

Monday, October 29, 1956.

An adjourned meeting of the Municipal Council was held in the Council Chambers, 4000 Granview Highway, on Monday, October 29, 1956 at 7.30 p.m.

Present: Reeve MacSorley in the Chair; Crs. W.P. Philips, Charlton, Morrison, Hean, Hughes and F. Philips.

The Burnaby Public Library wrote with regard to the suggested increase of the membership of the Library Board from five to seven. The Board submitted that the main reason for the increased membership existed in the fact that it was difficult for the Board to get adequate representation from the area when the Board consisted of only four members and a representative from the Council. It was further submitted by the Board that the new members should be appointed on a geographical basis rather than as representatives of a particular group so that support would comprise a group who by their diversified interests would give effective voice to all the citizens of the Municipality. The Board submitted a formal request to increase the Burnaby Library Board to seven members.

Moved by Cr. Morrison, seconded by Cr. Hughes "That the letter be received and this matter be referred to the Reeve to bring forward at the 1957 Statutory Council meeting."

Carried Unanimously.

South Burnaby Branch No. 83 Canadian Legion submitted a request for permission to hold their remembrance day service on November 11th at 3 p.m. at All Saints church. The Branch also extended an invitation to the Council to attend their service and church parade to assemble at the Canadian Legion Headquarters and then march down Royal Oak Avenue to the Church.

Moved by Cr. W.P. Philips, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the letter be received and that the Reeve arrange for suitable representation at the parade and church service."

Carried Unanimously

The South Burnaby Branch, Canadian Legion submitted an application for permission to conduct their annual poppy campaign in South Burnaby during the week November 5th to 10th.

Moved by Cr. W.P. Philips, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That permission be granted as requested."

Carried Unanimously

The United Church Home for Girls submitted an application for a financial grant of \$400.00 toward the operation of the Home.

Moved by Cr. Morrison, Seconded by Cr. W.P. Philips: "That the application be received, and referred to the Committee on Grants for consideration and recommendation."

Carried Unanimously

The Forest Lawn Memorial Park Co. Ltd. submitted the following proposals for consideration by the Council:

(a) That their Company provide an extension of road allowance for the right of way of Woodsworth Street westerly from the boundary Lot "B" Block 5 D.L. 73 Plan 12495 to the westerly boundary of Lot 3, D.L. 73, Plan 3060 in exchange for the approval of Lot "B" FOR Cemetery purposes

(b) That the Company will provide a 33' right of way for the widening of Gilpin Street along the southerly boundary of the present cemetery site (D.L. 81) to the westerly boundary of the said District Lot thence a further 33' road allowance along the westerly boundary to the Grandview Highway in exchange for an approval for cemetery purposes of all that portion of Block 3, D.L. 73, Plan 3060 lying within the road allowance of Westminster Avenue and the lane projected from the subdivision to the east, immediately south of the Grandview Highway.

Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the offer of the Company be received, and referred to a Committee of the Whole."

Carried Unanimously

The Secretary, Burnaby School Board wrote pointing out the need for provision by the Board of proper administrative accommodation to conduct their business advising that in the year 1955 an amount of \$110,000 was approved in the School Loan By-law Referendum No. 2 by the ratepayers for the purpose of erecting an administrative building, and the equipping of same. It was further pointed out that of this \$110,000, 50% would be underwritten by the Provincial Government. The Board submitted that the existing building was of limited life, and was structurally unsound, furthermore, it was located in an area which in their view was unsuitable taking into consideration the location of the property in an industrial area, somewhat remote from easy access. The Board further submitted that an administration building as proposed could be constructed to facilitate the administrative accommodation for other Boards of the Municipality, such as the Park Board and it was felt that certain economies would be effected by combining the two Municipal functions as mentioned, within one building. The Board suggested that consideration be given to turning over certain properties at Sperling Avenue and Sprott Street for this purpose and submitted certain features they felt were in the Board's and Council's favour in developing this site.

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. F. Philips: "That the Board's letter be received, and members of the Board be invited to meet with the Council to discuss their proposal, next Monday morning, November 5th."

