0 | 37,985. | 18,993. | 0 |

Section 509(1) of the Municipal Act provides that where a majority of the owners affected by a proposed work petition the Council not to proceed, the work shall not be undertaken. As a majority of the owners under Project Numbers 1, 32, 47, 57, 73, 76, have signified their objection, Council is therefore estopped from proceeding with these works.

In addition, objections have been received from a majority of the owners affected under:

- #25 - Grimmer Street
- #45 - Nelson Avenue

but the petitioners do not represent one-half of the assessed value of the land liable to be specially charged

and therefore Council is not legally obliged to accept the petitions against these two projects.

Also, one late objection was received against the proposed paving of Georgia Street between Rosser Avenue and Willington Avenue (#19). This objection was in the form of a petition signed by eleven owners. As fifteen owners had to signify their objection to this project in order to defeat it, the petition which was received did not represent the majority of the owners and therefore would not have been sufficient even if it had been received prior to the final date for objections.

The Manager pointed out that project numbers 1, 32, 47, 57, 73 and 76 cannot be proceeded with as the objections received were from a majority of the affected owners who represented more than one-half of the assessed value of the land liable to be specially charged. He also pointed out that objections against projects 25 and 45 were received from a majority of the affected owners but the petitioners represented less than one-half of the assessed value of the land liable to be specially charged and therefore these two cases would require special decision by Council.

He recommended that Council accept the programme, as approved by the property owners, including Projects Number 25 and 45.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(3) Proposed 1961 Local Improvement Initiative Sidewalk Programme.

The Manager submitted a cost report prepared by the Municipal Engineer, as required under Section 600 of the Municipal Act, respecting the above noted programme, as follows:

FOUR FOOT SIDEWALKS

| <u>SIDE</u> | <u>STREET</u> | <u>FROM</u> | <u>TO</u> | <u>LENGTH</u> | <u>EST. TOTAL COST</u> | <u>EST. CORP. STAT. SHARE</u> |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. South | Albert St. | Esmond Ave. | WPL Lot 9, Blk. 7, D.L. 21C | 400' | \$1,440.00 | NIL |
| 2. East | Douglas Road | Sprott St. | Grandview Highway | 240' | 664.00 | NIL |
| 3. East | Duncan | Hastings | Union St. | 950' | 3,420.00 | \$115.00 |
| 4. South | Grandview-Douglas | Sperling | Rugby St. | 1,040' | 3,744.00 | 72.00 |
| 5. West | Linden | Elwell St. | Imperial | 1,160' | 4,548.00 | NIL |
| 6. Both | Malvern | Imperial | Morley St. | 1,050' | 3,780.00 | 144.00 |
| 7. West | McPherson | Rumble St. | Clinton | 530' | 1,906.00 | 144.00 |
| 8. West | North Rd. | Lougheed | Lyndhurst | 4,270' | 15,372.00 | NIL |
| 9. South | Parker | Ingletton | Gilmore | 925' | 3,330.00 | NIL |
| 10. Both | Pender | McDonald | Gilmore | 660' | 3,168.00 | NIL |
| 11. North | Rosewood | Grandview-Douglas | 6th St. | 1,130' | 4,066.00 | 72.00 |
| 12. West | Smith | Fir St. | Kincaid (Omit Lot "C", Blk. 17, D.L. 39) | 1,135' | 4,066.00 | 288.00 |
| 13. Both | Ulster | Grandview-Douglas | Dead End | 1,370' | 5,232.00 | 72.00 |

The lifetime of the works is twenty years
The special assessment shall be made payable in
fifteen annual instalments.

The Manager also presented cost reports respecting construction of various types of five foot sidewalks but asked that this portion of the sidewalk programme be withheld at this time. He added that a further report would be submitted on these items which are to be withdrawn at this time.

The Manager recommended that Council approve the four foot sidewalk programme, as outlined above.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Local Improvement Initiative
Sidewalk Construction Programme for the
four foot projects be approved for
submission to the property owners affected."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Manager also submitted a revised cost report of the Municipal Engineer dealing with the proposed construction of five foot curb sidewalks on 10th Avenue between Langley Street and Holmes Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the revised cost report be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(4) Supply of Asphalt for 1961.

