NOVEMBER 1, 1960

A Public Hearing was held in the Committee Room, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, Burnaby, on Tuesday, November 1, 1960 at 7:00 p.m.

<u>PRESENT</u>: Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Drummond, Edwards, Harper, Jamieson, MacSorley, Mather and Prittie.

ABSENT - Councilior Hicks.

The Hearing was held for the purpose of receiving representations in regard to a proposal to rezone the following property:

FROM GENERAL INDUSTRIAL TO COMMERCIAL.

Block 10 West half, District Lot 70, East 50 acres of West half; Plan 3216. (Located at the north east corner of Dominion Street and Sumner Avenue).

No one appeared in opposition to this proposed rezoning.

The Hearing then adjourned.

Confirmed:

rau Г Ε RK

- #

NOVEMBER 1, 1960

An Adjourned Meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Committee Room, Municipal Hall, 4545 East Grandview-Douglas Highway, on Tuesday, November 1, 1960 at 7:08 p.m.

PRESENT:

Reeve Emmott in the Chair; Councillors Mather, Edwards, Drummond, MacSorley, Harper, Jamieson and Prittie

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the Council resolve into a Committee of the Whole (7:10 p.m.)."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

His Worship the Reeve presented to the Council a request of the Burnaby Board of Trade for consideration of the acquisition of approximately one-half acre of land situated on the north side of Grandvlew-Douglas Highway at Gilpin Street. The land would be purchased by the Municipality and leased to the Board of Trade to accommodate the provision of a building for Board of Trade to accommodate the provision of a building for Board of Trade to accommodate the provision of a building for Board of a semi-public body in that it was interested in the welfare and development of the Municipality as a whole. The land was under ownership of the Provincial Government and the Reeve suggested land for a sum up to \$10,000.00. The land would be leased to the Board of Trade on a 20 year basis and the Board would be responsible for constructing a building for its purposes.

Councillor Hicks attended at 7:20 p.m.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER:

"That the Reeve be authorized to negotiate the purchase of the subject land from the Provincial Government for a sum not to exceed \$10,000.00 subject to a ruling of the Municipal Solicitor on the authority of the Council to make such purchase for the purpose suggested."

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MATHER:

"That the Motion be tabled to the next Policy meeting."

> IN FAVOUR - COUNCILLORS PRITTIE, HICKS, EDWARDS & MATHER

AGAINST - COUNCILLORS MacSORLEY, DRUMMOND, HARPER, JAMIESON & REEVE EMMOTT

MOTION LOST

<u>Page 447</u>

The original Motion was then put to vote and carried with Councillors Edwards and Hicks Opposed.

His Worship, the Reeve presented revised floor plans for the Municipal Hall addition and renovation. Explanations of the proposed renovations of existing floors was given by the Chief Building inspector. Revised plans were being presented following the submission of the first layout to the Council at its meeting on October 31st. The Chief Building Inspector gave a general outline of the floor arrangement Including the proposed addition showing the four floors to be utilized, numbered consemutively from the ground floor up. The floor plan for the number four floor, being the new top floor, was presented showing accommodation for the entire Engineering Department and Planning Department with the Engineering Sub-Division being accommodated in the south-east portion of the floor and the Planning Division being located in the north-west section of the floor.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HICKS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That Number 4 plan as presented, be accepted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

In dealing with the third floor (existing top floor) the Reeve suggested that the first plan presented to the Council was probably elaborate and consequently changes were made which modify the original scheme to a more acceptable standard. The alternate plan would leave room for some readjustment if it was found economic and more practical. The plan provided for the retention of the Reeve - Manager section at the north-west end of the floor with the Clerk's and Solicitor's offices being removed to the south-east section of the floor beyond the Council Chamber. The Purchasing Department would be retained in a somewhat larger capacity in its original location.

In presenting the plan the Chief Building Inspector suggested that the Municipal Clerk's office be changed from that shown on the plan to a location adjacent to the space allocation for Council quarters.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY:

"That the floor plan for Number 3 floor, with the suggested amendment, be approved as presented."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Chief Building Inspector explained the renovations for the second floor showing the removal of the Chief Licence Inspector's office into the Solicitor's quarters and the relocation of the Property Department into the space occupied by the Chief Licence Inspector with the Assessment Department expanding into the space presently occupied by the Property Department.

> MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the sccond floor plan be accepted as presented." CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Page . 448

The Chief Building Inspector explained the alterations for the ground floor, showing an expansion of the Print Shop Into Janitor's quarters, the relocation of the Parks Board offices into the portion presently occupied by the Sewer Division and relocation of the Janitor and Returning Officer's space.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MacSORLEY;

"That the alterations as presented for the First Floor be accepted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The question of Health Department alterations was raised, particularly insofar as the existing quarters for the Psychologist were concerned and also accommodation for the various classes conducted by the Health Department. The Chief Building Inspector was asked to investigate the suggested alterations to the Health Department in conjunction with overall plans for the building renovation.

