APRIL 20, 1958

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held on April 28th, 1958 at 7.30 p.m, in the Municipal Hall, 8000 Grandview High-ray.

PRESENT: Roeve Emmott in the Chair: Councillors Cafferky, Milson, Saifner, Jamieson Mather and McL.an.

Dr. H. L. Purdy of the B.C. Electric C mpany Limited attended, prepared to interview the Council with respect to the application before the Public Utilities Commission by his Company for an increase in electrical rates.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Cafferky "That Dr. Purdy be heard."

Carried Unanimously.

Dr. Purdy spoke, outlining the difficulties encountered by his Company in construction and operational expenses which resulted in the application before the Public Utilities Commission for an increase in rates. Dr. Purdy's remarks centered chiefly around the fact that his Company was restricted to a 5% earning power as laid down by the Public Utilities Commission. It was pointed out that the earnings had gradually declined since 1955 due to increased operating and capital costs. Dr. Purdy stressed that his Company was under rigid inspection by the Public Utilities Commission at all times. Dr. Purdy gave reasons for the differential between Vancouver and Burnaby as being due to the investments of the Company of distribution services in Burnaby and the lack of population density. It was pointed out that as the density increased the differential would be reduced and would eventually be on a par with Vancouver. In answering the question "why were rates in British Columbia higher than in other parts of North America" Mr. Purdy advised that there were three main reasons - first, generation was expensive due to the terrain in British Columbia as reasons - first, generation was expensive due to the terrain in British Columbia as compared with that in the prairie and eastern provinces; secondly, the transmission was expensive - again due to the rugged terrain in British Columbia - it was also submitted that maintenance costs were higher for the same reason; thirdly - the characteristics of commercial usage - the Company was required to maintain a load for peak periods and that the load factor was 45% of a peak day whereas in Eastern Provinces the load factor was 60 to 65% of a peak day. The reason being, that the Eastern Provinces were blessed with larger industrial consumers of electricity. that the Eastern

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That Dr. Purdy be thanked for his presentation."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the Union of B.C. Municipalities be requested to consider joint opposing action to the application of the B.C. Electric Company for a rate increase."

Carried Unanimously.

The Lozells Community Association wrote advising of a meeting held with a delegation from Lozells Civic Betterment Association when explanation was given that the sole object of the latter Association was to deal with matters of planning and land use in the District, and that there was no desire to encroach on the activities of the Lozells Community Association. In view of the explanation it was felt that the two Associations could accomplish their aims and objects in a harmonious manner.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the letter be received."

Carried Unanimously.

The Lozells Community Association wrote in support of the Council's stand on the Vanconver Cemetery site matter.

Moved by Councillor Seifner, seconded by Councillor Mather "That the letter be received." Carried Unanimously.

The Union of B.C.Municipalities wrote advising preliminary investigations had been made into the desirability of formally opposing the application of the B.C.Tclephone Company for an increase in message toll and exchange service tariffs and that on he strength of these investigations, the following recommendations were made:

That the opposition to the Telephone Company's application should be a joint submission by the B C Provincial Government and all Municipalities served by

2. That the cost of opposing the application should be shared by the Provincial Government and the participating municipalities on a fifty-fifty basis up to but not exceeding, a total municipal contribution of not more than \$10,000.00. The Government to bear the whole of any costs in excess of \$20,000.00.

(continuar,....)

(Letter from UBCM continued....)

- 3. That the municipal share be allocated on a per capita basis according to the 1956 census figures as given in the "1956 Municipal Statistics" issued by the Department of Municipal Affairs, at table 1, column 2, pages 10 and 11.
- 4. That the Provincial Government, the Union of B.C. Municipalities and each participating municipality be severally named as participating in the combined opposition.
- 5. That no separate submissions be made by individual municipalities.
- 6. That every effort be made to present as strong a case as possible from every angle, and that counsel and expert witnesses etc. be agreed upon between the Provincial Government and the President of U.B.C.M. as representing all Municipalities concerned.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That this Council go on record as endorsing the action of the UBCM and that this Corporation participate to the fullest extent in the plan as laid down."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Mather "That in drafting resolution from this Council to the Union of B.C.Municipalities, a Resolution be included requesting that a study be made of existing Public Utilities in the Province becoming under public ownership."

Carried Unanimously.