The Manager reported that it is now possible to estimate the quantities of asphalt required by the Corporation for the 1961 paving programme and that a tender call had been prepared for the supply of this material.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK,

"That the report of the Manager be
received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) Applications for Rezoning.

The Manager submitted reports of the Planning Director dealing with the following applications for rezoning:

(a) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO-FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY-
Lot "C", S.D. 1, Block 1, D. L. 99, Plan 4322.

(b) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO LOCAL COMMERCIAL - Lot "A",
Except E. 57' Exp. Pl. 14103, Blocks 25/26, D. L. 35,
Plan 2281.

(c) FROM SMALL HOLDINGS TO RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY - Lot 4, Blocks
172, D. L. 33, Plan 17723.

- (d) FROM RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO INDUSTRIAL - Lot 2, Block 4, D.L.'s 597136/137, Plan 3050.
- (e) FROM SMALL HOLDINGS TO COMMERCIAL - Area bounded by Sperling Avenue, Lougheed Highway, Bainbridge Avenue and the B.C.E. Power Line.
- (f) FROM LIGHT INDUSTRIAL TO COMMERCIAL - Lot 1 Expl. Plan 10989 And Expl. Plan 15900, Ref. Plan 11756, Blocks 1 and 2, S.D. 1, R.S.D. "A/D", D. L. 207, Plan 4141, 5929.
- (g) FROM LOCAL COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY TO COMMERCIAL - Lot 3, Block 2, S.D. 1 and 2, D. L. 8, Plan 11539.
- (h) FROM SMALL HOLDINGS TO MI INDUSTRIAL - Lot 3, Exc. E. 156.9', Block 23, D. L. 1, Plan 4231.
- (i) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO COMMERCIAL - Blocks 2/4 Ptn of Lot 46, D. L. 28N, Plan 2162.
- (j) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY - Lot "C", Blocks 7 and 8, S.D. 3 and 4, D.L. 91SE, Plan 16832.
- (k) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY - Lots 12 and 13, Blocks 1 and 3, S.D. 19 and 20, D.L. 95N, Plan 7592.
- (l) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO RESIDENTIAL MULTIPLE FAMILY TYPE 11 - Blocks 46, 47 and 48, D. L. 30, Plan 303.
- (m) FROM RESIDENTIAL TWO FAMILY TO LIGHT INDUSTRIAL - Block 16, Parcel 1 of Parcel "G", D. L. 98, Plan 5463.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND:

"That the reports of the Planning Director
be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE REEVE DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:00 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:15 P.M.