Carried Unanimously

The Municipal Treasurer submitted advice that conversion of the Dexter telephone exchange to automatic operation in March 1957 would have the effect of changing the Corporation's telephone number from Dexter 6600 to Hemlock 1-1411.
 Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That the report be received."
 Carried Unanimously

The Municipal Treasurer submitted a report advising that on March 27th 1956, the Corporation acquired a triangular portion of Lot "L", Block 39, U.L. 122, for road purposes through filing of a subdivision plan, upon which taxes in the amount of \$8.27 were outstanding. The Treasurer recommended this account be paid by the Corporation.
 Moved by Cr. Morrison, Seconded by Cr. Hean: "That the recommendation of the Treasurer be adopted."
 Carried Unanimously

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE REPORT

Your Committee met on October 22nd 1956 and recommend as follows:

1. That matter of approaches to the new Second Narrows Bridge be taken up with Minister of Highways by the Reeve and Engineer.
2. That undermentioned lands be sold to Lake City Industrial Corporation for inclusion in their development
 Block 3, U.L. 148
 Lots 11 and 12, U.L. 6
 Block "A", U.L. 10
 proceeds of sale of Block "A", U.L. 10 to be earmarked for park purposes.
3. That arrangements be made to provide auxiliary police for point duty at four intersections between hours of 4:00 P.M. and 6:00 P.M. Monday to Friday
4. That planning of North-east portion of Municipality be placed in the hands of Planning Department and that progress report be submitted from time to time.

Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. W.P. Philips: "That Items 1, 3 and 4 of the Committee of the Whole report be adopted."
 Carried Unanimously

Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That Item 2 be adopted."

Moved by Cr. F. Philips, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That Item 2 be tabled, pending production of an explanatory plan by the Lake-City Industrial Corporation to the Council together with information on the anticipated immediate development of the said property."

Motion Carried: "Crs. F. Philips, Drummond, Charlton, W.P. Philips, and Hughes in favour.
 Crs. Morrison and Hean voting against."

Property Manager submitted a report advising that final subdivision plan of the the Municipal subdivision of District Lot 11 had been completed and was registered. The property manager recommended that the lots shown outlined in red on the plan accompanying his report, be withheld from sale pending subdivision of certain adjacent properties. It was reported that the services as estimated by the Municipal Engineer divided by the number of available lots produced a unit servicing cost of \$815.00. Possibilities of obtaining sewer service to the entire subdivision had been explored and it was reported that the Engineer did not consider the installation of pumping facilities to the area feasible however, certain lots as shown coloured blue on the plan attached to the report would receive service from the Glenbrook system. Services estimated for the subdivision were on the basis of blacktop roads open lanes, and water mains save and except those lots aforementioned within reach of the Glenbrook sewer. It was suggested the subdivision, for purposes of sale be divided into units and sold on the basis of first come, first served. Recommended prices for the lots in the subdivision were as follows:

- | | |
|----------------------|-----------|
| (a) For sewered lots | \$3000.00 |
| (b) For corner lots | \$2800.00 |
| (c) For inside lots | \$2450.00 |

the said prices to include cost of services. The Property Manager also recommended a scale of terms with respect of each of the above classified sale prices. The Property Manager also recommended that the Council investigate the possibility of imposing restrictive covenants on the property to ensure a high standard of development.

Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. Hean: "That the report be received, and the Property Manager requested to bring down a report on suggested restrictive covenants, and that the Building Inspector be requested to consider the imposition of building grades, and to bring down a report on specifications for such grades."
 Carried Unanimously

The Park Board submitted a programme for future park development for consideration by the Council pointing out that the plan covered development work for the next few years. The Board submitted that the first step of the programme provided for the development of Barnett Beach, two outdoor swimming pools, and the establishment of a "Land Fund", the total cost of which was estimated at \$400,000. The Board requested the Council to submit a Money By-law to the electorate at the forthcoming Municipal Election for this sum.
 Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. F. Philips: "That the report be received, and tabled to next Monday, November 5th."
 Carried Unanimously

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That an invitation be extended to the Park Board to meet the Council next Monday, November 5th at 10:00 A.M.
Carried Unanimously

The Town planning Commission submitted the following recommendations for consideration of the Council.