The Executive Assistant to the Municipal Manager submitted a report on property negotiations for the following properties requesting authority for the Municipal Treasurer to issue the agreed sums upon request:

OWNER:	LEGAL :		COMPENSATION:
Gordon H. Rourke & Mary Rourke	Lot 1 of Blk. 5 of Lots 1 and 2 of Lot 207, Gr. 1, N.W.D. Pl. 4032		\$14,850.00
John Sandine	Lot 2, Blk. 5 of Lot 207, Gr. 1, N.W.D., Pl. 4032	ء • 🛓	\$10,000.00

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That the Treasurer be authorized to pay the sums indicated upon completion of negotiations."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Executive Assistant to the Manager submitted a report on the position of the insurance aspect of the Burnaby Welfare Society. The Executive Assistant reported that the London Life Company officials had attended his office and expressed a concern over the legality of the insurance scheme of the Welfare Society under provisions of the Insurance Act. It was also submitted that the economics of the scheme could very well change rapidly. The Executive Assistant reported that the appropriate officials in Victoria had been approached to determine the benefits and to discuss the limited benefit ceiling of \$5,000.00 contained in the insurance Act. It was submitted that the section contained in the Act granting authority for the Society to conduct an insurance ischeme for solely Municipal employees eliminated the application of the \$5,000.00 benefic ceiling. The services of an actuarial company were engaged and the formulas were confirmed to be sound and amendments were made to the constitution of the society to validate the inclusion of the Insurance scheme. As a double check the matter was again cleared through the actuaries and the Superintendent of Insurance and subsequently,

the written approval of the Superintendent of Insurance and the Registrar of Companies was received. A report was submitted to the Council on August 2nd outlining the plan and it was anticipated there would be a reaction from the private company.

A further meeting was held with representatives of the insurance Company and an offer was made to underwrite the insurance scheme for a limited time. The Superintendent of insurance was again contacted to give a further opportunity for study of the proposal and subsequently the Directors met to iron out differences in interpretation of the Statutes.

The Executive Assistant reported that assurances had been obtained from the actuaries that the Society will have no difficulty in placing insurance of equal or better benefits in the event the Society's scheme failed. Some staff members had expressed concern and the Directors asked if any insurance company could underwrite the scheme to provide definite security and it was submitted that such security could be obtained through Lloyds of London.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR HARPER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE:

"That the report of the Executive Assistant relative to the insurance scheme under the Welfare Society be received."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Executive Assistant submitted that difficulties were encountered with negotiations for acquisition of portions of three properties for the widening of Hastings Street, legally described as follows:

 Lot 9, Block "G", D.L. 127 W3/4 - I R.J. Smith and M.M. Davis

 Lot 10, Block "G", D.L. 127 W3/4 - D. & M. Urquhart

 Lot 11, Block "G", D.L. 127 W3/4 - J.G. & M.E. Moore

and authority was requested to undertake an independent appraisal of one of the properties to provide evaluation which would apply to all three.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MATHER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON:

"That an independent appraisal be authorized for one of the three subject properties for the purpose of establishing evaluation to be applied for negotiations for the three properties or for arbitration purposes should such action become necessary."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Municipal Engineer submitted a report on the works proceeding under contract with the G. C. McLeod Company on the scwer installation for South Slope Sewer Area No. 1. The Engineer submitted that the work was proceeding in an unsatisfactory manner from the point of view of his Department and that some differences of opinion had occurred on the interpretation of various sections of the contract.

It was submitted that the work was being conducted in a sloppy

manner and that inconveniences were caused to residents in the area due to the windrows of soil along the readside and in some instances the closing of the roads to traffic. The Engineer explained the methods used by Municipal crews whereby the excavations are trucked away and backfilling is done upon completion of the pipe laying with a better quality gravel material. The Contractor had complained that if the Municipal method of operation was forced that he would be unable to meet his contract. The Engineer submitted that the Contractor was confident the job would be completed to the entire satisfaction of the Engineer within the terms of the contract. It was submitted that the clean-up aspect of the operation so far was not acceptable and that excessive damage was being done to the road pavements. The Engineer suggested that the Corporation had a duty to the ratepayers and the Contractor should be made to act under the terms of the contract insofar as his methods of operation were concerned.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR JAMIESON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE: ,

"That the G. C. McLeod Company, sewer contractors for the South Slope Sewer Area No. 1, be advised that construction methods being followed are unsatisfactory and that such methods will require to be changed to comply with the specifications contained in the contract."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MATHER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the Committee do now rise and report."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council reconvened.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PRITTIE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR EDWARDS:

"That the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting then adjourned to Monday, November 7th, 1960 at 7:30 p.m.

Confirmed:

au