- (1) Application for the rezoning of Lot "C", S.D. 1, Block 1, D. L. 99, Plan 4322 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located on the south side of Imperial Street approximately 110 feet east of Sussex Avenue and that it has an area of approximately 15,000 square feet. The Department advised that development on the south side of Imperial Street to the east and west is residential in character, with the exception of a small commercial zone on the south-west corner of Sussex Avenue and Imperial Street. They added that property on the north side of Imperial Street is zoned for Apartment use between the lane east of Dow Avenue and the lane west of Sussex Avenue. The Planning Department advised that it was unable to recommend favourable consideration of this application since the zoning pattern in the area south of Imperial Street is well suited to existing development.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the views of the Planning Department
be concurred in."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (2) Application for the rezoning of Lot "A" Except East 57 feet,
Explanatory Plan 14103, Blocks 25 and 26, D. L. 35, Plan
2281 - From Residential Two-Family to Local Commercial.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located at the north-east corner of Brandon Street and Belleville Avenue and that it has an area of approximately 5,600 square feet. They advised that properties to the north and east are residentially developed, as is the whole general area. They added that a Local Commercial zone was established on a property at the north-east corner of Brandon Street and Smith Avenue in 1948 and that this property is more strategically located for such use. The Department advised that it was unable to recommend favourably on this application since the subject property is located on two wholly residential streets and is surrounded by good quality homes, and because there has been in existence for some thirteen years a more strategically located Local Commercial zone which is still unused.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the views of the Planning Department
be concurred in."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (3) Application for the rezoning of Lot 4, Blocks 1 and 2, D.L.
83, Plan 17723 - From Small Holdings to Residential Two-
Family.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located on the east side of Royal Oak Avenue approximately 348.5 feet north of Gilpin Street and that it has an area of approximately 7,400 square feet. The Department advised that all other lots on both Royal Oak Avenue and Gatenby Avenue between Eglington and Gilpin Streets are developed with Single Family homes and that the present Small Holdings zoning applying to the subject property also applies to the large tract bounded by Royal Oak Avenue, Spruce Street, Gilpin Street, and Percival Avenue. The Department pointed out that this Small Holdings zone was originally imposed because of the unsuitability of existing subdivision for intensive development and because of the lack of, and difficulty in, providing drainage and services. They further advised that this situation has altered to some extent since 1950 and that it has been the intention of the Department to recommend an amendment to the Small Holdings area as a part of a general review. They reported that there appears to be no justification at this time for Two-Family zoning of the subject site since it is not in any different circumstances than its neighbours. The Planning Department recommended that no change be made in the zoning of the property under application at the present time.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Planning
Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (4) Application for the rezoning of Lot 2, Block 4, D. L.'s 59/136/137, Plan 3050 - From Residential Single Family to Industrial.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located on the east side of Bainbridge Avenue 288 feet south of Loughheed Highway and that it has an area of approximately 2.07 acres. The Department advised that, with the exception of the service station at Loughheed Highway and Bainbridge Avenue, all property on the east side of Bainbridge Avenue is Residentially developed, as is land on the west side. They pointed out that the subject property lies within the area covered by the unofficial Community Plan which was adopted by Council in 1960 and that some interest has been shown recently in developing the super block in which this property is located for Residential purposes. The Department further reported that implementation of the road pattern proposed in its "Government Road" report would remove the problem of truck traffic from Bainbridge Avenue in that certain road access points to the Loughheed would be removed and an Industrial feeder street provided along the north boundary of the industrial area. As regards the non-residential use of property on the west side of Bainbridge Avenue, the Department advised that an order has been issued for the removal of this offence. The Department advised that it was unable to recommend favourable consideration of this application since it is at variance with the "Community Plan", and because non-residential use of the property would prejudice proper development of a considerable area.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the views of the Planning Department
be concurred in."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (5) Application for the rezoning of that area bounded by Sperling Avenue, Loughheed Highway, Bainbridge Avenue, and the B. C.E. Power Line - From Small Holdings to Commercial.

The Planning Department reported that the above described tract comprises thirteen properties fronting the north side of the Loughheed Highway between Sperling Avenue and Bainbridge Avenue and that it contains an area of approximately 17 acres. They advised that the "super block" bounded by Sperling Avenue, Broadway, Bainbridge Avenue, and Loughheed Highway, is developed only on the periphery and, with the exception of two service stations at either end of the block, the current land use conforms with the present zoning with this Small Holdings. They pointed out that development has taken place only on the edges of this lot because services are poor, access is not available to the interior of the block, the block is traversed by a power line, and topography is a problem in parts of the block. The Department reported that it felt the subject area was not suited for Commercial development because of the absence of proper water and sewer facilities, and because the subdivision pattern in the block is haphazard and the topography such that most of the area precludes the effective and proper use of the property for Commercial purposes. They added that traffic generating commercial uses would have an undesirable effect on this portion of the Loughheed Highway. The Department also advised that, tentatively, it felt that when services are available and the interior of the super block opened up by subdivision, Residential Multiple-Family zoning might be considered along the Loughheed frontage. The Planning Department advised that it was unable

to recommend favourable consideration of the application in view of the above situation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the views of the Planning Department
be concurred in."

CARRIED
COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND-
AGAINST.