1. The Town Planning Commission received notification of the National Planning Conference of the Community Planning Association of Canada to be held in Ottawa, October 28 to 31 inclusive. The Commission passed a resolution that a delegate from the appointed members of the Commission attend this Conference, provided funds are available. Council's concurrence with this resolution is respectfully requested.

Moved by Cr. Morrison, Seconded by Cr. Hean: "That the resolution of the Commission be concurred in by the Council."
Carried Unanimously

2. The application by A.C. Smith for approval of a Gasoline Service Station site on a portion of property described as a portion of Block 38, J.L. 159, Map 930, save and except 0.039 acres as shown on Sketch 6927 and save and except part subdivided under Map 9365. The Commission has had this application under consideration for some time and has been waiting submission of development plans from the applicant. The requested plans have now been submitted, and the Commission would recommend that a westerly portion of the above property, as shown on sketch attached to the report, be re-zoned for Gasoline Service Station purposes.

Moved by Cr. Morrison, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That the recommendation of the Commission be adopted, and the application be approved for further consideration."
Carried Unanimously

3. The application of Adeline M. Jarpch for rezoning of Lots 19&20, Block 32, J.L. 186 from Residential Single Family Type I to Multiple Family zone. The above properties are two 50' x 100' lots at the northeast corner of the intersection of Trinity Street and Esmond Avenue. A modest older type, well maintained single family dwelling is located on the property. The general development in the area is by well maintained single family dwellings including many new dwellings. However, as the applicant has pointed out, in the 3800 Block Trinity Street and the 3800 Block Yale Streets are two large older dwellings converted under former regulations to multiple family occupancy. Besides the two converted multiple family dwellings, one new four-suite apartment building was erected under former regulations some three years ago. The three multiple family dwellings, are now non-conforming uses in a Residential Single Family zone, however, the Commission would point out that in each case the multiple family dwellings are considered to be architecturally compatible with the single family residential type of construction in the district. The Commission would further point out that beside both of the large converted multiple family buildings, new single family dwellings have recently been erected. This would seem to indicate a current interest in maintaining the single family status of the area. Commission recommended the application be approved.
Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That this recommendation be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer for report on the property land use of this property."
Carried Unanimously

4. The application of Mr. & Mrs. Stan Forster and others for the rezoning of an area bounded by Douglas Road, McDonald, Second Avenue and Gilmore Avenue, from Heavy Industrial to Residential. In considering this application, the Commission notes that the subject area is approximately eighty per cent built up with modest single family dwellings, generally on fifty foot lots. This in itself might be considered deterrent to Industrial Development of this area. Nevertheless, the Commission would point out that much Industrial activity is taking place in the area immediately west of the subject area. Also the portion of the Industrial zone east of Gilmore Avenue, south of Douglas Road is generally developing with Industrial uses. Therefore, residential designation of the requested subject property would create a promontory of residential land use projecting into a developing industrial area. The Commission recommended this application not be approved, and no zoning change be made in the area.

Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. Hean: "That the recommendation of the Commission be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

5. Application of Up-town Investments for rezoning of Lots 15&16, Block 28, J.L. 117 from Residential and Heavy Industrial to Heavy Industrial. These properties comprise a triangular parcel surrounded by Grant Street, McDonald Avenue and Douglas Road. The Commission recognizes the applicant's complaint that individually the two separate parcels are practically useless as single family home sites. After observing required setbacks and side yards for single family dwellings on the two separate parcels, insufficient property remains to insure of adequate drainage area for septic tank action.

The Commission explored the possibility of obtaining additional building site from the partial cancellation of McDonald Avenue, but finds that public utilities already installed along McDonald Avenue prohibit this course of action.

