#### MARCH 13, 1961

An Adjourned Meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Monday, March 13, 1961 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT:

Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Counciliors Clark, Drummond, Harper, Hicks, Jamieson, Edwards, MacSoriey and Prittle.

Secretary, Vancouver Heights Ratepayers Association, wrote requesting that a spokesman for their Group be permitted to address Council on the matter of the proposed levy for sewer service.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the delegation be heard."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mr. Starling appeared on behalf of the Ratepayers Association and claimed that the proposed By-law was unfair, callous, and discriminatory because concessions were to be granted to specific areas of the Municipality whereby these areas would be exempted from paying the full \$21.00 charge. Mr. Starling claimed that Council had been deceitful in its presentation of a Sewer By-Law to the ratepayers in May of 1960 and he suggested that Council should now introduce a clear and concise By-law under which every person served by sewer would pay an identical charge. He claimed that the cost sharing arrangement now being proposed by Council would impose an unjust and onerous burden upon many senior citizens of the Municipality because the majority of such taxpayers do not reside within the areas where the exemption will apply. Mr. Starling also criticized the method of assessing each property on the basis of a 66 foot frontage, again claiming that most of the properties owned by elderly people have a lesser frontage.

Mr. Starling concluded by urging that Council not pass the Frontage Tax By-law to establish the rates which it has previously indicated would apply.

Mr. Starling presented a petition signed by 179 persons protesting what was termed discriminatory action by Council as described in his oral presentation.

The Reeve explained the situation in regard to the passage by Council of the By-law, pointing out that any citizen has the opportunity within 30 days after the said By-law is passed to apply to the Courts to quash the By-law. The Reeve also explained the composition of the \$21.00 charge emphasizing that the special areas mentioned by the delegation would only be exempted the capital portion of the cost of constructing sewers.

# MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

....

"That all of the below listed correspondence be received."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Capital Hill Ratepayers Association, wrote lodging a protest against the establishment of an Armoury in the Municipality and suggesting that it would be more appropriate to utilize funds which would be allocated, for a Civic Auditorium or some other such building.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the letter be brought forward the next time the subject of establishing an Armoury is discussed by Council."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Secretary, Fraser Valley Municipal Association, wrote advising that the Annual Meeting of the Association would be held in the City Hall, Port Coguitlam, on March 22nd at 8:00 p.m.

Secretary, Kinsmen Club of North Burnaby, submitted a letter inquiring as to the progress being made in regard to the proposed swimming pool and suggesting that consideration be given the possibility of constructing two outdoor swimming pools as an alternate to one indoor pool.

Councillor Edwards, as Chairman of the Special Committee considering the swimming pool matter, reported verbaily that his Committee would be submitting its report on this matter very shortly.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the letter from the Kinsmen Club of North Burnaby be referred to the Special Committee for its attention."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Historian, Riverway West Parent-Teacher Association, submitted a letter asking that Council take the opportunity of perusing a pictorial history book compiled by the Association and entitled "Life Depends on Labours of Others". The Historian also forwarded a copy of a programme advising of a reunion to be held at the Riverway West Elementary School on Friday, March 24th, at 8:00 p.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Association be thanked for affording Council the opportunity of examining the book mentioned and further, that they be advised that as many Councillors as possible will endeavour to be present at the reunion."

The following matters which had been tabled at the last meeting of Council on March 6th were then lifted from the table:

# (a) An application of Newcombe Realty Limited for permission to utilize Parcel "A", Sketch 4873, Block 69, D. L. 33, Plan 944 as a parking lot.

Councillor MacSorley advised Council that he had received a petition signed by 51 residents in the area bounded by Grange Street, Chaffey Avenue, Burke Street, and Willingdon Avenue, protesting the proposed establishment of this parking lot. Councillor MacSorley further advised that the petitioners had asked for the opportunity of examining plans which have been prepared for the future subdivision of their area before further consideration is given the aforementioned application.

# MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the application of Newcombe Realty Limited be tabled for a further period of one week."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# Request of property owners abutting a lane allowance lying between their land and the south boundary of Richmond Park for acquisition or this allowance. (b)

The Manager reported verbally that the subdivision of the affected parcels took place approximately eight years ago and that the only correspondence which can be located dealing with the subdivision is a letter to the former Municipal Clerk from the former Municipal Engineer indicating that it was not necessary to construct the lane in question nor collect monies for its future construction.

In discussing this matter, it was suggested that the abutting property owners were rightfully entitled to retrieve this lane allowance inasmuch as it was taken from their properties at the time of subdivision. It was also pointed out that the subject allowance is presently being used by the Parks and Recreation Commission and that some money has been expended by the Commission toward development of the allowance.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DRUMMOND. SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That the request of the petitioners be tabled for a period of one week and an opinion be received from the Municipal Solicitor as to the legal position of the Municipality with respect to the use by the Parks and Recreation Commission of the lane allowance in question, and also the position of the Corporation itself in regard to the request of the petitioners".

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

A recommendation of the Municipal Manager that expenditures for the period anded February 24th the total amount of \$1,265,117.12 be approved.

(c)

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# REPORT OF POLICY COMMITTEE - POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING - March 9th, 1961.

"Your Committee heard a report from the Council's representative on the Metropolitan Hospital Planning Council on the various studies being conducted as affecting the operation of Hospitals in the Metropolitan area including:

- (1) Patient referral and its effect upon hospitals in the area.
- (2) Emergency Services.
- (3) Pediatric Study.
- (4) Chronic Care Study.

The Planning Council, formed under the auspices of the B. C. Hospitals Association, is partially dependent upon revenue from Municipal sources.

Your Committee would recommend that a request of the Hospital Planning Council for a grant in the amount of \$980.00, based on 1¢ per capita of estimated population, be approved. "

A letter was also received from the Metropolitan Hospital Planning Council elaborating on the various studies being made by it in connection with the operation of hospitals in the Metropolitan area.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# REPORT OF TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

λ.

# (1) West side of Walker Avenue north of Kingsway.

The Committee reported that it had received a request for pulloff facilities at the bus stop at the above noted located. They advised that information had been received that in the proposed transit rerouting for this area (which is scheduled to be instituted later this year) there will be no transit operation on this portion of Walker Avenue. The Committee recommended that, in view of this situation, no action be taken with regard to the request.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

# (2) Sperling Avenue and Halifax Street.

The Committee recommended that the present southbound nearside bus stop at the above location be relocated to a farside position.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (3) Lane south of Kingsway between Cassie Avenue and McKay Avenue.

The Committee reported that it had received a request that steps be taken to eliminate a hazardous condition in the above noted lane caused by the double parking of vehicles and that it had been suggested by the applicant that signs be erected indicating that parking was prohibited in this lane. The Committee advised that several inspections to the area in question were made and none disclosed anything more than casual parking, with no double parking whatsoever being observed. The Committee pointed out that parking in or on any lane is currently prohibited by the Street and Traffic By-Law and that to erect signs on a few lanes indicating this prohibition would either increase the risk of encouraging parking in those lanes which are not signed or would precipitate further requests for signing of other lanes. The Committee prohibitions such as are in effect covering parking adjacent fire hydrants, sidewalk crossings, and on lanes should not be signed but should rely for effectiveness on enforcement.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (4) Hazel Street between McKercher Avenue and Sussex Avenue.

The Committee reported that a request had been received from a resident of the above portion of Hazel Street that the parking prohibition thereon be examined with a view to easing a problem which is sometimes experienced by motorists attempting to find parking space in this area. The Committee advised that, at the present time, there are signs reading "No Parking Except Sunday" on the south side of Hazel Street between McKercher Avenue and Sussex Avenue and that these signs were installed to relieve congestion due to the traffic circulation generated by customers of Simpsons-Sears. The Committee recommended that this parking prohibition be abolished since the demand for the use of Hazel Street (particularly in an eastbound direction) has decreased to the point where parking should again be permitted and because the street in question lends itself to parking on the shoulder.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### (5) Gilmore Avenue at Kitchener Street School.