- (6) Application for the rezoning of Lot 1, Explanatory Plan 10989, Except Plan 15900, Reference Plan 11756, R.S.D. "A" and "B", S.D. 1, Blocks 1 and 2, D. L. 207, Plans 4141 and 5929 - From Light Industrial to Commercial.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located within the triangular tract of land bounded by Barnet Road, Inlet Drive and Pandora Street and that it has an area of approximately 2.4 acres. The Department advised that this tract is a remnant of an earlier much larger Industrial district which has since been drastically reduced in size and shape, with the result that this eastern portion is now an interjection into the residential area. They added that development to the north-east and south of this industrially zoned tract is predominately Residential and that land on the west side of Inlet Drive south of Pandora Street is reserved for park purposes. They pointed out that it is apparent developments of this tract for Light Industrial use would detrimentally affect the amenities and values of the surrounding residential area and could possibly have an undesirable effect on the park land. The Department recommended that further consideration be given to the following rezonings:

- (a) That area bounded by Pandora Street, Cliff Avenue, and Inlet Drive, from Light Industrial to Residential Single Family
- (b) That area bounded by Pandora Street, Inlet Drive and Barnet Road from Light Industrial to Commercial.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Planning
Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (7) Application for the rezoning of Lot 3, S.D. 1 and 2, Block 2, D. L. 8, Plan 11539 - From Local Commercial and Residential Single Family to Commercial.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located at the north-west corner of Lyndhurst Street and North Road and that it has an area of approximately 29,250 square feet. The Department pointed out that the property under application is presently zoned for Local Commercial use except for the northerly 55 foot portion and that properties to the north and west are residentially developed, with property on the south-west corner of the subject intersection being zoned Local Commercial and used for that purpose. The Department advised that it appeared to them that the zone was created in 1946 to serve local shopping needs and that the northerly 55 feet of the lot was left Residential to protect the good quality homes immediately to the north. They advised that they felt this reasoning was still

valid and that development should be modest in size, tailored to meet the local needs, and designed to be compatible with adjacent residential uses. They also advised that they were apprehensive that full commercial zoning might adversely affect the amenities of the adjacent residential area, it could cause a sanitation problem, and, if occupied by a "highway" type of commercial use it could create a traffic problem. The Planning Department recommended that the application be not favourably considered since it is felt the present zoning category and pattern is appropriate.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Planning
Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND LEFT THE MEETING.

- (8) Application for the rezoning of Lot 3 Except East 156.9 feet, Block 23, D. L. 1, Plan 4231 - From Small Holdings to Manufacturing 1.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located on the south side of Rochester Road 156.9 feet west of North Road and that it has an area of some 2.93 acres. The Department pointed out that this parcel lies within the area covered by the unofficial Community Plan for the Government Road area and that the zoning being requested is in accordance with the ultimate land use proposed by the said Plan. They further pointed out that the road pattern suggested in the aforementioned Community Plan is as yet not secured and, for these reasons, they would normally be unable to recommend favourable consideration of the application since development for manufacturing purposes could prejudice proper development. The Department pointed out that it was in receipt of a proposal involving the assembly of property and development of a site on the north side of Rochester Road and that it is felt, by negotiation, that development of the property under application and the other proposal might be integrated in such a fashion that the necessary road allowances are obtained and services installed. The Department pointed out that if agreement cannot be reached on the matter of road allowances and services, it would be unable to recommend the rezoning of the property under application. The Planning Department recommended that the application be advanced for further consideration, with final rezoning to await a satisfactory solution to the problems of road pattern and services for the area in question. They added that an attempt would be made to resolve these problems with the parties concerned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the recommendation of the Planning
Director be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND RETURNED TO THE MEETING.

- (9) Application for the rezoning of the rear portion of Lot 46, Blocks 274, D. L. 28N, Plan 2162 - From Residential Two-Family to Commercial.