Insofar as complying with the applicant's request for rezoning of this property for Industrial use, the Commission would point out that the purpose of the sixty foot buffer strip along the south side of Douglas Road, affording protection to the neighbouring Residential area and to the Kitchener Street school site, would be destroyed if the rezoning request were granted. Industrial usage of the subject parcels would effectively lessen the distance between Kitchener School plant and the western portion of the Central Industrial zone, which it is felt would very likely prejudice the amenity of this school. Furthermore, the Commission would note that at this particular location, development of this site for Industrial purposes in compliance with out By-law requirements, would seriously limit visibility at the two acute angled road intersections.

In view of the foregoing considerations the Commission would recommend that this application not be approved, but would suggest that this problem property might be satisfactorily utilized by consolidation of the two lots into one parcel for development thereon of a two-

family dwelling. It is felt that siting of such a dwelling might satisfactorily be accomplished to observe required minimum setbacks, and at the same time allow sufficient property for drainage requirements.
 Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. F. Philips: "That the recommendation of the Commission be adopted."

Carried Unanimously

The Town Planning Commission submitted a report on studies made of the area bounded by Chaffey Avenue on the west, Kingsway on the South, Nelson Avenue on the east, and a northerly limit which had not been predetermined, although the Commission did give consideration to land running north to a boundary following Burke to Willington, Grafton to Sussex, Mailand to Nelson. The Commission reproduced the report of the Commission's Committee of the Whole, which dealt initially with the effect of an application for re-zoning of property at the northwest corner of Willington Avenue and Grange Street described Lot 6, Block 68, U.L. 33. The Commission reported that a similar application had been dealt with earlier in the year, and a negative recommendation had been presented as a result of the "house locked" effect which existed in the area as a result of homes facing Willington, Grange, Chaffey and Burke. It was also submitted that the following points had been advanced by the Commission as reasons why the re-zoning of the property at Willington & Grange, should be denied. (1) Premature development of the site would adversely affect the future development of the area bounded by Willington, Grange, Chaffey and Burke. (2) The proposed rezoning would artificially enhance the value of nearby properties and thus make more difficult the proposed acquisition of land to facilitate the extension of Grange between Willington and Pioneer.

The Commission noted that the Council had since advancing their original recommendations aforesaid, acquired the necessary land for the right-of-way for Grange Street between Willington and Pioneer. The Commission then went on, through their Committee of the Whole report, to advance certain philosophical comments with regard to planning generally in the area and its effect upon the Commission, the Ratepayers, and the Council. The Commission then submitted the following points for consideration by the Council in relation to the subject area:-

1. With regard to the area bounded by Willington, Grange, Chaffey and Burke. The Committee recommends that the Commission re-affirm its opposition to the proposed rezoning of the north-west corner of Willington and Grange for Gasoline Service Station use. Although land for extension of Grange has now been acquired, the other objections previously mentioned still remain. In addition, the Committee points out that the proposed rezoning will set off a chain reaction of Commercialization westward along Grange and thus seriously prejudice future development of the whole block under consideration. The Committee is of the opinion that multiple family apartments would constitute the best use of the land fronting on Grange. Rezoning for this purpose should not however be undertaken except in conjunction with re-plotting of the whole Block.
2. Re. Council's tentative proposal to Commercialize the area between Willington and McKercher, and between Kingsway and a line 125 feet north of Grange. The Committee would favour rezoning for commercial use only on the basis of a comprehensive development plan involving some re-plotting. Rezoning without a development plan does not necessarily make the land available for the use visualized; in fact the land may become unavailable due to higher holding prices resulting from the rezoning. The Committee observes that there are large areas of land located immediately to the south which are zoned for Light Industry but are not available because of concentrated residential development. Considering the probable results of rezoning to Commercial use without a comprehensive development plan, the Committee made the following observations:-

- (a) Intrusion of Hazel as a dead-end street pre-disposes to rapid deterioration any Commercial development which might take place along this street.
- (b) Rezoning would artificially enhance land values on Grange but probably only one or two spare lots would actually be developed Commercially. This development would tend to be for low class Commercial use and values of adjacent properties would depreciate accordingly.

A key point in the Committee's attitude to this area is the conviction that mere rezoning for Commercial use does not necessarily encourage Commercial development.

3. With regard to the area east of McKercher. The Committee feels that there is no point in considering rezoning of this area at the present time because substantial sums of public money would be involved in any comprehensive development. Apart from the lack of sewerage facilities there are formidable requirements for roads which would involve land acquisition as well as construction.

4. Possibility of securing Federal aid for re-development. The Committee does not presume to suggest what role Council might play in re-development. The Committee does recommend, however, that Council's attention be drawn to recently enacted Amendments of the National Housing Act which provide Federal aid for Municipalities for the financing of commercial re-development schemes. Judicious use of this legislation might enable Council to create substantial increments in land values under some circumstances.

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the report of the Town Planning Commission be adopted, and that the Planning Engineer be requested to report on assistance available through the National Housing Act for commercial re-development as mentioned in item 3 of the report."

Carried Unanimously

C.M.C. brought forward the application for rezoning property at the north-west corner of Willington Ave. and Grange Street, by C. Troseth, previously tabled for consideration with the foregoing report of the Town Planning Commission.
 Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the application be denied."

Carried Unanimously

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Charlton: "That Burnaby Advance Payment of Taxes By-law be now introduced, and that the Council sit as a Committee of the Whole with the Reeve in the Chair to consider the By-law."

Carried Unanimously

The Reeve then asked the question: "What is your pleasure with this By-law?" Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That the By-law be read by short title only."

Carried Unanimously

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Charlton: "That the Committee do now rise and report the By-law complete without amendment."

Carried Unanimously

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That Burnaby Advance Payment of Taxes By-law be now passed."

Carried Unanimously

PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION SERVICE REPORT

In accordance with instructions of the Council, comments were received from Officers in charge of the following Departments on suggestions contained in the P.A.S. report concerning their respective Departments:

- (a) Municipal Treasurer.
- (b) Property Manager
- (c) Municipal Clerk
- (d) Municipal Solicitor
- (e) Municipal Engineer
- (f) Municipal Building Inspector
- (g) Personnel Director
- (h) Civil Defence Coordinator
- (i) Fire Chief
- (j) Social Service Administrator
- (k) Medical Health Officer
- (l) Municipal Assessor

A summary of the various departmental reports prepared by the Chief Administrative Officer was tabled for consideration of the Council as follows:-

Treasurer's Department

The Chief Administrative Officer submitted that the principal recommendation in the P.A.S. report was that the Treasurer's division be enlarged, and established as a Finance division to embrace the present Treasurer's Department, with addition of Assessment Stores, and the Property Department. It was pointed out that the Stores division had already been transferred under the jurisdiction of the Treasurer's Department. The Chief Administrative Officer submitted his opinion that no particular benefit would accrue to the general administration through amalgamation of the Assessment Department with Treasury. It was suggested however, that the Property Department should be placed under jurisdiction of the Treasurer. It was submitted that at present certain weaknesses existent in the present function of the Land Sale Committee were recognized, and that steps were being taken to review the entire matter, with a view to submitting recommendations to Council in the near future. The Chief Administrative Officer gave a resume of duties performed by the Property Department, and submitted that a transfer of control as recommended, would not interfere with the present function of the Department.

Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the Assessment Department remain as a separate purpose authority."

Carried Unanimously

PROPERTY DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer commented on the Property Manager's remarks on the suggestions in the P.A.S. report that reference to the Finance Officer and Planning Department of all applications for land purchase, should be made, to give opportunity for a review through Planning to other interested departments of advisability to sell and to fix a value by the Finance Officer in conjunction with the Assessor. The Chief Administrative Officer concurred with the opinion of the Property Manager, that this procedure carried no particular merit. It was suggested that a list of properties for sale should be prepared by the Property Manager, and held available for prospective buyers, thus obviating the necessity of calling for tenders, except in some cases where land involved had been withheld from sale for some particular reason.

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the report on the Property Department be laid over pending receipt of the report from the Staff Land Sale Committee."

Carried Unanimously

MUNICIPAL CLERK'S DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer concurred with the report of the Deputy Clerk, who commented on suggested changes by P.A.S. in the filing systems of the Department.

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. Charlton: "That the report be adopted"

Carried Unanimously

MUNICIPAL SOLICITOR'S DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer agreed with the report of the Municipal Solicitor, and submitted that in his opinion, the drawing up of all legal documents, agreements, easements etc., should be undertaken by the Legal Department. The C.A.O. also suggested the time was approaching when an assistant Solicitor must be engaged.

Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. F. Philps: "That the report be adopted, and that particular emphasis be placed on the engagement of an Assistant Solicitor."

Carried Unanimously

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer reported that the Engineer had covered very fully the recommendations concerning his Department. With respect to the Engineer's comments and recommendation as to re-assignment of duties of Assistant Engineers, he submitted his concurrence with the particular recommendation that no change be made in the present framework. The report on sundry recommendations contained in pages 3 and 4 of the report it was considered, were self explanatory. The appointment of an Administrative Assistant was regarded as a beneficial adjunct to the future operation of the Department.

Moved by Cr. Charlton, Seconded by Cr. Hean: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

BUILDING DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer concurred in comments of the Building Inspector regarding the P.A.S. recommendation that the Building Department remain as a separate division, divorced from the Engineering Department. It was submitted the marriage of the departments for the purpose of common use of records, and supplying of consequent information, would not be strengthened by the recommended change. It was submitted the comments in paragraphs 2 to 6 were self explanatory.

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer report his dubiousness at merits of the suggestion of the Personnel Officer with reference to advertising for employees prior to vacancies existing. Uneasiness amongst the existing staff, and questionable value of a forelog of applicants, were advanced by the C.A.O. as reasons, although it was admitted a problem of time existed in finding appointees. It was suggested this whole matter be discussed with the executive of the Civic Employees Union. While the C.A.O. submitted he was not prepared to comment on the recommendation regarding employment of a Personnel Technician, the recommendation respecting Medical Examination was concurred in.

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Charlton: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

Elimination of Deputies

The Chief Administrative Officer submitted his disagreement with the recommendation of the P.A.S., interpreted to mean that all Deputies positions should be abolished, with the exception of the Deputy Clerk, for the reasons that the Municipal Act clearly delegated power under Section 4 to certain defined positions and their lawfully appointed Deputies, which it was considered was instituted with the purpose of delegating power assigned to a senior officer, to the subordinate. The S.A.O. advised it was felt necessary to retain deputies to the Assessor, and Building Inspector, and furthermore, the appointment of a Deputy Approving Officer was encouraged.

Moved by Cr. Morrison, Seconded by Cr. Hughes: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

CIVIL DEFENCE

The Chief Administrative Officer concurred in the report of the Civil Defence Co-ordinator.

Moved by Cr. Hean, Seconded by Cr. Morrison: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

FIRE DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer commented on the reported adoption by the Fire Chief, of most of the recommendations contained in the P.A.S., with the exception of the suggested discontinuation of the two classifications of Captains (Junior and Senior). It was suggested the present arrangement had proven efficient and should be retained.

Moved by Cr. W.P.Philps, Seconded by Cr. Charlton: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

SOCIAL SERVICE DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer commented on the difficulties reported by the Social Service Administrator, encountered in obtaining staff, due to the present Provincial-Municipal arrangement, and suggested the answer rested in negotiation with the Provincial Government. The C.A.O. endorsed the recommendation of the Social Service Administrator, that negotiations be opened up with the Deputy Minister of Welfare in this regard.

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. W.P.Philps; "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

MEDICAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The Chief Administrative Officer submitted that as the matter of revision of the Metropolitan Health Committee agreement was under consideration, it was felt unnecessary to comment on the report of the Medical Health Officer.

Moved by Cr. Hughes, Seconded by Cr. W.P.Philps: "That the report be adopted."
Carried Unanimously

The Chief Administrative Officer then commented on four suggested amendments to Provincial regulations, which it was submitted were either matters for the Provincial Government, or were being adopted through introduction of the forthcoming Municipal Act revision.

The meeting then adjourned
Confirmed: *William B. Brown*
Clerk

Thos. MacSorley
Chairman