The Committee reported that it had received a request from the Principal of the above noted School for:

- (a) One crosswalk on Gilmore Avenue at the School entrance to replace the two at each of William and Kitchener Streets.
- (b) A "Stop when Occupied" sign on Douglas Road at Gilmore Avenue.

With regard to item (a), the Committee pointed out both the advantages and disadvantages to such a proposal, recommending that as the former outweigh the latter:

- The existing school crossings on Gilmore Avenue at each of Kitchener Street and William Street be eliminated.
- (2) A school crossing be established on Gilmore Avenue opposite the main entrance to Kitchener Street School.

As regards the second request of the Principal, the Committee reported that a count indicated that the treatment being requested was not warranted and that it was also observed that no difficulty was experienced by students crossing Douglas Road at Gilmore Avenue. The Committee added that, in discussion with the Principal, it had been suggested to him that he convey the results of the investigation to the parents who initiated the request and, if the parents still desire a crossing, then the Principal would reapproach the Committee. The Committee recommended that the situation at Gilmore Avenue and Douglas Road, as outlined in this report, be transmitted by letter to the Principal.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the first recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the second recommendation of the Committee be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That leave be given to introduce "BURNABY TOWN PLANCENC BY LAW 1948, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1961" and that it be read a First Time."

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the By-law be read a Second Time,"

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDE BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the Council resolve into Committee of the Whole to consider the By=Law."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Submissions in connection with this proposed Amendment By-law were received from:

(a) Mr. A. C. White of the Legal Firm of Buell, Ellis, et al (b) Mr. and Mrs. W.B. McIntosh.

expressing opposition to this By-law, and from:

 Mr. R. C. Twining of the Legal Firm of Cowan, Twining, and Collins, expressing support of the By-law.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the Committee rise and report the By-Law complete."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

1

ð

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the report of the Committee be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That "BURNADY TOWN PLANNING BY-LAW 1948, AMENDMENT BY-LAW NO. 1, 1961" be now read a Third Time."

> IN FAVOUR - NO ONE AGAINST - COUNCILLORS CLARK, DRUMMOND, EDWARDS, HARPER, HICKS, JAMIESON, MacSORLEY & PRITTIE MOTION LOST

THE REEVE DECLARED A RECESS AT 9:00 P.M.

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED AT 9:10 P.M.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS: "That the Council now resolve itself into Committee of the Whole."

#### MUNICIPAL MANAGER - REPORT NO. 11, 1961.

2 . . .

(5) Tenders for Water Pipe.

The Manager submitted a tabulation of tenders received for the supply of:

(a)	2,980	lineal	feet	of	16	Inch	pipe
(b)		lineal					
(c)	7,120	lineal	feet	of	26	Inch	pipe
(a) (b) (c) (d)	3,160	lineal	feet	of	28	inch	plpe

He advised that the various tenders were examined very carefully and, as a result, he was recommending:

- (1) That the tender of Armco Drainage & Metal Company for the supply of 2,980 lineal feet of 16 inch steel water pipe at a price of \$7.0309 per foot, including all taxes and delivery to the site, be accepted.
- (2) That the tender of American Pipe and Construction Company for the supply of:
  - (a) 3,960 lineal feet of 24 inch American concrete cylinder pipe at a price of \$10.61 per foot.
  - (b) 7,120 lineal feet of 27 inch American concrete cylinder pipe at a price of \$11.98 per foot.
  - (c) 3,160 lineal feet of 30 inch American concrete cylinder pipe at a price of \$14.11 per foot,

including all taxes and delivery to the site,

be accepted.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That recommendation No. (1) of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That recommendation No. (2) of the Municipal Manager be adopted."

> CARRIED COUNCILLOR JAMIESON -OPPOSED.

(6) Rezoning Applications.

The Manager submitted reports of the Planning Department on the following rezoning applications:

- From Residential Two-Family to Commercial D. L. 35, Block 6, Lot 1.
- From Residential Single Family to Commercial D.L. 150N<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of S<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of NW <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Blocks I and 2, Lots "L" and "M", Plan 16045.