The Planning Department reported that this lot is located on the north side of Edmonds Street approximately 357 feet east of Douglas Road and that it has an area of approximately 8,300 square feet. The Department pointed out that the south 125 feet of the subject lot is zoned commercial and that the applicant desires to extend his operation onto the rear portion of his property which is presently zoned Residential Two-Family. The Department advised that it is becoming more apparent that with commercial development taking place on Edmonds Street between Grandview-Douglas Highway and 6th Street, developers are desirous of using more than the 125 foot depth of their property for commercial purposes. They pointed out that development on the other side of Wedgewood Street is Residential in character and that unless the commercial development extending from Edmonds Street through to the south side of Wedgewood Street is carefully controlled, this commercialization might severely depreciate residential values on the north side. The Planning Department recommended that the application be approved in principle but that before the Zoning By-law Amendment is completed, suitable subdivision plans be filed dedicating the north seven feet of the subject property for the widening of Wedgewood Street, a suitable undertaking be given that commercial activity will be screened from residences along Wedgewood Street by a ten foot wide buffer strip, and that any development be designed to present an acceptable appearance to Wedgewood Street, with buildings set back 20 feet from the new street line.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (10) Application for the rezoning of Lot "C", S.D. 3/4, Blocks 7/8 East, D. L. 91 S.E. Part, Plan 16832 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located at the north-west corner of Acacia Avenue and Elwell Street and that it has an area of approximately 7,900 square feet. They advised that the dwelling which is presently situate on the subject lot is presently being used for Multiple Family purposes and, as such, it is non-conforming. They pointed out that this lot is located in the centre of a large residential two-family district and that the homes are generally new and well-kept. The Planning Department advised that it was unable to recommend favourable consideration of the application since the surrounding area is homogenously developed with good quality homes and because there is land to the south which is both suitable and available for Multiple Family development.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the views of the Planning Department be concurred in."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(11) Application for the rezoning of Lots 12 and 13, S.D. 19/20, Blocks 1/3, D. L. 95N, Plan 7592 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family.

The Planning Department reported that these two lots are located on the north side of Arcola Street between Salisbury Avenue and Griffiths Avenue and that they contain an area of approximately 7,900 square feet. The Department advised that when it reported on the "Middlegate Multiple Family Project" a recommendation was submitted that properties on the west side of Salisbury Avenue between Elwell Street and the present Commercial zone on the north side of Kingsway be considered for Multiple Family use because it was felt then that this land was well located with respect to facilities and services which were considered desirable for apartment development and also, because such use would serve well as "transitional use" between the Commercial area and the surrounding area. The Department further reported that it is of the opinion that the existing Residential Multiple Family zone on Arcola Street and on Balmoral Street west of Griffiths Avenue should be linked with the suggested zone on the west side of Salisbury Avenue by the creation of a Multiple Family zone along both sides of Arcola Street between Griffiths Avenue and Salisbury Avenue. The Planning Department therefore recommended that the following rezoning to Residential Multiple Family Type 1 use be advanced for further consideration:

- (a) That area on both sides of Arcola Street from Griffiths Avenue to the lane west of Salisbury Avenue,
- (b) That area on the west side of Salisbury Avenue between the existing "Kingsway" Commercial zone and Elwell Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(12) Application for the rezoning of Blocks 46 to 48 inclusive, D. L. 30, Plan 3036 - From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family Type II.

The Planning Department reported that the above property is located on the north side of Kingsway between 16th and 19th Avenues and that it has an area of approximately 4.6 acres. The Department advised that, in general, it concurred with the development proposal advanced by the applicant but that it felt there were several physical problems which should first be resolved before final consideration is given to the rezoning of the tract in question. In this regard, the Department pointed out that these problems were:

- (a) The provision of secondary access to the site. They suggested that the three streets which abut the rear of the site (18th Avenue, 17th Avenue, and a short street running west from 16th Avenue) should be linked around the site to provide traffic continuity and to open up the site and provide building frontage. Also, a lane should be provided along the westerly boundary of the site. They also mentioned that there are four Corporation-owned lots adjacent to the site which heretofore have been reserved from sale in order to facilitate the proper development of the property under application. The Department recommended that if the present proposal is proceeded with, the

developers be required to acquire the four Municipally-owned lots. They added that the sanitary sewer which is presently located on one of these lots should be relocated to the new road alignment.

- (b) The absence of storm drainage facilities. The Department advised that the problem of providing adequate storm drainage facilities to the site is currently being examined.