R. N. Matheson and others submitted a patition urging that steps be taken to improve a traffic hazard at the intersection of Grandview Highway and Laurel Street, with the installation of appropriate traffic signs.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by CouncillorMcLcan "That the petition be received and referred to the Traffic and Traffic Safety Committee."

Carried Unanimously.

The Minister of Education submitted a telegram in response to that forwarded by His Worship, the Reeve, in protest of the school cost formula, advising that to give equity between all School districts, School grants had been based on local taxation resources and were tied to assessed values. It was further submitted that the over-all Government contribution to educational costs approximated 50%. Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the telegram be received."

Carried Unanimously.

A Joint letter was received from Gordon H. Dowding, M.L.A. and Cedric Cox, M.L.A. giving details of representations made during the last sitting of the Legislature with respect to the School cost formula. It was submitted a strong protest had been made and that it was felt the dissatisfaction found in Burnaby was reflected in the majority of school districts in the Province. Mr. Dowding and Mr. Cox were present.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Cafferky "That Mr. Dowding be asked to speak to the matter."

Carried Unanimously.

Mr. Dowding elaborated on the points raised in the joint letter.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Cafferky "That the letter be received and the M.L.A.'s be thanked."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Cafferky "That the Council resolve into a Committee of the "hole with the Reeve in the Chair."

Carried Unanimously.

Messrs. Eckman and Harkness of the Greater Vancouver Industrial Development Commission attended and were invited to speak with reference to Birnaby membership in the Commission. Mr. Eckman spoke advising of his disappointment at the decision of the Council to withdraw Burnaby's membership in the Commission, pointing out that Burnaby was one of the early members and was therefore one of the Senior Municipalities in the Commission. The Publicity value of the Commission to Burnaby was cited as an important reason why membership should be continued. It was also submitted there was no overlap with work of the Lower Mainland Regional Planning Board, although the Commission did work in co-operation with that Board and the Boards of Trade. On the question of grant formula, the Commission representatives were asked if there was a possibility of a change so that all member Municipalities of the Commission were granted membership on a per capita basis. It was submitted that because of the overwhelming population of the City of Vancouver as compared with the other members, that City paid on a straight grant basis, whereas the remainder paid on a per capita basis. It was also submitted that Vancouver's benefits were less in comparison if taken on a per capita basis. Mr. Harkness cited special cases where industrialists were being attracted to Burnaby.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Milson "That the prior motion to withdraw membership from the Greater Vancouver Industrial Development Commission be rescinded."



Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor "That this Municipality retain its membership in the Greater Vancouver Metropolitan Industrial Development retain its membership in the Greater Vancouver metropolitan incosting seven per commission on the basis of a grant to the Commission of \$3300.00 per year."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Mather "That the Committee do

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the Council reconsider its position in regard to membership in the Greater Vancouver Metropolitan Industrial Development Commission and pay its assessment of \$3300.00 for the year

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Milson "That applications for zoning received from:

(a) Mosdames I. Johnson and B.Pomus - 3339 Milson Avenue to Multiple

- Family use. (b) Viewsound Supplies - Lots 17 and 16, Plan 3520, DL 125 except Sk.9639
 477 feet frontage on Lougheed and 230.7 feet on Holdom, 468.64
 on Broadway - to Commercial and motel zoning.
 (c) Straith, O'Grady, Buchan and Smith re Lot 4, Block 4, DL 2, Map 4286,
 (Government and Lougheed Highway) to Commercial.
 (d) A. Ounapuu - Lot "A", Blk.31, DL 151 (4349 Maywood Street) to Multiple
- Family zoning.

be referred to the Municipal Manager for report."

Carried Unanimously.

The Report of the Municipal Manager with regard to the application of Nicola and Gentilina Staniscia to keep 500 chickens on property at 7392 Curtis Street was lifted from the table. The Manager read a report from the Solicitor giving an opinion on the use of Section 13 of the Town Planning By-law and submitting that the discretionary power vested in the Council in this section was ultra vires by reason of a case involving a similar discretion which came before the Court of Appeal in 1956 (B C Electric vs. Surrey). The Solicitor further advised that the subject property was situated in a Small Holding zone and chicken farms were permitted in such zones, that the Council had no power to prevent the applicant from keeping 500 chickens on the property. The Solicitor added that although he was satisfied Section 13 was ultra vires it had never been challenged in Court and was presumably still valid. (Section Total Court and the section empowering Council by bylaw to define areas and to regulate the keeping of poultry, pigeons, doves or other law to define areas and to regulate the keeping of poultry, pigeons, doves or other

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the report be received and that a permit be granted to the applicant on a year to year basis subject to termination on six months notice and that a \$1,000.00 guarantee bond be posted by the applicant."

Carried Unanimously.

The report of the Municipal Manager relative to Hired Trucks and Equipment was brought forward. Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the report be again tabled to May 12th meeting of the Public Works Committee." Carried Unanimously.

The Municipal Manager submitted a proposed revision of the Burnaby Trades Licence By-law giving rates presently charged together with proposed rates. The scale included a comparison with rates affected in Vancouver and New Westminster. It was submitted that the new rates effective 15th July 1958 would provide the anticipated increased revenue to an amount of \$50,000.00 included in the 1958 budget. A letter was received from the South Burnaby Board of Trade requesting a three week delay on the passage of the proposed trades licence revision by-law. The The Burnaby Ratepayers' Council wrote commending the Council on its action in bringing forth a revised Trades' Licence fee schedule, requesting an opportunity to speak to the Council with regard to a few specific items included in the Schedule.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the letter from the South Burnaby Board of Trade and the Burnaby Ratepayers' Council be received."

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the proposed trades licence revisions be discussed at this meeting, and that the Treasurer and Licence Inspector be invited to attend to give advice and guidance in the deliberations." Carried Unanimously.

The Council then proceeded to consider the scale, item by item.

(continued....)

(Burnaby Trades Licence revisions....continued)

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the fees set for Riding Academys be referred back to the Administrative officials for reconsideration". Carried Unanimously.

The report contained a list of businesses and occupations licensed according to a scale dependent upon the number of employees engaged by the business.

Carried Unanimously.

Discussion was held on the Mail Order Agencies category and it was suggested the employee basis be spread over the scale set for other types of business in the general scale section.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That reconsideration be given to spreading out the number of employees generally on the basic scale, partic-ularly in the II-15 employee classification."

Carried Unanimously.

It was decided to refer the remainder of the report back to the administrative officials to review all categories and report further.

The Municipal Manager reported on a request from Mrs. Anne Costley for information on the availability of sewer service in the 4500 Block Venables, advising the Engineer was including the area in which the requestee's property was located in the 1959 sewer rentals construction programme. Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Milson "That the report be received."

Carried Unanimously.

The Municipal Manager reported on a comia of Mr. Dybrig of 5350 Parker Street, of periodic flooding of his property following the installation of a B C Telephone Company underground cable. Upon investigation the Company had agreed to instal tile drains around their cable manhole since it had been suggested back-filling of the manhole had been improper. Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor /ilson "That the report be received."

Carried Unanimously.

The Manager submitted reports of the Planning Director on miscellaneous zoning applications as follows:

1. Application for rezoning Lot 5, Blocks 1/3, DL 4, Plan 3877 from Small Holdings to permit erection of an auto Court - 161 Government Road, by A.L.Snow.

 $\hbox{A Special Committee composed of the Chief Building Inspector, Chief Sanitary Inspector and the Municipal Engineer recommended that the application for }$ permission to construct a Bungalow court on the subject property be not approved which report was adopted by Council on March 4, 1958.

The reasons underlying this recommendation concerned the fact that utilization of the parcel for this use (similar to auto court use) would frustrate the ultimate subdivision of a large area of land lying to the north.

It was recommended that the requested approval not be granted for the above reason.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean 'That the recommendation be concurred in."

Carried Unanimously.

2. Application for rezoning - S.165' Lot 1k, Blk.6, DL 7kS $\frac{1}{2}$ from Residential , Single Family Type I to Commercial - 3131 Grandview Highway - by G.G.Hoyer.

It is recommended that this application not be approved in view of the undesirability of introducing development which will tend to worsen traffic conditions in this vicinity and in view of the uncertainty of the need for retail commercial facilities at this location. Service station needs (as mentioned by the applicant) seem to be adequately provided the two sites to the east at the junction of Grandview and Douglas Highways and by the station 363 feet to the west.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the application be tabled and consideration given to a By-law to amend regulations for commercial zones to eliminate gasoline service stations."

Carried Unanimously.

Application for rezoning of Lot 12, Blk."G", DL 127%~3/4 Pl.125½ from Residential Two Family Type 11 to Multiple Family, by Bessett Holdings Limited. It is felt that apartment house development along this portion of Hastings Street would be an appropriate land use provided that a medium density is maintained and future road development is not handicapped. Therefore,





- 3. (cont'd)
 - it is recommended that this rezoning of Block "G" N½ DL 127 to Residential Multiple Family Type II be approved for future consideration and that in the meantime the acquisition of a suitable lane allowance between Springer and Delta Avenues be advanced. This recommendation is based upon the premise that this lane will be secured and that suitable set-backs would be incorporated into the final zoning by-law amendment. Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean" That the recommendation be adopted with the exception that the north forty feet of the property described Block "G" N½, DL 127 be exempted from the proposed rezoning."
- 4. Application for rezoning of Lots 12 to 10 incl., Block 18 DL 29, Plan 16161 from Residential Two Family Type 1 to Residential Multiple Family by Rivers

It is recommended that this application be approved for Residential Multiple Family Type II in view of the commercial nature of the surroundings and in view of the availability of services and secondary access. It is noted that the proposed sites are of adequate area to allow development to a good standard and that in this instance such a development could form a desirable transition from commercial development on Kingsway to the established residential

Moved by Councillor Mather, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

Application for rezoning Lot 7, Blocks 27 and 20, DL 34, Plan 1355 from Residential Two Family to Multiple Family - 4406 Brandon Street - by Mrs. G.

It is recommended that this application not be approved because of the inappropriateness of the proposed development in this area and the lack of services and facilities desirable for apartment house development.

Moved by Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

6. Application for rezoning Block &3, DL 132 - from Residential Two Family Type 11 to Multiple Family to permit a duplex row housing development - by A. Biltzan.

The Planning Director advised that in his view, development of row housing or other "acreage uses" on parcels in an area such as this, will forestall normal subdivision processes and could result in a loss of development potential of a considerable area. For this reason he did not recommend approval.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the recommendation be concurred in $^{\rm H}$

Carried Unanimously.

7. Application for rezoning of Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, DL 91 SE pt., Plan 2297 Lot 20, Blk.2, DL 91 SE pt., Plan 6534 from Residential Two Family Type 1 to Commercial, by 0. H. Porteous.

It was recommended the application be approved for further consideration for service station use which use excludes the major repair work provided that the three lots are consolidated and the existing lane extended to the north side of the property. In advancing this recommendation it was felt that the creation of the new service station at Elwell, and the existence of the present business on the site, are factors which warrant this approval, and we further note that the development of a service station should not worsen traffic conditions or the existing amenity of the area.

Moved by Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be concurred in."

Carried Unanimously.

8. Application for rezoning of Lots 12 and 13, Blocks 1/3, SD 19 and 20, DL 95N from Residential Single or Two Family Type I to Multiple Family zoning, by S. L. Klein.

The Planning Director advised that it seemed unlikely that many, if any, of the adjacent properties would be redeveloped. On the other hand the recent house construction in the block points to the maintenance of a reasonably good single family residential area.

The Planning Director recommended approval of this application be not given

The Planning Director recommended approval of this application be not given in view of the depreciating effect such "spot" development would have on the block as a whole and, in particular, on the property immediately to the east.

Moved by Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be concurred in."

IN FAVOUR-Reeve Emmott, Crs.McLean, Jamieson and Mather.
AGAINST - Crs. Seifner, Cafferky, Wilson.

2



4

9. Application for rezoning of S.120 feet of Lot 2, Block 2, DL $175N_2^1$ of SE_4^1 of SE_7^2 Plan 9319 from Residential to Commercial - 4681 SE Marine Drive, by H. F. Henner Realty Company Limited.

The Planning Director recommended that this application not be approved in view of the detrimental effect on the safe and efficient movement of traffic.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor Mather "That the recommendation be concurred in.

Carried Unanimously.

10. Application for rezoning of Lot 16, Block 23, DL 32 from Residential Type 1, Two Family and Commercial to Commercial by - by A. H. Clary.

It was recommended that this application not be approved in view of the detrimental effect that office buildings would have on Block 23 and in view of the lack of sewer service.

Moved by Councillor Mather, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be concurred in."

Carried Unanimously. IN FAVOUR - Reeve Emmott, Councillor Mather,
McLean and Jamieson.
AGAINST - Crs. Cafferky, Seifner and Milson.

11. Application for rezoning of Lot 6, Block 60, DL 33, save and except the westerly 80 feet from Residential Two Family to Gasoline Service station.

It was recommended that this application not be approved but that consideration be given to the desirability of initiating a Replotting Scheme in the block bounded by Grange Street, Willingdon Avenue, Burke Street and Chaffey Avenue.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the recommendation be concurred in." Carried Unanimously.

12. Application for rezoning of DL $175SE^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $SH^{\frac{1}{4}}$ Sk.12022 from Residential Single Family to Light Industrial - 4510 SE Marine Drive - by J. Barry.

It was recommended that this application not be approved since intrusion of industrial uses up to Marine Drive would violate the whole purpose of the existing set-back provision and would depreciate established property values.

Moved by Councillor McLean, seconded by Councillor Jamieson "That the recommendation be concurred in." Carried Unanimously.

13. Application for rezoning of Block 7, DL 34 7.00 acres, P1.049 from Residential Two Family Type 1 and Commercial to Commercial - 4415 Kingsway by D.A.Shewchuk.

It was recommended that this application/be approved in view of the probability that development of the whole parcel might prejudice subdivision and development of the considerable area in the center of the block.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the recommendation be concurred in."

Carried Unanimously.

14.

His Worship, the Reeve, submitted a recommendation of the Grants Committee that a Grant of 1,000.00 be made to the Burnaby May Day Association.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That a Grant of \$800.00 be made to the May Day Association."

IN FAVOUR - Crs. Jamieson and McLean. AGAINST - Crs. Cafferky Wilson, Seifner **AGAINST** and Mather. MOTION LOST.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the recommendation of the Grants Committee be adopted."

Carried. Cr. Jamieson against. Moved by Councillor Cafferky seconded by Councillor Wilson "That leave be given to introduce "Burnaby Budget Authorization By-law 1958" and that the By-law be

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the By-law

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the Council go into Committee of the Whole with the Reeve in the Chair to consider the By-law."

Carried Unaminously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the Committee rise and report the By-law complete without amendment."

Carried Unanimously.

The Council reconvened.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the "Burnaby Budget Authorization By-law 1958" be now read a Third time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved byCouncillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That leave be given to introduce "Burnaby Annual Rates By-law 1958" and that the By-law be read a First Time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the By-law be read a Second Time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the Council go into Committee of the Whole with the Reeve in the Chair to consider the By-law."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the Committee rise and report the By-law complete without amendment."

Carried Unanimously.

The Council reconvened.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Wilson, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That "Burnaby Annual Rates By-law 1958" be now read a Third Time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That Teave be given to introduce "Burnaby Percentage Additions By-law 1958" and that the By-law be read a First Time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the By-law be read a Second Time."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Wilson "That the Council go into Committee of the Whole to consider the By-law."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the Committee rise and report the By-law complete without amendment."

Carried Unanimously.

The Council reconvened.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Seifner "That the report of the Committee be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

Moved by Councillor Cafferky, seconded by Councillor Seifmer "That "Burnaby Percentage Additions By-law 1958" be now read a Third Time."

Carried Unanimously.

The Municipal Manager reported on approaches made to the Greater Vancouver Water District for extension of the water main feeder at Kensington and Curtis, advising that the Boardhad submitted that no immediate plans were being made for the extension of this main. It was therefore recommended that the report of the Municipal Engineer relative to the extension of the Municipal main on the Curtis-Parker alignment, be adopted so that additional water supplies could be guaranteed for the north-east section of the Municipality.

Moved by Councillor Samieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the recommendation of the Manager be adopted."

Carried Unanimously.

The Municipal Manager outlined offers received for the purchase of Municipal land at Kingsway and Salisbury Avenue, advising one offer had been made to purchase for the sum of \$175,000.00 plus \$76,000.00 for services. Details of a shopping centre to be developed on the property were outlined together with parking lot allotments and a block of apartments. It was submitted that a \$3,000,000.00 development would represent the total investment. A second offer had also been received for the Municipal property for \$200,000.00 for the purpose of developing a shopping centre and series of apartments.

Moved by Councillor Jamieson, seconded by Councillor McLean "That the land be put out for sale by public tender, that deposit cheques submitted with the current offers be returned to the interested parties, and that the Manager draft terms of sale agreement in co-operation with the Municipal Solicitor, for approval by the Council."

Council."

Carried Unanimously.

The meeting then adjourned.

Confirmed:

CLERK