- 3. From Residential Two-Family to Commercial D.L. 149NE4, portion of Lot 5  $E_2^1$ , Plan 3159.
- 4. From Light Industrial to Commercial D.L. 153, Portion of  $E_2^1$  of Block 14 and Block 15.
- From Light Industrial to Residential Two-Femily That area bounded by Nelson Avenue, Imperial Street, Royal Oak Avenue, Lane Street, Macpherson Avenue, and the B.C.E. Kingsway Central Park right-of-way.
- 6. From Light Industrial to Residential Two-Family D.L. 96 - portions of Blocks 6, 7 and 8.
- From Residential Two-Family to Heavy Industrial D.L. 159, a portion of Block 39 except plan 9355 and expl. pl. 10608, Plan 930.
- From Residential Two-Family to Residential Multiple Family D. L. 28N, Blocks 38/39/40, Lots "H" and "J", Plan 17985.
- From Heavy Industrial and Residential Two-Family to Gasoline Service Station - D.L. 124, Block 80, Lot 3, Plan 16288, save and except the south 100 feet.
- From Residential Two-Family to Commercial D.L. 122/124, north 60' of west 150' of Block 81A, Plan 3348.
- 11. From Residential Two-Family and Heavy Industrial to Gasoline Service Station - D. L. 117E<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>, Block 28 Lots "C" and "D", Plan 19931.
- From Residential Two-Family to Commercial D. L. 121, Block 8, S.D. 1, 2, 3, Lot "D", Plan 3433.
- From Residential Single Family to permit establishment of a Laboratory - D. L. 189, Block 43, Lots 4 and 5.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the report of the Municipal Manager and all of the reports of the Planning Director be received."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

-

#### (1) From Residential Two-Family to Commercial - D.L. 35, Block 6, Lot 1.

The Planning Director reported that this parcel is located at the north-east corner of Boundary Road and Cardiff Street and that it has an area of approximatoly 8,400 square feet. He advised that land in the immediate vicinity of the subject site is predominately residential in character and that, as sewer service is not available, the Sanitary Department was concerned with any proposal for intense use of properties in this area. The Planning Director recommended that the application be not approved in view of the established residential character of the neighbourhood and the inappropriateness of the site for use as a Service Station.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ÉDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

#### (2) <u>From Residential Single Family to Commercial -D.L.150N<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub></u>, of S<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub> of NW <sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>, Blocks I and 2, Lots <sup>11</sup>L<sup>11</sup> and <sup>11</sup>M<sup>11</sup>, Plan 16045.

The Planning Director reported that these lots are located at the south-east corner of Boundary Road and Hurst Street and that together they comprise an area of approximately 17,500 square feet. He advised that development in the immediate vicinity is predominately residential in character and, as sewer service will not be available for a few years, the Sanitary Department has expressed concern with any proposal for intensive use of properties in this area. He further advised that a similar application had been dealt with by Council in February of last year and that Council had then rejected the application.since rezoning of this kind would have a detrimental effect on the amenities of the residentially developed area and would tend to discourage improvement and maintenance of the neighbourhood. The Planning Director recommended that the previous action taken by Council with respect to the application for rezoning be reaffirmed.

A petition in support of the application was also presented to Council, together with a map showing the location of the petitioners.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### (3) From Residential Two-Family to Commercial - D.L. 149NEt, port on of Lot 5 Ez, Plan 3159.

The Planning Director reported that this parcel is located on the south side of imperial Street approximately 144 feet west of Sussex Avenue and that it has an area of some 18,300 square feet. He pointed out that the applicant desires to rezone the north 90 feet of Lot 5 E<sub>2</sub> so that she can construct a florist shop in front of the existing dwelling. The Planning Director pointed out that this property was the subject of a similar application in November of 1960 when it was recorded in his report at that time that though he could not recommend rezoning to Commercial, the Board of Appeal might be able to assist the applicant with her problem. The Planning Director advised that the applicant then contacted the Board who decided that an appeal to allow construction of a shop in front of an existing dwelling in a residential zone was improper and could not be entertained. The Planning Director further reported that his Department felt there would be a need for a Local Commercial zone west of the existing one but that it could not accept the mixing of land uses as proposed since this usually leads to the deterioration of improvements on the property and eventually has a detrimental effect on surrounding land. He added that his Department further felt that commercial zoning should not be considered unless secondary access was available. The Planning Director recommended that the following course of action be followed with respect to this application pointing out that it would solve the applicant's problem and be compatible with the current subdivision interest in the interior of the block in which the subject lot is situate:

 That the dwelling presently situate on the property be first relocated to the gouthern part of this parcel and turned

around so that it will face south.

- That the east-west lane parallel to and south of Imperial Street be extended across the subject property and the easterly 10 feet of this parcel dedicated for lane purposes.
- 3) That the north 90 feet of Lot  $5E_2^1$  be rezoned to Local Commercial.

The Planning Director recommended that further consideration be given the rezoning of the north 90 feet of the said property to Local Commercial provided the conditions above mentioned are met.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

(5) From Light Industrial to Residential Two-Family - That area bounded by Nelson Avenue, Imperial Street, Royal Oa. Avenue, Lane Street, Macpherson Avenue, and the B.C.E. Kingsway Central Park right-of-way.

The Planning Director reported that the above noted area is approximately 30 acres in size and that two petitions bearing a total of 93 signatures have been received requesting the rezoning mentioned. The Planning Director pointed out that the reasons advanced by the petitioners in support of their application are similar to those presented by his Department when the rezoning of this particular area was suggested in March of 1959. He added that Council had, in dealing with the rezoning affecting the Kingsway - Central Park area, deleted the one now under application. He suggested that the Interest now being shown in the form of petition seems to indicate that the residents now feel the most logical solution to the problem of mixed land uses is the rezoning of the said land to Residential Two-Family. The Planning Director reported that the predominate land use in the area under petition is residential, with only six of the 133 properties involved being developed industrially and eight other lots being vacant. He further advised that though his Department had previously recommended that the most logical east boundary for the residential zone was the lanc east of Palm Avenue, it is now felt that the residential zone should be extended along the tracks to Antrim Avenue; thence north to the rear property line of the lots fronting on Dorset Street; and thence north to Lane Street. The Planning Director recommended that the area shown on a Plan numbered Bl216 (being that area bounded roughly by Imperial Street, Royal Oak Avenue, Lane Street, Macpherson Avenue, the rear property lines of the lots fronting the south side of Dorset Street, Antrim Avenue, and the north side of tice G.C.E.R. right-of-way) be given further consideration for rezoning to Residential Two-Family use.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the recommendation of the Planning Director be adopted."

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the matter of a procedure for the handling of rezoning applications be referred to the Planning Committee for consideration and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### (4) From Light Industrial to Commercial - D. L. 153, Portion of E<sub>2</sub> of Block 14 and Block 15.

The Planning Director reported that the land described above is located on both sides of McKay Avenue and the west side of Silver Avenue between the south boundary of the "Kingsway" commercial zone and the B.C.E. right-of-way and that It has an area of approximately eight acres. He advised that this proposed rezoning was being brought forward by his Department in conjunction with two other applications being dealt with by Council at this time involving the Kingsway - Central Park Line area. The Planning Director pointed out that his Department had recommended the rezoning of this area from Light Industrial to Residential in the first report submitted to Council on the Kingsway - Central Park Line area in 1959 but that this area was deleted from the amending By-law which would have effected this rezoning. He added that though his Department still feels that most of the subject land is best suited for residential use, they also feel that there is considerable merit in commercial zoning of the said land since:

- a) Commercial use would be somewhat more compatible to the residential areas lying to the west and south than Light industrial development.
- b) Commercial district regulations would accommodate the type of business expected to evince any interest in this area.
- c) The presence of the bus line along McKay Avenue and the concentration of commercial activity at McKay Avenue and Kingsway would be conducive to commercial development.

The Planning Director advised that his Department holds no objection to the rezoning of the area in question to Commercial as a means of providing a transition zone between the residential and industrial areas, and as a means of stemming the further deterioration of the area. He suggested that if Council concurred, the owners concerned should be approached so that they could be acquainted with the viewpoint outlined above.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the proposal to rezone the area in question from Light Industrial to Commercial be not entertained."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the remainder of the rezoning applications listed above be tabled for a period of one week." CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

### MUNICIPAL MANAGER'S REPORT (CONTINUED)

#### (1) Analysis of Taxable Real Property.

The Manager submitted a Statement of Taxable Real Property by Types and Percentages for each of the years 1961, 1960, 1959, and 1958, as prepared by the Municipal Assessor.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That both the report of the Manager and that of the Assessor be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

100

ł.

#### (2) Lot 19, Block 5, D.L. 4 (Rodler)

The Manager reported that it is necessary for the Corporation to acquire a triangular portion of the above described property (approximately 2,869 square feet in area) for the purpose of providing a connection between Bell Avenue and Noel Drive. He advised that it is felt the value of this portion is \$1,250.00 and he recommended that the owner (the above noted) be paid this sum as full compensation for the property in question, which is more particularly shown on a Planning Department Drawing Number 1204A.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED, COUNCILLOR CLARK OPPOSED

### (3) Easement - Lot 4 South 10 feet, Block 4, D.L. 158, Plan 1501 (Williams and Gevilck).

The Manager recommended that Council authorize the acquisition of the above noted easement for sewer purposes and the execution of the easement document.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# (4) Application for 74 Bed Nursing Home (Stebbe).

The Manager reported that the above noted has applied for a permit to construct a 74 bed Nursing Home on property situate at the corner of Royal Oak Avenue and Sidley Street, more particularly described as Lot 13, Sketch 802, S.D. "D", Block 16, D.L. 98, Plan 2127, Lot "D", Sketch 2441, Block 16, D.L. 98, Plan 2127, Lot "E", except East 33 feet, Block 16, D.L. 96, Plan 2127. The Manager pointed out that the area of this site is 24,750 square feet but that the applicant has indicated he is prepared to acquire an additional lot to bring the area of the site up to 33,000 square feet. The Manager presented the views of each of the Building Department, Planning Department,

and Health Department, on the application pointing out that the conclusions reached as a result of the examinations by each of these three Departments was that:

- (a) The proposed use of the subject property is acceptable and is hereby recommended.
- (b) That the building to site ratio should not be greater than i to 3, i.e., that the area of the building is not more than one-third of the area of the site.
- (c) That the building should be limited to one storey.
- (d) That the "fire restrictive" provisions under the revised National Building Code (1960) be complied with.
- (e) That the requirements set out under the Architect's Act be observed, both for the working drawings and also for the preliminary planning.
- (f) That plans drawn in accordance with Items (d) and (e) above receive further approval at such time as they are submitted.
- (g) That the portion of the site not to be occupied by buildings or to be used for off-street parking purposes be landscaped.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the application of Mr. Stebbe for a 74 bed Nursing Home on the property described above be approved in accordance with the conclusions set out by the Municipal Manager in his report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### (7) Estimates.

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Special Estimates of Work in the total amount of \$45,005.00 recommending that they be approved.

### (8) Works Appropriations to June 30, 1961.

----

The Manager submitted a report of the Municipal Engineer covering Works Appropriations to June 30th in the total amount of \$34,000.00 recommending that they be approved.

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK:

"That both of the recommendations of the Municipal Manager contained under items (7) and (8) above be adopted."

### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the supplementary report of the Municipal Manager be tabled until after the meeting with the Library Board and the Parks and Recreation Commission

to-morrow afternoon."

#### CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

# MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CLARK, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the Committee now rise and report."

THE COUNCIL RECONVENED.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the report of the Committee be now adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS:

"That the meeting adjourn until March 14th at 3:30 p.m."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3

Confirmed:

