

RE: LOCAL IMPROVEMENT INITIATIVE SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION

In accordance with Section 589 of the Municipal Act, I beg to report that I have published in a newspaper circulating in the Municipality and also served upon the owners of property affected Notice of Intention to construct concrete sidewalks four feet wide on the following streets and that I have received objections against the proposed works as noted:

	STREET	FROM	TO	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT
1.	South side of Albert Street	Esmond Avenue	WPL 977/116-186	7	4	0	\$ 9,255.	\$ 4,628.	\$ 0
2.	East side of Douglas Road	Sprott St.	Grandview-Douglas Hwy.	3	2	2	9,715.	4,858.	3,465.
3.	East side of Duncan Ave.	Hastings Street	Union St.	3	2	0	16,940.	8,470.	0
4.	South side of Grandview-Douglas Highway	Sperling Ave.	Rugby St.	9	5	1	31,320.	15,660.	1,905.
5.	West side of Linden Ave.	Elwell Street	Imperial Street	19	10	10	21,265.	10,633.	11,685.
6.	Both sides of Malvern Ave.	Imperial St.	Morley St.	13	7	7	18,675.	9,338.	8,065.
7.	West side of Macpherson Ave.	Rumble St.	Clinton St.	4	3	3	5,860.	2,930.	4,160.
8.	West side of North Road	Lougheed Hwy.	Lyndhurst Street	36	19	19	130,950.	65,475.	61,475.

-Local Improvement Initiative Sidewalk Construction

PAGE 075

STREET	FROM	TO	NO. OF OWNERS	51% REQUIRED	NO. OF OBJECTIONS	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE OF LAND	50% REQUIRED	TOTAL PETITIONERS ASSESSMENT
9. South side of Parker St.	Ingleton Avenue	Gilmore Avenue	17	9	9	\$ 19,485.	\$ 9,743.	\$ 11,460.
10. Both sides of Pender Street	McDonald Avenue	Gilmore Avenue	18	10	0	21,060.	10,530.	0
11. North side of Rosewood Street	Grandview-Douglas Hwy.	6th Street	16	9	13	21,025.	10,513.	16,820.
12. West side of Smith Avenue	Fi	Kincaid Street Except for C/17/39	7	4	0	32,340.	16,170.	0
13. Both sides of Ulster St.	Grandview-Douglas Hwy.	End	17	9	6	18,165.	9,083.	6,500.

Section 589 (1) of the Municipal Act provides that where a majority of the owners representing at least one-half of the assessed value of the land liable to be specially charged petition the Council not to proceed with the work, the said work shall not be undertaken. As a majority of the owners under Project Numbers 5, 7, 9, 11 have signified their objection, Council is therefore estopped from proceeding with these works.

In addition the majority of owners have registered their objection against Project Numbers 2, 6, 8, but they do not represent the requisite assessed value. Therefore, even though the majority are opposed, Council can, if it wishes, proceed with these works.