The Planning Department recommended that the rezoning be advanced for further consideration but that completion of the rezoning be held in abeyance until agreement is reached on:

- (a) The sale of adjacent Municipal land
- (b) The dedication and construction of contiguous road and land allowances and the relocation of the existing sanitary sewer
- (c) The provision of storm drainage facilities to the satisfaction of the Corporation
- (d) The acquisition of land for the widening of Kingsway.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- (13) Application for the rezoning of Parcel 1, S.D. "G", Block 16, D. L. 98, Plan 5463 - From Residential Two-Family to Light Industrial.

The Planning Department reported that this property is located on the south side of Beresford Street approximately 87 feet east of Royal Oak Avenue and that it has an area of approximately 8,900 square feet. The Department advised that adjacent properties are developed Residentially and that it was their feeling that Industrial zoning is not appropriate in the area surrounding the subject lot. The Planning Department recommended that the application be not favourably considered.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Department be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Item (6) - MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S REPORT NO. 26, 1961.

- (6) Easement - Portion of Lot 5, Blocks 65/68, D. L. 86, Plan 18705 (Whelan)

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement over the southerly five feet of Lot "N" and the northerly five feet of Lot "O" of the above described property for drainage purposes at no expense to the Corporation. He also recommended that Council authorize the execution of the easement document.

(7) Easement - the South 10 feet of Lot 1, Block 51, D. L. 98, Plan 7864 (Keith).

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of an easement over the above described property for a consideration of \$1.00 plus restoration of the easement area. He further recommended that Council authorize the execution of the easement documents.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendations of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(8) Inhalator Call - 5441 Kingsway.

The Manager reported that on May 19th the Fire Department answered an inhalator call to the above address and attended a Mr. Paul Smith (eight years of age) who had become unconscious in the swimming pool. He advised that the attending Fire company found three young persons had rescued and partially revived the victim and, according to the Officer-in-Charge of the Fire company, Lieutenant H. McDonald, the prompt action of these three was responsible for saving the life of the boy. He further advised that the names and addresses of the three rescuers were:

Lorraine Miller, 6508 Selma Avenue
Jackson Vander Burch, 6449 Denbigh Avenue
Allan Nielson, 6656 Dufferin Street

Reeve Emmott mentioned that he felt these three children should be invited to the next Council meeting to be officially commended for their actions. Council concurred with the Reeve in this regard and directed that the three children be invited to attend the next meeting of Council.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the report of the Municipal Manager be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(9) Appointment of Banks as Collection Agencies.

The Manager recommended that Council authorize The Royal Bank of Canada in the Cariboo Shopping Centre at North Road and Loughheed Highway as a collection agency for the Corporation.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(10) Inspection Agreement - Shamrock Holdings Limited.

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the execution of

the above noted agreement which requires Shamrock Holdings Limited to pay the Corporation inspection charges at the rate of \$35.00 per day, \$41.00 per day, and \$55.00 per day for water works, sewers, and road work respectively, in connection with a subdivision at the north-east corner of Lozells Avenue and Winston Street.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager
be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A petition was presented to Council signed by nine truckers in which a request was made that Council assist them in collecting accounts due for the months of March and April 1961 and part of February.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That this petition be referred to the
Municipal Manager for investigation with
a view to satisfying the request of the
truckers in question provided it is a
valid one."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the report of the Committee be
now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That leave be given to introduce
"BURNABY STREET & TRAFFIC BY-LAW 1954,
AMENDMENT BY-LAW, 1961" and that it
be read a First Time."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the By-law be read a Second Time,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Council resolve into Committee
of the Whole to consider the By-law."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the Committee rise and report the
By-law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the report of the Committee be
adopted,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That "BURNABY STREET & TRAFFIC BY-LAW
1954, AMENDMENT BY-LAW 1961" be now
read a Third Time,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FINANCING
BY-LAW NO. 1, 1961" be now reconsidered,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY,
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That "BURNABY LOCAL IMPROVEMENT FINANCING
BY-LAW NO. 1, 1961" be now finally adopted,
signed by the Reeve and Clerk and the
Corporate Seal be affixed thereto."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY